

Jaroslav Drahoš

A construction of the projective modification for a closure-set of a presheaf

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 12 (1971), No. 1, 117--125

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105333>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECTIVE MODIFICATION FOR A
CLOSURE-SET OF A PRESHEAF

J. PECHANEC - DRAHOŠ, Praha

The purpose of this paper is to generalize and to simplify a theorem concerning the modifications of closure collections of presheaves of closure space of [2].

The method used here is different from that of [2], it is easier and better for understanding the problem.

1. Notations. If X is a closure space with a closure t , then every filter-base of t -neighborhoods of a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $\Delta(x, t)$. If a closure t' is finer than t , we write $t' \leq t$. If T is a set of closures on X , let $\lim_{\rightarrow} T$, respectively $\lim_{\leftarrow} T$ be the finest, respectively the coarsest closure on X , coarser, respectively finer than each closure from T .

If X is a topological space, we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the set of all its open subsets. Then for $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ let $\Pi(U)$ respectively $\Pi_0(U)$ be the set of all open coverings (respectively of all finite open coverings) of U .

If X is locally compact, let $P(U)$ be the set of all coverings $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi(U)$ with the following property: $V \in \mathcal{V}$ implies $\bar{V} \subset U$ is compact. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_0(U) = \{ \mathcal{V}; V \in \mathcal{B}(U); \bar{V} \subset U \text{ is compact} \}$. Thus

AMS, PRIMARY 54A10
SECONDARY 54B99.

Ref.Ž. 3.962.5 , 3.961.4

$\mathcal{V}_0(\mathcal{U}) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$.

2. Definitions. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_u, \tau_u); \rho_{uv}; X\}$ be a presheaf of sets with closures over a topological space X , i.e. for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ let S_u be a set with a closure τ_u and for $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $V \subset U$ let $\rho_{uv} : S_u \rightarrow S_v$ be a map (not necessarily continuous) of (S_u, τ_u) into (S_v, τ_v) . The set $\mu = \{\tau_u; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ (briefly $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$) is called the set of closures of the presheaf \mathcal{S} (briefly the set of closures). If $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$, $\mu' = \{\tau'_u\}$ are two such sets and for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we have $\tau_u \subseteq \tau'_u$, we write $\mu \subseteq \mu'$. If every $\rho_{uv} : (S_u, \tau_u) \rightarrow (S_v, \tau_v)$ is continuous, we call \mathcal{S} the presheaf of closure spaces and the set of its closures μ is called the collection of closures of \mathcal{S} , briefly the collection.

If $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi(U)$, we have a collection of maps

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{\rho_{uV}; \rho_{uv} : S_u \rightarrow (S_v, \tau_v); V \in \mathcal{V}\}$$

of the set S_u (the closure τ_u is not considered now) into the closure spaces (S_v, τ_v) , $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Using the set $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$, we can construct projectively a new closure in S_u . We denote

$$(4) \quad \tau_{u\mathcal{V}} = \varprojlim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \tau_v.$$

As in [2], the set $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$ is called projective (respectively finitely projective), if $\tau_{u\mathcal{V}} = \tau_u$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and every $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi(U)$ (respectively every $\mathcal{V} \in \Pi_0(U)$) - see [2], Definition 1.1. , 1.1.26. It is obvious that the projective or finitely projective set μ is a closure collection, i.e. every $\rho_{uv} : (S_u, \tau_u) \rightarrow (S_v, \tau_v)$

is continuous.

In [2] we proved the following statement (Theorem 1.1.6).

Theorem A. For every collection $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$ of \mathcal{S} there exists a collection $\mu' = \{\tau'_u\}$ such that

- a) $\mu \leq \mu'$,
- b) μ' is projective,
- c) if $\nu = \{\tilde{\tau}_u\}$ is a projective collection and $\mu \leq \nu \leq \mu'$, then $\nu = \mu'$.

The collection μ' was called in [2] projective modification of μ .

In [2] we have proved a theorem concerning the projective modifications of closure collections, which states (1.1.37):

Theorem B. If \mathcal{S} in (2) is a presheaf of closure spaces over a locally compact space X and if its set of closures $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$ is finitely projective, then $\mu' = \{\tau_{u \cap u_0}(u); u \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$. In the following we will not assume μ is finitely projective collection, but only that μ is a set of closures of \mathcal{S} with the property:

- (5) Assumption: If $u \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $a \in S_u$, $W \in \Delta(a; \tau_u)$, $V \in \Pi_0(u)$, then for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists $W^V \in \Delta(\varphi_{uV}(a); \tau_V)$ such that $\bigcap_V \varphi_{uV}^{-1}(W^V) \subset W$.

Under the assumption (5) we construct for μ certain projective closure collection μ^* of \mathcal{S} and we will show that μ^* is a projective modification of μ not only for the collection μ as in [1] (see Theorem B), but moreover for some sets of closures μ of \mathcal{S} .

6. Remark. Since the property (5) does not imply the

continuity of ρ_{uv} , the set of closures with this property is not necessarily a closure collection. However, it can be easily seen that if μ is a collection, then (5) is equivalent with the finite projectivity of μ .

7. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{ (S_u, \tau_u) ; \rho_{uv} ; X \}$ be a presheaf of sets S_u with closures τ_u over a locally compact space X .

Let the set $\mu = \{ \tau_u \}$ of closures satisfy Condition (5). Then $\mu^* = \{ \tau_u^* \} = \{ \tau_{u \cap V_0(u)} ; u \in \mathcal{B}(X) \}$ is a projective closure collection of \mathcal{S} .

Proof. Obviously, μ^* is a collection.

Thus we are going to prove it is projective. Let

$$u \in \mathcal{B}(X), a \in S_u, V \in \Pi(u), W \in \Delta(a; \tau_u^*).$$

We may assume that $W \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^m \rho_{uV_i}^{-1}(W_i)$, where $V_i \in \mathcal{V}_0(u), W_i \in \Delta(\rho_{uV_i}(a); \tau_{V_i}^*), i = 1, \dots, m$.

The compactness of the set $\bar{V}_i \subset u$ implies there exist

$u_i^1, \dots, u_i^{n_i} \in V$ such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} u_i^j \supset \bar{V}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let us set $S_i^j = u_i^j \cap V_i, V_i' = \{ S_i^1, \dots, S_i^{n_i} \}$. Then $V_i' \in \Pi_0(V_i), i = 1, \dots, m$. The local compactness of X implies: There exist $R_i^1, \dots, R_i^{n_i} \in \mathcal{B}(V_i)$ such that

- 1) $R_i^j \subset S_i^j$,
- 2) $\bar{R}_i^j \subset u_i^j$ is compact,
- 3) $\{ R_i^1, \dots, R_i^{n_i} \} \in \Pi_0(V_i), i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n_i$.

Since μ satisfies (5), we have $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n_i} \rho_{V_i R_i^j}^{-1}(W_i^j) \subset W_i$ for some $W_i^j \in \Delta(\rho_{u R_i^j}(a); \tau_{R_i^j}^*), i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n_i$. For these i, j let us set $\tilde{W}_i^j = \rho_{u R_i^j}^{-1}(W_i^j)$. Then $\tilde{W}_i^j \in \Delta(\rho_{u u_i^j}(a); \tau_{u_i^j}^*),$

because $R_i^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{U}_0(U_i^{\sharp})$. Moreover, $U_i^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \bigcap_{j=1}^{k_i} \mathcal{P}_{U_i^{\sharp}}^{-1}(\tilde{W}_i^{\sharp}) \subset \mathcal{W}$, which proves the projectivity of μ^{\sharp} .

8. Remark. The inequality $\mu \leq \mu^{\sharp}$ is not necessarily true if μ is not a collection of closures of \mathcal{S} , but only a set of closures of \mathcal{S} . It can be easily seen that this inequality is true iff the set $\mu = \{\tau_U\}$ satisfies the following condition: For every $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\bar{V} \subset U$ compact, the map \mathcal{P}_{UV} is continuous.

Now let $\mathcal{S} = \{(\mathcal{S}_U \tau_U); \mathcal{P}_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of sets with closures, $\mu = \{\tau_U\}$ its set of closures. It can be easily seen (or see [2], 1.1.3) that if $\nu^{\alpha} = \{\tau_U^{\alpha}\}; \alpha\}$ is some family of closure collections of \mathcal{S} , then $\varprojlim_{\alpha} \nu^{\alpha} = \{\varprojlim_{\alpha} \tau_U^{\alpha}; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ and $\varinjlim_{\alpha} \nu^{\alpha} = \{\varinjlim_{\alpha} \tau_U^{\alpha}; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ are again closure collections of \mathcal{S} .

Therefore we have that for the set μ there exists a collection $\mu^{\sigma} = \{\tau_U^{\sigma}\}$ of \mathcal{S} such that $\mu \leq \mu^{\sigma}$ and if ν is any collection of \mathcal{S} such that $\mu \leq \nu$, then $\mu^{\sigma} \leq \nu$.

9. Definition. Let \mathcal{S} be a presheaf, μ its set of closures. A closure collection $\mu^1 = \{\tau_U^1\}$ of \mathcal{S} is called projective modification of μ if

- 1) μ^1 is a projective collection,
- 2) $\mu \leq \mu^1$,
- 3) if ν is a projective collection of \mathcal{S} , such that $\mu \leq \nu$, then $\mu^1 \leq \nu$.

The theorem A implies immediately the existence of the

projective modification μ^1 to every set of closures μ , since for μ there exists μ^σ which is a collection and for μ^σ we get the projective modification $(\mu^\sigma)'$ by Theorem A. Obviously $(\mu^\sigma)'$ satisfies the conditions of (9).

If μ is a collection, then the modifications μ' (by Theorem A) and μ^1 (by 9) are equal. Thus we will denote in the following the projective modification of the set μ by μ' instead of μ^1 .

10. Theorem. Let \mathcal{S} be a presheaf of sets with closures over a locally compact space X described in (2) which satisfies Condition 5 and let $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$ be its set of closures. Suppose for every $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ with the property: $\bar{V} \subset U$ compact, the map ρ_{UV} is continuous. Then $\mu^\# = \mu'$ (see 7).

Proof. According to Remark 8 it follows from the continuity of ρ_{UV} for $\bar{V} \subset U$ compact, that $\mu \leq \mu^\#$, where $\mu^\# = \{\tau_u^\#\} = \{\tau_{uv_0}(u)\}$ and $\tau_{uv_0}(u) = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}_0(U)} \tau_V$ (see 1). Let us set $\mu' = \{\tau'_u\}$. Since $\tau_u \leq \tau'_u$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and since μ is projective, we get

$$\tau_u^\# = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}_0(U)} \tau_V \leq \lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}_0(U)} \tau'_V = \tau'_u .$$
 Thus $\mu^\# \leq \mu'$. By 7, $\mu^\#$ is a projective collection, therefore by Theorem A and the definition 9 $\mu = \mu^\#$.

11. Remark. For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we set (as in [2], 1.1.10)

$$(12) \quad \tau_u^* = \lim_{V \in \Pi(U)} \tau_{UV}, \quad \mu^* = \{\tau_u^*\} .$$

Obviously $\tau_u \leq \tau_u^* \leq \tau'_u$. If X is locally compact, every $V \in \Pi(U)$ has a refinement $\mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ (see Notations 1). If for every $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\bar{V} \subset U$

compact, the map ρ_{UV} is continuous (which is true if μ is the collection), one can easily see that $\tau_{UV} \leq \tau_{UV_1}$ (or see [2], 1.1.15). Thus $\tau_u^* = \lim_{V \in P(U)} \tau_{UV}$. For every $V \in P(U)$ we have $\tau_{UV_0(u)} \leq \tau_{UV}$, because every such V refines $V_0(u)$. However, if \mathcal{S} moreover satisfies (5) (i.e. if μ is finitely projective whenever μ is a collection), we get by Theorem 10 conversely $\tau_{UV} \leq \tau_{UV_0(u)}$, because by (9), (10):

$$\tau_{UV} = \lim_{V \in P(U)} \tau_V \leq \lim_{V \in P(U)} \tau'_V = \tau'_u = \tau_u^* = \tau_{UV_0(u)}.$$

Thus $\tau_u^* = \tau_{UV}$ for every $V \in P(U)$, which gives Theorem A and moreover Theorem 1.1.37 in [2], too.

13. Remark. Z.Frolík introduced the notions of topologized presheaf and of presheaf of topological spaces (see [1], p.59). Let \mathcal{S} from (2) be a presheaf of sets with closures described in (2), $\mu = \{\tau_u\}$ its set of closures. If each τ_u is formed by the topology μ_u , we say that $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_u, \mu_u); \rho_{UV}; X\}$ is a topologized presheaf and the collection $\mu = \{\mu_u\}$ is called the topologization of \mathcal{S} . If moreover each $\rho_{UV} : (S_u, \mu_u) \rightarrow (S_V, \mu_V)$ is continuous, we say, \mathcal{S} is a presheaf of topological spaces. The topologization μ is then called compatible topologization.

The definitions, notions, theorems and the methods of proofs employed in this paper would not lose their sense and validity also in the case if we worked especially in topologies, i.e. if we considered only topologized presheaves instead of presheaves of sets with closures. The reformulation of the results for this case is very easy and the proofs are quite analogous. We will study this case briefly.

Definition D. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{ (S_u, \mu_u) ; \rho_{uv} ; X \}$ be a topologized presheaf, $\mu = \{ \mu_u \}$ its topologization. Following (4) we define for $U \in \mathcal{B}(X), V \in \Pi(U)$ the closure τ_{UV} in S_U by the maps $\rho_{UV} : S_U \rightarrow (S_V, \mu_V)$ projectively. Then τ_{UV} is a topology μ_{UV} . We say μ is projective, if $\mu_U = \mu_{UV}$ for every U, V .

A topology-projective modification of μ is the finest projective topologization $\mu' = \{ \mu'_u \}$ of \mathcal{S} coarser than μ .

A closure-projective modification of μ is the finest projective closure-collection $\mu'' = \{ \tau''_u \}$ of \mathcal{S} coarser than μ .

For a topologization μ of \mathcal{S} there exist the topology-projective modification μ' by [1] - p.59 and the closure-projective modification μ'' by [2] - p.116. Obviously $\mu \leq \mu'' \leq \mu'$.

Since the assumption (5) is not changed in the case of a topologized presheaf and since $\tau_{UV} = \mu_{UV}$ is a topology for every U, V , Theorem 7 asserts:

Theorem 7'. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{ (S_u, \tau_u) ; \rho_{uv} ; X \}$ be a topologized presheaf over a locally compact space $X, \mu = \{ \mu_u \}$ its topologization. Let μ satisfy the assumption (5). Then $\mu^* = \{ \mu_u^* \} = \{ \mu_{UV}(\mu) \} ; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is a projective topologization of \mathcal{S} .

The proof and Corollary 8 are the same, if we write "topologization", resp. "compatible topologization" instead of "set of closures", resp. "closure collection". Theorem 10 in a topological case asserts:

Theorem 10'. Let \mathcal{F} be a topologized presheaf over a locally compact space X , $\mu = \{ \mu_U \}$ its topologization which satisfies the assumption (5). Suppose for every U, V with the property $\bar{V} \subset U$ is compact, the map φ_{UV} is continuous. Then $\mu^* = \mu'' = \mu'$ (see Definition D).

Proof. The continuity of φ_{UV} for $\bar{V} \subset U$ compact implies $\mu \leq \mu^* = \{ \mu_U \varphi_U(\mu) \}$. Let $\mu'' = \{ \tau_U'' \}$. Since $\mu_U \leq \tau_U''$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and since μ'' is a projective collection, we get $\mu_U^* = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{B}(U)} \mu_V \leq \lim_{V \in \mathcal{B}(U)} \tau_V'' = \mu_U''$. By Theorem 7' μ^* is a projective topologization and therefore by Definition D $\mu^* = \mu'' = \mu'$.

Theorem 10' shows that in the case of a topologization μ of \mathcal{F} the closure-projective modification μ'' of μ is again a topologization of \mathcal{F} and therefore it coincides with μ' .

Following (12) we denote by $\mu_U^* = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{B}(U)} \mu_U \varphi_U$ the finest topology in S_U coarser than every $\mu_U \varphi_U$. Then Remark 11 is not changed if we write the topology μ_U^* instead of the closure τ_U^* .

R e f e r e n c e s :

- [1] Z. FROLÍK: Structure projective and structure inductive presheaves. Celebrazioni archimedee del secolo XX., Simposio di topologia, 1964.
- [2] J. PECHANEC: Modifications of closure collections. Submitted to Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal.

(Oblatum 16.9.1970)
 Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
 Karlova universita
 Praha 8, Sokolovská 83, Československo