

Alois Švec

On the affine normal

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 40 (1990), No. 2, 332–342

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102385>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE AFFINE NORMAL

ALOIS ŠVEC, Brno

(Received April 7, 1989)

In what follows, I am going to define (in the analytic as well as the geometric way) the affine normal of a hypersurface in the affine space. The construction may be compared with the papers [1] and [2] and the literature cited therein.

1. In this preliminary section, we put together several lemmas needed in the sequel; the proofs are elementary (using the local coordinates).

Let  $M^N$  be an  $N$ -dimensional differentiable manifold with local coordinates  $(\xi) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N)$ . On  $M^N$ , be given an affine connection  $\nabla$  by means of the functions  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ . The torsion and curvature tensors of  $\nabla$  are given by

$$(1.1) \quad T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k, \quad R_{ikl}^j = \partial_l \Gamma_{ik}^j - \partial_k \Gamma_{il}^j + \Gamma_{ik}^r \Gamma_{rl}^j - \Gamma_{il}^r \Gamma_{rk}^j$$

resp. For a function  $f: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a tangent field  $X$  on  $M^N$ , we write  $\partial_i f := \partial f / \partial \xi^i$  and  $D_X f = \nabla_X f := Xf$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Be given  $(M^N, \nabla)$ . Let  $f: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function,  $\omega$  a section of  $T^*(M^N)$ ,  $\Omega$  a symmetric section of  $T^*(M^N) \otimes T^*(M^N)$ ,  $S_\xi: T_\xi(M^N) \rightarrow T_\xi(M^N)$  a field of endomorphisms. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]f = D_{T(X,Y)}f,$$

$$(1.3) \quad [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]\omega(Z) = \nabla_{T(X,Y)}\omega(Z) + \omega(R(X, Y)Z),$$

$$(1.4) \quad [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]\Omega(Z, T) = \nabla_{T(X,Y)}\Omega(Z, T) + \Omega(R(X, Y)Z, T) + \Omega(R(X, Y)T, Z),$$

$$(1.5) \quad D_X D_Y f = \nabla_X D_Y f + D_{\nabla_X Y} f,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \nabla_Y D_{S(X)} f = D_{\nabla_Y S(X)} f + \nabla_{S(X)} D_Y f + D_{T(Y, S(X))} f.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** *Be given  $(M^N, \nabla)$  and an affine connection  $\nabla^*$  on  $M^N$ ; let  $\varrho(X, Y) := (\nabla^* - \nabla)(X, Y)$  be the difference tensor. Let  $\omega$  be a section of  $T^*(M^N)$  and  $\Omega$  a section of  $T^*(M^N) \otimes T^*(M^N)$ . Then*

$$(1.7) \quad \nabla_X^* \omega(Y) = \nabla_X \omega(Y) - \omega(\varrho(Y, X)),$$

$$(1.8) \quad \nabla_X^* \Omega(Y, Z) = \nabla_X \Omega(Y, Z) - \Omega(\varrho(Y, X), Z) - \Omega(Y, \varrho(Z, X)).$$

Let  $h(X, Y)$  be a symmetric bilinear form on  $M^N$ ; suppose  $h$  to be regular. Then

there exists the inverse symmetric bilinear form  $\tilde{h}$  as a section of  $T(M^N) \otimes T(M^N)$  in local coordinates, it is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{h}^{ir} h_{jr} = \delta_j^i.$$

Let  $G$  be a  $(p+2)$ -linear form on  $M^N$ ; define the  $p$ -linear form

$$(1.10) \quad \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}(X_i, X_j)} G(X_1, \dots, X_p)$$

by means of the local coordinates as

$$\tilde{h}^{rs} g_{k_1 \dots k_{i-1} r k_i + 1 \dots k_{j-1} s k_j + 1 \dots k_p + 2}.$$

The following is trivial.

**Lemma 1.3.** *We have*

$$(1.11) \quad \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}(X, Y)} h(X, Y) = N, \quad \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}(Z, T)} h(X, Z) h(Y, T) = h(X, Y).$$

If  $h^* = \alpha^{-1} h$ , then  $\tilde{h}^* = \alpha \tilde{h}$ .

2. Let  $A^{N+1}$  be the affine space,  $V^{N+1}$  its vector space,  $M^N$  a differentiable manifold and  $m: M^N \rightarrow A^{N+1}$  an immersion. The *normalization*  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $m$  is the choice of a mapping  $n: M^N \rightarrow V^{N+1}$  such that  $n(\xi)$  is transversal to  $m(M^N)$  at the point  $m(\xi)$  for each  $\xi \in M^N$ .

The fundamental equations of a normalized hypersurface are

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_i m = m_i, \quad \partial_j m_i = \Gamma_{ij}^k m_k + h_{ij} n, \quad \partial_i n = -S_i^j m_j + \tau_i n;$$

it is easy to see that  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  induce a linear connection  $\nabla$  on  $M^N$ . Using this, (2.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla_Y D_X m = h(X, Y) n, \quad D_X n = -D_{S(X)} m + \tau(X) n.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The integrability conditions of (2.2) are*

$$(2.3) \quad h(X, Y) = h(Y, X),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_Z h(X, Y) + h(X, Y) \tau(Z) = \nabla_Y h(X, Z) + h(X, Z) \tau(Y),$$

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y) Z = h(Z, X) S(Y) - h(Z, Y) S(X),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_X \tau(Y) - h(X, S(Y)) = \nabla_Y \tau(X) - h(Y, S(X)),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X S(Y) + \tau(Y) S(X) = \nabla_Y S(X) + \tau(X) S(Y).$$

*Proof.* Using (1.2) for  $f = m$ , we get

$$(2.8) \quad 0 = [\nabla_Y, \nabla_X] m = \{h(X, Y) - h(Y, X)\} n,$$

i.e., (2.3). From (2.2) and (1.3) for  $\omega(X) = D_X m$ , we get

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{R(X, Y)Z} m &= [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] D_Z m = \\ &= \nabla_X h(Z, Y) n + h(Z, Y) \{-D_{S(X)} m + \tau(X) n\} - \\ &- \nabla_Y h(Z, X) n - h(Z, X) \{-D_{S(Y)} m + \tau(Y) n\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(2.10) \quad (D_{R(X,Y)Z} + D_{h(Z,Y)S(X)} - D_{h(Z,X)S(Y)}) m = \\ = \{ \nabla_X h(Z, Y) + h(Z, Y) \tau(X) - \nabla_Y h(Z, X) - h(Z, X) \tau(Y) \} n,$$

and we have (2.4) and (2.5). From (1.2) and (1.6), we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad 0 = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] n = \\ = -\nabla_Y D_{S(X)} m + \nabla_X \tau(Y) n + \tau(Y) \{ -D_{S(X)} m + \tau(X) n \} + \\ + \nabla_X D_{S(Y)} m - \nabla_Y \tau(X) n - \tau(X) \{ -D_{S(Y)} m + \tau(Y) n \} = \\ = -D_{\nabla_X S(Y)} m - \nabla_{S(Y)} D_X m + \nabla_X \tau(Y) n - D_{\tau(Y)S(X)} m + \\ + D_{\nabla_Y S(X)} m + \nabla_{S(X)} D_Y m - \nabla_Y \tau(X) n + D_{\tau(X)S(Y)} m;$$

according to (2.2<sub>1</sub>),  $\nabla_{S(Y)} D_X m = h(X, S(Y)) n$ . Comparing the corresponding terms as in (2.10), we get (2.6) + (2.7). QED.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The forms*

$$(2.12) \quad F_3(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_Z h(X, Y) + h(X, Y) \tau(Z),$$

$$(2.13) \quad F_4(X, Y, Z, T) = \nabla_T F_3(X, Y, Z) + F_3(X, Y, Z) \tau(T) + \\ + h(X, Y) h(Z, S(T)) + h(Y, Z) h(X, S(T)) + h(Z, X) h(Y, S(T)),$$

$$(2.14) \quad F_5(X, Y, Z, T, U) = \nabla_U F_4(X, Y, Z, T) + F_4(X, Y, Z, T) \tau(U) + \\ + h(X, Y) F_3(Z, T, S(U)) + h(X, Z) F_3(Y, T, S(U)) + \\ + h(X, T) F_3(Y, Z, S(U)) + h(Y, Z) F_3(X, T, S(U)) + \\ + h(Y, T) F_3(X, Z, S(U)) + h(Z, T) F_3(X, Y, S(U)) + \\ + h(X, S(U)) F_3(Y, Z, T) + h(Y, S(U)) F_3(X, Z, T) + \\ + h(Z, S(U)) F_3(X, Y, T) + h(T, S(U)) F_3(X, Y, Z)$$

are symmetric.

*Proof.* The form (2.12) is symmetric because of (2.3) and (2.4). The form  $F_4$  is symmetric in  $X, Y, Z$  by definition. Using (1.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$(2.15) \quad F_4(X, Y, Z, T) - F_4(X, Y, T, Z) = \\ = [\nabla_T, \nabla_Z] h(X, Y) + \nabla_T h(X, Y) \tau(Z) - \nabla_Z h(X, Y) \tau(T) + \\ + h(X, Y) \{ \nabla_T \tau(Z) - \nabla_Z \tau(T) \} + \\ + \nabla_Z h(X, Y) \tau(T) - \nabla_T h(X, Y) \tau(Z) + \\ + h(X, Y) \{ \tau(Z) \tau(T) - \tau(T) \tau(Z) \} + \\ + h(X, Y) \{ h(Z, S(T)) - h(T, S(Z)) \} + h(Y, Z) h(X, S(T)) - \\ - h(Y, T) h(X, S(Z)) + h(Z, X) h(Y, S(T)) - h(T, X) h(Y, S(Z)) = \\ = h(R(T, Z) X, Y) + h(X, R(T, Z) Y) + h(Y, Z) h(X, S(T)) -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -h(Y, T)h(X, S(Z)) + h(Z, X)h(Y, S(T)) - h(T, X)h(Y, S(Z)) = \\
& = h(h(X, T)S(Z) - h(X, Z)S(T), Y) + h(X, h(Y, T)S(Z) - \\
& - h(Y, Z)S(T)) + h(Y, Z)h(X, S(T)) - h(Y, T)h(X, S(Z)) + \\
& + h(Z, X)h(Y, S(T)) - h(T, X)h(Y, S(Z)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof for  $F_5$  goes along the same lines, it is just a little bit longer. QED.

Let  $A$  be a tangent vector field on  $M^N$  and  $\alpha: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$  for each  $\xi \in M^N$ , a function. Consider a new normalization  $\mathcal{N}^*$  given by

$$(2.16) \quad n^* = D_A m + \alpha n.$$

It induces a new linear connection  $\nabla^*$  on  $M^N$ , and we get

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let*

$$(2.17) \quad \nabla_Y^* D_X m = h^*(X, Y) n^*, \quad D_X n^* = -D_{S^*(X)} m + \tau^*(X) n^*$$

by equations analogous to (2.2). Then

$$(2.18) \quad (\nabla^* - \nabla)(X, Y) = -\alpha^{-1}h(X, Y)A,$$

$$(2.19) \quad h^*(X, Y) = \alpha^{-1}h(X, Y),$$

$$(2.20) \quad \tau^*(X) = \tau(X) + \alpha^{-1}\{h(A, X) + D_X \alpha\},$$

$$(2.21) \quad S^*(X) = \alpha S(X) - \nabla_X A + \{\tau(X) + \alpha^{-1}h(A, X) + \alpha^{-1}D_X \alpha\}A.$$

*Proof.* From (2.17<sub>1</sub>) + (2.2<sub>1</sub>),

$$(2.22) \quad (\nabla_Y^* - \nabla_Y) D_X m = \{\alpha h^*(X, Y) - h(X, Y)\}n + h^*(X, Y)D_A m.$$

From this, we get (2.19). Further, consider (1.7) with  $\omega(X) = D_X m$ . We immediately see that  $\varrho(X, Y) = -\alpha^{-1}h(X, Y)A$ , i.e., we have (2.18). Further, using (1.5), we get

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} D_X n^* &= D_X D_A m + D_X \alpha n + \alpha D_X n = \\ &= D_{\nabla_X A} m + \nabla_X D_A m + D_X \alpha n + \alpha \{-D_{S(X)} m + \tau(X) n\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have  $\nabla_X D_A m = h(X, Y)n$ ; inserting into (2.17<sub>2</sub>),

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\nabla_X A} m + h(X, Y)n + D_X \alpha n - D_{\alpha S(X)} m + \alpha \tau(X) n = \\ = -D_{S^*(X)} m + \tau^*(X)(D_A m + \alpha n), \end{aligned}$$

and (2.20) + (2.21) follow. QED.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the form  $F_3^*(X, Y, Z)$  be associated to the normalization  $\mathcal{N}^*$ . Then*

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} F_3^*(X, Y, Z) &= \alpha^{-1}F_3(X, Y, Z) + \\ &+ \alpha^{-2}\{h(X, Y)h(Z, A) + h(Y, Z)h(X, A) + h(Z, X)h(Y, A)\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Using (1.8) with  $\varrho(X, Y) = -\alpha^{-1}h(X, Y)A$ , we get

$$(2.26) \quad F_3^*(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_2^* h^*(X, Y) + h^*(X, Y)\tau^*(Z) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla_Z^*(\alpha^{-1}h(X, Y)) + h^*(X, Y) \tau^*(Z) = \\
&= -\alpha^{-2}D_Z\alpha h(X, Y) + \alpha^{-1}\{\nabla_Z h(X, Y) + h(\alpha^{-1}h(X, Z) A, Y) + \\
&\quad + h(X, \alpha^{-1}h(Y, Z) A)\} + \alpha^{-2}h(X, Y) h(Z, A) + \\
&\quad + \alpha^{-2}D_Z\alpha h(X, Y) + \alpha^{-1}h(X, Y) \tau(Z),
\end{aligned}$$

and (2.25) follows. QED.

**Supposition 2.1.** Let us restrict ourselves to hypersurfaces with  $h$  regular.

Using the notation (1.10) and Lemma 1.3, we get

$$(2.27) \quad \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}^*(X, Y)} F_3^*(X, Y, Z) = \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}(X, Y)} F_3(X, Y, Z) + (N + 2) \alpha^{-1}h(A, Z)$$

from (2.26).

**Definition 2.1.** The normalization  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *good* if

$$(2.28) \quad \text{Tr}_{\tilde{h}(X, Y)} F_3(X, Y, Z) = 0 \quad \text{for each } Z.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *There exist good normalizations. If  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*$  are two good normalizations, we have  $A = 0$ , i.e.,*

$$(2.29) \quad n^* = \alpha n.$$

Proof follows immediately from (1.27). QED.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*$  be good normalizations. Then*

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^* &= \nabla, \quad h^*(X, Y) = \alpha^{-1}h(X, Y), \\ \tau^*(X) &= \tau(X) + \alpha^{-1}D_X\alpha, \quad S^*(X) = \alpha S(X), \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$(2.31) \quad F_3^*(X, Y, Z) = \alpha^{-1}F_3(X, Y, Z), \quad F_4^*(X, Y, Z, T) = \alpha^{-1}F_4(X, Y, Z, T).$$

Proof. See (2.18)–(2.21) with  $A = 0$ . QED.

3. Because the formulas are going to be too complicated, I will express them in the usual tensor slang. Let us restrict ourselves to a domain of  $M^N$  with the local coordinates  $(\xi) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N)$ . For the tangent vector fields  $X = x^i \partial/\partial \xi^i, \dots, U = u^i \partial/\partial \xi^i$ , write

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} h(X, Y) &= h_{ij}x^i y^j, \quad F_3(X, Y, Z) = a_{ijk}x^i y^j z^k, \\ F_4(X, Y, Z, T) &= a_{ijkl}x^i y^j z^k t^l, \quad F_5(X, Y, Z, T, U) = a_{ijklp}x^i y^j z^k t^l u^p; \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} a_{ijk} &= \nabla_k h_{ij} + h_{ij}\tau_k, \\ a_{ijkl} &= \nabla_l a_{ijk} + a_{ijk}\tau_l + (h_{ij}h_{kr} + h_{jk}h_{ir} + h_{ki}h_{jr}) S_l^r, \\ a_{ijklp} &= \nabla_p a_{ijkl} + a_{ijkl}\tau_p + (h_{ij}a_{klr} + h_{ik}a_{jlr} + h_{il}a_{jkr} + h_{jk}a_{ilr} + \\ &\quad + h_{jl}a_{ikr} + h_{kl}a_{ijr} + h_{ir}a_{jkl} + h_{jr}a_{ikl} + h_{kr}a_{ijl} + h_{lr}a_{ijk}) S_p^r. \end{aligned}$$

Simple calculations yield

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have*

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_k \tilde{h}^{ij} = \tilde{h}^{ij} \tau_k - \tilde{h}^{ir} \tilde{h}^{js} a_{rsk},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_j(\tilde{h}^{rs} a_{rsi}) = \tilde{h}^{rs} a_{rsij} - \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} a_{rsi} a_{r's'j} - (N+2) h_{ir} S_j^r.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a good normalization, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad S_i^j = (N+2)^{-1} \tilde{h}^{tj} (\tilde{h}^{rs} a_{rsti} - \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} a_{rsi} a_{r's't}),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \tau_i = -N^{-1} \tilde{h}^{rs} \nabla_i h_{rs}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad d\tau = 0;$$

here,  $d$  is the exterior differential.

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{N}$  is good, we have  $\tilde{h}^{rs} a_{rsi} = 0$ , and (3.4) implies (3.5). Looking at the right-hand side of (3.4), we see that

$$(3.8) \quad h_{ir} S_j^r = h_{jr} S_i^r.$$

The integrability condition (2.6) being

$$(3.9) \quad \nabla_i \tau_j - h_{ir} S_j^r = \nabla_j \tau_i - h_{jr} S_i^r,$$

(3.8) simplifies it to

$$(3.10) \quad \nabla_i \tau_j = \nabla_j \tau_i.$$

From  $d(\tau_i d\xi^i) = \partial_j \tau_i d\xi^j \wedge d\xi^i$ , we see that  $d\tau = 0$  if and only if  $\partial_j \tau_i = \partial_i \tau_j$ , this being equivalent to (3.10). Finally, (3.6) follows from (3.2<sub>1</sub>). QED.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a good normalization, we have*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_i(\tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'}) &= \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'} \tau_i + \\ &+ \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} (2\tilde{h}^{rr'} a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'i} - 3\tilde{h}^{r'q} \tilde{h}^{r'q'} a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'} a_{qq'i}), \\ \nabla_i(\tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} a_{rr'ss'}) &= \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} a_{rr'ss'} \tau_i - \\ &- 2\tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} a_{rsi} a_{r's'pp'} + \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} a_{rr'ss'i}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Tr } S = S_r^r,$$

we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (N+2) \nabla_i \text{Tr } S &= (N+2) \text{Tr } S \tau_i + \tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \dot{a}_{rr'ss'i} - \\ &- 2\tilde{h}^{rr'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} (a_{rsi} a_{r's'pp'} + a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'i}) + \\ &+ 3\tilde{h}^{r'q} \tilde{h}^{r'q'} \tilde{h}^{ss'} \tilde{h}^{pp'} a_{rsp} a_{r's'p'} a_{qq'i}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We obtain (3.11) by a direct calculation as well as (3.13) using (3.5). QED.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*$  are good normalizations, we have*

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Tr } S^* = \alpha \text{Tr } S.$$

Proof follows from (2.30<sub>4</sub>). QED.

**Supposition 3.1.** We restrict ourselves to hypersurfaces with

$$(3.15) \quad \text{Tr } S \neq 0$$

using a good normalization.

**Definition 3.1.** The good normalization  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *very good* if

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Tr } S = N.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *There is exactly one very good normalization  $\mathcal{N}$  of a given hypersurface.*

Proof is trivial.

Let us remark the following two facts. If  $\mathcal{N}$  is a very good normalization, we may calculate  $\tau$  from (3.13), the left-hand side being equal to zero. The form  $h(X, Y)$  induces then a pseudoriemannian metric on  $M^N$ ; it is simple to calculate its associated connection as

$$(3.17) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ jk \end{matrix} \right\} = \Gamma_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{h}^{ir} a_{jks} - \delta_j^i \tau_k - \delta_k^i \tau_j + \tilde{h}^{ir} h_{jk} \tau_r),$$

$\delta_j^i$  being the Kronecker deltas.

4. We are going to present a geometric description of the very good normalization. Let us start with a normalized hypersurface  $m: M^N \rightarrow A^{N+1}$  given by (2.1); let  $\xi_0 \in M^N$  be a fixed point in a coordinate neighborhood  $(\xi) = (\xi^1, \dots, \xi^N)$  of  $M^N$ . Be given a curve  $\gamma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M^N$  such that  $\gamma(0) = \xi_0$  by  $\xi^i = f^i(t)$ , and consider the curve  $m \circ \gamma: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow A^{N+1}$  given by  $m(t) = m(f^i(t))$ ; we have

$$(4.1) \quad m(t) = m(\xi_0) + t \frac{dm(0)}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \frac{d^2 m(0)}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{6} t^3 \frac{d^3 m(0)}{dt^3} + \dots$$

Write

$$(4.2) \quad F^i := \frac{df^i(0)}{dt}, \quad G^i := \frac{d^2 f^i(0)}{dt^2},$$

and introduce the coordinates of  $y \in A^{N+1}$  at  $m(\xi_0)$  by

$$(4.3) \quad y = m(\xi_0) + y^i m_i(\xi_0) + y^{N+1} n(\xi_0).$$

The curve  $m(t)$  (4.1) is then given by

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} y^i &= y^i(t) = tF^i + \frac{1}{2} t^2 (G^i + \Gamma_{rs}^i F^r F^s) + O(t^3), \\ y^{N+1} &= y^{N+1}(t) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 h_{rs} F^r F^s + \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} t^3 \{ (\partial_p h_{rs} + h_{rs} \tau_p + h_{qs} \Gamma_{pr}^q) F^p F^r F^s + 3h_{rs} F^r G^s \} + O(t^4). \end{aligned}$$



A general hyperquadric  $Q^N \subset A^{N+1}$  is given by

$$(4.5) \quad Q(y^i, y^{N+1}) \equiv A_{ij}y^i y^j + B_i y^i y^{N+1} + C(y^{N+1})^2 + D_i y^i + E y^{N+1} + F = 0.$$

We say that the curve  $m(t)$  (4.4) has a contact of order  $k$  (at least) with the hyperquadric  $Q$  (4.5) at  $m(\xi_0)$  if

$$(4.6) \quad Q(y^i(t), y^{N+1}(t)) = O(t^{k+1}).$$

Inserting (4.4) into (4.5) and looking at the terms at  $t^0, t^1, t^2$ , we easily prove

**Lemma 4.1.** *Each of the hyperquadrics*

$$(4.7) \quad -\frac{1}{2}E h_{ij} y^i y^j + B_i y^i y^{N+1} + C(y^{N+1})^2 + E y^{N+1} = 0$$

has a contact of order 2 (at least) with any curve  $m \circ \gamma$  at the point  $m(\xi_0)$ .

The hyperquadrics (4.7) are the so-called *osculating hyperquadrics* of the hypersurface  $m: M^N \rightarrow A^{N+1}$  at the point  $m(\xi_0)$ .

Comparing further the terms at  $t^3$ , we get

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $F \in T_{\xi_0}(M^N)$ ,  $F = F^i \partial/\partial \xi^i$ . Each curve  $m \circ \gamma$  with  $d\gamma(d/dt|_{t=0}) = F$  has a contact of order 3 (at least) with the osculating quadric (4.7) if and only if*

$$(4.8) \quad f_{ijk} F^i F^j F^k = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad f_{ijk} = E a_{ijk} + B_i h_{jk} + B_j h_{ki} + B_k h_{ij}.$$

**Definition 4.1.** The osculating hyperquadric is said to be a *Darboux hyperquadric* if the cone (4.8) is apolar to the so-called asymptotic hypercone  $h_{ij} F^i F^j = 0$ .

Elementary analytic geometry implies the validity of the following

**Proposition 4.1.** *The Darboux hyperquadrics form a pencil*

$$(4.9) \quad (N+2) h_{ij} y^i y^j + 2\tilde{h}^{rs} a_{rsi} y^i y^{N+1} - 2(N+2) y^{N+1} + \lambda (y^{N+1})^2 = 0, \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the (proper) centers of them are situated on a straight line  $l$ . We have  $l = \{x = m + sn, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a good normalization.

This is the geometric description of the good affine normal straight line.

Be given a hypersurface  $m$  with a good normalization  $\mathcal{N}$ . On each normal straight line be chosen a point

$$(4.10) \quad F = m + fn.$$

$f: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a function. The set of points  $F(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \in M^N$ , is called a *focal set* if there is a non-vanishing tangent vector field  $X$  on  $M^N$  such that  $\tan(D_X F) = 0$ . For  $X = x^i \partial/\partial x^i$ ,

$$(4.11) \quad D_X F = D_{X-fS(X)} m + \{D_X f + f\tau(X)\} n,$$

i.e., (4.10) is a focal set if and only if there is a  $X \neq 0$  such that

$$(4.12) \quad X - fS(X) = 0.$$

This means that we must have

$$(4.13) \quad \det \|J_N - fS\| = \det \|\delta_i^j - fS_i^j\| = 0.$$

On the normal line  $m + tn$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , let us pass to the homogeneous coordinates  $t = t_1/t_0$ ; the point  $F$  (4.10) is then a focus if and only if

$$(4.14) \quad \varphi(f_0, f_1) \equiv \det \|f_0\delta_i^j - f_1S_i^j\| = 0.$$

On each normal line there are, in general,  $N$  foci (some of them may coincide or be the improper point of the normal line).

**Definition 4.2.** The *central point* of the normal line is the point apolar to  $m$  with respect to the  $N$ -tuple of foci.

Let  $(s_0, s_1)$  be the homogeneous coordinates of the central point; the homogeneous coordinates of the point  $m$  are, of course,  $\mu_0 = 1$ ,  $\mu_1 = 0$ . In general, the point apolar to the point  $(\mu_0, \mu_1)$  satisfies

$$(4.15) \quad s_0 \frac{\partial \varphi(\mu_0, \mu_1)}{\partial f_0} + s_1 \frac{\partial \varphi(\mu_0, \mu_1)}{\partial f_1} = 0.$$

In our case, (4.15) becomes

$$(4.16) \quad Ns_0 - \text{Tr } Ss_1 = 0.$$

They are two possibilities. In the case  $\text{Tr } S = 0$ , the central point is the improper point of the normal line. In the case  $\text{Tr } S \neq 0$ , we may pass to the very good normalization (see Definition 3.1), and then the central point is exactly the point  $m + n$ . This gives the geometrical description of the Supposition 3.1 and of the very good normalization.

We may define the *Lie hyperquadric* of our hypersurface as the Darboux hyperquadric with its center in the central point. This is in accord with the case of a hyperbolic surface in  $A^3$ ; see [1], p. 223.

**5.** Let us, very briefly, describe the situation of a hypersurface of a space with the affine connection  $(\tilde{M}^{N+1}, \tilde{V})$ . The proofs are similar to that of paragraph 2, and I am not going to repeat them.

Let  $m: M^N \rightarrow \tilde{M}^{N+1}$  be an immersion; our considerations being local, let us identify  $M^N$  with  $m(M^N)$ . Let us choose a normalization  $\mathcal{N}$  as a map  $n: M^N \rightarrow T(\tilde{M}^{N+1})$  such that  $n(\xi) \in T_\xi(M^N)$  is transversal to  $M^N$  at the point  $\xi \in M^N$ .

Let  $\tilde{T}$  and  $\tilde{R}$  be the torsion and curvature of  $(\tilde{M}^{N+1}, \tilde{V})$  resp. The forms

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{T}'_\nu: T(M^N) \times T(M^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \tilde{R}'_\nu: \mathbf{X}^3 T(M^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be defined by

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{T}'_\nu(X, Y)n = \text{nor } \tilde{T}(X, Y), \quad \tilde{R}'_\nu(X, Y)Zn = \text{nor } \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z;$$

here,  $\text{nor } V$  and  $\text{tan } V$  are the normal or tangential parts of  $V \in T_\xi(\tilde{M}^{N+1})$  at the point  $\xi \in M^N$  resp.

The fundamental equations of our hypersurface are

$$(5.3) \quad \partial_i m = m_i, \quad \bar{V}_j m_i = \Gamma_{ij}^k m_k + h_{ij} n, \quad \bar{V}_i n = -S_i^j m_j + \tau_i n;$$

the functions  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  induce an affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M^N$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The integrability conditions of (5.3) are*

$$(5.4) \quad T(X, Y) = \tan \tilde{T}(X, Y),$$

$$(5.5) \quad h(X, Y) - h(Y, X) = \tilde{T}'_x(X, Y),$$

$$(5.6) \quad h(X, Z) S(Y) - h(X, Y) S(Z) + R(Y, Z) X = \tan \tilde{R}(Y, Z) X, \\ \nabla_Y h(Z, X) + h(Z, X) \tau(Y) - \nabla_X h(Z, Y) - h(Z, Y) \tau(X) + \\ + h(Z, T(X, Y)) = \tilde{R}'_x(X, Y) Z, \\ \nabla_X S(Y) - \tau(X) S(Y) - \nabla_Y S(X) + \tau(Y) S(X) + S(T(Y, X)) = \\ = \tan \tilde{R}(X, Y) n, \\ \nabla_X \tau(Y) + h(S(X), Y) - \nabla_Y \tau(X) - h(S(Y), X) + \tau(T(Y, X)) = \\ = \tilde{R}'_x(Y, X) n.$$

Define

$$(5.7) \quad F_3(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_Z h(X, Y) + h(X, Y) \tau(Z).$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *We have*

$$(5.8) \quad F_3(X, Y, Z) - F_3(X, Z, Y) = \tilde{R}'_x(Y, Z) X + h(X, T(Z, Y)), \\ F_3(X, Y, Z) - F_3(Y, X, Z) = \tilde{T}'_x(X, Y) \tau(Z) + \nabla_Z \tilde{T}'_x(X, Y).$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a tangent vector field on  $M^N$ ,  $\alpha: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a nowhere vanishing function, and*

$$(5.9) \quad n^* = A + \alpha n$$

*a new normalization  $\mathcal{N}^*$ . Then we have (5.3\*) with*

$$(5.10) \quad h^*(X, Y) = \alpha^{-1} h(X, Y),$$

$$(5.11) \quad \nabla_Y^* X = \nabla_Y X - \alpha^{-1} h(Y, X) A,$$

$$\tau^*(X) = \tau(X) + \alpha^{-1} \{h(A, X) + D_X \alpha\},$$

$$S^*(X) = \alpha S(X) - \nabla_X A + \{\tau(X) + \alpha^{-1} h(A, X) + \alpha^{-1} D_X \alpha\} A;$$

$$(5.12) \quad F_3^*(X, Y, Z) = \alpha^{-1} F_3(X, Y, Z) + \\ + \alpha^{-2} \{h(X, Y) h(A, Z) + h(X, Z) h(A, Y) + h(Y, Z) h(X, A)\}.$$

**Definition 5.1.** *The symmetrizations  $\hat{h}$  and  $\hat{F}_3$  be defined by*

$$(5.13) \quad \hat{h}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \{h(X, Y) + h(Y, X)\},$$

$$\hat{F}_3(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{6} \{F_3(X, Y, Z) + \dots + F_3(X, Z, Y)\}.$$

**Supposition 5.1.** We suppose  $\hat{h}$  to be regular.

**Definition 5.2.** The normalization  $\mathcal{N}$  is called *good* if  $\hat{h}$  and  $\hat{F}_3$  are polar.

**Proposition 5.1.** *There are good normalizations. If  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*$  are good, there is a function  $\alpha: M^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $n^* = \alpha n$ .*

To get a *very good* normalization, we may proceed as above. The form (2.13) is not symmetric (in general), but we may pass to its symmetrization  $\hat{F}_4$ .

#### *References*

- [1] Affine Differentialgeometrie. Proceedings Math. Forschungsinst. Oberwolfach, 2.—8. Nov. 1986, Technische Univ. Berlin.
- [2] Nomizu K., Pinkall U.: Cubic form theorem for affine immersions. Results in Math., Vol 13 (1988), 338—362.

*Author's address:* Přehradní 10, 635 00 Brno, Czechoslovakia.