

Ján Jakubík

Distributivity of intervals of torsion radicals

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 4, 548–555

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101833>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

DISTRIBUTIVITY OF INTERVALS OF TORSION RADICALS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received April 15, 1980)

Let \mathcal{R} be the class of all torsion radicals of lattice ordered groups [4] (for the definitions cf. § 1 below) and let \mathcal{G} be the class of all lattice ordered groups. The class \mathcal{R} is partially ordered as follows: for $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ we put $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ if $\sigma_1(G) \subseteq \sigma_2(G)$ is valid for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then \mathcal{R} is a complete lattice (in the sense that for each subclass X of \mathcal{R} there exist the least upper bound of X and the greatest lower bound of X in \mathcal{R}); moreover, the distributive law $\sigma \wedge (\sigma_1 \vee \sigma_2) = (\sigma \wedge \sigma_1) \vee (\sigma \wedge \sigma_2)$ is fulfilled in \mathcal{R} (cf. [4]).

In [5], Theorem 1.5 it was asserted that \mathcal{R} is completely distributive. But in [6] it was remarked that the proof of Thm. 1.5 in [5] was not complete. Hence the question whether \mathcal{R} is completely distributive remained open.

For $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ with $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2$ we denote by $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ the class of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$. Let $\bar{0}$ be the zero torsion radical (i.e., $\bar{0}(G) = \{0\}$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$). In this paper the following results will be established:

There exists $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$ such that the interval $[\bar{0}, \sigma]$ is not infinitely distributive; thus \mathcal{R} fails to be completely distributive. Let \mathcal{R}_d be the class of all $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$ having the property that $[\bar{0}, \sigma]$ is completely distributive. Then \mathcal{R}_d possesses the greatest element and the class \mathcal{R}_d is a proper class. (In fact, a slightly more general result will be obtained.) Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$ and suppose that the torsion class corresponding to σ is generated by linearly ordered groups. Then $[\bar{0}, \sigma]$ is completely distributive.

1. PRELIMINARIES

For the basic notions and notations. cf. Conrad [1] and Fuchs [2]. We recall some definitions concerning torsion radicals that will be needed in the sequel.

For $G \in \mathcal{G}$ let $c(G)$ be the system of all convex \bar{l} -subgroups of G ; $c(G)$ is partially ordered by inclusion. Then $c(G)$ is a complete lattice. The lattice operations in $c(G)$ are denoted by \wedge, \vee .

Let σ be a mapping of \mathcal{G} into \mathcal{G} such that the following conditions are fulfilled for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$:

- (i) $\sigma(G) \in c(G)$;
- (ii) if $G_1 \in c(G)$, then $\sigma(G_1) = \sigma(G) \cap G_1$;
- (iii) if φ is a homomorphism of G into a lattice ordered group G_1 , then $\varphi(\sigma(G)) = \sigma(\varphi(G))$.

Under these assumptions σ is said to be a *torsion radical*.

A nonempty class C of lattice ordered groups is called a *torsion class* if it has the following properties:

- (a) $G \in C$ and $G_1 \in c(G)$ implies that $G_1 \in C$;
- (b) if $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and if $\{G_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(G) \cap C$, then $\bigvee_{i \in I} G_i \in C$;
- (c) the class C is closed with respect to homomorphisms.

Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}$. We denote by $C^0(\sigma)$ the class of all $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with $\sigma(G) = G$. Then C^0 is a one-to-one mapping of the class \mathcal{R} onto class Rad consisting of all radical classes; moreover, for each pair $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$(1) \quad \sigma_1 \leq \sigma_2 \Leftrightarrow C^0(\sigma_1) \subseteq C^0(\sigma_2).$$

Hence if we consider Rad as a partially ordered class (with respect to inclusion), then Rad is isomorphic to \mathcal{R} ; thus, from the fact that R is a complete lattice [4] it follows that Rad is a complete lattice as well.

Let $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a nonempty subclass of \mathcal{R} . For each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ we put

$$\sigma_1(G) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \sigma_i(G), \quad \sigma_2(G) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i(G).$$

Then in the lattice \mathcal{R} we have $\sigma_1 = \bigvee_{i \in I} \sigma_i$, $\sigma_2 = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i$. For each $\tau \in R$ the relation

$$(2) \quad \tau \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} \sigma_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (\tau \wedge \sigma_i)$$

is valid. (Cf. [4].) From (2) it follows that \mathcal{R} is distributive.

In view of (1), the identity analogous to (2) is valid for the lattice Rad. It is easy to verify that if $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a nonempty subclass of Rad, then $\bigwedge_{i \in I} C_i = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$.

Let A be a subclass of Rad. The intersection of all torsion classes B with $A \subseteq B$ will be said to be the torsion class generated by A and it will be denoted by $T(A)$. For each $G \in \mathcal{G}$ we denote $T(\{G\}) = G^\wedge$.

2. THE LATTICE ORDERED GROUP H

In this section it will be shown that the relation analogous to the dual of (2) does not hold in general in the lattice Rad.

Let A be a nonempty class of lattice ordered groups. Suppose that A is closed with respect to isomorphisms. Let us denote by

$S_c(A)$ – the class of all lattice ordered groups H' such that H' is a convex l -subgroup of some lattice ordered group belonging to A ;

$H(A)$ – the class of all homomorphic images of lattice ordered groups belonging to A ;

$l(A)$ – the class of all lattice ordered groups H' that can be expressed as $H' = \bigcup_{i \in I} H_i$, where $\{H_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(H)$ and the system $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ (partially ordered by inclusion) is a chain;

$u(A)$ – the class of all lattice ordered groups H' that can be written as $H' = \bigvee_{i \in I} H_i$, where $\{H_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(H) \cap A$.

2.1. Proposition. (Cf. [3], 2.4.) *Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a class of lattice ordered groups. Then $T(A) = u(H(S_c(A)))$.*

2.2. Proposition. (Cf. [3], Thm. 2.6.) *Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a class of linearly ordered groups. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(α) $G \in T(A)$,

(β) G can be expressed as $G = \sum_{j \in J} G_j$, where each G_j belongs to $l(H(S_c(A)))$.

Let N^0 and Q be the additive group of all integers or of all rationals, respectively (under the natural linear order). Let N be the set of all positive integers and for each $n \in N$ let G_n be an l -subgroup of Q such that (i) G_n fails to be isomorphic to G_m whenever n and m are distinct positive integers, (ii) $1 \in G_n$ for each $n \in N$, and (iii) $G_1 = N^0$. (Such a system $\{G_n\}_{n \in N}$ obviously does exist.) Put $G_0 = \prod_{n \in N} G_n$. Let $g_0 \in G_0$ with $g_0(n) = 1$ for each $n \in N$. Further, let H be the subgroup of the group G_0 generated by the set $\{g_0\} \cup (\sum_{n \in N} G_n)$. Under the induced partial order, H is an l -subgroup of G_0 .

For $n \in N$ let $B_n = \{g \in H : g(m) = 0 \text{ for each } m \leq n\}$. Put $A_0 = \sum_{n \in N} G_n$. Then A_0 and B_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are convex l -subgroups of H . For each $n \in N$ we have $A_0 \vee B_n = H$. This implies

$$A_0^\wedge \vee B_n^\wedge = H^\wedge \quad \text{for each } n \in N,$$

hence

$$(3) \quad \bigwedge_{n \in N} (A_0^\wedge \vee B_n^\wedge) = H^\wedge.$$

Let $n \in N$ be fixed. Put $N_n = \{m \in N : m > n\}$, $\{B_n\} = A$. The lattice ordered group B_n is a direct factor of H ; let $g_n = g_n[B_n]$ be the component of g_0 in B_n (thus $g_n(k) = 1$ for $k > n$ and $g_n(k) = 0$ otherwise). Then g_n is a strong unit of B_n . For a convex l -subgroup X of B_n we put

$$N(X) = \{k \in N : \text{there is } x \in X \text{ with } x(k) \neq 0\}.$$

From the definition of B_n we immediately infer:

2.3. Lemma. *Let $X \in c(B_n)$. If $g_n \in X$, then $X = B_n$. If $g_n \notin X$, then $X = \sum_{m \in N(X)} G_m$. (If $M \neq \emptyset$, then $\sum_{m \in M} G_m$ is understood to be the zero group $\{0\}$.)*

As a consequence of 2.3 we obtain:

2.4. Lemma. Let $X \in c(B_n)$ and let K be an l -ideal in X with $X/K \neq \{0\}$. Then one of the following possibilities holds: (i) X/K is isomorphic with the subgroup of H generated by the set $\{g_n\} \cup (\sum_{m \in N(X) \setminus N(K)} G_m)$ (under the induced partial order), or (ii) X/K is isomorphic with $\sum_{m \in N(X) \setminus N(K)} G_m$.

2.4.1. Remark. If we put $X = B_n$, $K = \sum_{m \in N_n} G_m$, then X/K is isomorphic to G_1 .

2.5. Corollary. Let $\{0\} \neq Y \in H(S_c(A))$. Assume that Y fails to be isomorphic with G_1 . Then (i) there exist $Y' \in c(Y)$ and $m \in N_n$ such that Y' is isomorphic with G_m , and (ii) if $k \in N \setminus N_n$, $k > 1$, then no convex l -subgroup of Y is isomorphic with G_k .

2.6. Lemma. Let $Z \in u(H(S_c(A)))$. Assume that Z is not isomorphic with G_1 . There exists $Z' \in c(Z)$ and $m \in N_n$ such that Z' is isomorphic to G_m . If $k \in N$, $1 < k \leq n$, then no convex l -subgroup of Z is isomorphic with G_k .

Proof. The first assertion immediately follows from 2.5. Let $1 < k \in N$, $k \leq n$ and suppose that Z' is a convex l -subgroup of Z isomorphic with G_k . There exists a set $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(Z) \cap H(S_c(A))$ with $Z = \bigvee_{i \in I} Y_i$. Hence

$$Z' = Z' \wedge Z = \bigvee_{i \in I} (Z' \wedge Y_i).$$

Thus there is $i \in I$ such that $Z' = Z' \wedge Y_i$ and therefore $Z' \in c(Y_i)$, which contradicts 2.5 (ii).

2.7. Lemma. $\bigwedge_{k \in N} B_k^\wedge = \{\{0\}\} \cup G_1^\wedge$.

Proof. Clearly $\{0\} \in \bigwedge_{k \in N} B_k^\wedge$. From 2.4.1 it follows that $G_1 \in B_k^\wedge$ for each $k \in N$. Assume that there exists $Z \in \bigwedge_{k \in N} B_k^\wedge = \bigcap_{k \in N} B_n^\wedge$ such that $Z \neq \{0\}$ and $Z \notin G_1^\wedge$. Then Z is not isomorphic with G_1 . Choose $n \in N$. In view of 2.1 and 2.6 (i) there is $m \in N$ with $m > n$ such that G_m is isomorphic with some $Z' \in c(Z)$. Because of $Z \in B_m^\wedge$ we have a contradiction with 2.6 (ii).

2.8. Lemma. Let $\{0\} \neq G \in \mathcal{G}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $G \in A_0^\wedge$; (ii) G can be expressed as a direct sum of lattice ordered groups G^j ($j \in J$) such that for each $j \in J$, G^j is isomorphic to some G_n with $n \in N$.

This follows from 2.2 and from the fact that for each $n \in N$, G_n has only trivial convex l -subgroups.

2.9. Corollary. $\bigwedge_{n \in N} (A_0^\wedge \vee B_n^\wedge) \neq A_0^\wedge \vee (\bigwedge_{n \in N} B_n^\wedge)$.

Proof. In view of 2.7 and 2.8 we have $A_0^\wedge \vee (\bigwedge_{n \in N} B_n^\wedge) = A_0^\wedge$; moreover, 2.8 implies that H does not belong to A_0^\wedge . Now it suffices to apply (3).

As a consequence of 2.9 we infer:

2.10. Proposition. The lattice Rad (and hence also the lattice \mathcal{R}) fails to be infinitely distributive.

Since complete distributivity (cf. § 3 below) implies infinite distributivity, we have

2.11. Corollary. *The lattices Rad and \mathcal{R} fail to be completely distributive.*

3. HIGHER DEGREES OF DISTRIBUTIVITY

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. We can consider the following conditions for the interval $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ of \mathcal{R} :

(2') If $\tau \in [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ and if $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a subclass of $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$, then

(*) $\tau \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} (\tau \vee \sigma_i)$.

(2'') If $\tau \in [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ and if $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a set, $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq [\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$, then the relation (*) is valid.

If σ_1 covers σ_2 , then obviously (2') holds. (The set of prime intervals in \mathcal{R} is infinite; cf. e.g. [3], Propos .4.4.)

3.1. Lemma. *Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathcal{R}$, $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$. The conditions (2') and (2'') are equivalent.*

Proof. We obviously have (2') \Rightarrow (2''). Assume that (2') fails to hold. Hence there is a subclass $\{\tau\} \cup \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ such that (*) does not hold. Thus there exists $G \in \mathcal{G}$ with

$$(\tau \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i))(G) \subset (\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\tau \vee \sigma_i))(G).$$

There is a set $J \subseteq I$ such that

$$(\tau \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in I} \sigma_i))(G) = (\tau \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in J} \sigma_i))(G),$$

$$(\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\tau \vee \sigma_i))(G) = (\bigwedge_{i \in J} (\tau \vee \sigma_i))(G).$$

Therefore

$$\tau \vee (\bigwedge_{i \in J} \sigma_i) \neq \bigwedge_{i \in J} (\tau \vee \sigma_i),$$

which implies that (2'') does not hold.

The interval $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ is called completely distributive, if, whenever $\{\sigma_{s,t}\}_{s \in S, t \in T}$ is a subclass of $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$, then

$$(4) \quad \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee_{t \in T} \sigma_{st} = \bigvee_{\varphi \in T^S} \bigwedge_{s \in S} \sigma_{s, \varphi(s)}$$

holds and also the relation dual to (4) is valid.

By analogous reasoning as in the proof of 3.1 we can verify that $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ is completely distributive if and only if the above condition is valid for the case when S and T are sets.

Let α be an finite cardinal. If the above condition is fulfilled whenever S and T are sets with $\text{card } S \leq \alpha$, $\text{card } T \leq \alpha$, then $[\sigma_1, \sigma_2]$ is called α -distributive.

Let \mathcal{R}_d , \mathcal{R}_∞ and \mathcal{R}_α be the class of all torsion radicals σ such that the interval $[\bar{0}, \sigma]$ is completely distributive, infinitely distributive or α -distributive, respectively.

3.2. Proposition. *Let $\beta \in \{d, D, \alpha\}$. Then \mathcal{R}_β possesses the greatest element.*

Proof. Let $\beta = d$, $\mathcal{R}_d = \{\sigma_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\sigma_d = \bigvee_{i \in I} \sigma_i$. We have to verify that σ_d belongs to \mathcal{R}_d . By way of contradiction, assume that $[\bar{0}, \sigma_d]$ fails to be completely distributive. Hence there are sets S, T and torsion radicals $\sigma_{s,t} (s \in S, t \in T)$ belonging to $[\bar{0}, \sigma_d]$ such that either the relation (4) or the relation dual to (4) fails to hold. Assume that (4) does not hold (the dual case is analogous). Therefore,

$$(5) \quad \sigma_u = \bigvee_{\varphi \in TS} \bigwedge_{s \in S} \sigma_{s, \varphi(s)} < \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee_{t \in T} \sigma_{st} = \sigma_v.$$

Clearly $\sigma_u, \sigma_v \in [\bar{0}, \sigma_d]$, hence according to (2) we have

$$(6) \quad \sigma_u = \sigma_u \wedge \sigma_d = \bigvee_{i \in I} (\sigma_u \wedge \sigma_i), \quad \sigma_v = \sigma_v \wedge \sigma_d = \bigvee_{i \in I} (\sigma_v \wedge \sigma_i).$$

Next we infer from (5) (in view of (2)) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_u \wedge \sigma_i &= \bigvee_{\varphi \in TS} \bigwedge_{s \in S} (\sigma_{s, \varphi(s)} \wedge \sigma_i), \\ \sigma_v \wedge \sigma_i &= \bigwedge_{s \in S} \bigvee_{t \in T} (\sigma_{st} \wedge \sigma_i). \end{aligned}$$

Because $[\bar{0}, \sigma_i]$ is completely distributive, we have $\sigma_u \wedge \sigma_i = \sigma_v \wedge \sigma_i$ for each $i \in I$, whence with respect to (6) we infer that $\sigma_u = \sigma_v$, which contradicts (5). The proofs for $\beta = D$ and $\beta = \alpha$ are analogous.

Clearly $\mathcal{R}_d \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{R}_D$ is valid for each infinite cardinal α . Next, each two-element lattice is completely distributive. According to Proposition 4.4 in [3] the class of all torsion radicals covering $\bar{0}$ is infinite. Hence $\mathcal{R}_d, \mathcal{R}_\alpha$ and \mathcal{R}_D are infinite classes.

4. TORSION CLASSES GENERATED BY LINEARLY ORDERED GROUPS

A torsion class A is said to be *generated by linearly ordered groups* if there exists a class $X \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $A = T(X)$ and each lattice ordered group belonging to X is linearly ordered. We also say that the torsion radical $C^0(A)$ is *generated by linearly ordered groups*.

In this section it will be shown that if $\{\sigma_{ts}\}_{t \in T, s \in S}$ are torsion radicals generated by linearly ordered groups, then the relation (4) and the relation dual to (4) are valid. In other words this can be expressed as follows: Let X_0 be the class of all linearly ordered groups; then $C^0(T(X_0)) \in \mathcal{R}_d$.

For each class $B \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ we denote $L(B) = B \cap X_0$.

Let $C_{ts} (t \in T, s \in S)$ be torsion classes.

$$\mathbf{4.1. Lemma.} \quad \bigcap_{t \in T} l(\bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})) \subseteq l(\bigcup_{\varphi \in S^T} (\bigcap_{t \in T} L(C_{t, \varphi(t)}))).$$

Proof. Denote

$$A = \bigcap_{t \in T} l(\bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})), \quad B = l(\bigcup_{\varphi \in S^T} (\bigcap_{t \in T} L(C_{t, \varphi(t)}))).$$

Then

$$(7) \quad B = l(\bigcap_{t \in T} \bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})).$$

Let $R \in A$. For each $t \in T$ there exist linearly ordered groups R_{tj} ($j \in J_t$) belonging to $\bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})$ such that

$$(8) \quad R = \bigcup R_{tj} \quad (j \in J_t).$$

Now we distinguish two cases.

a) Assume that there exists $t \in T$ such that $R_{tj} \neq R$ for each $j \in J_t$. Let $t' \in T$, $j \in J_{t'}$. From

$$R = \bigcup R_{t'j'} \quad (j' \in J_{t'})$$

it follows that there exists $j' \in J_{t'}$ with $R_{tj} \subseteq R_{t'j'}$, whence $R_{tj} \in \bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{t's})$. Therefore in view of (7) and (8) we infer that R belongs to B .

b) Assume that there exists no $t \in T$ fulfilling $R_{tj} \neq R$ for each $j \in J_t$. Hence $R \in \bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})$ holds for each $t \in T$. This together with (7) implies $R \in B$.

4.2. Lemma. Let C_t ($t \in T$) be torsion classes generated by linearly ordered groups. Then $L(\bigwedge_{t \in T} C_t) = \bigcap_{t \in T} L(C_t)$ and $L(\bigvee_{t \in T} C_t) = l(\bigcup_{t \in T} L(C_t))$.

Proof. The first assertion is a consequence of $\bigwedge_{t \in T} C_t = \bigcap_{t \in T} C_t$. The second assertion follows from 2.2 and from the fact that each linearly ordered group is directly indecomposable.

4.3. Lemma. Let C_{ts} ($t \in T, s \in S$) be torsion classes generated by linearly ordered groups. Then $\bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} C_{ts} = \bigvee_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigwedge_{t \in T} C_{t, \varphi(t)}$.

Proof. Denote $A_1 = \bigwedge_{t \in T} \bigvee_{s \in S} C_{ts}$, $B_1 = \bigvee_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigwedge_{t \in T} C_{t, \varphi(t)}$. We obviously have $B_1 \subseteq A_1$. Further, both A_1 and B_1 are generated by linearly ordered groups. Hence for proving that $A_1 \subseteq B_1$ holds it suffices to verify that $L(A_1) \subseteq L(B_1)$ is valid. Let A and B be as above. According to 4.2, $L(A_1) = A$ and $L(B_1) = B$. Thus in view of 4.1 we infer that $L(A_1) \subseteq L(B_1)$ is valid.

From 4.1, 4.3 and (7) we immediately obtain:

4.4. Lemma. Let C_{ts} ($t \in T, s \in S$) be torsion classes generated by linearly ordered groups. Then $\bigcap_{t \in T} l(\bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})) = l(\bigcap_{t \in T} \bigcup_{s \in S} L(C_{ts}))$.

4.5. Lemma. Let C_{ts} ($t \in T, s \in S$) be torsion classes generated by linearly ordered groups. Then $\bigvee_{t \in T} \bigwedge_{s \in S} C_{ts} = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigvee_{t \in T} C_{t, \varphi(t)}$.

Proof. Denote $A_1 = \bigvee_{t \in T} \bigwedge_{s \in S} C_{ts}$, $B_1 = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigvee_{t \in T} C_{t, \varphi(t)}$. Since A_1 and B_1 are generated by linearly ordered groups, it suffices to verify that $L(B_1) = L(A_1)$

holds. According to 4.2,

$$L(A_1) = l(\bigcup_{t \in T} \bigcap_{s \in S} L(C_{ts})) = l(\bigcap_{\varphi \in S^T} \bigcup_{t \in T} L(C_{t, \varphi(t)})),$$
$$L(B_1) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in S^T} l(\bigcup_{t \in T} L(C_{t, \varphi(t)})).$$

Therefore in view of 4.4 we obtain $L(A_1) = L(B_1)$.

Because of the isomorphism between Rad and \mathcal{R} we infer from 4.3 and 4.5:

4.6. Theorem. *Let X_0 be the class of all linearly ordered groups, $\sigma_c = C^0(T(X_0))$. Then the interval $[\bar{0}, \sigma_c]$ of R is completely distributive.*

From 2.2 it follows that $[\bar{0}, \sigma_c]$ is a proper class. Therefore we have:

4.7. Corollary. *Let $\beta \in \{d, \alpha, D\}$. Then \mathcal{R}_β is a proper class.*

References

- [1] *P. Conrad*: Lattice ordered groups, Tulane University, 1970.
- [2] *Л. Фукс*: Частично упорядоченные алгебраические системы, Москва 1965.
- [3] *J. Jakubik*: Torsion radicals of lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 32 (1982), 347–363.
- [4] *J. Martinez*: Torsion theory for lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 284–299.
- [5] *J. Martinez*: Is the lattice of torsion classes algebraic? Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1977), 9–14.
- [6] *J. Martinez*: Prime selectors in lattice ordered groups. Czech. Math. J. 31 (1981), 206–217.

Author's address: 040 01 Košice, Švermova 9, ČSSR (Vysoká škola technická).