

Svatopluk Poljak; Vojtěch Rödl

On classes of graphs determined by forbidden subgraphs

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 1, 27–33

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101851>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## ON CLASSES OF GRAPHS DETERMINED BY FORBIDDEN SUBGRAPHS

SVATOPLUK POLJAK and VOJTĚCH RÖDL, Praha

(Received February 18, 1980)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most frequent ways of defining a class of graphs is by means of forbidden subgraphs. (For a survey see [2]). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a set of graphs. We say that  $\mathcal{G}$  is determined by a set  $\mathcal{H}$  of forbidden subgraphs if  $\mathcal{G} = \{G = (V, E) \mid |V| = n \text{ and } G \text{ does not contain any } H \in \mathcal{H} \text{ as an induced subgraph}\}$ . We can measure the complexity of a class  $\mathcal{G}$  by minimum number  $k$  with the property:  $\mathcal{G}$  is determined by a set  $\mathcal{H}$  of forbidden graphs with at most  $k$  vertices. It appears that, for  $n$  large, it is not possible to divide all graphs with  $n$  vertices into two classes of small complexity. We give a quantitative expression of this fact in § 4.

In § 3 we study the following related question. What is the minimum number  $\varphi_n(k)$  of graphs with  $k$  vertices so that every graph with  $n$  vertices contains at least one of them as an induced subgraph? (A set of graphs with this property is called *n-universal*.) This problem generalizes in a way the Ramsey numbers as  $\varphi_n(k) = 2$  if  $n$  is so large that any graph with  $n$  vertices contains either a clique or an independent set of cardinality  $k$ .

## 2. BASIC NOTIONS

Let  $G$  be a graph, we shall denote by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  the vertex and edge set, respectively.

We say that  $H$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$  if  $V(H)$  is a subset of  $V(G)$  and  $E(H)$  is equal to the set  $E(G)$  restricted to  $V(H)$  (i.e.  $E(H) = E(G) \cap [V(H)]^2$ ). Note that all subgraphs considered in this paper are induced.

By the symbol  $\text{Gra}^n$  we denote the set of all graphs with  $n$  vertices without loops. We define  $\text{Gra} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Gra}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a system of graphs. We define  $\text{Forb } \mathcal{H}$  as the class of all graphs not containing a subgraph isomorphic to  $H$  for any  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . Put  $\text{Forb}^n = \text{Gra}^n \cap \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a given set of graphs. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{H}$  with

Forb  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$  need not exist. On the other hand if  $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Gra}^n$  then obviously for  $\mathcal{H} = \text{Gra}^n - \mathcal{G}$  we have  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}$ : thus the following question arises. What is the minimal  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \subset \text{Gra}^k$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}$ ? The set  $\mathcal{G}$  has in some sense a "simple structure" if the  $k$  with the above property is small – in this case we can recognize for a given graph  $G \in \text{Gra}^n$  whether  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  in short time. Let  $G \in \text{Forb}^n$ . Then obviously every graph from  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Gra}^n - \mathcal{G}$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . In this case we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $n$ -universal for  $\mathcal{U}$ . This fact we denote by  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Univ}^n \mathcal{H}$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \text{Gra}^n$  we say that  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $n$ -universal.

We shall conclude this section with one definition which will be often used in our paper: Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two graphs and  $H$  be an induced subgraph of both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . We say that a graph  $F$  is an amalgamation of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  if  $|V(F)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)| - |V(H)|$  and  $F$  contains (as induced subgraphs) copies of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  the intersection of which is isomorphic to  $H$ .

### 3. $n$ -UNIVERSAL GRAPHS

Denote by  $\varphi_n(k) = \min \{|\mathcal{H}|; \mathcal{H} \subset \text{Gra}^k \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is } n\text{-universal}\}$ . In this section we shall give some bounds for the behavior of the function  $\varphi_n(k)$ . The problem of determination of values of  $\varphi_n(k)$  includes the problem of determination of Ramsey numbers as the following holds:

#### 3.1. Proposition.

- $\alpha)$   $n^{(k)} = 1$  for  $k = 1$
- $\beta)$   $n^{(k)} = 2$  for  $2 \leq k \leq r(n)$
- $\gamma)$   $n^{(k)} > 2$  for  $k > r(n)$ ,

where  $r(n)$  is the maximal  $k$  such that every graph with  $n$  vertices contains either the complete graph with  $k$  vertices  $K_k$  or a discrete graph with  $k$  vertices  $\mathcal{O}_k$  as an induced subgraph.

For the proof it is sufficient to realize that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $n$ -universal then both  $K_k$  and  $\mathcal{O}_k$  are contained in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

The bounds for the number  $r(n)$  are given by the following

#### 3.2. Proposition. (See [1], § 12.)

$$\frac{1}{2} \log_2 n < r(n) < 2 \log_2 n .$$

Let us note that the slight improvements of the above bounds are known (see [5], [4]). As we are able to give rough bounds for the quantities studied in our paper only, the restrictions given by Proposition 3.2. are sufficiently exact for our purposes.

**3.3. Theorem.**

$$A) \frac{2^{\binom{k}{2}}}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n}{k}} \leq \varphi_n(k) \text{ for every } n \text{ and } k \leq n.$$

Moreover, if  $k \geq r(n)$ , then

$$B) \varphi_n(k) < \frac{2^{2k}}{2n} \text{ for } \frac{1}{2} \log_2 n < k \leq \log_2 n,$$

$$C) \varphi_n(k) < 2^{\binom{k}{2}} \binom{n}{2k}^{-k/2} \text{ for } \log_2 n < k < n/2; \quad k \geq 4,$$

$$D) \varphi_n(k) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \left( k - \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil \right) \text{ for } k \geq n/2,$$

where  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the upper integer part of the number  $x$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the inequality A). Without loss of generality suppose that  $\text{Gra}^n = \{G; V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ . Let  $\varphi_n(k) = p$ ; hence there exists  $\mathcal{H} \subset \text{Gra}^k$  such that  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_p\}$  is  $n$ -universal.

For an arbitrary  $H \in \text{Gra}^k$  we have

$$|\{G \in \text{Gra}^n; H \text{ is isomorphic to a subgraph of } G\}| \leq k! \cdot \binom{n}{k} \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{k}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$2^{\binom{n}{2}} = |\{G \in \text{Gra}^n \mid \exists i: H_i \text{ isomorphic to a subgraph of } G\}| \leq p \cdot k! \cdot \binom{n}{k} \frac{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}{2^{\binom{k}{2}}}$$

$$\text{and hence } \varphi_n(k) \geq 2^{\binom{k}{2}} / \left( k! \cdot \binom{n}{k} \right).$$

Before proving the inequalities B), C), D) choose in every  $G \in \text{Gra}^n$  a fixed sequence of vertices  $x_1^G, x_2^G, \dots, x_{t+1}^G$ , where  $t = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ , and a sequence of independent sets  $X = X_1^G \supset X_2^G \supset \dots \supset X_{t+1}^G$  such that the following holds.

- i)  $x_i^G \in X_i^G - X_{i+1}^G, x_{t+1}^G \in X_{t+1}^G$ , for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ,
- ii)  $E_i^G \subset E(G)$  or  $E_i^G \cap E(G) = \emptyset$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$  and  $E_i^G = \{(x_i^G, y), y \in X_{i+1}^G\}$ .

Now we prove the inequality B). Define the set of sequences  $\mathcal{P} \subset \{0, 1\}^{k-1}$  by

$$p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{P} \text{ iff either } p_i = 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, t - k + 2 \\ \text{or } p_i = 1 \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, t - k + 2.$$

As  $\sum_{i=1}^t p_i = (2(t - k + 2) - 1) + ((k - 1) - (t - k + 2))$ , for every  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t) \in \{0, 1\}^t$  we can choose  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-1}$  such that  $p = (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_{k-1}}) \in \mathcal{P}$ .

For every sequence  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we define the graph  $H_p$  with the vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  such that for  $i < j$

$$\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(H_p) \text{ iff } p_i = 1.$$

Put  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_p; p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ . For a given graph  $G \in \text{Gra}^n$  we define a 0,1-sequence  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t)$  by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } E_i^G \subset E(G) \\ 0 & \text{for } E_i^G \cap E(G) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Choose  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p$  is a subsequence of  $S$ . Clearly  $H_p$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Hence

$$|\mathcal{H}| = 2 \cdot 2^{(k-1)-(t-k+2)} = \frac{2^{2k}}{4 \cdot 2^t} < \frac{2^{2k}}{n}.$$

C) Let  $t_0$  be the largest positive integer such that  $n \geq k \cdot 2^{t_0}$ . Define the set  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows:

$$H = (V, E) \in \mathcal{H} \text{ iff } V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{t_0}, v_{t_0+1}, \dots, v_k\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{for every } i = 1, \dots, t_0 \text{ and } E_i = \{\{v_i, v_j\}; i < j \leq k\}$$

$$\text{either } E_i \cap E = \emptyset \text{ or } E_i \subset E.$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is universal for  $\text{Gra}^n$  as every subgraph induced on vertices  $x_1^G, x_2^G, \dots, x_{t_0}^G, y_{t_0+1}, \dots, y_k$  where  $\{y_{t_0+1}, \dots, y_k\} \subset X_{t_0}$  is isomorphic to some  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Estimate the cardinality of

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq 2^{t_0} 2^{\binom{k-t_0}{2}} = \frac{2^{\binom{k}{2}}}{2^{t_0(k-(t_0+3)/2)}} < \frac{2^{\binom{k}{2}}}{\left(\frac{n}{2k}\right)^{k/2}} \text{ for } k \geq 4$$

as  $t_0 + 3 \leq \log_2(8n/k)$  and for  $k \geq 4$  also  $\log_2(8n/k) \leq \log_2 n + 1$ .

D) Define  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows:

$$H = (V, E) \in \mathcal{H} \text{ iff } V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} \text{ and there exists } d,$$

$$k-1 \geq d \geq \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil \text{ such that for } E_1 = \{\{v_i, v_j\}, 2 \leq i \leq d\}$$

$$\text{either } E_1 \cap E = \emptyset \text{ or } E_1 \subset E.$$

As in the previous case it is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{H}$  is universal and

$$|\mathcal{H}| \leq 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{k-1}{2}} \cdot (k - \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil).$$

#### 4. CUTS

A pair  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  of nonempty sets of graphs is called a cut if  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Gra}^n$  for some  $n$ , and moreover  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_2 = \emptyset$ . In this section we study the following question. Let  $k, l$  be such that there exist  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \text{Gra}^k, \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \text{Gra}^l$  such that the sets  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}_2$  form a cut. What is the relation among  $n, k$  and  $l$ ? For  $n \geq 2$  obviously both  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  are nonempty and thus also  $k \geq 2$  and  $l \geq 2$ . Choose an  $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $H_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and consider the disjoint sum  $H_1 + H_2$ . The cardinality of the vertex set of the graph  $H_1 + H_2$  is at least  $n + 1$ . In the opposite case the graph  $H_1 + H_2$  would be a subgraph of a graph  $F$  with  $n$  vertices and hence  $F \notin \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \text{Forb}^n \mathcal{H}_2$ . Thus we have proved that  $k + l > n$ .

If we replace in the above argument the disjoint sum  $H_1 + H_2$  by a graph which is an amalgamation of graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  in a vertex (one-point amalgamation) we prove the following.

#### 4.1. Proposition.

$$k + l > n + 1.$$

In this section we find some refinements of the above statement. More precisely, for given  $n, k$  ( $k < n$ ) we define  $\psi(k, n)$  as the minimum  $l$  such that there exists a cut  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  with the above properties. We give some estimation for the function  $\psi(k, n)$ .

**4.2. Theorem.** *Let  $n \geq 2, k \geq 2$ . Then*

- A)  $\psi(n - k, n) \leq 2k + 2$ ;  
 B)  $\psi(n - k, n) > k + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 \xi$ , where  $\xi = \min(k, n - k)$ ,  
 if

$$(1) \quad n \geq k \frac{k + (\log_2 k)/2}{k - (\log_2 k)^2}.$$

*Proof.* First we prove the inequality A). Put

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{Forb} \{ \emptyset_{n-k} \}, \quad \mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Forb} \{ H \in \text{Gra}^{2k+2} \mid \beta(H) \geq k + 1 \},$$

where  $\beta(H) = \min \{ |A| \mid A \subset V(H) \text{ and } e \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for every } e \in E(H) \}$ . We prove now that  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Gra}^n$ . Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}_1$ , i.e.  $G$  contains  $\emptyset_{n-k}$  and hence  $\beta(G) \leq k$ . Thus  $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ .

The proof of  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cap \mathcal{G}_2 = \emptyset$  will follow from the following

**4.3. Lemma.** *Let  $\beta(G) = p$ . Then there exists a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $|V(H)| \leq 2p$  and  $\beta(H) = p$ .*

*Proof of lemma.* Put  $G = (V, E)$ . Let  $A \subset V, |A| = p$  be such that each edge of  $G$  contains a vertex of  $A$ . Define a relation  $R \subset A \times E$  by

$$(x, e) \in R \quad \text{iff} \quad x \in e.$$

The existence of a matching  $F = \{(x_1, e_1), \dots, (x_p, e_p)\} \subset R$  of the cardinality  $p$  follows from the König-Hall Theorem [3]. The graph  $H$  induced on the set

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^p \{v_i, x_i\}$$

where  $e_i = \{v_i, x_i\}$  has the required properties.

Let now  $G \in \mathcal{G}_2$ , i.e. if  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$  which has  $2k + 2$  vertices then  $\beta(H) \leq k$ . According to Lemma 4.3,  $\beta(G) \leq k$  and hence  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{O}_{n-k}$  as a subgraph.

We prove the inequality B). Let  $n$  and  $k$  be given. Consider a cut  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  with the minimum  $l$  such that

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_1, \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \subset \text{Gra}^{n-k},$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_2, \quad \mathcal{H}_2 \subset \text{Gra}^l.$$

Moreover, let  $k$  be such that (1) holds. We shall consider three cases.

$\alpha$ ) Suppose  $K_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_n \in \mathcal{G}_2$  (the case  $\mathcal{O}_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $K_n \in \mathcal{G}_2$  is analogous as all the properties considered here are invariant with respect to complement).

We prove that

$$(2) \quad \psi(n - k, n) > k + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 k.$$

Suppose that (2) does not hold, i.e.

$$(3) \quad l \leq k + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 k.$$

From (1) and (3) we get that

$$(4) \quad k(n - k - 1) \geq n(l - k - 1)^2 + k(l - k - 1).$$

By Proposition 4.1 we have  $l - k - 1 > 0$  and hence

$$(5) \quad \frac{n - k - 1}{l - k - 1} \geq \frac{n(l - k - 1)}{k} + 1.$$

We show that we can choose positive integers  $a, b$  such that

$$(6) \quad a(l - k - 1) \leq n - k - 1$$

$$(7) \quad b(l - k - 1) < l - 1.$$

Now (5) implies the existence of a positive integer  $a$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{n - k - 1}{l - k - 1} \geq a \geq \frac{n(l - k - 1)}{k},$$

which clearly implies the inequality (6). Put  $b = \lceil n/c \rceil$ , from (8) it follows that

$$b \leq \left\lceil \frac{k}{l - k - 1} \right\rceil < \frac{k}{l - k - 1} + 1 = \frac{l - 1}{l - k - 1}.$$

Consider a partition of an  $n$ -point set  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^b X_i$  such that  $|X_i| = a$  for every  $i \leq \lfloor n/a \rfloor$  and define a complete  $b$ -partite graph  $F$  with the vertex set  $X$  such that  $x \in X_i$  and  $x' \in X_j$  are joined by an edge if  $i \neq j$ . From (6) and (7) it follows that every  $n - k$  and  $l$ -subset of  $X = V(F)$  contains  $K_{l-k}$  and  $\emptyset_{l-k}$ , respectively.

If  $F \in \mathcal{G}_1$  then  $F \notin \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_2$  and hence there exists a subgraph  $H$  of  $F$  such that  $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and thus  $H$  does not contain  $\emptyset_{l-k}$  as a subgraph. From the assumption  $\emptyset_n \in \mathcal{G}_2 = \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_2$  it follows that  $\emptyset_n \notin \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_1$  and hence  $\emptyset_{n-k} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . The amalgamation of  $H$  and  $\emptyset_{n-k}$  in  $\emptyset_{l-k}$  is a graph which contains graphs from both  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  which contradicts  $\text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \text{Forb } \mathcal{H}_2 = \text{Gra}^n$ .

Analogously if  $F \in \mathcal{G}_2$  then there exists an  $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$  such that  $K_{l-k}$  is a subgraph of  $H$ . From  $K_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$  it follows that  $K_l \in \mathcal{H}_2$  and hence there exists a graph with  $n$  vertices containing both  $K_l$  and  $G$  as subgraphs.

2) Suppose  $K_n, \emptyset_n \in \mathcal{G}_1$  and thus  $K_l, \emptyset_l \in \mathcal{H}_2$ . As  $|V(H)| = n - k$  for  $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,  $H$  contains either  $K_{r(n-k)}$  or  $\emptyset_{r(n-k)}$ . Suppose that  $l \leq k + r(n - k)$ . Fix an  $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and consider the amalgamation of  $H$  and either  $K_l$  or  $\emptyset_l$  in  $K_{r(n-k)}$  or  $\emptyset_{r(n-k)}$ , respectively. Thus we obtain a graph  $F$  with  $n - k + l - r(n - k) \leq n$  vertices, which contains either  $K_l$  or  $\emptyset_l$  and hence  $F \notin \mathcal{G}_2$ . As  $H$  is a subgraph of  $F$  we also have  $F \in \mathcal{G}_2$  — a contradiction. Thus we proved  $l > k + r(n - k)$  and as  $r(m) > \frac{1}{2} \log_2 m$  for every  $m$  we also have  $l > k + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 (n - k)$ .

3) Suppose that  $K_n, \emptyset_n \in \mathcal{G}_2$  and hence  $K_{n-k}, \emptyset_{n-k} \in \mathcal{H}_1$ . Analogously to 2) the assumption  $l \leq k + r(k)$  leads to the existence of a graph of order  $n$  which is not an element of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$ , respectively. Thus  $l > k + \frac{1}{2} \log_2 k$ .

#### References

- [1] *P. Erdős, J. Spencer*: Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1974.
- [2] *D. L. Greenwell, R. L. Hemminger and J. Kleitman*: Forbidden Subgraphs, Proc. 4-th S—E Conf. Graph Th. and Computing, Florida Atlantic University (1973), 389—394.
- [3] *M. Hall Jr.*: Combinatorial Theory, Blaisdell Publ. Comp., Waltham (Massachusetts), Toronto, London 1967.
- [4] *J. Spencer*: Ramsey's Theorem — A New Lower Bound, Journal of Comb. Th. A 18 (1975), 108—115.
- [5] *J. Yackel*: Inequalities and Asymptotic Bounds for Ramsey Numbers, Journal of Comb. Th. 13 (1972), 56—58.

*Authors' addresses*: S. Poljak, 166 29 Praha 6, Thákurova 7, ČSSR (Stavební fakulta ČVUT), V. Röd1, 110 00, Praha 1, Husova 5, ČSSR (Fakulta jaderná a fyzikálně inženýrská ČVUT).