

Bohdan Zelinka

A distance between isomorphism classes of trees

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 1, 126–130

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101863>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A DISTANCE BETWEEN ISOMORPHISM CLASSES OF TREES

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received December 21, 1981)

In [1] a certain distance between isomorphism classes of graphs was introduced. Here we shall study an analog of this distance for trees.

Consider the set \mathcal{T}_n of all isomorphism classes of trees with n vertices, where $n \geq 3$. For any two elements $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ of \mathcal{T}_n we introduce the number $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$ as the least integer with the property that there exists a tree with $n + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$ and subtree $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$. For the sake of simplicity we shall also use the notation $\delta_T(T_1, T_2)$ for two trees T_1 and T_2 ; this will mean $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$ for the classes $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ such that $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$.

Theorem 1. *The functional δ_T is a metric on the set \mathcal{T}_n .*

Proof. Evidently $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) \geq 0$ for any two elements $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ of \mathcal{T}_n and $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{T}_1 = \mathfrak{T}_2$. Also evidently $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_1)$. Now let $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3$ be three elements of \mathcal{T}_n . There exists a tree T_{12} with $n + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$ and a subtree $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ and there exists a tree T_{23} with $n + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3)$ vertices which contains a subtree $T'_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$ and a subtree $T_3 \in \mathfrak{T}_3$. The trees T_2, T'_2 are isomorphic; take an isomorphic mapping of T_2 onto T'_2 and identify each vertex of T_2 with its image in this mapping. We may suppose that T_{12} and T_{23} are vertex-disjoint. The graph T obtained in the described way from the trees T_{12} and T_{23} is evidently a tree. It has $n + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3)$ vertices and contains a subtree $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$ and a subtree $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$. Hence

$$\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_3) \leq \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) + \delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_2, \mathfrak{T}_3)$$

and the triangle inequality holds.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathfrak{T}_1 \in \mathcal{T}_n, \mathfrak{T}_2 \in \mathcal{T}_n, T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1, T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$. Let k be a non-negative integer, $k < n$. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a tree T with $n + k$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to T_1 and a subtree isomorphic to T_2 .*
- (ii) *There exists a tree T_0 with $n - k$ vertices such that both T_1 and T_2 contain subtrees isomorphic to T_0 .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let (i) hold. Let T'_1, T'_2 be subtrees of T isomorphic to T_1, T_2 , respectively. As $k < n$, the trees T'_1, T'_2 have a non-empty intersection and this intersection is a subtree T'_0 of T which has at least $n - k$ vertices. Choose a subtree T_0 of T'_0 with exactly $n - k$ vertices. If we take an isomorphic mapping of T'_1 onto T_1 and an isomorphic mapping of T'_2 onto T_2 , then the images of T_0 in these mappings are subtrees of T_1 and T_2 which are isomorphic to one another.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let (ii) hold. Without loss of generality suppose that T_1, T_2 are vertex-disjoint. Let T'_0, T''_0 be subtrees of T_1, T_2 , respectively, which are both isomorphic to T_0 . Take an isomorphic mapping of T'_0 onto T''_0 and identify each vertex of T'_0 with its image in this mapping. The graph T obtained in this way is evidently a tree with $n + k$ vertices and it contains T_1, T_2 as subtrees.

Similarly as in [1] we may consider a graph \mathcal{G}_n whose vertex set is \mathcal{T}_n and in which two vertices $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ are adjacent if and only if $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = 1$.

Theorem 3. *The distance of any two vertices $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ of \mathcal{G}_n is equal to $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ be two vertices of \mathcal{G}_n and let $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = k$. Then there exists a tree T with $n + k$ vertices which contains a subtree $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$ and a subtree $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$. In T exactly $n - k$ vertices are common to T_1 and T_2 (see Theorem 2). Further, there are k vertices of T_1 not belonging to T_2 and k vertices of T_2 not belonging to T_1 . The vertices of T_1 not belonging to T_2 will be denoted by u_1, \dots, u_k in such a way that each u_i is adjacent either to a common vertex of T_1 and T_2 , or to a vertex u_j with $j < i$; this can be easily done. The vertices of T_2 not belonging to T_1 will be denoted by v_1, \dots, v_k in such a way that each v_i is adjacent either to a common vertex of T_1 and T_2 , or to a vertex v_j with $j > i$. Then for each $j = 1, \dots, k$, the graph S_j obtained from T_2 by deleting the vertices u_i for $i \leq j$ and adding the vertices v_i for $i \leq j$ together with the edges joining them with each other and with the common vertices of T_1 and T_2 in T , is a tree. Evidently $S_k = T_1$, $\delta_T(T_2, S_1) = 1$, $\delta_T(S_i, S_{i+1}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$. The vertices $T_2, S_1, \dots, S_k = T_1$ (here we speak about trees as vertices of \mathcal{G}_n instead of classes containing them; we do this for the sake of simplicity) form a path of the length k in \mathcal{G}_n and thus the distance of \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 in \mathcal{G}_n is at most $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$. Now suppose that the distance between \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 in \mathcal{G}_n is l . There exists a path of the length l in \mathcal{G}_n consisting of the vertices $T_1 = S'_0, S'_1, \dots, S'_k = T_2$. We have $\delta_T(S'_i, S'_{i+1}) = 1$ for $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$. Let S''_i be a tree with $n + 1$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to S'_i and a subtree isomorphic to S'_{i+1} . For each $i = 0, \dots, k - 2$ we choose an isomorphism of the subtree of S''_i isomorphic to S'_{i+1} onto the subtree of S''_{i+1} isomorphic to S'_{i+1} and identify each vertex of the domain of this mapping with its image. Then we obtain a tree with $n + l$ vertices which contains a subtree from \mathfrak{T}_1 and a subtree from \mathfrak{T}_2 . Thus the distance between \mathfrak{T}_1 and \mathfrak{T}_2 in \mathcal{G}_n is at least $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$; together with the previous result this yields that this distance is equal to $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2)$.

A snake is a tree consisting of one path. Its length is the length of this path.

Theorem 4. *The diameter of the graph \mathcal{G}_n is $n - 3$. There exists exactly one pair of vertices of \mathcal{G}_n whose distance is $n - 3$.*

Proof. As $n \geq 3$, each tree from \mathcal{G}_n contains a subtree which is a snake of the length 2; it has three vertices. If $\mathfrak{T}_1 \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $\mathfrak{T}_2 \in \mathcal{T}_n$, $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$, $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$, then according to Theorem 2 there exists a tree with $2n - 3$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to T_1 and a subtree isomorphic to T_2 . Thus $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) \leq n - 3$ for any two vertices $\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2$ of \mathcal{G}_n . Now let S_1 be the snake of the length $n - 1$ and let S_2 be a star with $n - 1$ edges. Any subtree of S_1 (or S_2) with more than three vertices is a snake (or a star, respectively) with more than two edges. Therefore (ii) from Theorem 2 for $k \leq n - 3$ does not hold, thus (i) does not hold, either, and the isomorphism classes containing S_1 and S_2 have the distance exactly $n - 3$. Any tree with n vertices which is neither a snake nor a star contains a snake with four vertices and a star with four vertices as subtrees; hence the distance of its isomorphism class from any other isomorphism class from \mathcal{T}_n is at most $n - 4$.

For every positive integer $k \geq 3$ we shall define the tree $T(k)$. First we define the graph $T_0(k)$. The vertex set of $T_0(k)$ consists of all vectors of the dimensions $0, 1, \dots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1$ (the symbol $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the least integer greater than or equal to x) whose coordinates are the numbers from the set $\{1, \dots, k - 1\}$. Two vectors \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} are adjacent if and only if one of them is obtained from the other by adding one coordinate. If k is odd, we take two disjoint copies of $T_0(k)$ and join the vertices corresponding to the zero vector in both of them. If k is even, we take a new vertex a and k pairwise disjoint copies of $T_0(k)$ and join a with the vertices corresponding to the zero vector in all of them. The tree thus obtained will be denoted by $T(k)$.

Lemma 1. *The tree $T(k)$ has the maximal number of vertices among all trees with the diameter at most k and the maximal degree at most k .*

Proof. Let T be a tree with the diameter k and the maximal degree k . If k is even, then T has one centre c and the distance of each vertex of T from c is at most $k/2$. As the maximal degree of T is k , for each $i = 1, \dots, k/2$ there are at most $k(k - 1)^{i-1}$ vertices of T whose distance from c is i . Thus T has at most $1 + k \sum_{i=0}^{k/2-1} (k - 1)^{i-1}$ vertices and this is the number of vertices of $T(k)$. The proof for k odd is analogous.

By $\tau(k)$ we denote the number of vertices of $T(k)$ for each $k \geq 3$. Evidently

$$\tau(k) = 1 + k \sum_{i=0}^{k/2-1} (k - 1)^{i-1} \quad \text{for } k \text{ even,}$$

$$\tau(k) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{k/2-1} (k - 1)^{i-1} \quad \text{for } k \text{ odd.}$$

Further, for $n \geq 6$ we denote

$$\sigma(n) = \max \{k \in N \mid \tau(k) \leq n\},$$

where N denotes the set of all positive integers.

Theorem 5. *Let ϱ be the radius of \mathcal{G}_n . Then*

$$\varrho \leq n - \sigma(n) - 1.$$

Proof. Let $k = \sigma(n)$ and construct the tree C . If $\tau(k) = n$, then $C \cong T(k)$. If $\tau(k) < n$, then the tree C is an arbitrary tree with n vertices containing $T(k)$ as a subtree. Let T be an arbitrary tree with n vertices. If the diameter of T is greater than k , then both T and C contain a snake with $k + 1$ vertices as a subtree. If \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{T} are isomorphism classes containing C and T , respectively, then $\delta_T(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{T}) \leq n - k - 1$. If the diameter of T is less than k , then (as it has $n \geq \tau(k)$ vertices) by Lemma 1 its maximal degree must be greater than k . Then both C and T contain a star with $k + 1$ vertices as a subtree and again $\delta_T(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{T}) \leq n - k - 1$. The distance of \mathfrak{C} from the isomorphism class containing a snake and from one containing a star is evidently exactly $n - k - 1$. Thus the radius of \mathcal{G}_n is at most $n - k - 1 = n - \sigma(n) - 1$.

Conjecture 1. *The radius of \mathcal{G}_n is equal to $n - \sigma(n) - 1$.*

In the sequel we shall study caterpillars. A caterpillar is a tree with the property that after deleting all of its terminal vertices (vertices of degree 1) a snake is obtained (a graph consisting of one vertex is also considered a snake). The snake just mentioned is called the *body of the caterpillar*.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathfrak{T}_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_n$, $\mathfrak{T}_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_n$, $T_1 \in \mathfrak{T}_1$, $T_2 \in \mathfrak{T}_2$. Let T_1, T_2 be caterpillars and let $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = k$. Then there exists a caterpillar T with $n + k$ vertices which contains a subtree isomorphic to T_1 and a subtree isomorphic to T_2 .*

Proof. As $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = k$, according to Theorem 2 there exists a tree T_0 with $n - k$ vertices such that both T_1 and T_2 contain subtrees isomorphic to T_0 . We have $n - k \geq 3$, therefore T_0 has at least two edges. As it is a subtree of a caterpillar, it is a caterpillar. Let $B(T_1), B(T_2), B(T_0)$ be the bodies of the caterpillars T_1, T_2, T_0 , respectively. Let T be the tree constructed as in the proof of Theorem 2. If T is not a caterpillar, then there exists an edge e_1 of $B(T_1)$ not belonging to $B(T_2)$ and an edge e_2 of $B(T_2)$ not belonging to $B(T_1)$, such that they both are incident with a vertex v_0 of $B(T_0)$. Let v_1 (or v_2) be the end vertex of e_1 (or of e_2 , respectively) distinct from v_0 . By identifying the vertices v_1, v_2 in T a tree with $n + k - 1$ vertices is obtained which contains both T_1 and T_2 as subtrees; this is a contradiction with the assumption that $\delta_T(\mathfrak{T}_1, \mathfrak{T}_2) = k$. Thus T is a caterpillar, which was to be proved.

Corollary. *The set of all isomorphism classes of caterpillars with n vertices induces a subgraph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ of \mathcal{G}_n with the property that the distance in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ is the same as in \mathcal{G}_n . The diameter of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$ is $n - 3$.*

Now for every positive integer k we construct a caterpillar $\tilde{T}(k)$. The body of $\tilde{T}(k)$ is a snake of the length $k - 2$. The degree of any vertex of this body in $\tilde{T}(k)$ is k . Evidently the number of vertices of $\tilde{T}(k)$ is $k^2 - 2k + 3$.

Lemma 2. *The caterpillar $\tilde{T}(k)$ has the maximal number of vertices among all caterpillars with the diameter at most k and the maximal degree at most k .*

Proof. Evidently the diameter of a caterpillar is the length of its body plus two. This implies the assertion.

Theorem 7. *Let \tilde{q} be the radius of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n$. Then*

$$\tilde{q} \leq n - \tilde{\sigma}(n) - 1,$$

where

$$\tilde{\sigma}(n) = \max \{k \in N \mid k^2 - 2k + 3 \leq n\}.$$

Proof is analogous to that of Theorem 5.

Conjecture 2. *The radius of \mathcal{G}_n is equal to $n - \tilde{\sigma}(n) - 1$.*

In the end we shall compare the distance δ_T with the distance introduced in [1] on the set of all isomorphism classes of undirected graphs with n vertices. The distance $\delta(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2)$ of two such classes was defined as the least number k such that there exists a graph with $n + k$ vertices which contains an induced subgraph belonging to \mathcal{G}_1 and an induced subgraph belonging to \mathcal{G}_2 .

Theorem 8. *For two elements $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ of \mathcal{I}_n for $n \geq 7$ the distances $\delta_T(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$, $\delta(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ are different in general.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{S}_1 (or \mathcal{S}_1) be the isomorphism class containing a snake (or a star, respectively) with n vertices. We know that $\delta_T(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) = n - 3$. Now let $S_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1$, $S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_2$. In S_1 take an independent set with the maximal number of elements; it has $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ vertices. Identify each vertex of this set with one terminal vertex of S_2 . We obtain a graph with $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil$ vertices which contains S_1 and S_2 as induced subgraphs. Thus

$$\delta(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2) \leq \lceil 3n/2 \rceil - n = \lceil n/2 \rceil < n - 3 = \delta_T(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2).$$

Reference

- [1] *Zelinka, B.:* On a certain distance between isomorphism classes of graphs. Časop. pěst. mat. 100 (1975), 371–373.

Author's address: 460 01 Liberec, Felberova 2, ČSSR (katedra matematiky VŠST).