

Ivan Chajda

Algebras whose principal congruences form a sublattice of the congruence lattice

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 4, 585–588

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102254>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ALGEBRAS WHOSE PRINCIPAL CONGRUENCES FORM
A SUBLATTICE OF THE CONGRUENCE LATTICE

IVAN CHAJDA, Přerov

(Received May 22, 1986)

The problem under which conditions the set of all principal congruences on an algebra A is closed under joins and meets in $\text{Con } A$ was investigated separately by a few authors. First, K. A. Baker [1] studied the so called *Principal Intersection Property* (briefly PIP), i.e. the property that for any $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$ the congruence

$$\Theta(a_1, b_1) \wedge \Theta(a_2, b_2)$$

is principal, i.e. it is equal to $\Theta(a, b)$ for some a, b of A .

P. Zlatoš [5] studied conditions under which the congruence

$$\Theta(a_1, b_1) \vee \Theta(a_2, b_2)$$

is principal for any a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 of A ; in such a case, A is said to have *Principal Compact Congruences*, briefly PCC.

Hence, if an algebra A has both PIP and PCC, the set of all principal congruences forms a sublattice of $\text{Con } A$.

Recall that a variety \mathcal{V} is *congruence distributive* if $\text{Con } A$ is distributive for each $A \in \mathcal{V}$. \mathcal{V} is *congruence permutable* if $\Theta \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ \Theta$ for each $\Theta, \Phi \in \text{Con } A$ for any $A \in \mathcal{V}$. \mathcal{V} is *arithmetic* if it is both congruence distributive and congruence permutable.

J. Duda [4] proved some remarkable results in solving the above problem:

Proposition 1 (Theorem 2 in [4]). *In a congruence permutable variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{V} has PCC;
- (2) there exists a 6-ary polynomial s such that $s(x, u, x, y, u, v) = s(y, v, x, y, u, v)$ implies $x = y$ and $u = v$.

An algebra $(H; \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ with three binary and two nullary operations is a *Heyting algebra* if it satisfies

- (a) $(H; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice,
- (b) $x \rightarrow x = 1$,

- (c) $(x \rightarrow y) \wedge y = y$, $x \wedge (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$,
 (d) $x \rightarrow (y \wedge z) = (x \rightarrow y) \wedge (x \rightarrow z)$, and $(x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)$.

Example 1. Any variety of Heyting algebras has PCC.

It is well known that such a variety is congruence permutable and we can put

$$s(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = (x_1 \rightarrow x_3) \wedge (x_3 \rightarrow x_1) \wedge (x_2 \rightarrow x_5) \wedge (x_5 \rightarrow x_2).$$

Proposition 2. (Theorem 4 in [4]). In an arithmetic variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{V} has PIP;
- (2) there exists a 5-ary polynomial q such that $q(x, x, y, u, v) = q(y, x, y, u, v)$ if and only if $x = y$ or $u = v$ holds on any subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} .

Example 2. Any variety of Heyting algebras has PIP.

It is known that such a variety is arithmetic and we can put

$$q(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = [(x_1 \rightarrow x_3) \wedge (x_3 \rightarrow x_1)] \vee [(x_4 \rightarrow x_5) \wedge (x_5 \rightarrow x_4)].$$

Clearly, $q(x, x, y, u, v) = q(y, x, y, u, v)$ on a subdirectly irreducible Heyting algebra is satisfied if and only if

$$[(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x)] \vee [(u \rightarrow v) \wedge (v \rightarrow u)] = 1, \text{ i.e.} \\
(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) = 1 \text{ or } (u \rightarrow v) \wedge (v \rightarrow u) = 1,$$

which is equivalent to $x = y$ or $u = v$.

Example 3. Any discriminator variety \mathcal{V} satisfies PCC (see Example 2 in [4]). \mathcal{V} is clearly arithmetic and we can put $s(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) = t(x_1, t(x_3, x_1, x_4), x_2)$, where $t(x, y, z)$ is the discriminator on \mathcal{V} . Moreover, \mathcal{V} satisfies PIP (Example 3 in [4]), since we can put

$$q(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = t(t(x_1, x_3, x_4), t(x_1, x_3, x_5), x_5).$$

Corollary 1. Let \mathcal{V} be a discriminator variety and $A \in \mathcal{V}$. The set of all principal congruences on A forms a sublattice of $\text{Con } A$.

Examples 1 and 2 imply one result also for an algebra of the lattice type:

Corollary 2. Let H be a Heyting algebra. The set of all principal congruences on H forms a sublattice of $\text{Con } H$.

Since Heyting algebras are special cases of distributive lattices, there is a question if Corollary 2 can be formulated also for other lattices. The disadvantage is that Propositions 1 and 2 require the congruence permutability which is not satisfied in lattice varieties. In the sequel we are going to show that Corollary 2 can be "localized" and this local version can be proved also for some other lattices.

The starting points is K. Baker's result:

Proposition 3 (Theorems 2.8, 2.9 in [1]). *In a congruence distributive variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{V} has PIP;
- (2) there exist 4-ary polynomials d_0, d_1 such that $\Theta(a_1, b_1) \wedge \Theta(a_2, b_2) = \Theta(d_0(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2), d_1(a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2))$ holds for each $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (3) there exist 4-ary polynomials d_0, d_1 such that $d_0(x, y, u, v) = d_1(x, y, u, v)$ if and only if $x = y$ or $u = v$ holds on any subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} .

Now, we can define the local property:

Definition. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety with a nullary operation 0. \mathcal{V} satisfies 0-PIP if for each $a_1, a_2 \in A \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$\Theta(a_1, 0) \wedge \Theta(a_2, 0) = \Theta(a, 0).$$

\mathcal{V} satisfies 0-PCC if for each $a_1, a_2 \in A \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $b \in A$ such that

$$\Theta(a_1, 0) \vee \Theta(a_2, 0) = \Theta(b, 0).$$

Varieties having 0-PCC were characterized in [3]. For 0-PIP, we can simplify Proposition 3 by putting $d_1 = 0$ and assuming $b_1 = 0 = b_2$, i.e., the second and fourth variables in d_0 are equal to 0. Hence, we obtain only one binary polynomial:

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{V} be a congruence distributive variety with a nullary operation 0. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{V} has 0-PIP;
- (2) there exists a binary polynomial $d(x, y)$ such that $\Theta(a_1, 0) \wedge \Theta(a_2, 0) = \Theta(d(a_1, a_2), 0)$ for each $a_1, a_2 \in A \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (3) there exists a binary polynomial $d(x, y)$ such that $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$ or $y = 0$ holds on any subdirectly irreducible member of \mathcal{V} .

The proof is a word-for-word analogue of that of K. A. Baker [1], and hence omitted.

Theorem 1. *Let D be a distributive lattice with the least element 0 (or the greatest element 1). The set of all principal congruences of the form $\Theta(x, 0)$ (or $\Theta(x, 1)$) forms a sublattice of $\text{Con } D$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{V} be a variety of all distributive lattices with the least element 0. By Theorem 5 in [3], \mathcal{V} has 0-PCC. It is well known that \mathcal{V} is congruence distributive. A distributive lattice is subdirectly irreducible if and only if it is either one element or a two element chain. Thus the polynomial $d(x, y) = x \wedge y$ satisfies (3) of Lemma, i.e. \mathcal{V} has 0-PIP. For a variety of all distributive lattices with 1, the proof is dual.

An algebra A with a nullary operation 0 is weakly regular (see e.g. [3]) if each two congruences $\Phi, \Theta \in \text{Con } A$ coincide whenever $[0]_\Phi = [0]_\Theta$.

Theorem 2. *Let D be a weakly regular distributive lattice with the least element 0 . The set of all principal congruences of D forms a sublattice of $\text{Con } D$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 in [2], D is weakly regular if and only if for each a, b of D there exists $c \in D$ such that $\Theta(a, b) = \Theta(c, 0)$. Hence, the set of all principal congruences in D coincides with the set of all principal congruences of the form $\Theta(x, 0)$. By Theorem 1, we obtain the assertion.

References

- [1] *Baker K. A.*: Primitive satisfaction and equational problems for lattices and other algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 190 (1974), 125–150.
- [2] *Chajda I.*: Weakly regular lattices, *Math. Slovaca* 35 (1985), 387–391.
- [3] *Chajda I.*: Forms of the congruence principality, *Period. Mathem. (Budapest)*, to appear.
- [4] *Duda J.*: Unified polynomials for congruence principality, *Math. Slovaca*, to appear.
- [5] *Zlatoš P.*: A Mal'cev condition for compact congruences to be principal, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 43 (1981), 383–387.

Author's address: 750 00 Přerov, třída Lidových milicí 22, Czechoslovakia.