

Manoj Changat

On order and geodesic alignment of a connected bigraph

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 41 (1991), No. 4, 713–715

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102501>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON ORDER AND GEODESIC ALIGNMENT  
OF A CONNECTED BIGRAPH

MANOJ CHANGAT, Cochin

(Received August 13, 1990)

In this paper, it is shown that the geodesic alignment on the vertex set  $V$  of a finite connected bipartite graph  $G$  is the join of order alignments with respect to all possible canonical orderings on  $V$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

An alignment on a set  $X$  is a family  $\mathcal{L}$  of distinguished subsets of  $X$ , called *convex sets*, satisfying the following axioms.

$A_1(a)$ :  $\emptyset$  is convex.

$A_1(b)$ :  $X$  is convex.

$A_2$ : The arbitrary intersection of convex sets is convex.

$A_3$ : The union of any family of convex sets, totally ordered by inclusion is again convex.  $(X, \mathcal{L})$  is called an *aligned space*. Note that the axiom  $A_3$  is trivially satisfied, if  $X$  is finite. For any subset  $S$  of  $X$ , the smallest convex set containing  $S$  is called the *convex hull of  $S$* , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(S)$ .

If  $X$  is a partially ordered set (poset)  $(P, \leq)$ ,  $A \subseteq P$  is said to be *order convex*, if for any pair of points  $a, b \in A$ , the order interval  $[a, b] = \{z \in P \mid a \leq z \leq b \text{ or } b \leq z \leq a\}$  is contained in  $A$ . The collection of all order convex sets of  $P$  form the order alignment on  $P$ .

If  $X$  is the vertex set  $V$  of a finite connected graph, there is the geodesic alignment on  $V$ , where a subset  $K$  of  $V$  is said to be *geodesically convex* or *d-convex*, if for every pair of vertices  $x, y \in K$ , the interval  $I(x, y) \subseteq K$ , where

$$I(x, y) = \{z \mid z \text{ lies in a shortest } x - y \text{ path in } G\}$$

$$= \{z \mid d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y)\}, \text{ and } d \text{ is the natural metric of the graph.}$$

If  $(\mathcal{L}_i)_{i \in I}$  is a collection of alignments on  $X$ , then the smallest alignment  $R$  on  $X$ , containing all  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's is called the *join of  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's in the lattice of all alignments on  $X$* . It is shown that  $R = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{L}_i(A)$ , for all finite subsets  $A$  of  $X$ . If this holds for all

subsets of  $X$ , then  $R = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{L}_i$  is called the strong join of  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's. If  $X$  is finite then  $R = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{L}_i$  is trivially the strong join of  $\mathcal{L}_i$ 's. See [1], for actual developments on alignments.

We call a poset  $(P, \leq)$  a *graded poset*, if there is a height function  $h: P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$H_1: \text{ If } u \leq v, \text{ then } h(u) \leq h(v).$$

$$H_2: \text{ If } v \text{ covers } u \text{ then } h(v) = h(u) + 1.$$

In this paper we consider the order alignment and geodesic alignment on a finite connected bipartite graph  $G$ .

## 2. CANONICAL ORDERING ON THE VERTEX SET $V$ OF $G$

With respect to any vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , we can order the vertex set  $V$  as follows.

For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, d(G) - 1$ , we direct the edges between  $N_i(u)$  and  $N_{i+1}(u)$  from  $N_{i+1}(u)$  to  $N_i(u)$ , where  $d(G)$  is the diameter of  $G$  and  $N_i(u)$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  level of  $u$  in  $G$ , namely  $N_i(u) = \{v \in V \mid d(u, v) = i\}$ . Defining  $v \leq_u w$ , whenever there exists a directed path from  $w$  to  $v$ , gives a poset  $(V, \leq_u)$ . This poset is graded with the height function  $h_u(v) = d(u, v)$  for  $v \in V$ , i.e.,  $h_u(v) = i$ , for any vertex  $v$  in  $N_i(u)$ . Since  $G$  is connected, we have  $u \leq_u v$ , for all  $v \in V$ , and so  $u$  is the universal lower bound of the poset  $(V, \leq_u)$ . This kind of ordering on the vertex set of a finite connected bigraph has been considered by Mulder [2] known as canonical ordering of  $G$  with respect to the vertex  $u$ . The set of all canonical orderings of  $G$  is denoted by  $C(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (Mulder [2]) *A graph  $G$  is connected and bipartite if and only if  $G$  is the digraph of a finite graded poset with universal lower bound.*

Let  $E$  denote any canonical ordering of  $G$ , and  $D_E$  denote the corresponding order alignment on  $V$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the geodesic alignment on the vertex set  $V$  of  $G$ . Now we have the main theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The geodesic alignment  $\mathcal{L}$  on the vertex set  $V$  of a finite connected bipartite graph  $G$  is the join of order alignments  $D_E$ , with respect to all canonical orderings  $E$  on  $V$ . That is,  $\mathcal{L} = \bigvee_{E \in C(G)} D_E$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $K \in \mathcal{L}$ . Now every geodesically convex ( $d$ -convex) subset of  $V$  induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ . Therefore the subgraph induced by  $K$  of  $G$  is connected and bipartite, since  $G$  is bipartite. Therefore by Theorem 2.1,  $K$  is a graded poset with a universal lower bound  $u$ . Now let  $E$  denote the canonical ordering on  $G$  with  $u$  as the universal lower bound, and  $K$  be a subposet of  $(V, \leq_u)$ . Clearly  $K \in D_E$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \bigvee_{E \in C(G)} D_E$ . Conversely let  $K \in \bigvee_{E \in C(G)} D_E$ . Let  $K = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq V$ . Let  $E_i$  denote the canonical ordering on  $G$  with  $u_i$  as universal lower bound, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore  $K \in D_{E_i}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

For any pair

$$u_i, u_j \in K, \quad \text{if } u \in I(u_i, u_j),$$

then

$$d(u, u_i) \leq d(u_i, u_j)$$

i.e.,

$$u \leq_{u_i} u_j.$$

That is  $u_i \leq u \leq u_j \Rightarrow u \in [u_i, u_j] \subseteq K$ , since  $K \in D_{E_i}$  i.e.,  $I(u_i, u_j) \subseteq K$ , for every  $u_i, u_j \in K$ , which shows that  $K$  is  $d$ -convex and hence  $\bigvee_{E \in C(G)} D_E \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank Professor T. Thiruvikraman, for his advice, during the preparation of this paper.

#### References

- [1] *R. Jamison-Waldner*: A perspective on abstract convexity classifying alignments by varieties in "Convexity and Related Combinatorial Geometry" (D. Kay and M. Breen, Eds.) pp. 113–150, Decker, New York, 1982.
- [2] *H. M. Mulder*: The Interval Function of a Graph. Dissertation, Math. Centre Tracts 132, Amsterdam, 1980.

*Author's address*: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin 682 022, India.