Miroslav Hušek One more remark on reflections

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 8 (1967), No. 1, 129--137

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105097

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

8,1 (1967)

ONE MORE REMARK ON REFLECTIONS

Miroslav HUŠEK, Praha

First, I must apologize for an unpleasant blunder in [4]. It is incorrectly stated on page 249 that lemma 2.6 in [5] does not hold under the given conditions.

There is also a misprint in Theorem 3 in [4]. There must be \mathcal{H}_{χ} instead of $\mathcal K$ in the first sentence.

If we investigate the existence of a reflection in \mathcal{K}' of an object X from \mathcal{K} , we look for the objects of \mathcal{K}' such that each morphism f from X into \mathcal{K}' (i.e. $f \in \mathcal{M}_X$) can be decomposed through them. Among these objects we must find that one with the unique decompositions. If \mathcal{K}' is productadmitting and the embedding $\mathcal{K}' \to \mathcal{K}$ preserves products, then in order to solve the first part it is necessary and sufficient to find a set $\mathcal{M}_X \subset \mathcal{M}_X$ such that each morphism from \mathcal{M}_X can be decomposed through a morphism from \mathcal{M}_X . To find out the uniqueness we need further conditions. It is possible to require either \mathcal{K}' to be left complete and the embedding $\mathcal{K}' \to \mathcal{K}$ to preserve inverse limits (see [2], p. 84) or \mathcal{M}_X to be a set of <u>apimorphisms with respect to</u> \mathcal{K}' (i.e. $\mathcal{E}f \in \mathcal{K}'$ and $q_i \circ f = q_2 \circ f$, $q_i \in \mathcal{K}', q_2 \in \mathcal{K}'$ implies $q_i = q_2$) - see [4].

In this note we shall point out some cases in which the investigation of reflections is easier. Assume the following

- 129 -

situation: \mathcal{G}_{-} is a faithful covariant functor from a category \mathcal{K}_{+} into a category \mathcal{K}_{+} , \mathcal{K}_{+}' is a subcategory of \mathcal{K}_{+} , \mathcal{K}' is a replete subcategory of \mathcal{K}_{-} and $\mathcal{G}_{-}[\mathcal{K}_{+}'] \subset \mathcal{K}'$. There are many questions concerning relations between reflections in \mathcal{K}_{+}' and in \mathcal{K}' . We restrict ourselves to the following three questions:

- (1) Is $\langle \mathcal{G} \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{G} \mathcal{f} \rangle$ a reflection of $\mathcal{G} X$ in \mathcal{K}' provided that $\langle \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{f} \rangle$ is a reflection of X in \mathcal{K}'_{1} ?
- (2) Is \mathcal{K}' reflective in \mathcal{K} provided that \mathcal{K}'_{1} is reflective in \mathcal{K}_{1} ?
- (3) Is \mathcal{K}'_{4} reflective in \mathcal{K}_{7} provided that \mathcal{K}' is reflective in \mathcal{K} ?

The aim of this note is to find conditions under which the answers are in the affirmative.

Now, we recall the definition of S -functor from [3] and the main Theorem 3 from [4].

The functor G is called an S -functor (and then \mathcal{K}_{q} is called an S -category with respect to G) if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (a) $\mathcal{G}_{f} f = \mathcal{G}_{1} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{2}$ implies $f = f_{1} \circ f_{2}$ where $\mathcal{G}_{f} f_{i} = \mathcal{G}_{i}$;
- (b) if $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{G}X = \mathcal{E}g$ (or $\mathcal{G}X = \mathcal{D}g$) then there exists an $f \in \mathcal{H}_1$ such that $\mathcal{G}f = g$ and $X = \mathcal{E}f$ (or $X = \mathcal{D}f$, respectively);
- (c) for each object A in \mathcal{K} the class $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[A] \cap obj \mathcal{K}_{\eta}$ is the complete set in the quasi order $\leq_{g} = \mathcal{E}\{\langle X, Y \rangle | | 1_{GX} \in \mathcal{G}[Hom_{\mathcal{K}_{\eta}} \langle X, Y \rangle] \};$
- (d) if $\{f_i\}$ is a family in \mathcal{K}_1 such that $G_i f_i = c_i$ for each *i*, then there is an $f \in Horry_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ (such $\{\mathcal{D}f_i\}$, such $\{\mathcal{E}f_i\}$) - 130 -

with $G_{f} = G$, and similarly for inf.

Theorem 3 from [4] (not in the full generality): Assume that \mathcal{K}' is product-admitting. Then each $X \in obj \mathcal{K}$ has a reflection in \mathcal{K}' if and only if:

- (a) the embedding $\mathcal{K}' \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ preserves products;
- (b) $Hom_{\mathcal{K}} \langle X, Y \rangle \neq \emptyset$ for some $Y \in Obj \mathcal{K}'$;
- (c) there is a cofinal set in the class \mathcal{H}_X of all epimorphisms with respect to \mathcal{K}' with domains X (in the order: f < g if $g = h \circ f$ for some $h \in \mathcal{K}'$);
- (d) each $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{H}} \langle X, Y \rangle$, $Y \in obj \mathcal{K}'$, can be factorized through a morphism from \mathcal{H}_{X} .

(1) Let $\langle Y, f \rangle$ be a reflection of X in \mathcal{K}'_{f} . We want to know if $\langle GY, Gf \rangle$ is a reflection of GX in \mathcal{K}' . The answer will be in the affirmative if G fulfils some conditions similar to the condition (b) in the definition of the S-functor. Gf has the factorization property if the following condition holds:

(a) if $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} \times \longrightarrow A$, $A \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{K}'$ then there is $\mathcal{G} : \times \longrightarrow Z$, $Z \in \mathcal{O}\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{K}'_{1}$, $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{K}'_{1}$. In order to get the uniqueness of this factorization one must require fulfilling of some conditions of the following type:

(β) if φ_1 , $\varphi_2 \in Hom_{\mathcal{K}}$, $\langle A, B \rangle$, $\mathcal{G}Z = A, Z \in obj \mathcal{K}'_1$, then there is a $Z' \in obj \mathcal{K}'_1$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in Hom_{\mathcal{K}'_1} \langle Z, Z' \rangle$ such that $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{G}_i$.

(β') if g is an epimorphism with respect to \mathcal{K}_{1}' , then \mathcal{G}_{2} is an epimorphism with respect to \mathcal{K}' .

(β'') G f is an epimorphism with respect to \mathcal{K}' . In the case that $X <_{g} \gamma'$ for some $\gamma' \in \mathcal{O}_{g} \mathcal{K}_{1}'$ the -131 - condition (α) is a consequence of (β).

(2) Let each object from $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[A]$ (which is supposed to be a non-void set) have a reflection in \mathcal{K}'_{1} . We want to know whether A has a reflection in \mathcal{K}'_{1} . Let, for each $\mathcal{G}: A \rightarrow B$, $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{K}'$, there exist f in \mathcal{K}'_{1} such that $\mathcal{E} f \in \mathcal{K}'_{1}$, $\mathcal{G} f = \mathcal{G}$. Then the images under \mathcal{G} of the reflections of objects from $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[A]$ form a set \mathcal{N}_{A} with the factorization property (see the introduction). But it is possible to use also the result of (1). If $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[A]$ has the least object X_{A} in $\leq_{\mathcal{G}}$, then the condition (α) is fulfilled for X = $= X_{A}$. Thus, if \mathcal{G} satisfies a condition of the type (\mathcal{S}) , A has a reflection in \mathcal{K}' . In a special case we shall get the following statement:

<u>Theorem 1</u>. Let the class $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[A]$ be a non-void set with a least object X_A for each $A \in \mathcal{Obj} \mathcal{K}$ and $X_A \in \mathcal{Obj} \mathcal{K}'_1$ for $A \in \mathcal{Obj} \mathcal{K}'$.

If \mathcal{G} satisfies the condition (b) for the ranges (i.e. the case $\mathcal{G} X = \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}$ only) in the definition of S-functors, then the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}_{1}' in \mathcal{K}_{1} implies the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}_{1}' in \mathcal{K}_{2} implies the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}_{2}' in \mathcal{K}_{2} .

<u>Remark</u>. The existence of X_A and the fulfilling of the condition (b) for the ranges is equivalent to the existence of a full embedding of \mathcal{K} onto a coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{K}_{f} .

(3) In what cases the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}' in \mathcal{K} does imply the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}'_{1} in \mathcal{K}_{1} ? For an answer to this question it is possible to use the inductive generation in \mathcal{K}'_{1} by means of a morphism with domain in \mathcal{K}_{1} . The exis-- 132 - tence of this generation implies the reflectivity of \mathcal{K}'_{1} in \mathcal{K}_{1} in our case. But we shall investigate another way using Theorem 3 from [4].

We restrict ourselves to the case obj $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{G}[\mathcal{Obj} \mathcal{K}_{\eta}]$, $\mathcal{K}'_{\eta} = \mathcal{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{K}']$. If \mathcal{K}'_{η} is product-admitting and \mathcal{G} preserves products, then the embedding $\mathcal{K}'_{\eta} \to \mathcal{K}'_{\eta}$ preserves products too and, hence, the condition (a) of Theorem 3 from [4] is fulfilled. The verification of (b) is often very easy and we shall not deal with it. The condition (d) will be satisfied if \mathcal{G} fulfils the condition (a) from the definition of the S -functors. If $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[\mathcal{A}]$ is a set for each $\mathcal{A} \in$ $i \mathcal{Obj} \mathcal{K}$, then (c) is valid and, hence, \mathcal{K}'_{η} is reflective in \mathcal{K}_{η} . In this case \mathcal{G} preserves reflections (see question (1)).

The condition (a) from the definition of the S-functors is too strong (among consequences of this condition one obtains a preservation of subobjects and of quotients). But it is possible to avoid this condition as it is shown in the following statement:

<u>Theorem 2</u>. Let obj $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{G}$ [obj \mathcal{K}_{γ}], $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma}' = \mathcal{G}^{-1} [\mathcal{K}']$, where \mathcal{K}_{γ} be product-admitting and \mathcal{K}' reflective in \mathcal{K} . Then \mathcal{K}_{γ}' is reflective in \mathcal{K}_{γ} if the following conditions are satisfied:

(a') G preserves products and fulfils the condition (b)
for the ranges in the definition of the S -functors;
(b') U{Hom_{X1} < X, Y > | Y∈ obj K'₁ 3 ≠ Q for each X ∈ obj K₁;

(c') there exists a faithful functor \mathcal{F} from \mathcal{K} such that $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}$ is an S-functor; -133(d') each $f \in \mathcal{K}$ with $\xi f \in \mathcal{K}'$ can be factorized as $f_1 \circ f_2$, where $f_1 \in \mathcal{K}'$ is projectively \mathcal{F} -generating (see [3]), f_2 belongs to a set $\mathcal{N}_{g,f}$ of epimorphisms with respect to \mathcal{K}' .

<u>Proof</u>. The conditions (a'), (b') imply the conditions (a), (b) of Theorem 3 from [4]. We shall prove that the remaining conditions (c), (d) are also valid. Let $X \in obj \mathcal{K}_{1}, f: X \to Y$, $Y \in obj \mathcal{K}_{1}'$. It follows from (d') that $\mathcal{G}f$ can be factorized as $f_{1} \circ f_{2}$, where f_{7} is projectively \mathcal{F} -generating and $f_{2} \in \mathcal{M}_{QX}$. It is possible, by (c'), to factorize fas $g_{1} \circ g_{2}$, where g_{7} is projectively $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}$ -generating and $(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G}) g_{i} = \mathcal{F}f_{i}$. Since \mathcal{G} fulfils the condition (b) for the ranges, it preserves projectively generating mappings and, hence, $\mathcal{G} \cdot \mathcal{G}_{7} = f_{7}$. Consequently, $\mathcal{G}g_{2} = f_{2}$ and, hence, also the condition (c) is fulfilled (it follows from (c') that $\mathcal{G}_{-1}[\mathcal{M}_{QX}]$ is a set).

<u>Remark</u> 1) It is not necessary for $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{G}$ to satisfy all the conditions of the S-functors. 2) The condition (a') is fulfilled in the case that \mathcal{K} is a coreflective subcategory of \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 is the right adjoint of the embedding $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$. The subcategory \mathcal{K}_1' of \mathcal{K}_1 is then composed of those objects and morphisms the coreflection in \mathcal{K} of which belongs to \mathcal{K}' .

Examples: Theorem 2 can be used e.g. in the case that \mathcal{K}_{7} is the category of quasi-uniform spaces (see e.g.[1] for definition), $\mathcal{K} = Top$ and \mathcal{K}' is the category of \mathcal{T}_{i} -spaces (i = 0, 1, 2, 3).

- 134 -

We shall show a pattern of a construction of reflections in the case that \mathcal{K}_1 is the category of syntopogene spaces (see [1]), $\mathcal{K} = Unii$ and \mathcal{K}' is the category of complete Hausdorff uniform spaces. This case is solved in [1] but that construction is rather complicated. It is very easy to use Theorem 2 and to apply it also to other definitions of completeness (not only to that used in [1]).

All the conditions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled (it is better to use Remark 2). Hence, every syntopogene space \mathcal{P} has a complete separated reflection $\langle \mathcal{V} \mathcal{P}, f_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle$. We want to know in what cases the mapping $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ is an embedding. Since $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{P}$ is separated (in the sense of [1]), \mathcal{P} must be separated too. We shall show that this is just the case. If \mathcal{P} is separated, then $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ must be one-to-one (because for each $\chi, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{P}, \chi \neq \mathcal{Y}$ there exists a continuous mapping f from \mathcal{P} into a complete separated syntopogene space such that $f_{\mathcal{X}} \neq f_{\mathcal{Y}}$). It follows easily from Theorem 12.41 in [1] that $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ is projectively generating (it is possible to find a continuous mapping $f_{<o}$ from \mathcal{P} into a complete separated syntopogene space such that that $f_{\mathcal{X}} = f_{\mathcal{Y}_{o}}$ for each $<_{o}$ from the structure of \mathcal{P} such that $<_{o} \subset f_{<o}^{-1} (<)$ for some < in the structure of \mathcal{P} .

If \mathcal{P} is not separated then $f_{\mathcal{P}}$ is not one-to-one. In this case too, it is possible to find a completion with the extension property (of course, these extensions are not unique). Let us denote by $\langle \ \gamma \ \mathcal{P}, \ \varphi_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle$ the separated reflection of \mathcal{P} and define $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \cup (\mathcal{V} \tau \ \mathcal{P} - \gamma \ \mathcal{P}), \ f: \ \mathcal{P} \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \ \mathcal{P}$ to be the identity mapping on $\mathcal{P}, \ \tilde{f}: \ \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{V} \ \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to be equal to $\varphi_{\mathcal{P}}$ on \mathcal{P} and being the identity mapping on -135 - $(\gamma \gamma \mathcal{P} - \gamma \mathcal{P})$. The structure of $\widetilde{\gamma} \mathcal{P}$ is that one projectively generated by $\widetilde{+}$. Because the mapping $\widetilde{+} \circ f = f_{\gamma \mathcal{P}} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is projectively generating, it follows that f is also projectively generating and, hence, an embedding. It is clear that the completion $\langle \widetilde{\gamma} \mathcal{P}, f \rangle$ is augmentation-separated (separated with respect to \mathcal{P} in the terminology of [1]). If \mathcal{P} is separated, then $\widetilde{\gamma} \mathcal{P} = \gamma \mathcal{P}$.

Now, we shall prove that this completion has the extension property. Let a continuous mapping g from \mathcal{P} into a complete syntopogene space \mathcal{Q} be given (i.e. the uniform coreflection of \mathcal{Q} is complete which is equivalent to the double-completeness in the sense of [1]). We have the following commutative diagram



There exists exactly one continuous mapping $h: \forall \tau \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \tau \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\tau \mathcal{Q} = h \cdot f_{\tau \mathcal{P}}$. Now, it is easy to define a mapping $\tilde{h}: \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\mathcal{Q} = \tilde{h} \circ f, \mathcal{Q} \circ \tilde{h} = h \circ \tilde{f}$. Because $h \circ \tilde{f}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ is projectively generating, the mapping \tilde{h} is continuous. This extension \tilde{h} of \mathcal{Q} is unique if $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ (i.e. if \mathcal{Q} is separated). References

 [1] A. CSÁSZÁR: Grundlægen der allgemeinen Topologie, Akadémien Kiadó, Budæpest 1963.

[2] P. FREYD: Abelian categories, Harper & Row, 1964.

- [3] M. HUŠEK: S-categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 5 (1964), 37-46.
- [4] M. HUŠEK: Remark on reflections, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 7(1966),249-259.
- [5] J.F. KENNISON: Reflective functors in general topology and elsewhere, Trans.AMS 118(1965),303-315.

(Received December 9, 1966)