

Andrew M. W. Glass

A directed  $d$ -group that is not a group of divisibility

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 3, 475–476

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101971>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A DIRECTED  $d$ -GROUP THAT IS NOT A GROUP OF DIVISIBILITY

A. M. W. GLASS, Bowling Green

(Received September 19, 1983)

In [1], J. Močkoř asked if there exists a directed group which admits the structure of a  $d$ -group but is not a group of divisibility. We provide such an example.

Recall that an abelian group  $G$  is a *group of divisibility* if there is an integral domain  $A$  with field of quotients  $K$  such that  $G$  is isomorphic to the multiplicative group  $K^*/U(A)$  where  $K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $U(A)$  is the multiplicative group of units of  $A$ . Note that any group of divisibility is torsion-free (if  $x^m y = 1$ , then  $x(x^{m-1}y) = 1$ ).

Recall that an abelian group  $(G, +)$  with a partial order  $\leq$  defined on it is a *directed group* if for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$  (i) there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in G$  such that  $\lambda \leq \alpha, \beta \leq \mu$  (i.e.,  $\lambda \leq \alpha \leq \mu$  &  $\lambda \leq \beta \leq \mu$ ), and (ii) if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta + \gamma$ . A directed abelian group  $(G, +, \leq)$  is said to be a  *$d$ -group* if there is a multivalued addition  $\oplus$  on  $G$ , such that, for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in G$

- (1)  $\alpha \oplus \beta = \beta \oplus \alpha$
- (2)  $\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma) = (\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma$
- (3)  $\alpha \in \beta \oplus \gamma$  implies  $\beta \in \alpha \oplus \gamma$ .
- (4)  $\alpha + (\beta \oplus \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) \oplus (\alpha + \gamma)$
- (5)  $\infty \in \alpha \oplus \beta$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta$
- (6) if  $\alpha, \beta \geq \gamma$  &  $\delta \in \alpha \oplus \beta$ , then  $\delta \geq \gamma$ .

and (7)  $\alpha \oplus \beta \neq \emptyset$

where  $\beta \oplus \gamma \subseteq G \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\alpha + (\beta \oplus \gamma) = \{\alpha + \delta : \delta \in \beta \oplus \gamma\}$  for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$ , and the usual properties of  $\infty$  hold (see [1]).

As noted above, to construct an example of a directed abelian  $d$ -group that is not a group of divisibility, it is enough to construct a directed abelian  $d$ -group that is not torsion-free. We now do this.

We will write  $\alpha \parallel \beta$  if  $\alpha \not\leq \beta$  &  $\beta \not\leq \alpha$ .

Let  $G = \mathcal{Q} \oplus C_3$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the additive group of rationals with the usual ordering and  $C_3$  is a cyclic group of order 3, say  $C_3 = \{0, a, 2a\}$ . Partially order  $G$  by:  $(q, na) < (q', n'a)$  if and only if  $q < q'$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , where  $n, n' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Clearly,  $G$  is a directed group; indeed, it is a tight Riesz group (i.e., if  $\alpha, \beta < \gamma, \delta$ , there is  $\lambda \in G$  such that  $\alpha, \beta < \lambda < \gamma, \delta$ ). Note that

(\*)  $(q, na) \parallel (q', n'a)$  if and only if  $q = q'$  &  $n \neq n'$ .

Hence if  $\alpha \parallel \beta$  in  $G$ , there is a unique  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $\gamma \parallel \alpha$  &  $\gamma \parallel \beta$ ; we write  $\langle \alpha \parallel \beta \rangle$  for this  $\gamma$ . Also

(†) if  $\alpha > \beta$  &  $\beta \parallel \gamma$ , then  $\alpha > \gamma$ .

Let

$$\alpha \oplus \beta = \begin{cases} \{\alpha\} & \text{if } \alpha < \beta \\ \{\beta\} & \text{if } \alpha > \beta \\ \{\langle \alpha \parallel \beta \rangle\} & \text{if } \alpha \parallel \beta \\ \{\delta: \delta > \alpha\} \cup \{\infty\} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\oplus$  satisfies (1), (5) & (7), and a tedious check by cases establishes that it also satisfies (2), (3), (4) & (6). Hence  $G$  is a  $d$ -group as required. We show three of the more interesting cases used in establishing (2).

(i)  $\alpha > \beta$  &  $\beta \parallel \gamma$ . By (†),  $\alpha > \gamma$  &  $\alpha > \langle \beta \parallel \gamma \rangle$ . Hence  $\alpha \oplus \beta = \{\beta\}$ , so  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \langle \beta \parallel \gamma \rangle$ ;  $\beta \oplus \gamma = \langle \beta \parallel \gamma \rangle$ , so  $\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma) = \langle \beta \parallel \gamma \rangle$ . Thus  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma)$ .

(ii)  $\alpha \parallel \beta$  &  $\beta \parallel \gamma$  &  $\gamma \parallel \alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha \oplus \beta = \{\gamma\}$ , so  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \{\infty\} \cup \{\delta: \delta > \gamma\}$ .  $\beta \oplus \gamma = \{\alpha\}$ , so  $\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma) = \{\infty\} \cup \{\delta: \delta > \alpha\}$ . By (†),  $\{\delta: \delta > \alpha\} = \{\delta: \delta > \gamma\}$ . Thus  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma)$ .

(iii)  $\alpha = \beta < \gamma$ . Hence  $\alpha \oplus \beta = \{\infty\} \cup \{\delta: \delta > \alpha\}$ , so  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \{\delta \oplus \gamma: \delta > \alpha\}$ . But if  $\delta > \gamma$ ,  $\delta \oplus \gamma = \{\gamma\}$ ; if  $\delta < \gamma$ ,  $\delta \oplus \gamma = \{\delta\}$ ; if  $\delta \parallel \gamma$ ,  $\delta \oplus \gamma = \langle \delta \parallel \gamma \rangle$ ; if  $\delta = \gamma$ ,  $\delta \oplus \gamma = \{\lambda: \lambda > \gamma\} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Hence  $(\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma = \alpha \oplus \beta$ . Now  $\beta \oplus \gamma = \{\beta\}$  so  $\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma) = \alpha \oplus \beta = (\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma$ .

Of course,  $\mathcal{Q}$  could be replaced by any abelian linearly ordered group.

We note in closing that J. Močkoř has kindly pointed out to us that our observation that a group of divisibility must be torsion-free is a special case of a result of J. Ohm [2]; viz: If  $K \neq \{0\}$  is a partially ordered abelian group,  $H$  is a linearly ordered group and  $\{0\} \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\phi} J \xrightarrow{\psi} H \rightarrow \{0\}$  is lex-exact (i.e., if  $j \in J$ , then  $j > 0$  if and only if:  $j\psi > 0$  or  $j = k\phi$  for some  $k > 0$ ), then  $J$  is not a group of divisibility. In our case,  $K = C_3$  with the trivial order,  $J = G$  and  $H = \mathcal{Q}$ , with  $\phi$  &  $\psi$  the natural maps.

#### References

- [1] Močkoř, J.: Semi-valuations and  $d$ -groups, Czech. Math. J., 32 (107), 1982, 77–89.  
 [2] Ohm, J.: Semi-valuations and groups of divisibility, Canad. J. Math., 21 (1969), 576–591.

Author's address: Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio 43403, U.S.A.