

Bohdan Zelinka

A remark on signed posets and signed graphs

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 38 (1988), No. 4, 673–676

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102262>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## A REMARK ON SIGNED POSETS AND SIGNED GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received October 20, 1986)

In [1] signed posets and signed graphs corresponding to them are studied. The concept of a signed partially ordered set (shortly poset) is defined by means of the Möbius function on a poset.

Let  $P$  be a finite poset. The Möbius function  $\mu$  on  $P$  is a mapping of  $P \times P$  into the set of integers defined in such a way that  $\mu(x, y) = 1$  for  $x = y$ ,  $\mu(x, y) = -\sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu(x, z)$  for  $x < y$  and  $\mu(x, y) = 0$  otherwise. A poset  $P$  is called signed, if the Möbius function on  $P$  attains only the values  $-1, 0$  and  $1$ .

A signed graph is an undirected graph together with a mapping of its edge set into the set  $\{1, -1\}$ . In other words, a graph is signed, if its edge set is partitioned into two disjoint sets; the set of positive edges and the set of negative edges.

To a signed poset  $P$  a signed graph  $S(P)$  is assigned in such a way that the vertex set of  $S(P)$  is  $P$  and two distinct vertices  $x, y$  are joined by a positive (or negative) edge if and only if  $\mu(x, y) = 1$  (or  $\mu(x, y) = -1$ , respectively).

In [1] a problem is posed, for which signed graphs  $S$  do we have  $S(P) = S$  for some poset  $P$ . We shall consider a particular case when all edges of  $S(P)$  are negative. Some results concerning this case are given in Theorem 1 in [1]. If all edges of  $S(P)$  are negative, then  $S(P)$  contains no triangle, is isomorphic to the Hasse diagram of  $P$ , and each interval  $[x, y]$  for  $x \leq y$  in  $P$  is a chain. The condition that  $S$  contains no triangle is not sufficient for  $S$  to be  $S(P)$  for some  $P$ .

Before formulating a theorem, we introduce some concepts concerning trees.

A rooted tree is an ordered pair  $(T, r)$ , where  $T$  is a tree and  $r$  is one of its vertices, called the root. (It may be chosen arbitrarily.) If a rooted tree  $(T, r)$  is given and  $v$  is a vertex of  $T$ , then the subtree of  $(T, r)$  rooted at  $v$  is the rooted tree  $(T', v)$ , where  $T'$  is the subtree of  $T$  whose vertex set is the set of all vertices  $x$  of  $T$  with the property that  $v$  lies on the path connecting  $r$  and  $x$  in  $T$ .

Now we can prove a theorem.

**Theorem.** *Let  $S$  be a finite undirected signed graph, all of whose edges are negative. Then the following two assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists a signed poset  $P$  such that  $S \cong S(P)$ .*

(ii) There exist two-empty disjoint subsets  $X, Y$  of  $V(S)$  and a system  $\mathcal{T}$  of subtrees of  $S$  with the following properties:

- (a) The graph  $S$  is the union of all trees of  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- (b) There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the elements  $x \in X$  and trees  $T(x) \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $x \in V(T(x))$  and  $x \notin \bigcup_{y \in X - \{x\}} V(T(y))$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (c) All terminal vertices of all trees of  $\mathcal{T}$  are in  $Y$ .
- (d) If two trees  $T(x_1), T(x_2)$  from  $\mathcal{T}$  have a common vertex  $v$ , then there exists a tree  $T_0$  such that  $(T_0, v)$  is a subtree of both  $(T(x_1), x_1)$  and  $(T(x_2), x_2)$  rooted at  $v$ .

Remark. If  $G$  is a graph, then  $V(G)$  denotes (as usual) the vertex set of  $G$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let there exist a poset  $P$  such that  $S \cong S(P)$ . According to [1],  $S$  is isomorphic to the Hasse diagram of  $P$ . We may consider it directly as the Hasse diagram of  $P$ ; thus we take  $V(S) = P$ . Two vertices  $x, y$  of  $S$  are adjacent if and only if  $x$  covers  $y$  or  $y$  covers  $x$ . We introduce an orientation in  $S$  in such a way that an edge joining  $x$  and  $y$  is directed from  $x$  to  $y$  if and only if  $y$  covers  $x$ . Let  $S_0$  be the directed graph obtained in this way from  $S$ . The graph  $S_0$  is evidently acyclic. We shall prove that for any two vertices  $u, v$  of  $S_0$  there exists at most one directed path from  $u$  to  $v$ . Suppose that there exist two such paths  $P_1, P_2$ . If we go along  $P_1$  from  $u$  to  $v$ , then let  $x_0, x_1$  be the vertices of  $P_1$  such that  $x_0x_1$  is the first edge of  $P_1$  not belonging to  $P_2$ . The vertex  $x_0$  belongs to both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ . If also  $x_1$  belongs to  $P_2$ , then there exists a subpath of  $P_2$  from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  of a length at least 2. In the ordering of  $P$  the inner vertices of this path are greater than  $x_0$  and less than  $x_1$ , hence  $x_1$  does not cover  $x_0$  and this is a contradiction with the assumption that  $S$  is the Hasse diagram of  $P$ . Therefore  $x_1$  does not belong to  $P_2$ . Let  $x_2$  be such a vertex that  $x_0x_2$  is an edge of  $P_2$ ; the vertex  $x_2$  does not belong to  $P_1$  for the same reason as  $x_1$  does not belong to  $P_2$ . The set  $\{y \in P \mid y \geq x_1 \text{ \& } y \geq x_2\}$  is non-empty, because it contains  $v$ . Let  $x_3$  be a minimal element of this set. Then  $x_3 > x_0$  and  $\mu(x_0, x_3) = - \sum_{x_0 \leq z < x_3} \mu(x_0, z)$ . We have  $\mu(x_0, x_0) = 1, \mu(x_0, x_1) = \mu(x_0, x_2) = -1$  and  $\mu(x_0, y) \leq 0$  for all  $y \neq x$ . Hence  $\sum_{x_0 \leq z < x_3} \mu(x_0, z) \leq \mu(x_0, x_0) + \mu(x_0, x_1) + \mu(x_0, x_2) = -1$  and  $\mu(x_0, x_3) \geq 1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we have proved that there exists at most one directed path from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $S_0$ . Let  $X$  (or  $Y$ ) be the set of all sources (or sinks, respectively) of  $S_0$ . As  $S_0$  is finite and acyclic,  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $x \in X$  and let  $T_0(x)$  be the subgraph of  $S_0$  whose vertex set is the set of all vertices of  $S_0$  to which directed paths from  $x$  go. Suppose that  $T_0(x)$  contains a subgraph  $H$  which (considered as undirected) is a circuit. The graph  $H$ , being a subgraph of an acyclic graph, is acyclic and contains a sink  $y$ . Then it contains vertices  $y_1, y_2$  such that  $y_1y, y_2y$  are edges of  $H$ . As  $y_1, y_2$  belong to  $T_0(x)$ , there exist a directed path  $P_1$  from  $x$  to  $y_1$  and a directed path  $P_2$  from  $x$  to  $y_2$ . If we add the vertex  $y$  and the edge  $y_1y$  (or  $y_2y$ ) to  $P_1$  (or to  $P_2$ ), we obtain a path  $P'_1$  (or  $P'_2$ , respectively) from  $x$  to  $y$ . The paths  $P'_1, P'_2$  are distinct, because their last edges are

distinct; this is a contradiction with the above proved assertion. Hence  $T_0(x)$ , considered as undirected, is a tree. For each  $x \in X$  let  $T(x)$  be the tree  $T_0(x)$  considered as undirected, i.e. as a subtree of  $S$ . Let  $x_1, x_2$  be two distinct vertices from  $X$  and consider  $T_0(x_1)$  and  $T_0(x_2)$ . Suppose that they have a common vertex  $v$  and let  $T'_0(v)$  be the subgraph of  $S_0$  induced by the set of all vertices to which directed paths from  $v$  go. As directed paths go to  $v$  from both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , there are also directed paths from  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  to all vertices of  $T'_0(v)$ , and  $T'_0(v)$  is a common subgraph of  $T_0(x_1)$  and  $T_0(x_2)$ . If  $T'(v)$  is the graph  $T'_0(v)$  considered as undirected, then evidently  $(T'(v), v)$  is a subtree of both  $(T(x_1), x_1)$  and  $(T(x_2), x_2)$  rooted at  $v$ . Therefore (d) holds. The validity of (a) is evident. Each tree  $T(x)$  evidently contains  $x$  and cannot contain any  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , because all vertices of  $X$  are sources of  $S_0$ ; this implies (b). The condition (c) follows from the fact that  $Y$  is the set of all sinks of  $S_0$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let (ii) hold. We direct any tree  $T(x)$  in such a way that  $x$  becomes its unique source (this can be done in exactly one way). Such an orientation causes that each subtree of  $(T(x), x)$  rooted at a vertex  $v$  is directed so that  $v$  is its unique source. Hence if some edge of  $S$  belongs to more than one tree from  $\mathcal{T}$ , by (d) it is directed in the same way in the orientations of all of them. The graph obtained by such an orientation from  $S$  will be denoted by  $S_0$ ; evidently it is acyclic. Let  $u, v$  be two vertices of  $S_0$  such that there exists a directed path from  $u$  to  $v$ . Each directed path from  $u$  must lie in the tree  $T'(u)$  with the property that  $(T'(u), u)$  is a subtree of  $(T(x), x)$  rooted at  $v$  for any  $x \in X$  such that  $v$  is in  $T(x)$ . This implies that the directed path from  $u$  to  $v$  is unique. Now on  $P = V(S)$  we can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  in such a way that  $x \leq y$  if and only if there exists a directed path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $S_0$ . In the poset  $P$  with this ordering every interval  $[x, y]$  is a chain; by Theorem 1 from [1] this implies (i).  $\square$

From this result some corollaries easily follow.

**Corollary 1.** *A finite undirected graph  $S$  satisfies (ii) if and only if it can be directed in such a way that for any two vertices  $x, y$  there exists at most one directed path from  $x$  to  $y$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let a finite undirected graph contain two subsets  $X, Y$  of its vertex set such that (ii) holds for them. Then (ii) holds also in the case when we interchange  $X$  and  $Y$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $P$  be a finite signed poset for which all edges of  $S(P)$  are negative. Let  $P$  have the greatest element or the least element. Then  $S(P)$  is a tree.*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $P$  be a finite signed poset for which all edges of  $S(P)$  are negative. Let  $P$  have the greatest element and the least element. Then  $P$  is a chain and  $S(P)$  is a path.*

**Corollary 5.** *Every finite signed bipartite graph  $S$  in which all edges are negative is isomorphic to  $S(P)$  for some signed poset  $P$ .*

Note that in this case  $X$  and  $Y$  may be the bipartition classes of  $S$  and all trees of  $\mathcal{T}$  may be stars.

**Corollary 6.** *If a finite signed graph in which all edges are negative is isomorphic to  $S(P)$  for some signed poset  $P$ , then every graph obtained from  $S$  by subdividing its edges has the same property.*

At the end we remark that Theorem enables us to construct graphs with the mentioned property, but another theorem would be needed which would enable us to decide whether a given graph has this property.

#### *Reference*

- [1] Harary, F. - Sagan, B.: Signed posets, C.M.S. D.J.C. v. 3—10 (1983).

*Author's address:* 461 17 Liberec I, Studentská 1292, Czechoslovakia (katedra tváření a plastů VŠST).