

Somesh Das Gupta

On a probability inequality for multivariate normal distribution

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 21 (1976), No. 1, 1--4

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103618>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON A PROBABILITY INEQUALITY
FOR MULTIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

SOMESH DAS GUPTA*

(Received May 13, 1974)

1. Introduction. Let P_λ denote the p -variate normal distribution $N_p(\mu, \Sigma_\lambda)$, where

$$(1) \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{matrix}, \quad \Sigma_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \lambda \Sigma_{12} \\ \lambda \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \end{matrix},$$

$p_1 + p_2 = p$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and Σ_1 is positive-definite. Let $C_1 \subset R^{p_1}$, $C_2 \subset R^{p_2}$ be convex symmetric (about the respective origins) sets. Define

$$(2) \quad \pi(\lambda) = P_\lambda[C_1 \times C_2].$$

Das Gupta et al. [1] have shown that

$$(3) \quad \pi(0) \leq \pi(1)$$

under the following assumptions: There exist vectors $b_1 \in R^{p_1}$, $b_2 \in R^{p_2}$ and a scalar c such that

(i) $\mu_i = cb_i$, $i = 1, 2$

(ii) $\Sigma_{12} = b_1 b_2'$

(iii) $\Sigma_{ii} - b_i b_i'$ ($i = 1, 2$) is positive definite.

The inequality (3) was proved by Khatri [2] when $\mu = 0$. In this note, we shall show that

$$(4) \quad \pi(\lambda) \leq \pi(\lambda^*)$$

for $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* \leq 1$ when the above assumptions (i)–(iii) hold. For motivations and applications of the inequalities (3) and (4), one may see Das Gupta et al. [1]

*) On leave from the University of Minnesota.

and Khatri [2]. The inequality (4) was proved by Šidák [3] under the following stronger assumptions:

- (a) $\mu = 0$
- (b) $R_{ii} = b_i b'_i + \text{diag}[I - b_i b'_i], i = 1, 2$
 $R_{12} = b_1 b'_2$

where $b_1 : p_1 \times 1, b_2 : p_2 \times 1,$

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

is the correlation matrix corresponding to Σ_1 , and for a square matrix A , $\text{diag}(A)$ is defined to be the diagonal matrix obtained from A by replacing all the off-diagonal elements of A by 0.

Our proof essentially uses the inequality (3) and some suitable prior distributions of the parameters. It can also be seen that Šidák's [3] proof may be modified, incorporating the assumptions in this note, to obtain (4).

2. Proof of the inequality (4). Consider $b_1 : p_1 \times 1, b_2 : p_2 \times 1$ and c satisfying the assumptions (i)–(iii). Let $X_1 : p_1 \times 1, X_2 : p_2 \times 1$ and θ be distributed as the $(p + 1)$ -variate normal distribution, such that conditional joint distribution of X_1 and X_2 , given θ , is

$$N_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} \theta & b_1 \\ \theta & b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma \right]$$

and $\theta \sim N(c, \lambda)$, (λ being the variance of θ). Then the unconditional joint distribution of X_1 and X_2 is

$$N_p \left[\begin{pmatrix} c & b_1 \\ c & b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \Gamma + \lambda \begin{pmatrix} b_1 b'_1 & b_1 b'_2 \\ b_2 b'_1 & b_2 b'_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

It can be seen that the joint distribution of X_1 and X_2 is P_{λ^*} or P_{λ} , according as

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} - \lambda b_1 b'_1 & (\lambda^* - \lambda) b_1 b'_2 \\ (\lambda^* - \lambda) b_2 b'_1 & \Sigma_{22} - \lambda b_2 b'_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} - \lambda b_1 b'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{22} - \lambda b_2 b'_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* \leq 1$. Applying the inequality (3) of Das Gupta et. al. [1] after verifying their assumptions, we get

$$(5) \quad P[X_1 \in C_1, X_2 \in C_2 \mid \theta, \Gamma = \Gamma_1] \geq P[X_1 \in C_1, X_2 \in C_2 \mid \theta, \Gamma = \Gamma_0].$$

Taking expectations of both sides of the above inequality (5) with respect to θ , we get

$$\pi(\lambda^*) \geq \pi(\lambda).$$

Note 1. If $\mu_1 = 0, \mu_2 = 0, \text{rank}(\Sigma_{12}) = 1$, there exist vectors b_1, b_2 satisfying (ii) and (iii). To satisfy (i), take $c = 0$. To see this, note that there exist nonsingular matrices A_1 and A_2 such that

$$A_1 \Sigma_{11} A_1' = I_{p_1}, \quad A_2 \Sigma_{22} A_2' = I_{p_2},$$

and

$$A_1 \Sigma_{12} A_2' = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} : p_1 \times p_2,$$

where $0 \leq \varrho < 1$.

Define

$$b_i = A_i^{-1} [\sqrt{\varrho} 0 \dots 0]': p_i \times 1, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Note 2. Suppose $\mu_1 \neq 0, \mu_2 \neq 0$. Assume the following: There exists a positive scalar k such that

$$(ii') \quad \Sigma_{12} = k \mu_1 \mu_2'$$

$$(iii') \quad k^{-1} > \max(\mu_1' \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \mu_1, \mu_2' \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \mu_2).$$

We shall show that there exist b_1, b_2 and c satisfying (i)–(iii). There exist orthogonal matrices L_1 and L_2 such that

$$\mu_i' = (\delta_i 0 \dots 0) L_i \Sigma_{ii}^{1/2}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where

$$\delta_i = (\mu_i' \Sigma_{ii}^{-1} \mu_i)^{1/2}.$$

Define

$$c = k^{-1/2}, \quad b_i = \mu_i / c, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Note 3. When Σ_{ii} ($i = 1, 2$) is p. d., $\text{rank}(\Sigma_{12}) = 1$, but Σ is p.s.d., the above proof is also valid for showing

$$\pi(\lambda) \leq \pi(\lambda^*),$$

where $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* < 1$. In that case we need the following assumption:

$$(iiia) \quad \Sigma_{ii} - \lambda^* b_i b_i' \quad (i = 1, 2) \text{ is p.d.}$$

instead of the assumption (iii). Correspondingly the assumption (iii') in Note 2 can be changed. However the proof is no longer tenable for showing $\pi(\lambda) \leq \pi(1), 0 \leq \lambda < 1$ when Σ is p.s.d. subject to the assumptions made in the beginning of Note 3. This

may apparently follow from the result of Das Gupta et. al. [1] who claimed to prove (3) under the assumption: $\Sigma_{ii} - b_i b_i'$ is p.s.d. ($i = 1, 2$), instead of (iii); however their proof is not complete.

Acknowledgment. I am thankful to Professor Z. Šidák for bringing his paper to my attention and going through the proof in this paper.

References

- [1] *Das Gupta, S., Eaton, M. L., Olkin, I., Perlman, M. D., Savage, L. J., and Sobel, M.:* Inequalities on the probability content of convex regions for elliptically contoured distributions. Proc. Sixth Berk. Symp. on Math. Stat. and Prob. Vol. II, (1972), 241—265.
- [2] *Khatri, C. G.:* On certain inequalities for normal distributions and their applications to simultaneous confidence bounds. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 38, (1967), 1853—1867.
- [3] *Šidák, Z.:* On probabilities in certain multivariate distributions: their dependence on correlations. *Aplikace Matematiky* 18, (1973), 128—135.

Souhrn

O JEDNÉ NEROVNOSTI PRO PRAVDĚPODOBNOSTI V MNOHOROZMĚRNÉM NORMÁLNÍM ROZLOŽENÍ

SOMESH DAS GUPTA

Nechť P_λ označuje p -rozměrné normální rozložení $N_p(\mu, \Sigma_\lambda)$, kde

$$\Sigma_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \lambda \Sigma_{12} \\ \lambda \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

je rozdělena na bloky s p_1, p_2 řádky a sloupce, přičemž $p_1 + p_2 = p, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, a Σ_1 je pozitivně definitní. Buďtež $C_1 \subset R^{p_1}, C_2 \subset R^{p_2}$ konvexní symetrické množiny. V článku je za určitých předpokladů o μ a Σ_1 dokázáno, že pro $0 \leq \lambda < \lambda^* \leq 1$ je $P_\lambda[C_1 \times C_2] \leq P_{\lambda^*}[C_1 \times C_2]$, což zobecňuje dřívější výsledky Das Gupty aj. [1], Khatriho [2] a Šidáka [3].

Author's address: Professor Somesh Das Gupta, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, U.S.A.