Václav Doležal A remark on energetic stability of feedback systems

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 14 (1969), No. 5, 345-354

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103245

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A REMARK ON ENERGETIC STABILITY OF FEEDBACK SYSTEMS

VÁCLAV DOLEŽAL

(Received March 3, 1968)

0. In the paper [1], J. KUDREWICZ has introduced a new concept of stability – the so called energetic stability of a dynamical system. His idea is this: Assume that all signals and responses of a system belong to a set E, defined as the set of all functions x on $[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |\mathbf{x}(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

(i.e., x(t) is locally square-integrable and a proper limit exists.) Let the signal s and the corresponding response r of a system be related by r = Gs, where G is an operator mapping E into itself; then the system is called energetically stable, if v(Gs) = 0 for any $s \in E$ with v(s) = 0.

In the present paper the concept of energetic stability is extended by dropping the assumption on local square-integrability, and three theorems on stability of feedback systems are given.

1. First, let us carry out some preliminary considerations.

Let Ω be a fixed set of numbers such that $\sup \Omega = \infty$; if $T \in \Omega$, define $[T] = (-\infty, T] \cap \Omega$.

Further, let \mathfrak{F} be a nonempty linear set, and let \tilde{F} be the family of all mappings from Ω into \mathfrak{F} . With ordinary operations of addition and multiplication by a constant \tilde{F} is a linear set.

Moreover, let F and F* be nonempty linear subsets of \tilde{F} such that F* is a Banach space and $F^* \subset F \subset \tilde{F}$.

For every $T \in \Omega$ let us have a linear mappings S_T from \tilde{F} into itself which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $S_{T_1}S_{T_2} = S_{T_1}$ for any $T_1 \leq T_2, T_1, T_2 \in \Omega$.

(ii) Let $x, y \in \tilde{F}$ and $T \in \Omega$; then x(t) = y(t) on [T] iff $S_T x = S_T y$.

- (iii) Let $x \in \tilde{F}$; then $x \in F$ iff $S_T x \in F^*$ for all $T \in \Omega$.
- (iv) If $x \in F^*$, then $||S_T x|| \leq ||x||$ for any $T \in \Omega$.
- (v) If $x \in F$ and a constant $\Lambda > 0$ exists such that $||S_T x|| \leq \Lambda$ for all $T \in \Omega$, then $x \in F^*$ and $||x|| \leq \Lambda$.

We will also use the notation $S_T x = x_T = (x)_T$. Examples of particular sets F, F^* and corresponding mappings S_T obeying the requirements (i) through (v) may be found in [2].

Next, let $\alpha(t)$ be a fixed nonnegative function defined on Ω such that $\alpha(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Let

(1)
$$F^{\alpha} = \{x : x \in F \text{ and } \limsup_{T \to \infty} \alpha(T) ||x_T|| < \infty\};$$

for $x \in F^{\alpha}$ put

(2)
$$\llbracket x \rrbracket = \limsup_{T \to \infty} \alpha(T) \Vert x_T \Vert.$$

(Here, $\limsup_{T \to \infty} \varphi(T) \text{ signifies } \lim_{\substack{T \to \infty \\ T \in \Omega}} (\sup_{\tau \in \Omega - [T]} \varphi(\tau)).)$

It is clear that $F^* \subset F^{\alpha} \subset F$ (witness (iv)); moreover, we have the obvious proposition:

Lemma 1. The set F^{α} is a linear space, and $\llbracket . \rrbracket$ is a seminorm on F^{α} .

Furthermore, let

(3)
$$F^{\alpha 0} = \{x : x \in F^{\alpha} \text{ and } [\![x]\!] = 0\}$$

Then, obviously, $F^* \subset F^{\alpha 0} \subset F^{\alpha}$, and we have

Lemma 2. The set $F^{\alpha 0}$ is a linear space.

Remark 1. It can be readily verified that the quotient space $F^{\alpha}/F^{\alpha 0}$ becomes a linear normed space, if we define the sum and the multiple as usual and set, for $X \in F^{\alpha}/F^{\alpha 0}$, $||X|| = [[x]], x \in X$.

Next, introduce the following concepts of continuity.

Let A be an operator mapping F into itself; A will be called E-continuous at a point $x \in F$, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a $\delta > 0$ exists such that, for any $\tilde{x} \in F$ with $\tilde{x} - x \in F^{\alpha}$ and $[[\tilde{x} - x]] < \delta$, we have $A\tilde{x} - Ax \in F^{\alpha}$ and $[[A\tilde{x} - Ax]] < \varepsilon$. The operator A will be called E-continuous, if it is E-continuous at every point $x \in F$.

Moreover, the operator A will be called E_0 -continuous at $x \in F$, if $y \in F$, $x - y \in F^{x^0}$ implies that $Ax - Ay \in F^{x^0}$.

Then we have

Lemma 3. If an operator A is E-continuous, then it is E_0 -continuous at every point $x \in F$.

The proof is obvious.

If the input-output behavior of a dynamical system \mathfrak{A} is described by an operator $A: F \to F$ and A is E-continuous, then \mathfrak{A} will be called energetically stable.

The physical interpretation of these concepts is straightforward. If $x \in F^{\alpha}$, then the value [x] may be interpreted as an average power of the quantity x in the time-span inf Ω , sup $\Omega = \infty$. The energetic stability means then that the average power of the difference $A\tilde{x} - Ax$ of responses can be made arbitrarily small by taking signals \tilde{x} , x with a sufficiently small average power of $\tilde{x} - x$.

Let us make the following observation: If, in particular, we set $\Omega = [0, \infty)$, $\mathfrak{F} = (-\infty, \infty)$,

$$F = \overline{L}_2 = \{x : x \in \widetilde{F}, x \text{ measurable}, \int_0^t |x(t)|^2 dt < \infty \text{ for any } 0 < \tau < \infty\},\$$

$$F^* = L_2 = \{x : x \in F, x \text{ measurable}, \int_0^\infty |x(t)|^2 dt < \infty\},\$$

$$(S_T x)(t) = x(t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T, (S_T x)(t) = 0 \text{ for } t > T \text{ and } \alpha(t) = t^{-1/2} \text{ for } t \geq 1,\$$

$$then F^{\alpha} \supset E, \text{ where } E \text{ is the set considered in [1]. Especially,}$$

$$x \in F^{\alpha 0} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{T \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |x(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2} = 0 \, .$$

Then the concept of energetic stability defined in [1] coincides with our energetic stability scorresponding to E_0 -continuity of the transfer operator A at $x = \theta$.

Turning now to the results concerning the energetic stability of feedback systems, let us make the following comment: The analysis of the feedback system reduces in essence to an analytis of a functional equation; to be more specific, the existence, uniqueness and certain properties of a solution imply the existence of the, over-all transfer operator and the input-output stability, respectively. (For more detail see [2]). In the general case, the equation in question has the form

$$(4) x = A(u, x);$$

here, A is an operator mapping $F \times F$ into F which is specified by the system considered, u is a signal at the input and x is the sought quantity determining the system response.

If, for every $u \in F$, a uniquely determined $x \in F$ exists such that (4) is satisfied, then (4) defines an operator Q from F into itself by x = Qu; we will say that (4) has the resolvent operator Q.

An operator $B: F \to F$ is called unanticipative, if $S_T B = S_T B S_T$ for any $T \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1. Let A be an operator mapping $F \times F$ into F, and let the following conditions be satisfied:

- 1. $\{A(u, v)\}_T = \{A(u, v_T)\}_T$ for any $T \in \Omega$ and $u, v \in F$.
- 2. A number $\lambda < 1$ and integer $m \ge 1$ exist such that

(5)
$$\left\|S_{T}(\widetilde{A}_{u}^{m}y_{1}-\widetilde{A}_{u}^{m}y_{2})\right\| \leq \lambda \left\|S_{T}(y_{1}-y_{2})\right\|$$

for all $u, y_1, y_2 \in F$ and $T \in \Omega$, where $\tilde{A}_u = A(u, .)$.

3. The operator $\tilde{A}^{m}_{\cdot}v$ is E-continuous for every $v \in F$. Then the equation (4) has the resolvent operator Q and Q is E-continuous.

Proof. Since the first part of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 2 in [2], we will indicate only the main ideas. First, referring to lemma 3 in [2], the equation (4) has a resolvent operator, if, for every $T \in \Omega$ the equation

$$(6) x^T = S_T \tilde{A}_u x^T$$

has a unique solution x^T in F^* . However, condition (5) shows that, for every $T \in \Omega$, the equation

(7)
$$\xi^T = S_T \widetilde{A}_u^m \xi^T$$

has a unique solution ξ^T in F^* . From condition 1. it follows easily that \tilde{A}_u^m is an unanticipative operator, and consequently, ξ^T is also a unique solution of (6).

Moreover, as in the proof of Lemma 3 in [2] we can show that $x^T = S_T x$, where x is the solution of (4).

Thus, let us choose a $u \in F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$; further, let $\tilde{u} \in F$ be such that $\tilde{u} - u \in F^{\alpha}$, let $Qu = x = \tilde{A}_{u}x$, $Q\tilde{u} = \tilde{x} = \tilde{A}_{\tilde{u}}\tilde{x}$ and let $T \in \Omega$. Then we have by (7), (5) and the fact that $x^{T} = S_{T}x$, $\tilde{x}^{T} = S_{T}\tilde{x}$,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{x}^{T} - x^{T}\| &\leq \|S_{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}}^{m}\tilde{x}^{T} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}}^{m}x^{T})\| + \|S_{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}}^{m}x^{T} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{u}^{m}x^{T})\| \\ &\leq \lambda \|S_{T}(\tilde{x}^{T} - x^{T})\| + \|S_{T}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tilde{u}}^{m}x^{T} - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{u}^{m}x^{T})\|, \end{split}$$

i.e.

(8)
$$\|S_T(\tilde{x}-x)\| \leq (1-\lambda)^{-1} \|S_T(\tilde{A}_u^m x - \tilde{A}_u^m x)\|.$$

(Here we have used the facts that $S_T x^T = S_T x_T = S_T x$, $S_T \tilde{A}_u^m x^T = S_T \tilde{A}_u^m S_T x = S_T \tilde{A}_u^m x$, and similarly for \tilde{x}^T .)

Next, since $\tilde{A}^m x$ is *E*-continuous by 3., there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $[\![\tilde{u} - u]\!] < \delta$ we have $q = \tilde{A}^m_u x - \tilde{A}^m_u x \in F^x$ and $[\![q]\!] < (1 - \lambda)\varepsilon$, i.e. $\limsup_{T \to \infty} \alpha(T) \|S_T q\| < (1 - \lambda)\varepsilon$. Thus, (8) yields for any $\tau \in \Omega$,

$$\sup_{T\in\Omega-[\tau]} \alpha(T) \left\| S_T(\tilde{x}-x) \right\| \le (1-\lambda)^{-1} \sup_{T\in\Omega-[\tau]} \alpha(T) \left\| S_T q \right\|,$$

and consequently,

$$\llbracket \tilde{x} - x \rrbracket \leq (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \llbracket q \rrbracket < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $Q\tilde{u} - Qu \in F^{\alpha}$ and $\llbracket Q\tilde{u} - Qu \rrbracket < \varepsilon$, i.e. Q is E-continuous; the theorem is proved.

Consider now a quasilinear case of equation (4); here, we have the proposition

Theorem 2. Let A_1 and C be operators mapping F into itself, let C be linear, unanticipative with I - C being one-to-one from F onto F and $(I - C)^{-1}$ being unanticipative; furthermore, let \tilde{A} map $F \times F \to F$ and satisfy condition 1. in Theorem 1. If

1. C maps $F^* \to F^*$ and $(I - C)^{-1}$ is bounded on F^* ,

2. a constant d > 0 exists such that

(9)
$$\left\|S_T(\widetilde{A}(u, v_1) - \widetilde{A}(u, v_2))\right\| \leq d \left\|S_T(v_1 - v_2)\right\|$$

for every $u, v_1, v_2 \in F$ and $T \in \Omega$,

3. $\|(I - C)^{-1}\| d < 1$,

4. A_1 is E-continuous and $\tilde{A}(u, v)$ is E-continuous in u for every $v \in F$,

then the equation (4) with $A(u, v) = A_1u + Cv + \tilde{A}(u, v)$ has a resolvent operator Q and Q is E-continuous.

Proof. Referring again to Lemma 3 in [2], consider the equation

(10)
$$x^{T} = S_{T}A(u, x^{T}) =$$
$$= S_{T}\{A_{1}u + Cx^{T} + \tilde{A}(u, x^{T})\}.$$

Due to the assumptions concerning the unanticipativity of C and $(I - C)^{-1}$ it follows that (10) is equivalent to

(11)
$$x^T = S_T R_u x^T$$

with

(12)
$$R_{u}x^{T} = (I - C)^{-1} \{A_{1}u + \tilde{A}(u, x^{T})\}.$$

Then condition (9) with 3. show that (11) has a unique solution x^T in F^* , and consequently, (4) has a unique solution in F. Hence (4) has a resolvent operator Q, and $x^T = S_T x$, x = Qu.

Next, let $u \in F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$; if $\tilde{u} \in F$ is such that $\tilde{u} - u \in F^{\alpha}$ and $Q\tilde{u} = \tilde{x} = A(u, \tilde{x})$, then it follows as in the proof of Theorem 1 that, for a $T \in \Omega$,

(13)
$$||S_T(\tilde{x} - x)|| \leq (1 - \tilde{d})^{-1} ||S_T(R_{\tilde{u}}x_T - R_ux_T)||$$

with $\tilde{d} = ||(I - C)^{-1}|| d$. However, by (12),

(14)
$$||S_T(R_{\tilde{u}}x_T - R_ux_T)|| \le \mu ||S_T(A_1\tilde{u} - A_1u)|| + \mu ||S_T\{\tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, x_T) - \tilde{A}(u, x_T)\}||,$$

where $\mu = \|(I - C)^{-1}\|$. In view of condition 1 in Theorem 1 we have

 $S_T \widetilde{A}(\widetilde{u}, x_T) = S_T \widetilde{A}(\widetilde{u}, x)$ and $S_T \widetilde{A}(u, x_T) = S_T \widetilde{A}(u, x)$.

By assumption on *E*-continuity of A_1 and \tilde{A} there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that, for $\llbracket \tilde{u} - u \rrbracket < \delta$, we have $A_1 \tilde{u} - A_1 u \in F^{\alpha}$, $\tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, x) - \tilde{A}(u, x) \in F^{\alpha}$ and $\llbracket A_1 \tilde{u} - A_1 u \rrbracket < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \tilde{d}) \mu^{-1} \varepsilon$, $\llbracket \tilde{A}(\tilde{u}, x) - \tilde{A}(u, x) \rrbracket < \frac{1}{2}(1 - \tilde{d}) \mu^{-1} \varepsilon$. Thus, multiplying (13) by $\alpha(T)$ and using (14), we conclude by passing to the lim sup on both sides that $\llbracket \tilde{x} - x \rrbracket < \varepsilon$. Hence $Q\tilde{u} - Qu \in F^{\alpha}$ and $\llbracket Q\tilde{u} - Qu \rrbracket < \varepsilon$, i.e. Q is *E*-continuous. This concludes the proof.

In practice the operator A has frequently the form A(u, v) = u + CNv, where C is linear. Here, we have the proposition (see also [3]).

Theorem 3. Let C and N be unancticipative operators mapping F into itself, and let C be linear; furthermore, let a number λ exist such that the following conditions are met:

1. $I - \lambda C$ is one-to-one from F onto F, $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ is unanticipative and E-continuous.

2. The operator $(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C$ is bounded on F^* .

3. There exists a number $\mu > 0$ such that

(15)
$$||S_T\{Nx_1 - Nx_2 - \lambda(x_1 - x_2)\}|| \leq \mu ||S_T(x_1 - x_2)||$$

for every $T \in \Omega$ and $x_1, x_2 \in F$.

4. $\|(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C\| \mu < 1$.

Then the equation x = u + CNx has a resolvent operator Q and Q is E-continuous.

Proof. Referring to Lemma 3 in [2], consider the equation

$$(16) x^T = S_T (u + CNx^T)$$

on F^* . Clearly, (16) can be written as

(17)
$$S_T(I - \lambda C) x^T = S_T u + S_T C(N - \lambda) x^T.$$

Since $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ is unanticipative by 1., it follows that $((S_T(I - \lambda C))^{-1} = S_T(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$; hence, (17) is equivalent to

$$(18) x^T = Rx^T$$

with

(19)
$$Ry = S_T(I - \lambda C)^{-1} u + S_T(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C(N - \lambda) y.$$

However, R is a contraction on F^* ; actually, by (19), 3.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ry_1 - Ry_2\| &\leq \|S_T(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C\| \cdot \|S_T\{Ny_1 - Ny_2 - \lambda(y_1 - y_2)\}\| \leq \\ &\leq \|(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C\| \mu \cdot \|S_T(y_1 - y_2)\| = q\|S_T(y_1 - y_2)\| \leq q\|y_1 - y_2\|, \end{aligned}$$

and q < 1 by 4. Thus, (16) has a unique solution x^T for every $T \in \Omega$ and, by Lemma 3 in [2], $x^T = S_T x$ with $x \in F$ being a unique solution of

$$(20) x = u + CNx .$$

Consequently, (20) has a resolvent operator Q.

Next, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\tilde{u} \in F$ such that $\tilde{u} - u \in F^{x}$; if $\tilde{x} = Q\tilde{u}$, then, for a $T \in \Omega$, it follows by the above inequality that

(21)
$$\|\tilde{x}^T - x^T\| = \|S_T(\tilde{x} - x)\| \le (1 - q)^{-1} \|S_T(I - \lambda C)^{-1} (\tilde{u} - u)\|$$

Since $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ is *E*-continuous by 1., there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $\llbracket \tilde{u} - u \rrbracket < \delta$ implies that $(I - \lambda C)^{-1} (\tilde{u} - u) \in F^x$ and $\llbracket (I - \lambda C)^{-1} (\tilde{u} - u) \rrbracket < (1 - q) \varepsilon$. Then, as before, we conclude by (21) that $\llbracket \tilde{x} - x \rrbracket = \llbracket Q \tilde{u} - Q u \rrbracket < \varepsilon$, i.e. *Q* is *E*-continuous. Hence, the proof.

Concluding the paper, observe the following facts. For being able to apply Theorems 1 to 3 to realistic systems, it is desirable to establish as sharp bounds as possible for constants λ , d and μ appearing in inequality (5), (9) and (15), respectively. For this purpose it is convenient to realize that the following trivial proposition is true:

Lemma 4. Let A be an unanticipative operator mapping F into itself, and let $\lambda > 0$. Then

(22)
$$||S_T(Ax_1 - Ax_2)|| \leq \lambda ||S_T(x_1 - x_2)||$$

for every $T \in \Omega$ and $x_1, x_2 \in F$, iff for any $y_1, y_2 \in F$ with $y_1 - y_2 \in F^*$ we have $Ay_1 - Ay_2 \in F^*$ and

(23)
$$||Ay_1 - Ay_2|| \leq \lambda ||y_1 - y_2||$$
.

Proof. Let (22) hold and let $x_1, x_2 \in F$ be such that $x_1 - x_2 \in F^*$. Then by (iv) we have $||S_T(x_1 - x_2)|| \leq ||x_1 - x_2||$ for any $T \in \Omega$, and consequently, by (v), $Ax_1 - Ax_2 \in F^*$ and $||Ax_1 - Ax_2|| \leq \lambda ||x_1 - x_2||$.

Conversely, let (23) hold and choose $x_1, x_2 \in F$ and $T \in \Omega$. Putting $y_1 = S_T x_1$, $y_2 = S_T x_2$, we have $y_1 - y_2 \in F^*$ by (iii), and consequently, due to the assumption made, $Ay_1 - Ay_2 \in F^*$ and $||Ay_1 - Ay_2|| \leq \lambda ||y_1 - y_2||$. Since by (iv) $||S_T(Ay_1 - Ay_2)|| \leq ||Ay_1 - Ay_2||$, it follows that $||S_T(AS_T x_1 - AS_T x_2)|| \leq \lambda ||S_T(x_1 - x_2)||$. The equality $S_T AS_T = S_T A$ concludes the proof.

Furthermore, we have

Lemma 5. Let A be an operator mapping F into itself.

a) If A satisfies condition (22), then A is E-continuous.

b) If A is linear and unanticipative, maps F^* into itself and is bounded on F^* , then A is E-continuous.

The proof is obvious and follows from Lemma 4. Finally, let us present a simple example.



Fig. 1.

Consider the feedback system portrayed in Fig. 1., where C signifies a linear timeinvariant system and N a pure memoryless gain. Let these systems be governed by equations

(24)
$$z = Cy = ay + \int_0^t k(t-\tau) y(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$(25) u = Nv = f(v),$$

where a is a real constant $n \times n$ matrix, k(t) is a real $n \times n$ matrix function defined on $[0, \infty)$ and f is an n-vector-valued function of an n-vector argument. Let the signals and responses be interpreted as elements of \overline{L}_2 and L_2 . Furthermore, assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists a number λ and $\mu > 0$ such that

(26)
$$|f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2) - \lambda(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| \leq \mu |\xi_1 - \xi_2|$$

for any $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in E^n$. (Here, $|\xi| = (\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2)^{1/2}$, ξ_i being the components of the vector ξ .) (ii) The integral $K(p) = \int_0^\infty k(t) e^{-pt} dt$ converges for Re $p > -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and

(ii) The integral $K(p) = \int_0^p K(p)e^{-p} dt$ converges for $Kep > -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, at K(p) has rational functions of p as its elements.

(iii) det $(I - \lambda a - \lambda K(p)) \neq 0$ in the half-plane Re $p > -\varepsilon$.

Our task is to find a condition guaranteeing the energetic stability of the system. The feedback system under consideration is governed by the equations

(27)
$$y = x = C(u + \Psi), \quad \Psi = Nx,$$

where u, y is the input signal and the output response, respectively. Thus, we have

(28)
$$x = \tilde{u} + CNx$$

with $\tilde{u} = Cu$.

Referring to Theorem 3, consider the operators C, $(I - \lambda C)$ and $(I - \lambda C)^{-1} C$. First, the condition (iii) implies that the matrix $I - \lambda a$ is nonsingular, since $K(p) \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$, p real. Consequently, the operator $I - \lambda C$ is one-to-one from \overline{L}_2 onto \overline{L}_2 , and because $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ is also a Volterra-type operator $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ is unanticipative.

Next, we are going to show that $I - \lambda C$ is one-to-one from L_2 onto L_2 and that both $I - \lambda C$ and $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ are bounded.

Let L'_2 signify the set of all *n*-vector valued functions f such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}'(t) f(t) dt < \infty$ (here, f' denotes the transposition.) The condition (ii) implies that the matrix function $K(i\omega)$ is continuous and $|K(i\omega)|$ bounded on $(-\infty, \infty)$; (here, $|M| = (\sum_{i,k} M_{ik}^2)^{1/2}$, M_{ik} being the elements of the matrix M); moreover, $|k(t)| \leq Re^{-\varepsilon't}$ with some constants R > 0, $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Let $f \in L_2$; defining f(t) = 0 for t < 0, we have $f \in L'_2$, and consequently, the Fourier-Plancherel transform \hat{f} of f also belongs to L'_2 . (See [4], p. 282). Defining k(t) = 0 for t < 0, we clearly have $kc \in L'_2$ and $K(i\omega) c \in L'_2$ for any constant vector c. However, in view of the boundedness of $K(i\omega)$ we obtain $K(i\omega) \hat{f} \in L'_2$; hence, by the theorem on convolution (see [4], p. 283),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k(t-\tau) f(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau = \int_{0}^{t} k(t-\tau) f(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau \in L'_2 \,.$$

Consequently, by (24), C and also $I - \lambda C$ map L_2 into itself.

Moreover, let $f \in L_2$ and let $u = \int_0^t k(t - \tau) f(\tau) d\tau$. Then the Parseval's equality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \bar{u} \, u \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{u} \, \hat{u} \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{f} \, \overline{K(i\omega)} \, K(i\omega) \, \hat{f} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{R}^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \bar{f} \, \hat{f} \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \tilde{R}^2 \int_0^\infty \bar{f} \, f \, \mathrm{d}t = \tilde{R}^2 \|f\|^2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

because $|K(i\omega)| \leq \tilde{R}$. Hence, C and $I - \lambda C$ are bounded operators on L_2 .

Next, put $G(p) = (I - \lambda a - \lambda K(p))^{-1}$ for Re $p > -\varepsilon$. Then conditions (i) and (ii) show that the matrix $H(p) = G(p) - (I - \lambda a)^{-1}$ has rational functions as its elements and that $H(p) \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$. Consequently, H(p) is the Laplace transform of a matrix function h(t) such that $|h(t)| \leq R' e^{-\varepsilon' t}$, $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$.

On the other hand, if $f \in L_2$, then $g = (I - \lambda C)^{-1} f \in \overline{L}_2$; however, repeating the above argument we conclude that $G(i\omega) \hat{f} \in L_2$. Thus, necessarily $g \in L_2$, i.e. $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ maps L_2 into itself. The boundedness follows as before.

Summarizing our considerations we see that conditions 1. and 2. in Theorem 3 are satisfied. (Witness Lemma 5 for *E*-continuity of $(I - \lambda C)^{-1}$ and *C*.) It is a matter of standard routine to verify that (i) implies (15).

Finally, if M is an $n \times n$ matrix let A(M) signify the square-root of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix \overline{M} 'M. Denote $Z(p) = (I - \lambda a - \lambda K(p))^{-1} (a + K(p))$

and let $z \in L_2$, $u = (I - \lambda C)^{-1} Cz$; using the above results and Parseval's equality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \overline{u} \, {}^{i}u \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \overline{\hat{u}} \, {}^{i}\hat{u} \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \overline{\hat{z}} \, {}^{i}\overline{\hat{Z}} \, (i\omega) \, \hat{Z}(i\omega) \, \hat{z} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega \in (-\infty,\infty)} \Lambda^2(\hat{Z}(i\omega)) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \overline{\hat{z}} \, {}^{i}\hat{z} \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \sup_{\omega \in (-\infty,\infty)} \Lambda^2(\hat{Z}(i\omega)) \, \|z\|^2 \, . \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left\| (I - \lambda C)^{-1} C \right\| \leq \sup_{(-\infty,\infty)} \Lambda(\hat{Z}(i\omega)),$$

and the sought condition reads by 4. in Theorem 3,

(29)
$$\mu \sup_{\omega \in (-\infty,\infty)} A\{(I - \lambda a - \lambda K(i\omega))(a + K(i\omega))\} < 1.$$

Thus, under (29) the resolvent operator Q for (28) is *E*-continuous in the variable $\tilde{u} = Cu$, and consequently, QC is *E*-continuous; hence the equality y = QCu shows that the considered system is energetically stable.

References

- Киdrewicz Ј.: Устойчивость нелинейных систем с обратной связю, Автоматика и телемеханика, вол. 25 (1964), 1145—1155.
- [2] Doležal V.: On general nonlinear and quasilinear unanticipative feedback systems, Apl., matem., 14 (1969), 220-240.
- [3] Sandberg I. W.: Some results on the theory of physical systems governed by nonlinear functional equations, Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. 44 (1965), pp. 871-898.
- [4] Sauer R., Szabó I.: Mathematische Hilfsmittel des Ingenieurs, Springer Verl. 1967.

Souhrn

POZNÁMKA K ENERGETICKÉ STABILITĚ ZPĚTNOVAZEBNÍCH SYSTÉMŮ

VÁCLAV DOLEŽAL

V článku je sestrojeno abstraktní schéma energetické stability dynamických systémů, která byla zavedena J. Kudrewiczem v práci [1]. Jsou dokázány tři věty o energetické stabilitě zpětnovazebních systémů.

Author's address: Ing. Václav Doležal, DrSc., Matematický ústav ČSAV, Praha 1, Žitná 25.