

Robert P. Sullivan

Semi-automorphisms of transformation semigroups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 4, 548–554

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101912>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SEMI-AUTOMORPHISMS OF TRANSFORMATION SEMIGROUPS

R. P. SULLIVAN, Nedlands

(Received July 14, 1981)

1. INTRODUCTION

Ancochea [1] was the first person to consider “semi-automorphisms” of an algebraic structure: he defined a semi-automorphism of a ring  $R$  to be an additive bijection  $\phi : R \rightarrow R$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad (ab)\phi + (ba)\phi = a\phi b\phi + b\phi a\phi$$

for all  $a, b \in R$ , and noted that such mappings arose in connection with certain problems in projective geometry. He proved that if  $R$  is a simple algebra that is finite-dimensional over its centre  $F$  and  $\text{char}(F) \neq 2$  then every semi-automorphism of  $R$  is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism. In [10] Jacobson observed that any such algebra  $R$  leads in a natural way to a simple Jordan ring  $R^+$ , and that  $\phi$  is a semi-automorphism of  $R$  if and only if  $\phi$  is an automorphism of  $R^+$ ; he then deduced Ancochea’s result from an investigation of the automorphisms of simple Jordan rings in general.

For any ring  $R$  containing an identity 1, Kaplansky [13] defined a semi-automorphism of  $R$  to be an additive bijection  $\phi : R \rightarrow R$  such that

$$(2) \quad 1\phi = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (aba)\phi = a\phi b\phi a\phi$$

for all  $a, b \in R$ . Since in any ring  $ab + ba = (a + b)^2 - a^2 - b^2$ , any semi-automorphism in this latter sense satisfies (1); the two concepts are equivalent when  $R$  has no elements of additive order 2, as can be seen using the identity:

$$2aba = 4(a + b)^3 - (a + 2b)^3 - 3a^3 + 4b^3 - 2(a^2b + ba^2).$$

Using his more restrictive definition, Kaplansky showed that every simple algebra with finite dimension over its centre  $F$  (without any condition on the characteristic of  $F$ ) has the property: any semi-automorphism is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism.

For convenience in this paper we shall say that any algebraic structure with this last-mentioned property “has disjunctive semi-automorphisms”, it being understood from the context in what sense “semi-automorphism” is to be taken. The qualification

“disjunctive” will also be used in connection with “semi-homomorphisms” and “half-homomorphisms” to be defined below.

Dieudonné ([4] pp 16–17) applied Kaplansky’s result in his description of the automorphisms of  $GL(2, K)$  for any division ring  $K$  with  $\text{char}(K) = 2$  and finite dimension over its centre. Hua [9] provided an elementary proof showing that division rings always have disjunctive semi-automorphisms in the sense of (2), and then used this result and his classification of the automorphisms of  $SL(2, F)$  for any field  $F$  to determine the automorphisms of  $GL(2, K)$  for any division ring  $K$  ([4] Supplement, pp 96–101).

If  $R, S$  are rings (not necessarily with identity) by a semi-homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  we mean an additive mapping satisfying

$$(3) \quad a^2\phi = (a\phi)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (aba)\phi = a\phi b\phi a\phi$$

for all  $a, b \in R$ . Jacobson and Rickart [11] generalised Hua’s Theorem to read: every semi-homomorphism from a ring into an integral domain is a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism. To do this they observed that in any ring

$$abc + cba = (a + c)b(a + c) - aba - cbc,$$

and consequently for any semi-homomorphism  $\phi$  of rings

$$[(ab)\phi - a\phi b\phi] \cdot [(ab)\phi - b\phi a\phi] = 0.$$

If  $R, S$  are arbitrary rings by a half-homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  we mean an additive mapping such that for all  $a, b \in R$ ,

$$(4) \quad (ab)\phi \text{ equals either } a\phi b\phi \text{ or } b\phi a\phi.$$

The above-mentioned generalisation now follows from Hua’s Lemma ([11] Lemma 1): every ring has disjunctive half-homomorphisms. For another account of these ideas see ([12] pp 110–111, Exercises 7–10).

Jacobson and Rickart also showed in [11] Theorem 8 that if  $R$  is a “locally matrix ring” (that is, a ring in which every finite subset can be embedded in a full matrix ring  $M_n(S)$  for some ring  $S$  and some  $n \geq 2$ ) then every semi-homomorphism of  $R$  is the sum of a homomorphism and an anti-homomorphism (where “sum” is taken in the sense that if  $\phi : R \rightarrow T$  then  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  and there exist  $\phi_i : R \rightarrow T_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ ). It easily follows from Litoff’s Theorem: simple rings with minimal one-sided ideals are division rings or locally matrix rings (compare [11] page 490) and Hua’s Theorem on division rings, that simple rings with minimal one-sided ideals have disjunctive semi-automorphisms in the sense of (3). However Jacobson and Rickart extended this result to primitive rings with minimal one-sided ideals (compare [11] Theorem 13) and considered conditions (on the ideal structure of the associated Lie rings) under which every semi-homomorphism from a ring onto a primitive ring with minimal one-sided ideals is a homomorphism or an anti-homomorphism ([11] Theorem 21).

In 1951 Dinkines suggested that just as “ring-theoretic semi-automorphisms” in the sense of (2) had been useful in determining the automorphisms of certain classical groups, a similar concept for groups may also have fruitful applications in the area of, for example, permutation groups. In [5], she proved:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $|X| \geq 5$  then every non-trivial normal subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(X)$  has disjointive semi-automorphisms,*

and conjectured that “every simple group has disjointive semi-automorphisms” (for the normal subgroups of infinite symmetric groups see [16]). Subsequently Herstein and Ruchte [7] simplified Dinkines’ proof and showed that every non-abelian simple group possessing an element of order 4 has disjointive semi-automorphisms. We note in passing that  $\text{PSL}(2, q)$  is simple for  $q > 3$  ([16] Theorem 10.8.4) and contains no elements of order 4 whenever  $q$  is odd and  $x^2 = 2$  has no solution in  $\text{GF}(q)$  ([23] Exercise IV.8). Moreover, in view of Euler’s Criterion: 2 is a quadratic residue modulo an odd prime  $p$  if and only if  $2^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , and the proof of Theorem 4(5) in [2] pp 295–296, this latter condition holds for an odd prime  $q$  precisely when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  or  $q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

Scott [17] generalised Theorem 1 in a different manner as follows:

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is infinite then every subgroup of  $\text{Sym}(X)$  containing  $\text{Alt}(X)$  has disjointive semi-automorphisms.*

Since the automorphisms of any infinite permutation group  $G$  containing  $\text{Alt}(X)$  are known to be inner (see [16] Theorem 11.4.6 and [21] Theorem 2), the above result provides a complete description of the semi-automorphisms of  $G$ .

We now return to half-homomorphisms in the sense of (4): in [15] Scott proved that cancellative semigroups have disjointive half-automorphisms and deduced that groups have disjointive half-homomorphisms; he also provided an example of a non-cancellative semigroup with a proper half-automorphism. Later Sevrin [18, 19] observed that the concept of “half-isomorphism” arose naturally in connection with the problem of deciding when two semigroups have isomorphic subsemigroup-lattices; in [19] he generalised Scott’s work to read: any half-isomorphism  $\phi : S \rightarrow T$  from a cancellative semigroup  $S$  into an arbitrary semigroup  $T$  is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism.

## 2. SEMI-AUTOMORPHISMS OF SEMIGROUPS

Notation will be that of [3], [16] and [20]. In particular a transformation semigroup  $S$  is any subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{P}_X$  and  $K(S)$  denotes all the constants of  $S$  with  $\square$  adjoined. Moreover, we say  $S$  covers  $X$  when for each  $x \in X$  the semigroup  $S$  contains a constant idempotent (denoted by  $A_x$  for some  $A \subseteq X$ ) with range  $\{x\}$ . Any bijection  $\phi : S \rightarrow S$  such that  $(aba)\phi = a\phi b\phi a\phi$  for all  $a, b \in S$  will be called a *semi-automorphism* of  $S$ . Symons [22] has shown that if  $S$  is a total transformation semigroup

that covers  $X$  then every semi-automorphism of  $S$  is an automorphism (and hence “inner” by [20] Theorem 1). We shall show that a similar conclusion holds for any 2-transitive (partial) transformation semigroup with a stronger covering property. Our first result in this direction is comparable with [14] Lemma 2.4.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $S$  is a transitive transformation semigroup covering  $X$  and  $\lambda \in S$  then  $\lambda$  is a constant if and only if  $\lambda \neq \square$  and  $\lambda\alpha\lambda$  equals  $\lambda$  or  $\square$  for each  $\alpha \in S$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \neq \square$  choose  $x \in \text{dom } \lambda$ ,  $y \in \text{ran } \lambda$ ,  $\alpha \in S$  with  $y\alpha = x$  and  $A_x \in S$  with  $x \in A$ . Then  $\alpha A_x = \beta$  (say) maps  $y$  to  $x$  and  $\lambda\beta\lambda \neq \square$ . Hence  $\lambda = \lambda\beta\lambda$  which is a constant. The converse is obvious.

If  $\phi : S \rightarrow S$  is a semi-automorphism of an arbitrary semigroup  $S = S^0$  and if  $a\phi = 0$  then  $0\phi = (0a0)\phi = 0\phi \cdot 0 \cdot 0\phi = 0$  implies that  $a = 0$ ; we shall use this fact in what follows.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $S$  is a transitive transformation semigroup covering  $X$  and  $\phi$  is a semi-automorphism of  $S$  then  $\phi$  maps  $K(S)$  onto  $K(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . Choose  $A_x \in S$  and put  $A_x\phi = \lambda$  (in which case  $\lambda \neq \square$ ). If  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\alpha = \beta\phi$  then  $\lambda\alpha\lambda = (A_x \cdot \beta \cdot A_x)\phi$  where  $A_x \cdot \beta \cdot A_x$  equals  $A_x$  or  $\square$ . Hence for all  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\lambda\alpha\lambda$  equals  $\lambda$  or  $\square$  in which case  $\lambda$  must be a constant by Lemma 1. To complete the proof we simply note that if  $\phi$  is a semi-automorphism of  $S$  then  $\phi^{-1}$  is also.

Symons’ result can easily be deduced from the above Lemma. However to consider semi-automorphisms of partial transformation semigroups, we need the following definition:  $S$  is 2-transitive on  $X$  if for all distinct  $x, y$  and distinct  $a, b$  in  $X$  there exists  $\alpha \in S$  such that  $x\alpha = a$  and  $y\alpha = b$ .

**Lemma 3.** *If  $S$  is 2-transitive and contains all the total constants and  $\lambda \in S \setminus \square$  then  $\lambda$  is a total constant if and only if  $\lambda = \lambda^3$  and for all  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\alpha\lambda\alpha \neq \square$  implies  $\lambda\alpha\lambda = \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \in \text{dom } \lambda$  then  $X_a \cdot \lambda \cdot X_a \neq \square$  implies  $\lambda = \lambda \cdot X_a \cdot \lambda$ , a constant  $C_x$  (say). Let  $x \in X \setminus z$  and choose  $\alpha \in S$  satisfying  $z\alpha = x$ ,  $x\alpha = z$ . Then  $\alpha\lambda\alpha \neq \square$  (since  $\lambda = \lambda^3$  implies  $z \in C$ ) and so  $\lambda = \lambda\alpha\lambda$ ; it follows that  $x \in C$  and so  $C = X$  as required. The converse is obvious.

We shall say that  $S$  extremally covers  $X$  if  $S$  contains all the total constants  $X_a$ ,  $a \in X$ , and all the injective constants  $a_b$ ,  $a, b \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $S$  is 2-transitive and extremally covers  $X$  then every semi-automorphism of  $S$  is an inner automorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2 and 3, for each semi-automorphism  $\phi$  of  $S$  we can define  $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$  such that

$$ag = b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad X_a\phi = X_b.$$

We now assert that for all  $a, b \in X$ ,  $a_b\phi = ag_{bg}$ . For, suppose  $A_x\phi = c_d$  and let  $z \in A$ . Then

$$X_z\phi = (X_z \cdot A_x \cdot X_z)\phi = X_{zg} \cdot c_d \cdot X_{zg},$$

so that  $zg = c$ . Thus  $A = \{z\}$  and since  $\phi^{-1}$  is also a semi-automorphism we have  $a_b\phi = ag_d$  for some  $d \in X$ . Hence

$$a_b\phi = a_b\phi \cdot b_a\phi \cdot a_b\phi = ag_d \cdot bg_e \cdot ag_d$$

for some  $e \in X$  and we have  $d = bg$  as asserted.

From this it follows that if  $C_a \in S$  then  $C_a\phi = D_{ag}$  for some  $D \subseteq X$ . For, if  $c \in C$  then

$$a_c\phi = (a_c \cdot C_a \cdot a_c)\phi = ag_{cg} \cdot D_y \cdot ag_{cg}$$

for some  $y \in X$  and  $D \subseteq X$ ; that is,  $y = ag$  as required.

Now let  $\alpha \in S$  and  $a \in \text{dom } \alpha$ . From the preceding remarks we have

$$\alpha\phi \cdot X_{ag, \alpha}\phi = (\alpha \cdot X_a \cdot \alpha)\phi = C_{a\alpha}\phi = C_{aag}$$

for some  $C \subseteq X$ , and so  $(\text{dom } \alpha)g \subseteq \text{dom } (\alpha\phi)$ . A converse argument using  $\phi^{-1}$  establishes equality, so that  $\alpha\phi = g^{-1}\alpha g$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ .

To obtain a result for transformation semigroups that is closer to Dinkines' original work on permutation groups we now restrict our attention to  $\mathcal{I}_X$  and note that the mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X$ ,  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}$ , is an anti-automorphism of  $\mathcal{I}_X$ . Moreover, if  $\phi$  is a semi-automorphism of any inverse semigroup  $S$  then  $a^{-1}\phi = (a\phi)^{-1}$  for all  $a \in S$ .

**Theorem 4.** *If  $S$  is a 2-transitive inverse subsemigroup of  $\mathcal{I}_X$  covering  $X$  then a semi-automorphism of  $S$  is either an automorphism (in which case it is inner) or an anti-automorphism (in which case it is the composition of  $\theta$  and an inner automorphism).*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a semi-automorphism of  $S$ . By Lemma 2 we can define  $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$  by

$$xg = y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x_x\phi = y_y.$$

Suppose  $x \neq y$  and  $x_y\phi = a_b$ . If  $a \neq xg$  and  $b \neq xg$  choose  $\lambda \in S$  with  $(xg)\lambda = a$  and  $b\lambda = xg$ . If  $\alpha\phi = \lambda$  then, since  $\lambda$  is 1-1, we have

$$x_x\phi = xg_{xg} = \lambda \cdot a_b \cdot \lambda = (\alpha \cdot x_y \cdot \alpha)\phi,$$

and so  $y\alpha = x$ ,  $\alpha \cdot x_y \cdot \alpha = y_x = x_x$ , a contradiction. Hence either  $a = xg$  or  $b = xg$ ; since  $x \neq y$  and  $y_x\phi = b_a$  we therefore conclude that  $x_y\phi$  equals  $xg_{yg}$  or  $yg_{xg}$ : in the first case  $\alpha\phi = g^{-1}\alpha g$  for all  $\alpha \in S$  and in the second  $\alpha\phi = g^{-1}(\alpha\theta)g$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ .

For, suppose  $x_y\phi = xg_{yg}$  for some  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  and let  $z \in X \setminus \{x, y\}$ . If  $x_z\phi = zg_{xg}$  choose  $\lambda \in S$  so that  $(xg)\lambda = xg$ ,  $(yg)\lambda = zg$  and let  $\alpha\phi = \lambda$ . Then

$$y_x\phi = yg_{xg} = \lambda \cdot zg_{xg} \cdot \lambda = (\alpha \cdot x_z \cdot \alpha)\phi$$

and so  $x\alpha = x = y\alpha$ ; this implies  $y = z$ , contradicting the choice of  $x, y, z$ . Hence  $x_z\phi = xg_{zg}$  for all  $z \in X$ . Now suppose distinct  $a, b \in X \setminus x$  and  $a_b\phi = bg_{ag}$ . Choose  $\mu \in S$  with  $(xg)\mu = bg, (ag)\mu = ag$  and let  $\beta\phi = \mu$ . Then

$$x_a\phi = xg_{ag} = \mu \cdot bg_{ag} \cdot \mu = (\beta \cdot a_\beta \cdot \beta)\phi$$

and so  $b\beta = a = x\beta$ , a contradiction. We have therefore shown that either  $a_b\phi = ag_{bg}$  for all  $a, b \in X$  or  $a_b\phi = bg_{ag}$  for all  $a, b \in X$ . In the first case let  $\alpha \in S, b \in \text{dom } \alpha, a \in \text{ran } \alpha$  and  $x\alpha = a$ . Then

$$\alpha\phi \cdot ag_{bg}\alpha\phi = (\alpha \cdot a_b \cdot \alpha)\phi = x_{bx}\phi = xg_{bxg}$$

and the argument is completed as in the proof of Theorem 3. For the second case put  $\alpha\psi = g \cdot \alpha\phi \cdot g^{-1}$  for all  $\alpha \in S$ : we have to show that  $\psi = \theta$ . To do this note that with the above notation

$$\alpha\psi \cdot b_{ax}\psi = (\alpha \cdot a_\beta \cdot \alpha)\psi = x_{bx}\psi = b\alpha_x$$

from which we obtain  $\text{dom } \alpha^{-1} = \text{dom } (\alpha\psi)$  and the result follows.

Symons' result and the foregoing Theorems 3 and 4 can be readily applied to the semigroups  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X$  (the semigroup generated by all the idempotents of  $\mathcal{T}_X$ : see [8]),  $\mathcal{P}_X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_X$  (the semigroup generated by all the idempotents of  $\mathcal{P}_X$ : see [6]) or to  $\mathcal{I}_X$ .

### 3. HALF-AUTOMORPHISMS OF SEMIGROUPS

If  $S$  is a semigroup,  $\phi : S \rightarrow S$  is a half-automorphism of  $S$  if it is bijective and for all  $a, b \in S, (ab)\phi$  equals either  $a\phi b\phi$  or  $b\phi a\phi$ . Symons [22] has shown that if  $S$  is a 2-transitive total transformation semigroup covering  $X$  then every half-automorphism of  $S$  is an automorphism (and hence inner); he also gives an example of a total transformation semigroup admitting a half-automorphism which is neither an automorphism nor an anti-automorphism, but which nonetheless contains all the total constants (and hence is transitive). We conjecture that Symons' result can be extended to any 2-transitive (partial) transformation semigroup extremally covering  $X$ . Before stating a result providing some support for this claim we note that if  $S = S^0$  and  $\phi$  is a half-automorphism of  $S$  then  $0\phi = 0$ . For, if  $\alpha\phi = 0$  then  $(0 \cdot a)\phi$  equals  $0\phi \cdot 0$  or  $0 \cdot 0\phi$ , and this implies  $0\phi = 0$  and moreover  $a = 0$  as required.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $S$  covers  $X, \phi : S \rightarrow S$  is a half-automorphism and  $\alpha$  is an idempotent constant in  $S$  then  $\alpha\phi$  is also.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_x \in S$  with  $x \in A$  and  $A_x\phi = \lambda$ . Then  $\lambda^2 = \lambda \neq \square$  and we can choose  $y \in \text{ran } \lambda, B_y \in S$  with  $y \in B$ , and put  $\alpha\phi = B_y$  (in which case  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ ). Now  $(A_x\alpha)\phi$  equals  $\lambda \cdot B_y$  or  $B_y \cdot \lambda$ , both of which are non-zero: hence  $x \in \text{dom } \alpha$ . If  $(A_x\alpha)\phi = \lambda B_y = C_y$  (say) then  $y \in C_y$  and  $x\alpha \in A$ ; thus,  $\lambda = (A_{xx}A_x)\phi$  equals  $C_y\lambda$  or  $\lambda C_y$ ,

both of which are constant. On the other hand, if  $(A_x\alpha)\phi = B_y\lambda = B_y$ , then  $\alpha = A_z$  for some  $z \in A$  and the result follows as before.

### References

- [1] *G. Ancochea*: On semi-automorphisms of division algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, 48 (1947) 147—153.
- [2] *L. Childs*: *A Concrete Introduction to Higher Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [3] *A. H. Clifford* and *G. B. Preston*: *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, *Math. Surveys*, No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Volume 1 (1961), Volume 2 (1967).
- [4] *J. Dieudonné*: On the automorphisms of the classical groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, No. 2, 1951.
- [5] *F. Dinkines*: Semi-automorphisms of symmetric and alternating groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2 (1951) 478—486.
- [6] *A. E. Evseev* and *N. E. Podran*: Semigroups of transformations generated by idempotents with given projection characteristics, *Izv. Vyss. Ucebn. Zaved. Mat.*, 12 (103) 1970, 30—36.
- [7] *I. N. Herstein* and *M. F. Ruchte*: Semi-automorphisms of groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9 (1958) 145—150.
- [8] *J. M. Howie*: The subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of a full transformation semigroup, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 41 (1966) 707—716.
- [9] *Loo-Keng Hua*: On the automorphisms of a sfield, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 35 (1949) 386—389.
- [10] *N. Jacobson*: Isomorphisms of Jordan rings, *Amer. J. Math.*, 70 (1948) 317—326.
- [11] *N. Jacobson* and *C. E. Rickart*: Jordan homomorphisms of rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69 (1950) 479—502.
- [12] *N. Jacobson*: *Basic Algebra*, I, W. H. Freeman and Co., 1974.
- [13] *I. Kaplansky*: Semi-automorphisms of rings, *Duke Math. J.*, 14 (1947) 521—525.
- [14] *K. D. Magill*: Semigroup structures for families of functions, I, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 7 (1967) 81—94.
- [15] *W. R. Scott*: Half-homomorphisms of groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1957) 1141—1144.
- [16] *W. R. Scott*: *Group Theory*, Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- [17] *W. R. Scott*: Semi-isomorphisms of certain infinite permutation groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21 (1969) 711—713.
- [18] *L. N. Sevrin*: Semi-isomorphisms and lattice isomorphisms of semigroups with a cancellation law, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 171 (1966) 296—298; translated as *Sov. Math. Dokl.*, 7 (1966) 1491—1493.
- [19] *L. N. Sevrin*: Semi-isomorphisms of semigroups with cancellation law, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 31 (1967) 957—964.
- [20] *R. P. Sullivan*: Automorphisms of transformation semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 20 (Series A) 1975, 77—84.
- [21] *R. P. Sullivan*: Automorphisms of injective transformation semigroups (to appear).
- [22] *J. S. V. Symons*: Automorphisms of transformation semigroups, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Western Australia, 1973.
- [23] *M. Weinstein*: *Examples of Groups*, Polygonal Pub. House, 1977.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, 6009, Australia.