

Zdeněk Frolík

On two problems of W. W. Comfort

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 8 (1967), No. 1, 139--144

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105098>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON TWO PROBLEMS OF W.W. COMFORT

Zdeněk FROLÍK, Cleveland

All spaces are assumed to be separated and uniformizable. A space is called pseudocompact if each continuous function is bounded, or equivalently, any locally finite family of non-void open sets is finite. By an  $n$ -cube of a space  $X$ , designated by  $X^n$ , we mean the product of  $n$  copies of  $X$ , more precisely the product of any family  $\{X | a \in A\}$  where the cardinal of  $A$  is  $n$ , and also the  $n$ -fold product  $X \times \dots \times X$  of  $X$  by itself if  $n$  is finite. The purpose of this note is to exhibit the following two examples.

A. Given a positive integer  $n$  there exists a space  $X$  such that  $X^n$  is pseudocompact but  $X^{n+1}$  is not.

B. There exists a space  $Y$  such that each finite cube  $Y^k$  of  $Y$  is pseudocompact but  $Y^{\aleph_0}$  is not.

To accomplish the picture and also to simplify the proof of Proposition B below we shall prove, see also [4, p.370].

C. The product of a family of spaces is pseudocompact provided that the product of each countable subfamily is so. (If the product is non-void, then evidently the converse holds.)

To prove C observe that if  $\{U_n\}$  is a locally finite sequence of canonical open sets in a product space  $P = X \prod_{a \in A} P_a$  then there exists a countable  $A_1 \subset A$  such that the projection of the sequence  $\{U_n\}$  into the space  $X \prod_{a \in A_1} P_a$  is also locally finite. It should be remarked that this proves C in a more general setting, namely

with pseudocompact replaced by countably H-closed, see [3].

Remark. According to the Glicksberg theorem, see [4] or [2], the properties of  $X$  in  $A$  can be formulated as follows:

$(\beta X)^n$  is a Stone-Čech compactification of  $X^n$ , but  $(\beta X)^{n+1}$  is not any Stone-Čech compactification of  $X^{n+1}$ ; or equivalently (using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem), each continuous function of "n variables" admits arbitrarily close approximations by polynomials in bounded continuous functions of "one variable" but there exists a bounded continuous function of "n+1 variables", which does not. The same applies to  $B$ . In the case  $A$  there is also the following restatement:  $C^*(X^n)$  is the n-fold tensor product of  $C^*(X)$  by itself but  $C^*(X^{n+1})$  is "larger" than the (n+1)-fold product of  $C^*(X)$  by itself.

First we shall show that the exhibition of  $A$  and  $B$  reduces to the following examples  $A'$  and  $B'$ . It should be noted that  $A'$  for  $n = 2$  and  $B'$  answer the original problems of W.W. Comfort. Then we state proposition  $D$ , and prove  $A'$  and  $B'$  using  $D$ . Finally  $D$  will be proved; this is the main step in the proof.

$A'$ . Given a positive integer  $n$  there exist spaces  $X(k), k = 1, \dots, n+1$ , such that any cube of any product  $X(k_1) \times \dots \times X(k_m)$  is pseudocompact, but the product  $X(1) \times \dots \times X(n+1)$  is not pseudocompact.

$B'$ . There exists a sequence  $\{Y(k)\}$  of spaces such that the product of any finite subfamily is pseudocompact but the product of every infinite subfamily is not pseudocompact.

Proof of  $A$  (using  $A'$ ). For  $X$  take the sum of the family

$\{X(k)\}$ , where  $X(k)$  are spaces with properties in  $A'$ .

Proof of B (using  $B'$ ). Similarly let the sum  $Z$  of a family  $\{Y(k)\}$  with properties in  $B'$  be an open subspace of a space  $X$  such that  $X - Z$  is a singleton, say  $\{z\}$ , with neighborhoods of  $z$  defined to be all  $U \ni z$  which contain all  $(k) \times Y(k)$  except for a finite number of  $n$ .

D. Proposition. There exists an infinite disjoint collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\beta N$  ( $\beta N$  designates a Stone-Ćech compactification of the discrete space  $N$  of natural numbers) such that every cube of  $N \cup A$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , is pseudocompact.

Exhibition of  $X(k)$  in  $A'$ . Choose a one-to-one family

$\{A(j) \mid j = 1, \dots, n+1\}$  in  $A$  and put

$$B(k) = \bigcup \{A(j) \mid j \neq k\}, \quad X(k) = N \cup B(k)$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n+1$ . The product of  $\{X(k)\}$  is not pseudocompact because  $\bigcap \{B(k)\} = \emptyset$  and so the diagonal is closed, which implies that the family  $\{(\{l \mid k = 1, \dots, n+1\}) \mid l \in N\}$  of non-void open sets is locally finite. On the other hand if  $k_i \neq k$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\bigcap \{B(k_i)\} \supset A(k)$ , and so any partial product is pseudocompact because it contains a cube of some  $N \cup A(k)$  as a dense subspace, and every cube of  $N \cup A(k)$  is pseudocompact.

Exhibition of  $Y(k)$  in  $B'$ . Let  $\{A(k)\}$  be a disjoint sequence in  $A$  and let

$$B(k) = \bigcup \{A(j) \mid j \neq k\}, \quad Y(k) = N \cup B(k).$$

Clearly the intersection of any infinite subfamily of  $\{B(k)\}$  is empty, and the intersection of every finite subfamily contains some  $A(k)$ . Thus every cube of the product of any finite subfamily is pseudocompact because it contains a cube of

some  $N \cup A(k)$  as a dense subspace. To prove that the product  $Z$  of an infinite subfamily  $\{Y(k) \mid k \in K\}$  is not pseudocompact we shall show that the family of the canonical open sets

$U_k = E\{x = \{x(j) \mid x \in Z, x(j) = k \text{ for } j \in k\}, k \in K\}$ , is locally finite. Pick a  $y = \{y(k) \mid k \in K\}$  in  $Z$ . If some  $y(k)$  belongs to  $N$  then the set  $E\{x \mid x \in Z, x(k) = y(k)\}$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  which intersects no  $U_n$  with  $n > y(k)$ . If no  $y(k)$  belongs to  $N$ , then  $y(i) \neq y(j)$  for some  $i \neq j$  in  $K$  because the intersection of  $\{B(k) \mid k \in K\}$  is empty. Choose disjoint neighborhoods  $U$  of  $y(i)$  and  $V$  of  $y(j)$  in  $\beta N$ . Clearly the neighborhood

$$E\{x \mid x \in Z, x(i) \in U, x(j) \in V\}$$

of  $y$  intersect no  $U_k$  with  $k > i, j$ . This concludes the proof. It should be remarked that one could show that each cluster point of  $\{U(k)\}$  is a cluster point of the diagonal of  $Z$ , and use the fact that the diagonal is closed.

Proof of D. Call a mapping  $f: N \rightarrow X$  eventually one-to-one (eventually constant) if  $f: (N - M) \rightarrow X$  is one-to-one (constant) for some finite set  $M$ . Consider the set  $P$  of all eventually one-to-one mappings of  $N$  into itself. For  $f$  in  $P$  let  $f^*$  denote the unique continuous extension of  $f$  to a mapping of  $\beta N$  into itself. Write  $x \rho y$  iff  $x, y \in \beta N - N$  and  $f^*x = y$  for some  $f$  in  $P$ . It is easy to verify that  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on  $\beta N - N$ . It should be remarked that the equivalence classes are the smallest  $P^*$ -invariant non-void subsets of

$\beta N - N$ . We shall prove that the collection  $\textcircled{A}$  of all equi-

valence classes has the properties stated in D .

E. Proposition. The collection  $\mathcal{A}$  has the properties stated in D ,  $\text{card } \mathcal{A} = \exp \exp \kappa_0$  , and  $\text{card } A = \exp \kappa_0$  for any  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  .

Proof. The cardinal of any  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is at most  $\exp \kappa_0$  because the cardinal of  $P$  is  $\exp \kappa_0$  and all the points of  $A$  are images under mappings from  $P$  of any fixed point of  $A$  . On the other hand,  $A$  is dense in  $\beta N - N$  and so the cardinal of  $A$  is at least  $\exp \kappa_0$  . The cardinal of  $\beta N$  is  $\exp \exp \kappa_0$  , and so the cardinal of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\exp \exp \kappa_0$  .

According to C to prove that any cube of  $(N \cup A)$  is pseudocompact it will suffice to prove that  $Z = (N \cup A)^N$  is pseudocompact. We shall prove that every sequence  $\{x(k)\}$  in  $N^N$  has a cluster point in  $Z$  ; it will follow that  $Z$  is pseudocompact since  $N^N$  is dense. Given  $\{x(k)\}$  choose a subsequence  $\{y(k)\}$  such that each coordinate sequence  $y(k)$  is either eventually one-to-one or eventually constant. Pick any  $a$  in  $A$  and consider the point  $z = \{z(k)\}$  in  $Z$  such that  $z(k)$  is the value of  $(y(k))^*$  at  $a$  if  $y(k)$  is eventually one-to-one, and the eventual constant value of  $y(k)$  otherwise. We shall prove that  $z$  is a cluster point of  $\{y(k)\}$  , and so certainly of  $\{x(k)\}$  . Let  $U$  be a canonical neighborhood of  $z$  determined by neighborhoods  $U(0), U(1), \dots, U(n)$  of  $z(0), z(1), \dots, z(n)$  , respectively. Since any  $f^*$  , with  $f$  in  $P$  , defines a homomorphism on  $\beta N - N$  , there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $a$  in  $\beta N$  such that

$$(y(k))^* [V] \cap (N \cup A) \subset U(n)$$

if  $k \leq n$  and  $y(k) \in P$ . If  $k \leq n$  and  $y(k)$  is eventually constant then we choose a residual set  $N_k$  in  $N$  such that  $y(k)$  is constant on  $N_k$ . The intersection  $N'$  of  $V \cap N$  and all the  $N(k)$  is a non-void (infinite) subset of  $N$  and clearly  $y(i) \in U$  if  $i \in N'$ .  
 The proof is complete.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] E. ČECH: Topological Spaces, Publ. House of ČSAV, Prague 1965.
- [2] Z. FROLÍK: The topological product of two pseudocompact spaces, Czech. Math. J. 10(1960), 339-349.
- [3] Z. FROLÍK: Generalizations of compact and Lindelöf spaces. Czech. Math. J. 9(1959), 172-217.
- [4] I. GLICKSBERG: Stone-Čech compactification of products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 90(1959), 369-382).

(Received November 14, 1966)