

Karel Wichterle

Relations between the  $\mathfrak{N}$ -completeness and the paracompactness of closure spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 9 (1968), No. 4, 583--593

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105202>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

RELATIONS BETWEEN THE  $\mathcal{N}$ -COMPLETENESS AND THE PARACOMPACTNESS OF CLOSURE SPACES

K. WICHTERLE, Praha

The main result in this paper is Theorem 1 (known for sequences and normal S-spaces ([2], theorem 9)).

On the other hand, the assertion of this theorem (or  $\mathcal{N}$ -completeness of  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$ ) is sufficient for the paracompactness of  $\langle P, \mu \rangle$  whenever  $\mu$  is a generalized order closure (Theorem 2).

Some definitions from [3] used in this paper. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a (cofinal-closed) class of directed sets. A  $\mathcal{N}$ -net is a net whose domain belongs to  $\mathcal{N}$ . A  $\mathcal{N}$ -space is a closure space whose closure is determined (as in [1], 35 A.5) by some convergence relation  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}$  consists of  $\mathcal{N}$ -nets.  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete iff  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -regular (i.e. any  $\mathcal{N}$ -net  $N$  converges to  $Nx$  whenever  $f \circ N \rightarrow fx$  for each continuous function  $f$ )  $\mathcal{N}$ -space and every  $\mathcal{N}$ -net remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  converges in  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  denotes the class of all monotone ordered sets. The  $\mathcal{N}$ -modification of a closure  $\mu$  is the coarsest  $\mathcal{N}$ -closure finer than  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, \mu \rangle$  be a paracompact space. Then every monotone net remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  is convergent in  $\mathcal{P}$ ; equivalently, any monotone net ranging

in  $\mathcal{P}$  does not converge in  $\beta\mathcal{P}$  to any point of  $|\beta\mathcal{P}| - P$ .

Proof. Let  $\langle N_\alpha, \leq \rangle$  be a monotone net remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  which does not converge in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then there exists a bijective  $\mathcal{N}^\omega$ -net  $N$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{D}N$  is regularly ordered) remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  which does not converge in  $\mathcal{P}$  (we can choose a regularly ordered cofinal subset  $E$  of  $\mathbf{D}N_\alpha$  and a mapping  $n$  of  $\alpha = \text{card } E$  into  $E$  so that  $m \leq n \Rightarrow N_\alpha m \in P - N_\alpha n[\xi]$ , because  $N_\alpha$  is not frequently constant and hence  $\text{card}(E \cap N_\alpha^{-1} N_\alpha n[\xi]) < \alpha$ ; we can denote  $\mathbf{D}N = n[\alpha]$ ).

Let us denote for each  $m \in \omega_\alpha$  and for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$   $k_f = \lim f \circ N$  and  $U_{f,m} = P - f^{-1}[\mathbb{I}k_f - \frac{1}{m}, k_f + \frac{1}{m}]$ . ( $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$  is the collection of all continuous functions of  $\mathcal{P}$  into  $\mathbb{I}$ ,  $\mathbb{I}$  is the unit interval  $[0,1]$  with the usual topology). Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{f,m} \mid f \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}, m \in \omega_\alpha\}$  is an open cover of  $\mathcal{P}$  (whenever  $x \in P$  then  $N$  does not converge to  $x$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  and hence  $fx \neq k_f$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$ ,  $x \in U_{f,m}$  for this  $f$  and for any  $m > \frac{1}{|fx - k_f|}$ ). Thus there exists a locally finite partition of the unity subordinated to  $\mathcal{U}$  ([1], 30 C.4), i.e. there exists  $F \subset \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\sum \{fx \mid f \in F\} = 1$  for each  $x \in P$  and the locally finite cover  $\{L_f = P - f^{-1}(0) \mid f \in F\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . If  $f \in F$  then there exists  $g \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$  and  $n \in \omega_\alpha$  so that  $L_f \subset U_{g,n}$ , the net  $N$  is

eventually in  $P - U_{g,n}$ , hence also in  $P - L_f = f^{-1}(0)$ , therefore  $k_f = 0$  and we can choose  $c_f \in \mathbb{D}N$  such that  $m \leq c_f \Rightarrow fNm = 0$ .

Let us construct (by induction) a family  $M = \{M_\xi \mid \xi \in \alpha = \text{card } \mathbb{D}N\}$  of points of the set  $\mathbb{E}N \subset P$  and disjoint neighborhoods  $V_\xi$  of  $M_\xi$  by the following way.

Let  $\eta \in \alpha$  and let the sets  $V_\xi \subset P$  and  $F_\xi = \{f \in F \mid V_\xi \cap L_f \neq \emptyset\}$  be chosen for all  $\xi \in \eta$ . Because  $U\{F_\xi \mid \xi \in \eta\}$  is finite if  $\alpha = \aleph_0$  and  $\text{card } U\{F_\xi \mid \xi \in \eta\} \leq \eta \cdot \aleph_0 < \alpha$  if  $\alpha > \aleph_0$  the set  $E\{c_f \mid f \in U\{F_\xi \mid \xi \in \eta\}\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{D}N$ ; let us denote  $d_\eta$  some its upper bound and  $M_\eta = Nd_\eta$ . Let us choose  $g_\eta \in F$  so that  $g_\eta M_\eta \neq 0$ . Then  $g_\eta \notin F_\xi$  and hence  $L_{g_\eta} \cap V_\xi = \emptyset$  for each  $\xi \in \eta$ .  $L_{g_\eta}$  is a neighborhood of  $M_\eta$  and therefore we can choose a neighborhood  $V_\eta \subset L_{g_\eta}$  of the point  $M_\eta$  so that the set  $F_\eta = \{f \in F \mid V_\eta \cap L_f \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite.

We shall prove that  $\mathbb{E}M$  is discrete in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $A \subset \mathbb{E}M$ . If  $y \in \mathbb{E}M$  then the point  $y = M_\xi$  has the neighborhood  $V_\xi$  and  $V_\xi \cap A \subset (M_\xi)$ . Let us consider that  $y \in \cup A - \mathbb{E}M$ . Let us denote  $\beta = \min\{\gamma \in \alpha \cup (\alpha) \mid y \in \cup(A \cap M[\gamma])\}$ ; obviously  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal number. Let us choose a net  $\alpha = \langle \{\alpha_j \mid j \in K\}, \leq \rangle$  ranging in  $B = \beta \cap M^{-1}[A]$  such that  $M \circ \alpha$  converges to  $y$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $f \in F$ . If  $\mathbb{E}(f \circ M \circ \alpha) = (0)$  then  $fy = 0$ . Let  $fM\alpha_j \neq 0$ . Then  $f \in F_{\alpha_j}$ . Because  $\alpha$  is not frequently in  $\gamma$

whenever  $\gamma \in \beta$  (by definition of  $\beta$ ) there exists  $l \in K$  such that  $i \geq l \Rightarrow \alpha_i \geq \alpha_{j+1}$ . Therefore  $i \geq l \Rightarrow d_{\alpha_i} \leq d_{\alpha_{j+1}} \leq c_f \Rightarrow f M \alpha_i = f N d_{\alpha_i} = 0$  for each  $i \in K$ ; thus  $f y = 0$ . But this is the contradiction with the assumption that  $F$  is a partition of the unity.

Because  $\mathcal{P}$  is paracompact, there exists ([1], 30 C.10) a discrete family  $\{W_\xi \mid \xi \in \alpha\}$  of open sets so that  $M_\xi \in W_\xi$  for each  $\xi \in \alpha$ .

Let us choose a set  $S \subset \alpha$  so that  $S$  and  $\alpha - S$  are  $\leq$ -cofinal in  $\alpha$ , let us choose a function  $f_\xi \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{P}$  for each  $\xi \in S$  so that  $f_\xi M_\xi = 1$  and  $f_\xi [P - W_\xi] = (0)$ , let us denote by  $f$  the sum of functions  $f_\xi$  over  $S$ . If  $y \in P$  then there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  such that  $U \cap W_\eta \neq \emptyset$  for at most one  $\eta \in S$  ([1], 26 A.8). For this  $\eta$   $f = f_\eta$  on  $U$  and  $f$  is continuous in  $y$ . Thus  $f$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

The sets  $d[S]$  and  $d[\alpha - S]$  are cofinal in  $\mathbf{D} N$ , because  $\text{card } d[S] = \text{card } S = \alpha = \text{card } d[S - \alpha]$ ; along with it  $f N z = 0$  for each  $z \in d[\alpha - S]$  and  $f N z = 1$  for each  $z \in d[S]$ . Therefore  $f \circ N$  does not converge,  $N$  is not remarkable and it is the contradiction.

The second assertion of Theorem 1 is equivalent to the first one, because the net is remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if the one is convergent in  $\beta \mathcal{P}$ .

Corollary 1. Every metrizable space (and every pseudo-metrizable space) is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete.

Corollary 2. The  $\mathcal{N}$ -modification of any paracompact space is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete.

Proof. Let  $\langle P, u \rangle$  be a paracompact space, let  $v$  be the  $\mathcal{N}$ -modification of  $u$ , let  $N$  be a  $\mathcal{N}$ -net remarkable in  $\langle P, v \rangle$ . Because  $\mathcal{F}\langle P, u \rangle \subset \mathcal{F}\langle P, v \rangle$ ,  $N$  is remarkable in  $\langle P, u \rangle$ , converges in  $\langle P, u \rangle$  and hence converges in  $\langle P, v \rangle$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $u$  be a generalized order closure. Then  $\langle P, u \rangle$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete if and only if  $\langle P, u \rangle$  is paracompact.

Proof. "If" is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1, because every generalized order closure space is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -space and obviously  $\mathcal{N}$ -regular ([4], 3.11).

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \langle P, u \rangle$  be not paracompact. Then there exists a well-ordered cover which is not uniformizable by [3], hence there exists a regularly ordered cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{ U_\xi \mid \xi \in \mathcal{I} \}$  which is not uniformizable (a cofinal subcover of the preceding cover).

For each  $x \in P$  let us denote  $Q_x = \{ y \in P \mid [x, y] \cup [y, x] \subset U_\xi \text{ for some } \xi \in \mathcal{I} \}$ . For any  $x \in P, y \in P$  either  $Q_x \cap Q_y = \emptyset$  (iff  $[x, y] \cup [y, x]$  is not contained in  $U_\xi$  for any  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$ ) or  $Q_x = Q_y$ . For any  $x \in P$   $Q_x$  is interval-like (obviously) and open-closed in  $\mathcal{P}$ . (Let  $x \in P$ . Then  $U_\xi$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  for some  $\xi \in \mathcal{I}$  and thus there exists an interval-like neighborhood  $W_x \subset U_\xi$

of the point  $x$ . If a point  $y$  belongs to  $W_x$ , then  $[x, y] \cup [y, x] \subset W_x \subset U_\xi$  and therefore the point  $y$  belongs to  $Q_x$ . Consequently,  $W_x$  is contained in  $Q_x$ , which proves that the set  $Q_x$  is open. Further, the set  $Q_x$  is closed as the intersection of the collection  $\{P - Q_x \mid x \in P - Q_x\}$  of the closed sets.

Therefore there exists  $x \in P$  such that the open cover  $\mathcal{U}_x = \{U_\xi \cap Q_x \mid \xi \in \gamma\}$  of the subspace  $Q_x$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  is not uniformizable, let us choose such  $x$  (if  $G_{Q_x}$  belongs to a continuous uniformity  $\mathcal{G}_{Q_x}$  for  $Q_x$  and  $\{G_{Q_x}[(y)] \mid y \in Q_x\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_x$ , then  $G = \bigcup \{G_{Q_x} \mid x \in P\}$  belongs to a continuous uniformity  $\{ \bigcup \{K_{Q_x} \mid x \in P\} \mid K_{Q_x} \in \mathcal{G}_{Q_x}\}$  for  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\{G[(y)] \mid y \in P\}$  refines  $\bigcup \{U_x \mid x \in P\}$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ ).

Let  $z \rightarrow z_0$  be two different points of  $Q_x$ . Let us consider that the cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\xi \cap Q \mid \xi \in \gamma\}$  of the subspace  $Q$  with  $|Q| = Q = Q_x \cap ]x, \rightarrow[$  of  $Q_x$  is not uniformizable; otherwise the cover  $\{U_\xi \cap R \mid \xi \in \epsilon \in \gamma\}$  of  $R = Q_x \cap ]\leftarrow, z_0[$  is not uniformizable (easy) and the other proof is analogical.

Let us define  $\nu y = \min \{\xi \in \gamma \mid y \in V_\xi\}$  for each  $y \in Q$ . The set  $\nu[Q]$  is cofinal in  $\gamma$ , because  $\mathcal{V}$  is not uniformizable; and  $\nu[ ]x, y[ ]$  is not cofinal in  $\gamma$  for any  $y \in Q$ . Therefore we can construct (by induction) the family  $N = \{N_\xi \mid \xi \in \gamma\}$  of

elements of  $\mathcal{Q}$  and the family  $\mathfrak{a} = \{ \mathfrak{a}_\xi \mid \xi \in \mathcal{X} \}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{X}$  so that  $N_\xi \prec N_\eta$  and  $\nu t \in \mathfrak{a}_\xi < \nu N_\eta$  whenever  $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\xi < \eta$ ,  $\alpha \rightarrow t \in N_\xi$ . Indeed, let  $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$  and let  $N_\xi$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_\xi$  be chosen for each  $\xi \in \eta$ ; then  $\mathfrak{a}[\eta]$  is not cofinal in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $N_\eta$  can be chosen so that  $\xi < \eta \Rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\xi < \nu N_\eta$ , thus  $N_\eta \notin \mathbb{I} \alpha, N_\xi \mathbb{I}$ , hence  $N_\xi \prec N_\eta$  for each  $\xi \in \eta$ ; seeing that  $\nu[\mathbb{I} \alpha, N_\eta \mathbb{I}]$  is not cofinal in  $\mathcal{X}$ , we can choose  $\mathfrak{a}_\eta$  so that  $t \in N_\eta \Rightarrow \nu t \in \mathfrak{a}_\eta$ . For each  $t \in \mathcal{Q}$   $t \rightarrow N_\xi \Rightarrow \nu t \in \mathfrak{a}_\xi \Rightarrow t \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a}_\xi} \Rightarrow t \in \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a}_\xi} V_{\mathfrak{a}_\xi}$ , hence the open cover  $\mathcal{W} = \{ W_\xi = \mathbb{I} \alpha, N_\xi \mathbb{I} \mid \xi \in \mathcal{X} \}$  of the space  $\mathcal{Q}$  refines  $\mathcal{V}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{W}$  is not uniformizable.

Obviously, the net  $\langle N, \in \rangle$  does not converge in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and, consequently, in  $\mathcal{P}$ , we shall prove that  $\langle N, \in \rangle$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathcal{P}$  ranging in  $\mathbb{I} 0, 1 \mathbb{I}$  such that the net  $\langle f \circ N, \in \rangle$  does not converge in  $\mathbb{I}$ . Then there exist sets  $B_0$  and  $C_0$  separated in  $\mathbb{I}$  so that  $f \circ N$  is frequently in both  $B_0$  and  $C_0$ . Let us denote  $B = \mathcal{Q} \cap f^{-1}[B_0]$ ,  $C = \mathcal{Q} \cap f^{-1}[C_0]$ . We can choose an increasing mapping  $h$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathcal{X}$  (by induction) so that  $N h \eta \in B$  if  $\eta = 0$  or  $\eta$  is a limit ordinal or  $N h \eta - 1 \in C$  and  $N h \eta \in C$  if  $N h \eta - 1 \in B$ , because  $h[\eta]$  is not cofinal in  $\mathcal{X}$  for any  $\eta \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $N$  is frequently in both  $B$  and  $C$ .



Let us denote  $m_t = \min\{\xi \in \gamma \mid t \supseteq N h \xi\}$  and  $\varphi t = h(m_t + 1)$  for each  $t \in Q$ . Then  $t \in Q \implies \implies t \supseteq N h m_t \supset N \varphi t \implies W_{\varphi t}$  is a neighborhood of  $t$ . There exists a set  $R \subset Q$  and a point  $y$  so that  $y \in u R - \bigcup\{W_{\varphi t} \mid t \in R\}$  ([1], 24 E.4 & 24 E.2). Let us denote  $S = \{N h \xi \mid \xi \leq m_t \text{ for some } t \in R\}$ . Seeing that for each  $t \in R$   $t \supseteq N h m_t \supset y$  and  $N h m_t \in S$ ,  $y$  belongs to  $u S$ . For each  $r \in S$  there exists  $t \in R$  so that  $h^{-1} N^{-1} r \leq m_t$ , for this  $t$   $r \supseteq N h m_t$ ,  $\varphi r \leq \varphi N h m_t = \varphi t$  and  $r = N h m_r \supset N h(m_r + 1) \supset N \varphi t \supset y$ ; therefore  $y \in \in u B$  and  $y \in u C$ , the function  $f$  is not continuous and the net  $N$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Theorem 3. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a cofinal-closed class of directed sets. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the cartesian product of a family  $\{\mathcal{P}_a \mid a \in A\}$  of closure spaces. Every  $\mathcal{N}$ -net remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  converges in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if every  $\mathcal{N}$ -net remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}_a$  converges in  $\mathcal{P}_a$  for each  $a \in A$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\mathcal{N}$ -space and  $\mathcal{P}_a$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete for each  $a \in A$ .

Proof. Let  $N$  be a  $\mathcal{N}$ -net ranging in  $|\mathcal{P}|$  which does not converge in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\pi_a \circ N$  does not converge in  $\mathcal{P}_a$  for some  $a \in A$ . For such a the  $\mathcal{N}$ -net  $\pi_a \circ N$  is not remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}_a$  by assumption,  $f \circ \pi_a \circ \circ N$  does not converge in  $\mathbf{I}$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{P}_a$ , hence  $N$  is not remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

On the other hand, let  $a \in A$  and let  $N$  be remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}_a$ . Let  $x \in |\mathcal{P}|$ , let a mapping  $\psi$  on  $\mathcal{P}_a$

into  $\mathcal{P}$  be defined so that  $\pi_a \psi y = y$  and  $\pi_b \psi y = \pi_b \psi x$  for each  $b \in A - (a)$ . If  $f$  is a continuous function on  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $f \psi$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{P}_a$  and  $f \psi N$  converges; hence  $\psi N$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$  and converges in  $\mathcal{P}$  by assumption. Let  $z$  be its limit, then  $N = \pi_a \psi N$  converges to  $\pi_a z$ .

Example. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is the (naturally) ordered set of real numbers endowed with the closure of the right approximation, then the uniformizable space  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is not normal ([1], 30 C.14) and  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete. Indeed,  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete by Corollary 2 (or by an easy direct proof) and  $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}$  is a S-space as the product of two S-spaces.

Theorem 4. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a (cofinal-closed) class of directed sets, let  $\alpha$  be a cardinal number. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The sum of any family  $\{\mathcal{P}_a \mid a \in A\}$  of  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete spaces (resp. such that  $\text{card } A < \alpha$ ) is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete.
- (b) Every discrete closure space  $\mathcal{Q}$  (resp. such that  $\text{card } \mathcal{Q} < \alpha$ ) is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete.
- (c) There exists no proper ultrafilter on any set  $A$  (resp. such that  $\text{card } A < \alpha$ ) which has a base order-isomorphic to some element of  $\mathcal{N}$ .

In particular, the sum of  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete spaces is  $\mathcal{N}$ -complete whenever  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$ .

Proof. (b)  $\implies$  (a): Let  $N$  be remarkable  $\mathcal{N}$ -net in  $\mathcal{P} = \sum \{\mathcal{P}_a \mid a \in A\}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a mapping

on  $\mathcal{P}$  onto the discrete space  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $|\mathcal{Q}| = A$  such that  $\psi [|\mathcal{P}_z|] = (z)$  for each  $z \in A$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{Q}$  then  $f \circ \psi \in \mathcal{F}\mathcal{P}$  ( $\psi$  is continuous) and  $f \circ \psi \circ N$  converges in  $\mathcal{I}$ . Thus  $\psi \circ N$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , converges to some  $z$  in  $\mathcal{Q}$  by (b), hence  $\psi \circ N$  is eventually in  $(z)$  and  $N$  is eventually in  $\mathcal{P}_z$ . The restriction of  $N$  on  $|\mathcal{P}_z|$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{P}$ , hence converges in  $\mathcal{P}_z$  and  $N$  converges to the same point in  $\mathcal{P}$ . (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) is trivial.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $\langle N, \rightarrow \rangle$  be a  $\mathcal{W}$ -net remarkable in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , let us denote  $\mathcal{U}$  its limit in the ultrafilter space  $\beta|\mathcal{Q}| = \beta\mathcal{Q}$ , let us denote  $B_m = \{N_n \mid m \rightarrow n\}$  for each  $m \in \mathcal{D}N$ .  $\mathbf{E}B$  is a base of the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  ( $\langle N, \rightarrow \rangle$  is eventually in each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ), further  $\langle \mathbf{E}B, \supset \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{D}N, \rightarrow \rangle \in \mathcal{W}$  are order-isomorphic. Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is fixed and  $\langle N, \rightarrow \rangle$  is convergent in  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $B$  be a base of an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$ , let  $h$  be an order-isomorphism of  $\langle E, \sigma \rangle \in \mathcal{W}$  onto  $\langle B, \supset \rangle$ . Let us choose  $N_b \in b$  for each  $b \in B$ . Then the  $\mathcal{W}$ -net  $\langle N \circ h, \sigma \rangle$  converges to  $\mathcal{U}$  in the ultrafilter space  $\beta A$ , hence in  $\beta\mathcal{Q}$  (where  $|\mathcal{Q}| = A$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is discrete), thus  $\langle N \circ h, \sigma \rangle$  is remarkable in  $\mathcal{Q}$  and convergent in  $\mathcal{Q}$  by (b). Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is fixed.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] E. ČECH: Topological Spaces. Praha 1965.

- [2] V. KOUTNÍK: On sequentially regular convergence spaces. Czech. Math. J. 17(92)(1967), 232-247.
- [3] J. MACK: Directed covers of paracompact spaces. Canadian. J. Math. 19(1967), 649-654.
- [4] K. WICHTERLE: On  $\mathcal{N}$ -convergence spaces. Czech. Math. J. 18(93)(1968)(to appear, probably in Vol. 4), 569-588 .

(Received June 27, 1968)