

Jaromír Duda

Conditions for factorable relations

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 1, 131–134

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102442>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CONDITIONS FOR FACTORABLE RELATIONS

JAROMÍR DUDA, Brno

(Received March 27, 1990)

Let A, B be algebras of the same type. A binary relation R on the product $A \times B$ is called *factorable* whenever $R = R_A \times R_B$ for some binary relations R_A on A and R_B on B . A variety \mathcal{V} has *factorable congruences* (tolerances) whenever every congruence (tolerance, respectively) on $A \times B, A, B \in \mathcal{V}$, has this property.

From [5] we know that a variety \mathcal{V} has factorable congruences iff the congruence condition

$$\langle\langle x, x \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta \Rightarrow \langle\langle x, z \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle\rangle \in \Theta$$

holds for any congruence Θ on the product $A \times B, x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}, x, y, z \in B \in \mathcal{V}$. In the recent paper [4] we have proved that a variety \mathcal{V} has factorable congruences whenever the square $A \times A, x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}$, has the same property. However, two congruence conditions, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle x, x \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta &\Rightarrow \langle\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta \quad \text{see [2], and} \\ \langle\langle x, x \rangle, \langle y, x \rangle\rangle \in \Theta &\Rightarrow \langle\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta, \quad \text{see [6],} \end{aligned}$$

are needed in [4].

The aim of the present paper is to show that a single congruence (tolerance) condition formulated on the product $A \times A \times A, x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}$, is enough for factorability of congruences (tolerances, respectively) on the whole variety \mathcal{V} .

Let us recall that $\Theta(\langle\langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \rangle, \langle a'_1, b'_1, c'_1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle a_m, b_m, c_m \rangle, \langle a'_m, b'_m, c'_m \rangle\rangle)$ ($T(\langle\langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \rangle, \langle a'_1, b'_1, c'_1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle a_m, b_m, c_m \rangle, \langle a'_m, b'_m, c'_m \rangle\rangle)$) denotes the congruence (tolerance, respectively) on the product $A \times B \times C$ of similar algebras A, B, C generated by $\langle\langle a_1, b_1, c_1 \rangle, \langle a'_1, b'_1, c'_1 \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle a_m, b_m, c_m \rangle, \langle a'_m, b'_m, c'_m \rangle\rangle \in A \times B \times C \times A \times B \times C$.

The symbol w stands for a finite sequence w_1, \dots, w_n .

Theorem 1. *For a variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{V} has factorable congruences;
- (2) the congruence condition $\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in \Theta \Rightarrow \langle\langle x, x, y \rangle, \langle y, x, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta$ holds for any congruence Θ on the product $A \times A \times A, x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let Θ be an arbitrary congruence on the product $A \times A \times A, x, y \in A$. By hypothesis $\Theta = \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2 \times \Theta_3$ for some congruences Θ_1, Θ_2 and Θ_3

on A . Then $\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in \Theta$ yields $\langle x, y \rangle \in \Theta_1$, $\langle x, y \rangle \in \Theta_2$ and $\langle x, x \rangle \in \Theta_3$. Since $\langle x, x \rangle \in \Theta_2$ and $\langle y, y \rangle \in \Theta_3$ by reflexivity, we have also $\langle\langle x, x, y \rangle, \langle y, x, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2 \times \Theta_3 = \Theta$, as required.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Take $A = F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)$, the \mathcal{V} -free algebra with free generators x and y . Further take $\Theta = \Theta(\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle)$ on the product $A \times A \times A$. Then the assumption of (2) is fulfilled and thus $\langle\langle x, x, y \rangle, \langle y, x, y \rangle\rangle \in \Theta(\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle)$. Applying the binary scheme from (1) to this relation we get the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha) \quad & x = d_1(x, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\ (\beta) \quad & x = d_1(x, y, \mathbf{b}(x, y)), \\ (\gamma) \quad & y = d_1(x, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)), \\ (\alpha) \quad & d_i(y, x, \mathbf{a}(x, y)) = d_{i+1}(x, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\ (\beta) \quad & d_i(y, x, \mathbf{b}(x, y)) = d_{i+1}(x, y, \mathbf{b}(x, y)), \\ (\gamma) \quad & d_i(x, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)) = d_{i+1}(x, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)), \quad 1 \leq i < m, \\ (\alpha) \quad & y = d_m(y, x, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\ (\beta) \quad & x = d_m(y, x, \mathbf{b}(x, y)), \\ (\gamma) \quad & y = d_m(x, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

for some binary terms $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, \dots, c_n$ and $(2 + n)$ -ary terms d_1, \dots, d_m . It is known, see [4], that the above identities $(\alpha), (\beta), (\gamma)$ ensure the factorability of congruences. Notice that the identities $(\alpha), (\beta), ((\alpha), (\gamma))$ were already used in the former papers [2] ([6], respectively).

Theorem 2. *For a variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{V} has factorable tolerances;
- (2) the tolerance condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in T \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow \langle\langle x, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in T \end{aligned}$$

holds for any tolerance T on the product $A \times A \times A$, $x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let T be a tolerance on $A \times A \times A$, $x, y \in A \in \mathcal{V}$. Since T is of the form $T = T_1 \times T_2 \times T_3$ for some tolerances T_1, T_2 and T_3 on A , we have $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle \in T_1$, $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, y \rangle \in T_2$ and $\langle x, x \rangle, \langle y, x \rangle \in T_3$. In particular, $\langle x, y \rangle \in T_1$, $\langle y, y \rangle \in T_2$, $\langle y, x \rangle \in T_3$ and thus $\langle\langle x, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in T_1 \times T_2 \times T_3 = T$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): The tolerance $T(\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle)$ on the product $F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y) \times F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y) \times F_{\mathcal{V}}(x, y)$ evidently satisfies the assumptions from (2). Hence $\langle\langle x, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle \in T(\langle\langle x, x, x \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle y, y, y \rangle, \langle y, y, x \rangle\rangle)$. By a standard argument, see [1] again, we get binary terms $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, \dots, c_n$ and a $(4 + n)$ -ary term t such that

$$(\alpha) \quad x = t(x, y, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta) \quad & y = t(x, y, y, y, \mathbf{b}(x, y)), \\
(\gamma) \quad & y = t(x, x, y, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)), \\
(\alpha) \quad & y = t(y, x, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\
(\beta) \quad & y = t(y, x, y, y, \mathbf{b}(x, y)), \\
(\gamma) \quad & x = t(x, x, x, y, \mathbf{c}(x, y))
\end{aligned}$$

are identities in \mathcal{V} .

First, consider the identities $(\alpha), (\beta)$. Interchanging the variables x and y in (β) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha) \quad & x = t(x, y, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\
(\beta) \quad & x = t(y, x, x, x, \mathbf{b}(y, x)), \\
(\alpha) \quad & y = t(y, x, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\
(\beta) \quad & x = t(x, y, x, x, \mathbf{b}(y, x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned}
t_1(u, v, \mathbf{w}) &= t(u, v, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, w_1, \dots, w_n), \\
\mathbf{f}(x, y) &= a_1(x, y), \dots, a_n(x, y), y, y, \text{ and} \\
\mathbf{g}(x, y) &= b_1(y, x), \dots, b_n(y, x), x, x
\end{aligned}$$

we find the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Sigma_1) \quad & x = t_1(x, y, \mathbf{f}(x, y)), \\
& x = t_1(y, x, \mathbf{g}(x, y)), \\
& y = t_1(y, x, \mathbf{f}(x, y)), \\
& x = t_1(x, y, \mathbf{g}(x, y)).
\end{aligned}$$

Further, take the identities $(\alpha), (\gamma)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha) \quad & x = t(x, y, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\
(\gamma) \quad & y = t(x, x, y, x, \mathbf{c}(x, y)), \\
(\alpha) \quad & y = t(y, x, y, y, \mathbf{a}(x, y)), \\
(\gamma) \quad & x = t(x, x, x, y, \mathbf{c}(x, y)).
\end{aligned}$$

By setting $t_2 = t$, $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{a}$, and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{c}$ we get exactly the identities (Σ_2) from [3; Thm. 2 (4)]. As stated in this theorem the identities (Σ_1) and (Σ_2) together guarantee the factorability of tolerances on a variety \mathcal{V} .

References

- [1] Duda, J.: On two schemes applied to Mal'cev type theorems. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Math. 26 (1983), 39–45.
- [2] Duda, J.: Varieties having directly decomposable congruence classes. Čas. Pěst. Mat. 111 (1986), 394–403.
- [3] Duda, J.: Mal'cev conditions for directly decomposable compatible relations. Czech. Math. J. 39 (1989), 674–680.

- [4] *Duda, J.*: Fraser-Horn identities can be written in two variables. *Algebra Univ.* 26 (1989), 178–180.
- [5] *Fraser, G. A., Horn, A.*: Congruence relations in direct products. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (1970), 390–394.
- [6] *Hagemann, J.*: Congruences on products and subdirect products of algebras. Preprint Nr. 219. TH-Darmstadt 1975.
- [7] *Niederle, J.*: Decomposability conditions for compatible relations. *Czech. Math. J.* 33 (1983), 522–524.

Author's address: 616 00 Brno 16, Kroftova 21, Czechoslovakia.