

Ladislav Bican

The lattice of radical filters of a commutative Noetherian ring

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 1, 53--59

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105327>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE LATTICE OF RADICAL FILTERS OF A COMMUTATIVE NOETHERIAN RING

Ladislav BICAN, Praha

As it was shown by V. Dlab [2], there is a one-to-one correspondence between all radical filters and some sets of prime ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring (namely, the set of all prime ideals contained in  $\mathcal{E}$  corresponds to any radical filter  $\mathcal{E}$ ). In this brief note, there is given a new one-to-one correspondence between all radical filters and some sets of prime ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring  $\Lambda$  and it is shown that the lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of all radical filters of  $\Lambda$  is distributive. Further, some necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\Lambda$ , under which the lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is complementary, are given.

In what follows,  $\Lambda$  stands for an associative commutative Noetherian ring with unity. Recall that a (non-empty) family  $\mathcal{E}$  of ideals of  $\Lambda$  is called a radical filter (commutativity is assumed!) if

$$(1) \quad I \in \mathcal{E}, I \subseteq J \Rightarrow J \in \mathcal{E},$$

$$(2) \quad I \subseteq J, J \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } (I : \lambda) \in \mathcal{E} \text{ for any } \lambda \in J \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow I \in \mathcal{E}, \text{ where } (I : \lambda) = \{\mu \in \Lambda, \mu\lambda \in I\}.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all prime ideals of

$\Lambda$  and by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all maximal ideals of  $\Lambda$ . We call a subset  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  a radical set, if any two elements of  $\mathcal{N}$  are incomparable (in the order of the inclusion). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be any (non-empty) set of ideals of  $\Lambda$ . The maximal elements of the set of all prime ideals which are contained in some ideal from  $\mathcal{Q}$  form a radical set - the radical set belonging to  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

Lemma 1: Let  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$  be a radical set. Then the set  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} = \{I, I \not\subseteq N, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}, I \text{ ideal in } \Lambda\}$  is the radical filter.

Proof: The property (1) is evident.

Proving (2) indirectly we shall show

(3)  $I \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} = \bigvee J, J \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}, I \subseteq J$ , there exists  $\lambda \in J$  with  $(I : \lambda) \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ .

Let us suppose  $I \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  with  $I \subseteq N$ . For  $J \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  we have  $J \cap N \neq \emptyset$ , hence we can take  $\lambda \in J \cap N$ . Then  $(I : \lambda) = \{\mu \in \Lambda, \mu\lambda \in I \subseteq N\} \subseteq (N : \lambda)$ . But  $(N : \lambda) = N$  because  $N$  is a prime ideal and  $\lambda \notin N$  which finishes the proof of (3).

Lemma 2: Let  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  be two radical sets. Then  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$  if and only if to any  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$  there exists  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$  with  $N_2 \subseteq N_1$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$ .

Proof: At first, suppose that the condition holds. Then  $I \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \Rightarrow I \not\subseteq N, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_1 \Rightarrow I \not\subseteq N, \forall N \in \mathcal{N}_2 \Rightarrow I \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$ . Conversely, if there exists  $N \in \mathcal{N}_2$  which is not contained in any  $N' \in \mathcal{N}_1$ , then  $N \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \cap \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$ . For the proof of the last part let us note that if  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$ , then to any  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$  there exists  $N_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$  and,

further,  $N_2' \in \mathcal{N}_2$  with  $N_2 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_2'$ . But  $N_2 = N_2'$  for  $\mathcal{N}_2$  being a radical set which implies  $\mathcal{N}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1$ . The inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{N}_2$  follows by symmetrical arguments.

Theorem 1: There is a one-to-one correspondence between all radical filters and all radical sets of prime ideals of  $\Lambda$ .

Proof: In view of Lemmas 1 and 2 it suffices to prove that to any radical filter  $\mathcal{E}$ , there exists a radical set  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of all maximal elements of the set of all ideals which do not belong to  $\mathcal{E}$ . It is easy to see that it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{N}$  contains the prime ideals only. One can easily show that an ideal  $I$  is prime if and only if  $(I : \lambda) = I$  for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda \div I$ . Let us take  $I \in \mathcal{N}$  arbitrarily, and let us assume the existence of  $\lambda \in \Lambda \div I$  with  $(I : \lambda) \not\subseteq I$ . By hypothesis (maximality of  $I$ ) it is  $(I : \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $J = \{I, \lambda\} \in \mathcal{E}$  ( $J$  is the ideal generated in  $\Lambda$  by  $I$  and  $\lambda$ ). Writing any element  $\varphi \in J$  in the form  $\varphi = \alpha\lambda + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ ,  $\beta \in I$ , we have  $\mu\varphi = \alpha\mu\lambda + \mu\beta \in I$  for any  $\mu \in (I : \lambda)$ , hence  $(I : \lambda) \subseteq (I : \varphi)$  for any  $\varphi \in J$ . Then  $I \in \mathcal{E}$  by (1) and (2), which contradicts our hypothesis. Theorem 1 is therefore proved.

It is easy to see that the intersection of any set of radical filters is a radical filter so that the radical filters form a (complete) lattice which we denote by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Theorem 2: Let  $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2$  be two radical sets of prime

ideals. Then  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the radical set belonging to  $\mathcal{N}_1 \cup \mathcal{N}_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the radical set belonging to the set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{ N_1 \cap N_2, N_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2 \} .$$

Proof: The proof for intersection is direct and we shall omit it. Proving the part for join, let us have  $I \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_i}, i = 1, 2$ . Then  $I \not\subseteq N_i$  for any  $N_i \in \mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2$  and therefore  $I \not\subseteq N$  for any  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  which denotes  $I \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  and hence  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}$  be any radical filter containing  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \cup \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$ . Then from  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_i} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}, i = 1, 2$  and Lemma 2 it easily follows that to any  $N' \in \mathcal{N}'$  there exist  $N_i \in \mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2$  with  $N' \subseteq N_1 \cap N_2$ . Hence  $N' \subseteq N$  for some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$  owing to the definition of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Using Lemma 2 again, one gets  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}$ , as was to be shown.

Theorem 3: The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is distributive,

Proof: We shall prove the "cancellation form" of distributivity indirectly, namely  $b \neq c, a \wedge b = a \wedge c \Rightarrow a \vee b \neq a \vee c$ . Let us suppose we have three radical filters  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \neq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3}$  and

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} .$$

Let us put

$$\mathcal{N}'_1 = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{N}_1 ,$$

$$\mathcal{N}'_2 = \mathcal{N}'_3 = \mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{N}'_1 ,$$

$$\mathcal{N}''_1 = \{ N \in \mathcal{N}_1, \exists M \in \mathcal{N}'_2 ; N \not\subseteq M \} ,$$

$$\mathcal{N}''_2 = \{ N \in \mathcal{N}_2, \exists M \in \mathcal{N}_1 ; N \subseteq M \} ,$$

$$\mathcal{N}_3'' = \{ N \in \mathcal{N}_3, \exists M \in \mathcal{N}_1; N \subseteq M \} .$$

One can easily see (by using Theorem 2 and (4)) that  $\mathcal{N}_2'$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2''$  are disjoint and  $\mathcal{N}_i' \cup \mathcal{N}_i'' = \mathcal{N}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$  .

In view of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \neq \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3}$  two cases can arise:

- a) There exists  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$  incomparable (in the inclusion) with any  $N_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3$  ,
- b) there exists  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, N_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3$  with  $N_2 \not\subseteq N_3$

(we omit the symmetrical two cases concerning  $\mathcal{N}_2$  and  $\mathcal{N}_3$  )..

Ad a): For  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2'$  we have  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_3' \subseteq \mathcal{N}_3$  - a contradiction. Hence  $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2''$  , i.e. there exists  $M \in \mathcal{N}_1, N_2 \subseteq M$  .

At first,  $N_2 = M \cap N_2, M \in \mathcal{N}_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$  implies  $N_2 \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$  by Theorem 2. Secondly,  $N_2 \subseteq M_1 \cap M_3, M_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, M_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3$  implies  $N_2 \subseteq M_3, M_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3$  - a contradiction proving  $N_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3}$  .

Ad b) : It is easy to see that  $N_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3'$  gives  $N_2 = N_3$  - a contradiction.

Hence  $N_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3''$  , i.e. there exists  $M \in \mathcal{N}_1$  satisfying  $N_3 \subseteq M$  . For  $N_3 \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2, M_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$  we have  $N_3 \not\subseteq M_2$  - a contradiction. Hence  $N_3 \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_2}$  . Finally,  $N_3 = M \cap N_3, M \in \mathcal{N}_1$  gives rise to  $N_3 \notin \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}_3}$  which completes the proof of Theorem 3,

Theorem 4: An element  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  has a complement in  $\mathcal{L}$  if and only if

- a)  $\mathcal{N}$  contains the maximal ideals only,
- b) for any prime ideal  $P$  the set  $\mathcal{M}_P$  of all ideals

from  $\mathcal{M}$  containing  $P$  satisfies either  $\mathcal{M}_p \subseteq \mathcal{N}$  or  $\mathcal{M}_p \cap \mathcal{N} = \phi$ .

Proof: It is clear that the unit element of  $\mathcal{L}$  is  $\mathcal{E}_\phi$  and the zero element is  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$ . Let us suppose that the conditions a) and b) are satisfied and let  $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{M} \dot{-} \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$  by Theorem 2 and  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'} = \mathcal{E}_\phi$  by b) and Theorem 2.

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$  have a complement  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  contains an ideal  $N$  which is not in  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there exists  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $N \not\subseteq M$ . For  $M \in \mathcal{N}'$  we have  $N \in \mathcal{E}_\phi \dot{-} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}$ , by Theorem 2 and for  $M \notin \mathcal{N}'$  we have  $M \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \wedge \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'} \dot{-} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}}$  - a contradiction proving a). Finally,  $\mathcal{N}'$  must be a complement of  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  (intersection). If there exists  $P \subseteq M \cap M'$ ,  $P$  prime,  $M \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $M' \in \mathcal{N}'$ , then  $P \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{M}} \dot{-} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}} \vee \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}'}$  - a contradiction proving b).

Theorem 5: The lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  is complementary if and only if any prime ideal in  $\Lambda$  is maximal.

Proof: If  $\mathcal{L}$  is complementary, then by a) Theorem 4 and Lemma 1 any prime ideal in  $\Lambda$  is maximal. The converse follows immediately from Theorem 4.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A.P. MIŠINA, L.A. SKORNJAKOV: Abelevy grupy i mouli. Moskva 1969,
- [2] V. DLAB: Distinguished sets of ideals of a ring. Czech. Math.J.18(93)(1968),560-567.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta  
Karlova Universita  
Praha 8 Karlín  
Sokolovská 83  
Československo

(Oblatum 13.5.1970)