Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Subhash Chander Arora; Pawan Bala Hereditarily strictly cyclic operator algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 3, 507-511

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102484

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

HEREDITARILY STRICTLY CYCLIC OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

S. C. Arora and Pawan Bala, Delhi

(Received June 25, 1990)

An operator algebra \mathscr{A} on a Hilbert space H is said to inherit finite strict multiplicity-n (FSM) [7] if the uniform closure of its restriction to every invariant subspace has finite strict multiplicity-n. \mathscr{A} is said to be hereditarily strictly cyclic if the uniform closure of its restriction to every invariant subspace is strictly cyclic [6]. The purpose of this paper is to study the properties of such operator algebras.

Throughout this paper, H denotes a separable (complex) infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and B(H), the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. By an operator algebra $\mathscr A$ on H, we mean a strongly closed subalgebra of B(H) containing identity I. If $T \in B(H)$, then $\mathscr A(T)$ denotes the algebra generated by T and I. For any subset $\mathscr B$ of B(H), Lat $\mathscr B$ denotes the lattice of all invariant subspaces of $\mathscr B$. An operator algebra $\mathscr B$ is said to be *transitive* if Lat $\mathscr B = \{\{0\}, H\}$, and unicellular if Lat $\mathscr B$ is totally ordered.

An operator algebra $\mathscr A$ is said to have *finite strict multiplicity* [3] if there exists a finite subset $\Gamma = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ of H such that

$$\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \left\{ A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2 + \dots + A_n x_n : A_i \in \mathcal{A} \right\} = H.$$

The minimum cardinality of all such sets Γ is called *strict multiplicity* of \mathscr{A} . If \mathscr{A} has strict multiplicity 1, then \mathscr{A} is said to be *strictly cyclic* [5]. \mathscr{A} is said to satisfy condition- S_n [1] if $A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 + \ldots + A_nx_n = 0$, $A_i \in \mathscr{A}$ implies $A_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. A vector x is said to be *separating* [5] for \mathscr{A} if Ax = 0, $A \in \mathscr{A}$ implies A = 0.

An operator T on H is said to be of *finite strict multiplicity* if $\mathcal{A}(T)$ is so. T is said to *inherit* FSM-n if $\mathcal{A}(T|_{M})$ is of FSM-n for every invariant subspace M of T. Operator T is said to be *power bounded* if there exists a positive real number M such that $||T^n|| < M$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

Eric J. Rosenthal [6] has proved that if T is a strictly cyclic operator, and M an invariant subspace of T, then compression of T to M^{\perp} is strictly cyclic. He also proves that if T is hereditarily strictly cyclic, power bounded with $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}$ where $|\lambda_0| = 1$, then T acts on a one dimensional space. Our first result carries the later one to operators which inherit FSM.

Theorem 1. Let T inherit FSM-n and be power bounded. Let $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda_0\}$ where $|\lambda_0| = 1$. Then T acts on a space of dimension at most n.

Proof. Replacing T by $(1/\lambda_0)$ T, we may assume that $\lambda_0 = 1$. As $\partial \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_p(T^*)$ [3], $1 \in \sigma_p(T^*)$. Thus there exists a vector e_1 such that $T^*e_1 = e_1$. Let the decomposition of T relative to the decomposition of the space $H = V\{e_1\} \oplus \{e_1\}^{\perp}$ be

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\{e_1\}^{\perp} \neq 0$ then $T_1 = T|_{\{e_1\}^{\perp}}$ has FSM-n and $\sigma(T_1) = \{1\}$. So there exists a unit vector $e_2 \perp e_1$ with $T^*e_2 = e_2$. Let the decomposition of T relative to $H = V\{e_1, e_2\} \oplus \{e_1, e_2\}^{\perp}$ be

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 0 \\ C \quad D \end{bmatrix}$$

Now

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n\lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies that $\lambda = 0$. Hence

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\{e_1, e_2\}^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$, we can repeat the process to get $e_3 \perp \{e_1, e_2\}$ such that $T_2^*e_3 = e_3$ where $T_2 = T|_{\{e_1, e_2\}^{\perp}}$. Decomposition of T relative to the decomposition $H = V\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \oplus \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}^{\perp}$ gives

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 0$$

As T is power bounded, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is also so. Again

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ n\lambda_1 & n\lambda_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies that $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = 0$. Hence

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} I_3 & 0 \\ E & F \end{bmatrix}$$

We claim that the process must terminate after n steps. For, if $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}\}$

are mutually perpendicular unit vectors such that

 $T^*e_1 = e_1, (T|_{\{e_1\}^{\perp}})^*e_2 = e_2, ..., (T|_{\{e_1,e_2,...,e_n\}^{\perp}})^*e_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$ then we can write

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n+1} & 0 \\ P & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

As $V\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n+1}\}^{\perp} \in \text{Lat } T$, $T|_{V\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{n+1}\}}$ has FSM at most n [7]. But the identity operator is of FSM-n only on a space of dimension-n. Thus $\{e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\}^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Hence H has dimension at the most 'n'.

The proof of the following theorem follows using Theorem 1, [2, Theorem 1.3] and the techniques developed by E. J. Rosenthal in [6, Theorem 2]. Hence we omit the proof.

Theorem 2. A power bounded operator which inherits FSM, is similar to a contraction.

The following is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.

Corollary 3. A power bounded operator with is the direct sum of a finite number of operators that inherit FSM, is similar to a contraction.

By $H^{(n)}$, we mean the direct sum of *n* copies of *H*. For T in B(H), $T^{(n)}$ is the operator on $H^{(n)}$ defined by

$$T^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = (Tx_1, Tx_2, ..., Tx_n).$$

For a subset \mathscr{B} of B(H), let $\mathscr{B}^{(n)} = \{T^{(n)}: T \in \mathscr{B}\}$. If M is a subspace of $H^{(n)}$, then ith kernel of M is the collection of all vectors in M whose ith coordinate is zero. If $M \in \text{Lat } T^{(n)}$, then ith kernel of M is invariant under $T^{(n)}$, and is isomorphic to an element of Lat $T^{(n-1)}$. If $\mathscr{B}^{(n)} \subseteq B(H^{(n)})$ and $M \in \text{Lat } \mathscr{B}^{(n)}$ then M is an invariant graph subspace of $\mathscr{B}^{(n)}$ on the ith co-ordinate if M has the form

$$M = \{(T_1x, T_2x, ..., T_{i-1}x, x, T_{i+1}x, ..., T_nx): x \in D\}$$

for some linear manifold D of H, and for all linear transformations T_i with domain D and range contained in H. The T_i 's are called graph transformations for \mathcal{B} . If M is an invariant subspace of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$, then M is a graph subspace on the ith co-ordinate if and only if its ith kernel is $\{0\}$; equivalently, if and only if the ith co-ordinate of a vector determines the vector. Also the domain of a graph transformation for \mathcal{B} is invariant under \mathcal{B} and the transformation commutes with every operator in \mathcal{B} . In particular, if T is a graph transformation, then so is $T - \lambda I$ for every scalar λ .

The following theorem is an extension of [8, Theorem 1].

Theorem 4. Let $\mathscr A$ be a unicellular operator algebra which inherits FSM-n together with condition- S_n . Then Lat $\mathscr A^{(m)}$ can be expressed as a span of at the most m invariant graph subspaces whose domains are in Lat $\mathscr A$.

Proof. Let $M \in \text{Lat } \mathscr{A}^{(m)}$. Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus ... \oplus M_m$. Then each $\overline{M}_i \in \text{Lat } \mathscr{A}$. As Lat \mathscr{A} is totally ordered, we can choose i_0 such that $M_i \subseteq \overline{M}_{i_0}$ for all

i = 1, 2, ..., m. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}|_{M_{\infty}}$. $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has FSM-n. Also

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}^{(m)} = \left\{ T^{(m)} \colon T \in \widetilde{\mathscr{A}} \right\} = \left\{ T^{(m)} \colon T \in \overline{\mathscr{A}} \Big|_{\overline{M}_{10}} \right\} = \mathscr{A}^{(m)} \Big|_{\overline{M}_{10}}^{m}.$$

Thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(m)} = \mathscr{A}^{(m)}|_N$ where $N = \overline{M}_{i_0}^m$. This implies that M is in Lat $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}$. Hence each M_i , and in particular M_{i_0} is invariant under $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$. By [4], $\overline{M}_{i_0} = M_{i_0}$. Thus M_{i_0} is closed.

Let $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ be a subset of M_{i_0} such that $(\mathscr{A}, \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an algebra of FSM satisfying condition- S_n . Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ be vectors in M having i_0^{th} co-ordinates as $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ respectively. Let

$$G_0 = \mathcal{A}^{(m)}[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n] = \{A_1^m f_1 + A_2^{(m)} f_2 + ... + A_n^{(m)} f_n : A_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

and

$$G = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(m)}[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n] = \{\bar{A}_1^{(m)} f_1 + \bar{A}_2^m f_2 + ... + \bar{A}_n^{(m)} f_n : \bar{A}_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\}$$

As $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} = \overline{\mathscr{A}}|_{M_{i_0}}$, every element of G is a limit of elements of G_0 . Therefore $G = \overline{G}_0$. Thus G is invariant under $\mathscr{A}^{(m)}$.

We claim that G is graph on the i_0 th co-ordinate. To prove this, it is enough to show that i_0 th kernel of G is zero. Let $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ in G be such that $y_{i_0} = 0$. There exist $\overline{A}_1, \overline{A}_2, ..., \overline{A}_n$ in $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ such that

$$\bar{A}_1^{(m)}f_1 + \bar{A}_2^{(m)}f_2 + \ldots + \bar{A}_n^{(m)}f_n = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)$$

Comparing i_0 th co-ordinates on both the sides, we get

$$A_1x_1 + A_2x_2 + \ldots + A_nx_n = y_{i_0} = 0$$

Using condition- S_n on $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we get that $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) = 0$. Hence G is a graph subspace on the i_0 th co-ordinate and domain of G is M_{i_0} which is in Lat \mathscr{A} . Thus graph transformations are densely defined and commute with $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, an algebra of finite strict multiplicity. By [3], graph transformations are bounded. This implies that G is closed.

Let K be the i_0 th kernel of M. Then K is invariant under $\mathscr{A}^{(m)}$. Let $x \in M$. There exists y in G such that $P_{i_0}(x) = P_{i_0}(y)$. This implies that $(x - y) \in K = i_0$ th kernel of M. Thus $x = y + (x - y) \in G \vee K$. Hence $M = G \vee K$.

We can perform the same procedure on K. As $P_{i_0}K = \{0\}$, the index chosen will be different from i_0 , and next kernel chosen will have at least two co-ordinate projections which are $\{0\}$. We continue the process getting n invariant graph subspaces of $\mathscr{A}^{(m)}$. As the number of zero co-ordinate projections increases at each step, the process must terminate. M is the span of these subspaces. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The authors are thankful to Prof. B. S. Yadav for having inspired in carrying out this study.

References

- [1] S. C. Arora and Pawan Bala: On Lambert's conditions of strict cyclicity, (communicated).
- [2] S. C. Arora and Pawan Bala: On spectra of operators of finite strict multiplicity (communicated).
- [3] D. A. Herrero: Operator algebras of finite strict multiplicity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22 (1972), 13-24.
- [4] D. A. Herrero: Transitive operator algebras containing a subalgebra of finite strict multiplicity, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina, 26 (1972), 77-83.
- [5] A. L. Lambert: Strictly cyclic operator algebras, Pacific J. Math. 39 (1971) 717-726.
- [6] E. J. Rosenthal: Power bounded strictly cyclic operator algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 276-280.
- [7] E. J. Rosenthal: A Jordan form for certain infinite dimensional operators, Acta. Sci. Math. 41 (1979) 365-374.
- [8] E. J. Rosenthal: A characterization of the invariant subspaces of direct sums of strictly cyclic algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), 624-628.

Authors address: Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi-110007, India.