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Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

$$
\text { 4, } 3 \text { (1963) }
$$

ON A NUMBER OF COMMUTING TRANSFOPMATIONS
Z. HEDRLIN, Praha

The aim of this remari is to prove the following: Theorem: Let $f$ be a transformation of an $n$-point set $X$. Then there exist at least $n$ different transformations of $X$ commating with $f$, that is, there exist transformations $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{s}, s \geq n, g_{i} \neq g_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{i}[f(x)]=f\left[g_{i}(x)\right] & \text { for all } x \in X \text { and all } \\
& i=1,2, \ldots, s .
\end{array}
$$

We use the following notation:
$i$ denotes the identity transformation of $X$ and we write $i=\stackrel{0}{f}, f^{i}=f^{i-1}(f)$, and $F=\prod_{i=0}^{U^{n}}\{f\}$. We write $F(x)=$ $=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{i}=0}^{\mathrm{n}}\{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})\}$.
$y \in X$ is said to be maximal according to $f$, or simply maximal, if $f(x) \neq y$ for every $x \in X$. If $Y$ is a set, $|Y|$ denotes the cardinal of $Y$. We assume that $|X|=n$.

Lema 1. Let $g$ commute with $f, g\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}$. Then $\left|F\left(x_{1}\right)\right| \geqq\left|F\left(x_{2}\right)\right|_{i}$
Proof. We have $\left.\stackrel{i}{f}\left(x_{2}\right)=\stackrel{i}{f}\left[g\left(x_{1}\right)\right]=g f^{i}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]$. Hence, if


Lemma 2. Let $F\left(x_{1}\right) \cap F\left(x_{2}\right) \neq \varnothing, F\left(x_{2}\right) \cap F\left(x_{3}\right) \neq \varnothing$. Then $F\left(x_{1}\right) \cap F\left(x_{3}\right) \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. We have $\stackrel{i}{f}\left(x_{1}\right)=\underset{f}{f}\left(x_{2}\right), \quad \underset{f}{f}\left(x_{2}\right)=\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{f}\left(x_{3}\right) \text {, for some }\end{aligned}$ $i, j, k, 1$. Hence, $\quad i+k\left(x_{1}\right)=\underset{f}{j+1}\left(x_{3}\right)$, and the lemma is proved.
$\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2} \in \mathrm{X}$ are said to belong to the same component according to $f$, or simply to the same component, if $F\left(x_{1}\right) \cap$ $\cap F\left(x_{2}\right) \neq \varnothing$. By lemme 2 ; two components ore either equal or disjoint.

Lemma 3. Let there exists only one component and ondy one maximal element according to $f$. Then $F(y)=X$, where $y$ is the maximal element. Proof. Let $x_{0}$ non $\in F(y)$. As $x_{0} \neq y, x_{0}$ is not maximal, there exists $x_{1}$ such that $f\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{0}$. Evidently, $x_{1}$ non $\in F(y)$. Continuing this process we get a sequence $x_{m}$. As $|X|=n$, there exists only finite number of different $x_{i}$. Therefore there mast exist $x_{j}$ and natural $k$ such that $f\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{j}, x_{j}$ non $\in F(y)$. Hence, $F\left(x_{j}\right) \cap$ $\cap F(y)=\varnothing$, and $x_{j}$ and $y$ belong to different components.

Lemma 4. Let there exist only one component, and no maximal element according to $f$. Then $F(y)=X$ for all y $\boldsymbol{E} X$. The proof is evident.

Now, we are going to prove the theorem by induction. If $n=1$, then the theorem is evidontly true. Let $n>1$. We assume that the theorem is proved for all $m \leqq n-1$. We divide the proof in three sections according to the properties of $f$.
(a) There exist rore than one component.
(b) There exists only one component containing at nost one
maximal element.
(c) The exists only one component containing more than one maximal element.
(a) We denote the components $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}$. We may assume that $\left|Y_{i}\right| \leqslant\left|Y_{i+1}\right|, i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Evident$I y$, every $Y_{i}$ is fixed under $f$, that is $\left.f\left(Y_{i}\right)=U_{y_{i}} y_{i}\left(y_{i}\right)\right\} c$ $C Y_{i}$. If we denote by $f \mid Y_{i}$, as usual, the restriction of $f$ onto $Y_{i}$, then $f \mid Y_{i}$ is a transformation of $Y_{i}$. By assumption, there exist at least $\left|Y_{i}\right|$ different transformnations of $Y_{i}$ commuting with $f \mid Y_{i}$. We denote this set by $F_{i}$. Let $G$ bed system of transformations of $X$ such that $g \in G$ if and only if $g \mid C_{i} \in F_{i}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots$ ..., k.

We have

$$
|G|=\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|F_{i}\right| \geqq \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|Y_{i}\right|
$$

If no $\left|Y_{i}\right|=1$, then the theorem is true, as every transformation in $G$ commutes with $f$.

Let $\left|Y_{i}\right|=1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, that is $Y_{i}=\left\{y_{i}\right\}$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, r$, :e define a constant transformatron $h_{i}(x)=y_{i}$ for every $x \in X$. Evidently, all $h_{i}, i=$ $=1,2, \ldots, r$, commute with $I$. Int us denote $G^{\bullet}=$ $=G U\left(\underset{i=1}{\mathbb{T}}\left\{h_{i}\right\}\right)$. We get

$$
\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geqq \prod_{i=1+1}^{k}\left|Y_{i}\right|+r
$$

Evidently, $\quad \sum_{i=r+1}^{k}\left|Y_{i}\right|=n-r$, and every $\left|Y_{i}\right| \geqq 2, \quad i=$ $=r+1, r+2, \ldots, k$. Hence; $\left|G^{\prime}\right| \geqq n$, and the case
(a) is proved.
(b) If there exists only one component with at most one
element $y$, then, by lemma 3 and 4 , the points $y, f(y)$, $f(y), \ldots, f^{n-1}(y)$ are different. That proves the case (b).
(c) Let $y, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t}$ be maximel elements, $|F(y)| \leqq\left|F\left(y_{i}\right)\right|$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. We denote $X^{\prime}=$ $=X \backslash\{y\}, f^{\prime}=f \mid X^{\prime}$. Tvidently, $f^{\prime}$ is a transformation of $X^{\prime},\left|X^{\prime}\right|=n-1$. Hence, there exist different tronsformations $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{s}^{\prime}, s \geqq n-1, \quad$ such that every $g_{i}^{\prime}$ commutes with $f^{\prime}$. We may assume that $g_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots$ ..., s, are all transformaitions of $X^{\prime}$ commuting with $f^{\prime}$. We are going to prove that every $g_{i}$ can be commutatively extended to $X$, that is, for each $g_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, s$, there exists a transformation $g_{i}$ of $X$ such that $g_{i} \mid X^{\prime}=$ $=g_{i}^{\prime}$, and $\varepsilon_{i}$ commutes with $f$. By assumption, $y$ is a maximal element and therefore

$$
|F[f(y)]|=|F(y)|-1=\left|F^{\prime}[f(y)]\right|
$$

where $F^{\prime}$ denotes the set of transformations of $X^{\prime}$ belongingto $f^{\prime}$. As $|F(y)| \leqq\left|F\left(y_{i}\right)\right|$, by leama 1 , $g_{i}^{\prime}[f(y)]$ is not maximal element according to $f$.

Thus, there exists at least one element $x_{i}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
f\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)=g_{i}^{\prime}[f(y)]
$$

If we define $g_{i} \mid X^{\prime}=g_{i}^{\prime}, g_{i}(y)=x_{i}^{\prime}$, then $g_{i}$ is the recuired conmutative extension of $g_{i}^{\prime}$.

It remains only to prove the existence of $g_{i}^{\prime}$ which can be extended in two different ways. To prove it we show that under assumptions of (c), there exists a natural $k$ such that

$$
\frac{k}{f}(y)=f(z), \quad z \neq \stackrel{k-1}{f}(y)
$$

Let $y_{1} \neq y, y_{1}$ be maximal. We have $F(y) \cap F\left(y_{1}\right) \neq 0$, $y_{1}$ non $\in F(y), y$ non $\in F\left(y_{1}\right)$. Hence, there exists natural
$k$ such that $\underset{f}{f}(y) \in F\left(y_{1}\right), \quad \underset{f}{k-1}(y)$ non $\in F\left(y_{1}\right)$. There exists an integer $m$ such that $\underset{f}{f}\left(y_{1}\right)=\stackrel{k}{f}(y)$. Put $z=$ $={ }_{f}^{m-1}\left(y_{1}\right)$. Evidently, $z \neq f_{f}^{k-1}(y)$, as $\quad \stackrel{k-1}{f}(y)$ non $\in F\left(y_{1}\right)$. Now, ${ }^{k-1} \mid X^{\prime}$ commutes with $f^{\prime}$ and can be extended in two different ways. The proof is finished.

