

Bohdan Zelinka

Edge-domatic number of a graph

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 1, 107–110

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101860>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EDGE-DOMATIC NUMBER OF A GRAPH

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received July 23, 1981)

With help of the concept of a dominating set, E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi [1] have defined the domatic number of a graph. Here we shall introduce the edge analogue of this concept and prove some assertions concerning it.

Let G be an undirected graph without loops and multiple edges. Two edges e_1, e_2 of G are called adjacent, if they have an end vertex in common. The degree of an edge e in G is the number of edges of G which are adjacent to e .

An independent set of edges of a graph G is a subset of the edge set of G with the property that no two edges of this set are adjacent. A set A of edges of a graph G is said to cover a set B of vertices of G , if each vertex of B is an end vertex of at least one edge of A .

An edge-dominating set [3] in G is a subset D of the edge set $E(G)$ of G with the property that for each edge $e \in E(G) - D$ there exists at least one edge $f \in D$ adjacent to e . An edge-domatic partition of G is a partition of $E(G)$, all of whose classes are edge-dominating sets in G . The maximum number of classes of an edge-domatic partition of G is called the edge-domatic number of G and denoted by $ed(G)$.

Note that the edge-domatic number of G is equal to the domatic number [1] of the line-graph of G .

First we shall determine edge-domatic numbers of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs.

Proposition 1. *Let K_n be the complete graph with n vertices, $n \geq 2$. If n is even, then $ed(K_n) = n - 1$; if n is odd, then $ed(K_n) = n$.*

Proof. Let n be even. Then it is well-known that K_n can be decomposed into $n - 1$ pairwise edge-disjoint linear factors. The edge set of each of these factors is evidently an edge-dominating set in K_n . Hence $ed(K_n) \geq n - 1$. Suppose that $ed(K_n) \geq n$. Consider an edge-domatic partition of K_n with n classes. As the number of edges of K_n is $\frac{1}{2}n(n - 1)$, the mean value of the cardinalities of these classes is $\frac{1}{2}(n - 1)$. This implies that at least one of the classes has at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(n - 1) \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}n - 1$ edges. But then this set C of edges covers at most $n = 2$ vertices. There are two vertices which are incident to no edge of C and the edge joining these vertices is adjacent to

no edge of C , which is a contradiction with the assumption that C is an edge-dominating set. We have proved that $ed(K_n) = n - 1$ for n even.

Now let n be odd. Denote the vertices of K_n by u_1, \dots, u_n . In the sequel all subscripts will be taken modulo n . For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ let E_i be the set of all edges $u_{i+j}u_{i-j+1}$, where $j = 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(n-1)$. The reader may verify himself that the sets E_1, \dots, E_n form a partition of the edge set of K_n . Each set E_i covers all vertices of K_n except one. Each edge of K_n not belonging to E_i is incident with at least one vertex covered by E_i and thus adjacent to at least one edge of E_i ; the sets E_1, \dots, E_n form a domatic partition of K_n and $ed(K_n) \geq n$. Suppose that $ed(K_n) \geq n + 1$. Then we analogously prove that there exists an edge-domatic partition of G , one of whose classes has at most $\frac{1}{2}(n-3)$ edges; this set covers at most $n-3$ vertices and it is not an edge-dominating set, which is a contradiction. Therefore $ed(K_n) = n$ for n odd.

Proposition 2. *Let $K_{m,n}$ be a complete bipartite graph. Then $ed(K_{m,n}) = \max(m, n)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality let $m \geq n$, i.e. $\max(m, n) = m$. Let $K_{m,n}$ be the bipartite graph on the vertex sets A, B such that $|A| = m, |B| = n$. Then for each $u \in A$ the set $E(u)$ of edges which are incident with u is an edge-dominating set in $K_{m,n}$; it covers all vertices of B and each edge of $K_{m,n}$ has one end vertex in B . Therefore the sets $E(u)$ for all $u \in A$ form an edge-domatic partition of $K_{m,n}$ with m classes. We have proved that $ed(K_{m,n}) \geq m$. Now suppose that $ed(K_{m,n}) \geq m + 1$ and consider an edge-domatic partition of $K_{m,n}$ with $m + 1$ classes. As $K_{m,n}$ has mn edges, there exists at least one class C of this partition which contains less than n edges. Then this set C covers neither A nor B . There exists a vertex of A and a vertex of B which are incident with no edge of C and the edge joining them is adjacent to no edge of C . The set C is not edge-dominating, which is a contradiction. Hence $ed(K_{m,n}) = m = \max(m, n)$.

Proposition 3. *Let C_n be a circuit of the length n . If n is divisible by 3, then $ed(C_n) = 3$, otherwise $ed(C_n) = 2$.*

Proof. A circuit is isomorphic to its own line-graph, therefore its edge-domatic number is equal to its domatic number and for it this assertion was proved in [1].

Now we shall prove two theorems.

Theorem 1. *For each finite undirected graph G we have*

$$\delta(G) \leq ed(G) \leq \delta_e(G) + 1,$$

where $ed(G)$ is the edge-domatic number of G , $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of a vertex of G and $\delta_e(G)$ is the minimum degree of an edge of G . These bounds cannot be improved.

Proof. The number $\delta_e(G)$ is equal to the minimum degree of a vertex of the line-graph of G . According to [1], the domatic number of this line-graph cannot be

greater than $\delta_e(G) + 1$; this domatic number is equal to the edge-domatic number of G . Hence $ed(G) \leq \delta_e(G) + 1$.

Now we shall prove that $\delta(G) \leq ed(G)$. By induction we shall prove the following assertion: If the degree of each vertex of G is greater than or equal to k (where k is an arbitrary positive integer), then there exists an edge-domatic partition of G with k classes. For $k = 1$ the assertion is true; the required partition consists of one class equal to the whole $E(G)$ which is evidently an edge-dominating set in G . Now let $k_0 \geq 2$ and suppose that the assertion is true for $k = k_0 - 1$. Consider a graph G in which the degree of each vertex is at least k_0 . Let E_0 be a maximal (with respect to the set inclusion) independent set of edges of G . This set is edge-dominating; otherwise an edge could be added to it without violating the independence, which would be a contradiction with the maximality of E_0 . Let G_0 be the graph obtained from G by deleting all edges of E_0 . Each vertex of G is incident at most with one edge of E_0 , therefore each vertex of G_0 has the degree at least $k_0 - 1$. According to the induction hypothesis there exists an edge-domatic partition \mathcal{P} of G_0 with $k_0 - 1$ classes. Then $\mathcal{P} \cup \{E_0\}$ is an edge-domatic partition of G with k_0 classes, which was to be proved. The proved assertion implies $ed(G) \geq \delta(G)$. If G is a circuit C_n and n is divisible by 3, then $ed(G) = \delta_e(G) + 1$. If G is a circuit C_n and n is not divisible by 3, then $ed(G) = \delta(G)$. (See Proposition 3.)

Theorem 2. *Let T be a tree, let $\delta_e(T)$ be the minimal degree of an edge of T . Then $ed(T) = \delta_e(T) + 1$.*

Proof. Let us have the colours $1, \dots, \delta_e(T) + 1$; we shall colour the edges of T by them. First we choose a terminal edge e_0 of T and colour it by the colour 1. Now let us have an edge e of T with the end vertices u, v ; suppose that all edges incident with v are already coloured. Moreover, if the number of these edges is less than $\delta_e(T) + 1$, we suppose that they are coloured by pairwise differed colours; in the opposite case we suppose that all colours $1, \dots, \delta_e(T) + 1$ occur among the colours of these edges. Now we shall colour the edges incident with u and distinct from e . We colour them in the following way. If there are colours by which no edge incident with v is coloured, we use all of them. (This must be always possible according to the assumption.) If the number of edges to be coloured is less than $\delta_e(T) + 1$, we colour them by pairwise distinct colours; in the opposite case we colour them by using all the colours $1, \dots, \delta_e(T) + 1$ (some of them may repeat). The result is a colouring of edges of T by the colours $1, \dots, \delta_e(T) + 1$ with the property that each edge is adjacent to edges of all colours different from its own one. If C_i for $i = 1, \dots, \delta_e(T) + 1$ is the set of all edges of T coloured by the colour i , then the sets $C_1, \dots, C_{\delta_e(T)+1}$ form an edge-domatic partition of T with $\delta_e(T) + 1$ classes and $ed(T) \geq \delta_e(T) + 1$. According to Theorem 1 it cannot be greater, therefore $ed(T) = \delta_e(T) + 1$.

Corollary 1. *The edge-domatic number of a path is equal to 2.*

Corollary 2. *The edge-domestic number of a star is equal to the number of its edges.*

Remark. As we have just seen, any tree is an example of a graph G for which $ed(G) = \delta_e(G) + 1$. Another example is the odd graph O_k for any integer k such that $k \geq 2$; it was defined in [2]. It is a graph whose vertex set is the set of all subsets of the number set $\{1, \dots, 2k + 1\}$ having the cardinality k and in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if their intersection (as of sets) is empty. In [4] it is proved that $ed(O_k) = 2k + 1$, while the degree of any edge of O_k is $2k$. Every complete graph K_n with n even is an example of a graph G for which $ed(G) = \delta(G)$ holds.

References

- [1] *Cockayne, E. J. - Hedetniemi, S. T.*: Towards a theory of domination in graphs. *Networks* 7 (1977), 247—261.
- [2] *Mulder, H. M.*: *The Interval Function of a Graph*. Amsterdam 1980.
- [3] *Ore, O.*: *Theory of Graphs*. Providence 1962.
- [4] *Zelinka, B.*: Odd graphs. *Arch. Math. Brno* (to appear).

Author's address: 460 01 Liberec 1, Felberova 2, ČSSR (katedra matematiky VŠST).