

Bernd Kummer

A simple proof of the minimax-theorem

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 32 (1982), No. 4, 627–629

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101839>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE MINIMAX-THEOREM

BERND KUMMER, Berlin

(Received March 23, 1981)

As it is well-known (see e.g. the references [1], ..., [5]) the Minimax-Theorem can be verified by using the Kakutani-fixed-point-Theorem or by applying the duality theory of convex optimization. This paper presents a simpler proof based in the main part on induction and on the continuity of the solutions of some parametric optimization problems. Further the Weierstrass Theorem related to the minimum of a continuous function is applied.

Theorem. *Let A and B be convex, compact and non-empty subsets of the Euclidean space E_n and let $f: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function which is convex on A for each fixed b in B and concave on B for each fixed a in A . Then the function f has at least one saddle point, i.e. a point (a, b) in $A \times B$ satisfying*

$$(1) \quad f(a, y) \leq f(a, b) \leq f(x, b)$$

for all x in A and y in B .

Proof. At first let us additionally assume that the function f is strongly convex-concave. Then we find that at most one saddle point exists and the functions $a(t)$, $b(t)$ and $x(t)$ defined below will be single-valued.

Obviously, the Theorem holds if the sum

$$d = \dim A + \dim B$$

is equal to zero. Now we consider the case $d = k$ and suppose the Theorem to be true for $d < k$. One of the sets A and B , say A , then contains more than one point and there is an element c in E_n such that A is not included in any affine half-space

$$C_t = \{x \mid x \in E_n, (c, x) = t\} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Setting

$$A_t = A \cap C_t \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{t \mid A_t \neq \emptyset\}$$

we observe that T is a closed interval $[t_*, t^*]$ and that

$$\dim A_t < \dim A$$

for all t in T . By applying the theorem to A_t and B there is exactly one point $(a(t), b(t))$ in $A_t \times B$ satisfying

$$(1)_t \quad f(a(t), y) \leq f(a(t), b(t)) \leq f(x, b(t))$$

for all $x \in A_t, y \in B$. Further, the points $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ continuously depend on t (see the remark) and this is also true for the points $x(t)$ which minimize the function $f(\cdot, b(t))$ on A .

If the inequality

$$(2) \quad f(a(t), b(t)) \leq f(x(t), b(t))$$

holds for some t in T then the point $(a(t), b(t))$ fulfils (1) and the proof (under our additional assumption) is complete. In the other case, however, one concludes from (1),

$$x(t) \in A \setminus A_t \quad \text{and} \quad (c, x(t)) \neq t$$

for all t in T . For the continuous function

$$h(t) = t - (c, x(t))$$

we thus obtain $h(t_*) < 0$ and $h(t^*) > 0$, and consequently a point t_0 in T exists such that $h(t_0) = 0$. That means $x(t_0) \in A_{t_0}$ and leads to a contradiction.

Hence the inequality (2) holds for some t in T and the Theorem is true for strongly convex-concave f .

In order to complete the proof for the full Theorem we introduce (for $\varepsilon > 0$) the strongly convex-concave function

$$f_\varepsilon(x, y) = f(x, y) + \varepsilon \|x\|^2 - \varepsilon \|y\|^2$$

where the Euclidean norm is taken. Since for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a saddle point $(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon)$ exists with respect to f_ε we find a saddle point for f as a cluster point of any sequence $\{(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$.

Remark. The continuity of the functions $a(t), b(t)$ and $x(t)$ considered above as well as the fact that any cluster point of the sequence $\{(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon)\}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ fulfils (1) follows from well-known stability results for parametric optimization problems. For completeness and convenience we add the following Lemma whose proof also shows that the continuity-properties can be verified without great investigations.

Lemma. *Let A, B and f as in the Theorem, let $g : A \times B \rightarrow R$ be continuous and c, d in E_n be arbitrary points. For $t \in R$ we form*

$$A_t = \{x \mid x \in A, (c, x) = t\}, \quad B_t = \{y \mid y \in B, (d, y) = t\}$$

and for $\varepsilon \in R$ we define $F_\varepsilon(x, y) = f(x, y) + \varepsilon \cdot g(x, y)$. Then, the set M of all points (a, b, ε, t) such that (a, b) is a saddle point of F_ε with respect to $A_t \times B_t$ is closed in E_{2n+2} .

Proof. Since A and B are compact it suffices to show that for any (a, b, ε, t) in $(A_t \times B_t \times E_2) \setminus M$ there is a neighbourhood N that does not meet M . Since the saddle point condition is not satisfied there is an $x \in A_t$ (or a corresponding point $y \in B_t$) such that

$$F_\varepsilon(x, b) < F_\varepsilon(a, b).$$

By the continuity-assumptions there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that if $\max\{|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|, \|x' - x\|, \|a' - a\|, \|b' - b\|\} < \delta$ we obtain

$$(3) \quad F_{\varepsilon'}(x', b') < F_{\varepsilon'}(a', b').$$

Now we choose, if they exist, points x^+ and x^- in A with

$$(c, x^+) > t, \quad (c, x^-) < t$$

and, if one does not exist, we put the corresponding point x^+ or x^- equal to x . For sufficiently small $|t' - t|$ then either $A_{t'} = \emptyset$ holds or one of the line segments $[x, x^+]$, $[x, x^-]$ meets $A_{t'}$ where the common point $x_{t'}$ converges to x as $t' \rightarrow t$. Hence, we have either $A_{t'} = \emptyset$ or

$$A_{t'} \cap \{x' \mid \|x' - x\| < \delta\} \neq \emptyset$$

if $|t' - t|$ is small enough, say less than δ' .

Thus we obtain from (3) that M does not meet the set

$$N = \{(a', b', \varepsilon', t') \mid |t' - t| < \delta', \max\{\|a' - a\|, \|b' - b\|, |\varepsilon' - \varepsilon|\} < \delta\}$$

and the Lemma is verified.

References

- [1] *C. Berge*: Théorie générale des jeux à n personnes. Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1957.
- [2] *A. J. Jones*: Game Theory: Mathematical Models of Conflict. John Wiley, New York 1980.
- [3] *G. Owen*: Game Theory. W. B. Saunders Comp., Philadelphia, London, Toronto 1968.
- [4] *B. Rauhut, N. Schmitz, E.-W. Zachow*: Spieltheorie. Teubner Studienbücher Mathematik, Stuttgart 1979.
- [5] *R. T. Rockafellar*: Convex Analysis. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ 1970.

Author's address: Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Sektion Mathematik, GDR, 1086 Berlin, PSF 1297.