

Dalibor Fronček

Graphs with a given edge neighbourhood

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 4, 627–630

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102338>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

GRAPHS WITH A GIVEN EDGE NEIGHBOURHOOD

DALIBOR FRONČEK, Ostrava

(Received April 21, 1987)

0. INTRODUCTION

All graphs considered in this paper are connected finite graphs without loops and multiple edges.

If the edge $e \in G$ joins the vertices x, y then denote by $N_G(e)$ or $N_G(x, y)$ the subgraph of the graph G induced by the set of all vertices adjacent to at least one of the vertices x, y (except the vertices x, y). Analogously, denote by $N_G(x)$ the subgraph of G induced by the set of all vertices adjacent to x .

A given graph H is called *edge-realizable* or shortly *e-realizable* (*vertex-realizable* or *v-realizable*) if there exists a graph G in which the neighbourhood $N_G(e)$ of every edge e ($N_G(x)$ of every vertex x) is isomorphic to H ; in such a case G is called an *e-realization* (a *v-realization*) of H . The set of all *e-realizations* (*v-realizations*) of H is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_e(H)$ ($\mathcal{R}_v(H)$).

The notion of *v-realizable* graphs was introduced by A. A. Zykov [4] and many authors have studied the properties of some families of these graphs. B. Zelinka [3] introduced the notion of *e-realizable* graphs and showed some families of them.

In this article some generalizations of the results of [3] are given.

1. *e*-REALIZATIONS OF THE COMPLETE MULTIPARTITE GRAPHS

Theorem A (Zelinka [3]). *The complete bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$ is e-realizable.*

A similar proposition for *v-realizable* graphs was suggested by B. Alspach and observed also by J. Doyen, X. Hubaud and M. Reynaert (see [2]).

Theorem B ([2]). *The complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} is not v-realizable unless $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k$.*

The next generalization of Theorem A is an analogue of Theorem B for *e-realizable* graphs.

Theorem 1. *The complete multipartite graph K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} is e-realizable if and only if*

$$n_1 + 1 = n_2 + 1 = n_3 = \dots = n_k.$$

To prove this theorem, we will use the following

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be isomorphic to K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} ($k \geq 3$). Then $N_G(e) \simeq N_G(f)$ for each pair of edges e, f of G if and only if $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k$.*

Proof of this lemma is simple and can be left to the reader.

Proof of Theorem 1. (\Leftarrow) If $G \simeq K_{n, n, \dots, n}$ then $N_G(e) \simeq K_{n-1, n-1, \dots, n}$.

(\Rightarrow) Let G be an e -realization of K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} . Then $N_G(y_1, y_2) \simeq K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$ for each pair of the adjacent vertices y_1, y_2 . Denote the parts of $N_G(y_1, y_2)$ by P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k and the vertices of P_i by $x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_{n_i}^i$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Without losing generality we can suppose that

$$(1) \quad n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_k.$$

Now explore the neighbourhood of the edge x_1^i, x_j^2 . As $G \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k})$ hence $N_G(x_1^i, x_j^2) \simeq K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$. Denote by P'_1, P'_2, \dots, P'_k the parts of $N_G(x_1^i, x_j^2)$. We can see that $N_G(x_1^i, x_j^2)$ contains the vertices y_1, y_2 and the graph $F \simeq K_{n_1-1, n_2-1, n_3, \dots, n_k}$ with the parts $P_1 - x_1^i, P_2 - x_j^2, P_3, \dots, P_k$. Since the vertices y_1, y_2 are adjacent, each part of $N_G(x_1^i, x_j^2)$ can contain at most one of these vertices. It follows from (1) that $P'_3 = P_3, P'_4 = P_4, \dots, P'_k = P_k$ and each of the parts P'_1, P'_2 contains exactly one of the vertices y_1, y_2 . Without loss of generality we can suppose that $P'_1 = P_1 - x_1^i + y_1$ and $P'_2 = P_2 - x_j^2 + y_2$. Therefore the vertex y_1 is adjacent to x_j^2 and to all vertices of the parts P'_2, P'_3, \dots, P'_k . Analogously, y_2 is adjacent to x_1^i and to all vertices of the parts $P'_1, P'_3, P'_4, \dots, P'_k$. Thus G contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{n_1+1, n_2+1, n_3, \dots, n_k} = K$.

As $N_G(y_1, y_2) \simeq K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k}$, the number of its vertices is $|N_G(y_1, y_2)| = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = n_0$. Since $G \in \mathcal{R}_e(K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k})$, the equality $|N_G(f)| = n_0$ holds for every edge f of G . On the other hand, $|N_K(f)| = n_0$ and hence $G = K$.

Under Lemma 1.1 $G \simeq K_{n, n, \dots, n}$ and this yields $n_1 + 1 = n_2 + 1 = n_3 = \dots = n_k$.

2. e -REALIZATIONS OF THE CYCLES

M. Brown and R. Connelly proved the following

Theorem C ([1]). *All cycles are vertex-realizable.*

In his article [3] Zelinka has shown that the cycles C_3, C_4, C_6, C_8 are e -realizable and C_5 is not e -realizable.

The next theorem is a generalization of this result.

Theorem 2. *The cycles C_{2n+1} are not e -realizable, with the single exception of C_3 .*

To prove this theorem we need the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let the graph $H = K_4 - e$ be a subgraph of G . Then G is not an e -realization of C_{2n+1} for $n > 1$.*

Proof. Let K_4 be the complete graph with vertices y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 and let $e = y_3, y_4$. Suppose that $H = K_4 - e$ is a subgraph of G . Let $N_G(y_1, y_2)$ be isomorphic to C_{2n+1}

with the vertices $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}$. Without loss of generality we can identify y_3 with x_0 and y_4 with x_j . It is evident that x_0 is not adjacent to x_j – in the opposite case $N_G(y_1, x_i)$ (or $N_G(y_2, x_i)$) for any $i \neq 0, j$ contains the cycle C_3 induced by the vertices y_2, x_0, x_j (y_1, x_0, x_j). Thus $2 \leq j \leq 2n - 1$.

Now suppose that there exists an edge x_i, x_{i+1} ($i \neq 0, j - 1, j, 2n$) such that x_i is adjacent to y_1 and x_{i+1} is adjacent to y_2 . Then $N_G(x_{i+1}, y_2)$ contains the subgraph $K_{1,3}$ with the vertices y_1, x_0, x_j, x_i , which is a contradiction. Analogously, if x_i is adjacent to y_2 and x_{i+1} is adjacent to y_1 then $N_G(x_{i+1}, y_1)$ contains $K_{1,3}$ with the vertices y_2, x_0, x_j, x_i . Thus all the vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{j-1} have to be adjacent to exactly one vertex of the pair y_1, y_2 . Without losing generality we can suppose that it is the vertex y_1 .

Analogously, all the vertices $x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_{2n}$ are adjacent to exactly one vertex y of the pair y_1, y_2 .

Now just one of the following cases occurs:

(i) $y = y_1$. Then $N_G(y_1, x_0)$ contains a subgraph $K_{1,3}$ with the vertices x_{j-1}, x_j, y_2 and hence $G \notin \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$.

(ii) $y = y_2$. Then $N_G(y_2, x_j)$ contains the path $x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_{2n}, x_0, y_1, x_{j-1}$. If $G \in \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$ then $N_G(y_2, x_j) \simeq C_{2n+1}$ with the vertices $x_0, y_1, x_{j-1}, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_j, x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \dots, x_{2n}$. Suppose that $z_i = x_r$ for any $i \in \{3, 4, \dots, j\}$, $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, j - 2\}$. As $G \in \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$ hence either x_r is adjacent to x_j (and $N_G(y_1, y_2) \not\cong C_{2n+1}$), or x_r is adjacent to y_2 (and $N_G(x_r, y_2)$ contains $K_{1,3}$ – see above). Thus $z_i \neq x_r$.

Hence $N_G(y_1, x_j)$ contains the cycle C_{2j} with $2j$ vertices $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_j, x_{j+1}, y_2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $G \notin \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$.

Now we are able to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $e = y_1, y_2$ be any edge of G and let $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n}\}$ be the vertex set of $N_G(e) \simeq C_{2n+1}$. If there exists a vertex x_i which is adjacent to both vertices y_1 and y_2 then the graph G contains the graph H from Lemma 2.1 with the vertex set $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, y_1, y_2\}$, and hence $G \notin \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$.

Thus each vertex x_i is adjacent to exactly one vertex of the pair y_1, y_2 . Since C_{2n+1} contains an odd number of vertices, there exists a triangle induced by the vertices y_1, x_i, x_{i+1} (or y_2, x_i, x_{i+1}). Without losing generality we can suppose that it is the triangle y_1, x_0, x_1 . Then x_2 is adjacent to y_2 (in the opposite case the vertices x_0, x_1, x_2, y_1 induce the graph H) and x_3 has to be also adjacent to y_2 (in the opposite case $N_G(x_1, x_2)$ contains the subgraph $K_{1,3}$ with the vertices y_1, y_2, x_0, x_3 , which is a contradiction). Hence the vertices x_{4k}, x_{4k+1} are adjacent to y_1 and the vertices x_{4k+2}, x_{4k+3} are adjacent to y_2 . If n is an even number then x_{2n} is adjacent to y_1 and G contains the subgraph H with the vertices x_{2n}, x_0, y_1, y_2 , which is a contradiction. If n is an odd number then x_{2n} is adjacent to y_2 . In this case x_{2n-1} is adjacent to y_1 and thus $N_G(x_{2n}, x_0)$ contains the subgraph $K_{1,3}$ with the vertices y_1, y_2, x_{2n-1}, x_1 , which is also a contradiction. Therefore $G \notin \mathcal{R}_e(C_{2n+1})$ and hence C_{2n+1} is not edge-realizable.

On the other hand, e -realizability of the even cycles was proved by R. Nedela [5].

Theorem D (Nedela). *The cycles C_{2n} are e -realizable for each $n \geq 2$.*

From this Theorem and our Theorem 2 we obtain the following

Corollary. *A cycle C_n is e -realizable if and only if n is an even number or $n = 3$.*

References

- [1] *M. Brown, R. Connelly*: On graphs with a constant link. In: Proof techniques in graph theory (F. Harary ed.), Academic press 1969.
- [2] *J. Doyen, X. Hubaud, M. Reynaert*: Finite graphs with isomorphic neighbourhood. In: Colloque CNRS. Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes, Orsay 1976.
- [3] *B. Zelinka*: Edge neighbourhood graphs. Czech. Math. Journ. 36 (111), 1986, 44–47.
- [4] *A. A. Zykov*: Problem 30. In: Theory of graphs and its applications. Proc. Symp. Smolenice 1963 (ed. M. Fiedler), Prague 1964, 164–165.
- [5] *R. Nedela*: Graphs which are edge-locally C_n . Submitted to Czech. Math. Journ.

Author's address: 708 33 Ostrava, tř. Vítězného února, Czechoslovakia (Vysoká škola báňská).