

Fabio Zanolin

Example of a convergence commutative group which is not separated

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 2, 169–171

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101939>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXAMPLE OF A CONVERGENCE COMMUTATIVE GROUP
WHICH IS NOT SEPARATED

FABIO ZANOLIN, Trieste

(Received January 25, 1977)

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that a sequential convergence space with unique sequential limits need not be separated (cf. [1]). J. Novák at the Kanpur Topological Conference asked whether each sequential convergence group (with unique sequential limits) is separated (Problem 12 in [2]). In [3] the following construction of sequential convergence groups (not necessarily with unique sequential limits) has been developed. Starting with a set A , the free Z -module G generated by A can be equipped with the smallest multivalued sequential convergence of the type L^* , compatible with the group structure of G , in which a given set of sequences of points of G converges to the neutral element 0 of G . The fact that G is a free Z -module guarantees that the resulting convergence group has some nice properties. Using the same type of construction, in the present paper we give a negative answer to the question asked by J. Novák.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some facts about sequential convergence groups (see e.g. [2]) and the free Z -module technique from [3].

Throughout the paper Z denotes the group of integers, N the set of natural numbers (i.e. positive integers), N^N the set of all mappings of N into N and \mathcal{S} the set of all increasing mappings in N^N . Let X be an infinite set. If $S = (x_n)$ is a sequence in X (i.e. a mapping of N into X the n -th term of which is $S(n) = x_n$) and $s \in \mathcal{S}$, then $S \circ s$ denotes the sequence in X the n -th term of which is $(S \circ s)(n) = x_{s(n)}$. For $x \in X$ the symbol (x) denotes the constant sequence generated by x (i.e. $(x)(n) = x$ for all $n \in N$) and $\{x\}$ denotes the subset of X the only element of which is x .

Let G be a commutative group. For $S, T \in G^N$ define $(S + T)(n) = S(n) + T(n)$ and $(-T)(n) = -T(n)$, $n \in N$. Then G^N is a commutative group. Let \mathfrak{G} be a subset

of $G^N \times G$ satisfying axioms

- (\mathcal{L}_0) If $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $(S, y) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $x = y$;
- (\mathcal{L}_1) $((x), x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ for each $x \in G$;
- (\mathcal{L}_2) If $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $(S \circ s, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (\mathcal{L}_3) $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ whenever for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $t \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $(S \circ s \circ t, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$;
- ($\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{G}$) If $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $(T, y) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $(S - T, x - y) \in \mathfrak{G}$.

If $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$, then we say that the sequence S \mathfrak{G} -converges to x . For $A \subset G$ define $\gamma A = \{x \in G; (S, x) \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ for some } S \in A^N\}$. Then G equipped with \mathfrak{G} and γ is said to be a convergence commutative group (cf. [2]).

Let G be a commutative group and let B be a subset of G^N . Let δB be the set of all sequences in G of the form $S \circ s$ with $S \in B$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\langle \delta B \rangle$ be the smallest subgroup of G^N containing δB , and let $\zeta \langle \delta B \rangle$ be the set of all sequences S in G such that for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists $t \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $S \circ s \circ t \in \langle \delta B \rangle$. Define $\mathfrak{G} \subset G^N \times G$ as follows: $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ whenever $S - (x) \in \zeta \langle \delta B \rangle$. By Corollary in [3], \mathfrak{G} satisfies axioms (\mathcal{L}_1), (\mathcal{L}_2), (\mathcal{L}_3) and ($\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{G}$). Further, by Lemma 2 in [3], \mathfrak{G} satisfies (\mathcal{L}_0) iff (0) is the only constant sequence in G belonging to $\zeta \langle \delta B \rangle$.

Let A be an infinite set and let G be the free Z -module generated by A . Then G is equipped with a commutative group structure. Recall that elements of G can be re-

presented by reduced linear combinations $\sum_{k=1}^h z_k a_k$, where h is a nonnegative integer, $z_k \in Z \setminus \{0\}$, $a_k \in A$ and $a_k \neq a_l$ whenever $k \neq l$. For $x \in G$, $x = \sum_{k=1}^h z_k a_k$, define $\text{gen}(x) = \{a_k; k = 1, \dots, h\}$. Note that for $h = 0$ we have $\text{gen}(x) = \emptyset$ and x is the neutral element 0 of G . Also, two elements $\sum_{k=1}^h z_k a_k$ and $\sum_{k=1}^g w_k b_k$ of G are equal iff $h = g$ and there is a permutation p of the set $\{1, \dots, h\}$ such that $a_k = b_{p(k)}$ and $z_k = w_{p(k)}$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, h\}$.

3. THE EXAMPLE

We start with the following well-known example of a Fréchet space X (i.e. X is a topological space such that whenever a point x belongs to a closure of a set A , then there is a sequence in A converging in X to x) which has unique sequential limits but fails to be Hausdorff. The space X consists of a double sequence $Y = \{a(i, j); i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and two other distinct points a, b . Points $a(i, j)$ are isolated. A neighbourhood base at a is formed by sets $\{a\} \cup A(f)$, where f is a mapping of N into N and $A(f) = \{a(i, j) \in Y; j > f(i)\}$. A neighbourhood base at b is formed by sets $\{b\} \cup A(k)$, where $k \in N$ and $A(k) = \{a(i, j) \in Y; i > k\}$. Note that for each fixed $k \in N$ the sequence $U_k \in Y^N$ defined by $U_k(n) = a(k, n)$ converges in X to a , and for each mapping $f \in N^N$ the sequence $V_f \in Y^N$ defined by $V_f(n) = a(n, f(n))$ converges in X to b .

Now, consider the subset $A = \{a\} \cup Y$ of X . Let G be the free Z -module generated by A . We are going to equip G with a sequential convergence $\mathfrak{G} \subset G^N \times G$ satisfying axioms $(\mathcal{L}_0), (\mathcal{L}_1), (\mathcal{L}_2), (\mathcal{L}_3)$ and $(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{G})$ such that the following condition

$$(*) (U_k, a) \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ for each } k \in N \text{ and } (V_f, 0) \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ for each } f \in N^N;$$

holds true.

Let $H \subset G^N$ consist of all sequences $U_k - (a), k \in N$, and let $D \subset G^N$ consist of all sequences $V_f, f \in N^N$. Put $G_0 = \zeta \langle \delta(H \cup D) \rangle$ and for $x \in G$ put $G_x = G_0 + (x)$. Define $(S, x) \in \mathfrak{G}$ whenever $S \in G_x$. Clearly, condition $(*)$ is satisfied.

As indicated in Section 2, $\mathfrak{G} \subset G^N \times G$ satisfies axioms $(\mathcal{L}_1), (\mathcal{L}_2), (\mathcal{L}_3)$ and $(\mathcal{S}^*\mathcal{G})$. To verify the remaining axiom (\mathcal{L}_0) of sequential convergence groups it suffices to show that (0) is the only constant sequence in G belonging to $G_0 = \zeta \langle \delta(H \cup D) \rangle$.

Suppose that $S \in G^N$ is a constant sequence belonging to $\zeta \langle \delta(H \cup D) \rangle$. Since $S \circ s = S$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, we can assume that $S \in \langle \delta(H \cup D) \rangle$, i.e. $S = \sum_{k=1}^g w_k T_k$,

where g is a nonnegative integer, $w_k \in Z$ and $T_k \in \delta(H \cup D)$. Further, there is a mapping $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that each two sequences $T_k \circ s$ and $T_l \circ s$ are either identical or we

have $(T_k \circ s)(n) \neq (T_l \circ s)(n)$ for all $n \in N$. Hence $S = \sum_{k=1}^h z_k S_k - (za)$, where $h \leq g, z_k \in Z, z = \sum_{k=1}^{h'} z_k, h' \leq h, S_k$ is either a subsequence of $U_i, i \in N$, or a sub-

sequence of $V_f, f \in N^N$, and $S_k(n) \neq S_l(n)$ for all $n \in N$ whenever $k \neq l$. Thus $S + (za) = \sum_{k=1}^h z_k S_k$ is a constant sequence in G . It follows from the definition of sequences U_i and V_f that there are natural numbers n_1 and n_2 such that

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^h \text{gen}(S_k(n_1)) \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^h \text{gen}(S_k(n_2)) \right) = \emptyset. \text{ Since } G \text{ is a free } Z\text{-module and}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^h z_k S_k(n_1) = \sum_{k=1}^h z_k S_k(n_2), \text{ we get } z_k = 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, h. \text{ Thus } S = (0).$$

Since the subspace $A \cup \{0\}$ of G is homeomorphic to the nonseparated space X (mentioned above), the proof is finished.

References

- [1] *M. Dolcher*: Topologie e strutture di convergenza. Ann. della Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa III, Vol. XIV, Fasc. I (1960), 63–92.
- [2] *J. Novák*: On some problems concerning convergence spaces and groups. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra (Proc. Kanpur Topological Conf., 1968). Academia, Praha 1970, 219–229.
- [3] *F. Zanolin*: Solution of a problem of J. Novák about convergence groups. Bollettino Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 14-A (1977), 375–381.

Author's address: Università degli Studi di Trieste, Istituto di Matematica, piazzale Europa 1, 34100 Trieste, Italy.