

Paul R. Fallone, Jr.

Stable global attractors in E^2

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 10 (1969), No. 4, 589--592

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105254>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1969

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

STABLE GLOBAL ATTRACTORS in E^2 x)

Paul R. FALLONE Jr., Storrs, Connecticut

Introduction. In [1] and [2] topological results for a point-set study of dynamical systems in E^2 are obtained and utilized in stability study. Incidentally, Theorem 2 of [1] does not require property \mathcal{J} for we may obtain desired sections from the following: Let (X, π) be a dynamical system on a locally compact (Hausdorff) space X and let $X^* = X \cup \{\omega\}$, $\omega \notin X$, denote the one-point compactification of X . Then there is a dynamical system (X^*, π^*) on X^* with the property that $\pi^*(x, t) = \pi(x, t)$ for every $x \in X$ and every $t \in \mathcal{R}$.

Our results are in the notation of [3] and we recall, in particular, that if M is a (positive) stable attractor (positively asymptotically stable) which is compact in a dynamical system on a Hausdorff space, then for each x in the region of attraction, $A(M)$, which is not in M we must have $\Lambda^-(x) \cap A(M) = \emptyset$.

x) The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF-GE-7938.

Theorem. Let (E^2, π) be a dynamical system on Euclidean 2-space and let $M \subset E^2$ be compact, invariant, (positively) stable, and a (positive) global $(A(M) = E^2)$ attractor. For each $x \in \text{Bd} M$ one of the following holds:

- (i) x is a rest point;
- (ii) $\gamma(x)$ is a simple closed curve;
- (iii) $\Lambda^-(x)$ is a (non-empty) continuum of rest points.

Proof: Assume $x_0 \in \text{Bd} M$ is such that x_0 is not a rest point and $\gamma(x_0)$ is not a simple closed curve. $\text{Bd} M$ is compact and invariant, hence, $\Lambda^-(x_0) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Lambda^-(x_0) \subset \text{Bd} M$. Suppose $\mu \in \Lambda^-(x_0)$ is not a rest point. Let Σ be a transversal at μ with associated $\eta > 0$, i.e., $\pi(\Sigma \times \{t\}) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ for every $0 < |t| \leq \eta$. Now $\gamma^-(x_0)$ has a countable infinite number of intersections with Σ ; say

$$\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ in order along } \gamma^-(x_0) \text{ where } x_k = \pi(x_0, \theta_k) \text{ and } 0 > \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_n > \dots.$$

By assumption $\gamma^-(x_0)$ is not the complete trajectory through x_0 and, therefore, $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a unique limit point x_{∞} in Σ and it is easy to see $x_{\infty} = \mu$.

Let $C_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ denote the simple closed curve consisting of arcs of $\gamma^-(x_0)$ and Σ between x_k and x_{k+1} . Then $\mu \notin C_k$ for any k . Let $G_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ be that component of $E^2 \setminus C_k$ which contains μ . For every k, G_k is negatively

invariant and unbounded. To see the latter, suppose for $k_0 \geq 1$, G_{k_0} is bounded. Since $p \in G_{k_0}$ and G_{k_0} is open in E^2 , there is a $y \in G_{k_0} \cap (E^2 \setminus M)$ and $\overline{\gamma^{-1}(y)} \subset \overline{G_{k_0}}$ is compact. Hence, $\Lambda^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ but this is impossible since y lies in $E^2 \setminus M$.

Finally, $x_0 \notin G_k$ for each $k \geq 1$. Denote the bounded component of $E^2 \setminus C_k$ by D_k . Then $x_0 \in D_1$, and there is a $y \in (E^2 \setminus M) \cap D_1$ such that $\Lambda^{-1}(y) = \emptyset$, $\gamma^{-1}(y) \cap G_k \neq \emptyset$ for each $k = 2n + 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\gamma^{-1}(y) \cap C_k \neq \emptyset$ for each $k = 2n + 1$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. This means $\gamma^{-1}(y)$ has an infinite number of intersections with Σ and that $p \in \Lambda^{-1}(y)$.

Again this is impossible since $y \in E^2 \setminus M$. Hence, if $p \in \Lambda^{-1}(x_0)$, then p is a rest point. Since $x_0 \in \text{Bd } M$; $\Lambda^{-1}(x_0)$ is a (non-empty) continuum and the proof is complete.

Corollary. Under the same hypothesis as the theorem, if $\text{Bd } M$ contains no rest points, M is topologically a closed 2-cell.

Proof: For each $x \in \text{Bd } M$, $\gamma(x)$ is a simple closed curve by the theorem. But any continuum in the plane which is the disjoint union of (more than one) simple closed curves is topologically an annulus [4]. Therefore, $\text{Bd } M$ is a simple closed curve. Let

D, C be components of $E^2 \setminus BdM$ with C unbounded. Then $\overline{D} = M$ and the proof is complete.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] O. HÁJEK: Sections of dynamical systems in E^2 ,
Czech.Math.J.15(90)(1965),205-211.
- [2] O. HÁJEK: Transversal theory in abstract dynamical
systems, Collections ČVUT IV,7(1965),11-46.
- [3] J. AUSLANDER, N.P. BHATIA, P. SEIBERT: Attractors
in dynamical systems, Bol.Soc.Mat.Mex.,9
(1964),55-66.
- [4] H.J. COHEN: Some results concerning homogeneous
plane continua, Duke J. Math.18(1951),
467-474.

Paul R. FALLONE Jr.
Dept.of Mathematics
University of Connecticut
Storrs,Connecticut 06280

(Oblatum 12.6.1969)