Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Roman Frič

A note on Fréchet spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 13 (1972), No. 3, 411--418

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105429

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

13,3 (1972)

A NOTE ON FRÉCHET SPACES [1)]

R. FRIČ, Žilina

Recall that a Fréchet space (L, λ) is a T_1 topological space such that for every subset A we have $\lambda A = \{x \mid x = \lim x_n, x_n \in A\}$, i.e. λA is the set of all limit points of sequences of points of A; the space (L, λ) is said to be sequentially regular if for every sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ of points of L and every point x such that $x \in L - \lambda \cup (x_n)$ there is a continuous function f on (L, λ), $0 \leq f(\mathcal{L}) \leq 1$, and a subsequence $\langle n_i \rangle$ of $\langle n \rangle$ such that $f(x) = 0$, $f[\cup(x_{n_i})] = 1$ (cf.[3]).

Following [5] a T_1 topological space (L, λ) is called $\aleph_0$-regular if for every countable subset A and every point x such that $x \in L - \lambda A$ there is a continuous function f on (L, λ), $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$ such that $f(x) = 0$, $f[A] = 1$. It can be readily seen that every $\aleph_0$-regular Fréchet space is sequentially regular. J. Novák asked in [5] whether every sequentially regular Fréchet space is $\aleph_0$-regular.

----

1) The article is a part of [1].

AMS, Primary: 54D55 Ref. Ž. 3.961.4

The main purpose of the present paper is to show that the answer is no. The space Λ_∞ constructed by F.B. Jones in [2] [2] (as a Moore space which is not completely regular) is a counter-example. We also give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Fréchet sequentially regular space to be $\aleph_0$-regular and two sufficient conditions for an $\aleph_0$-regular Fréchet space to be completely regular.

Example. Let L be the subset of all points (x, y) of the Euclidean plane $R \times R$ such that $y \geq 0$ provided with the following refinement of the product topology: for $r > 0$, the sets

$$V^r(x, 0) = \{(x, 0)\} \cup \{(u, v) \mid (u, v) \in L, (u-x)^2 + (v-r)^2 < r^2\}$$

are also neighbourhoods of the point $(x, 0)$ (Niemytzky space).

Denote by λ the just described topology. Clearly, (L, λ) satisfies the first axiom of countability and hence it is Fréchet. The subspace $(D, \lambda/D)$ of (L, λ) where $D = \{(x, 0) \mid x \in R\}$, is discrete. The space (L, λ) is completely regular and hence sequentially regular. The set D is the union of two disjoint uncountable sets, denote them by A and by B, such that if U is an open set containing uncountably many points of one of them, then λU contains uncountably many points of the other (for the proof see [2]).

Let $\langle (L_m, \lambda_m) \rangle_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a simple sequence of disjoint copies of the space (L, λ). For convenience we may

----

2) It is Professor J. Novák who called my attention to that article.

imagine these spaces as lying in different planes of the three-dimensional Euclidean space parallel to the plane of L . For each point set H in L and to every natural m there corresponds in a natural way the set H_m in L_m (the set H is the projection of every H_m). The symbol q denotes always a point of D .

Let $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (L_m, \lambda_m)$ be the topological sum of the above sequence. We modify it in the following manner:

1. If m is odd $(m = 1, 3, 5, \dots)$ and q is a point of B , then we identify points q_m and q_{m+1} to $(q_m; q_{m+1})$; if m is even $(m = 2, 4, 6, \dots)$ and q is a point of A , then we identify points q_m and q_{m+1} to $(q_m; q_{m+1})$ (the projection of $(q_m; q_{m+1})$ is q in this case). Let for $\kappa > 0$ the sets

$$W^{\kappa}((q_m; q_{m+1})) =$$
$$= \{(q_m; q_{m+1})\} \cup \{V_m^{\kappa}(q) - (q)\} \cup \{V_{m+1}^{\kappa}(q) - (q_{m+1})\}$$

be fundamental systems of neighbourhoods of these points, i.e. we take a quotient space of $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (L_m, \lambda_m)$.

2. We add one "ideal" point p (distinct from all) to the modified $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (L_m, \lambda)$.

Let for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the sets

$$O_k(p) = (p) \cup \{\bigcup_{m>k} \bigcup_{y>0} (x_m, y_m)\} \cup \{\bigcup_{m>k} (q_m; q_{m+1})\}$$

form a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of p .

Denote by $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ this modified space (cf. [2], where $\Lambda_\infty = (L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$). The space $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ satisfies the first axiom of countability and hence it is

Fréchet, it is "completely regular at every point" except p but it is not completely regular (at p) since $p \in L_\infty - \lambda_\infty A_1$, but for each continuous function f on $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ we have $f(p) \in \overline{f[A_1]}$ (cf.[2]).

Proposition. The Fréchet space $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ is sequentially regular but fails to be $\aleph_0$-regular.

Proof. First prove that $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ is sequentially regular. Since $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ is "completely regular and hence sequentially regular at every point" except p , we have to prove that if $\langle x_m \rangle$ is a sequence of points of L_∞ such that $p \in L_\infty - \lambda_\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (x_m)$, then there is a continuous function f on $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ and a subsequence $\langle x_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle x_m \rangle$ such that

$$f(p) = 0, \quad f(x_{m_i}) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

Since there is a natural k_0 such that $x_m \in L_\infty - O_{k_0}(p)$ for all m , we always can and do select a subsequence $\langle x'_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle x_m \rangle$ such that

a) $\langle x'_{m_i} \rangle$ is a constant sequence or the projection of no x'_{m_i} lies in $D \subset L$. In this case the construction of f and the subsequence $\langle x_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle x'_{m_i} \rangle$ and hence of $\langle x_m \rangle$ is easy and is omitted.

b) If $(x'_i, 0) \in D \subset L$ is the projection of x'_{m_i} , i.e. x'_{m_i} is either of the form of $(q^{(i)}_{km}; q^{(i)}_{km+1})$, $n \leq k_0$, or $x'_{m_i} \in A_1$, then there is a strictly monotone, say increasing, subsequence $\langle x_i \rangle$ of the sequence $\langle x'_i \rangle$ of real numbers x'_i . Let $\langle \varkappa_i \rangle$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$x_{i-1} + r_{i-1} < x_i - r_i < x_i + r_i < x_{i+1} - r_{i+1}, \; i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Denote by $U(z_{m_i}) = (V^{r_i}(x_i, 0))_1$ if $z_{m_i} \in A_1$ and

$$U(z_{m_i}) = W^{r_i}((q_n^{(i)}; q_{n+1}^{(i)}))$$

otherwise. Now, let f be a function on $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ defined in the following manner:

$f(z) = 1$ for $z = z_{m_i}$;

$f(z) = 0$ for each z on the boundary of the neighbourhood $U(z_{m_i})$ of z_{m_i} and linear on the segment from z_{m_i} to z, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$;

$f(z) = 0$ for $z \in L_\infty - \lambda \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(z_{m_i})$.

It is easy to verify that f has the desired properties. If the sequence $\langle x_i \rangle$ is decreasing, then the procedure is similar.

Secondly, denote by

$$C = \{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in L - D;\ x, y \ \text{rational}\} .$$

The set C_1 is countable and can be arranged into a sequence $\langle z_m \rangle$ and $p \in L_\infty - \lambda_\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (z_m)$. As $A_1 \subset \lambda_\infty \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (z_m)$, we have $f(p) \in \overline{\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (f(z_m))}$ for each continuous function f on $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$. Therefore $(L_\infty, \lambda_\infty)$ fails to be $\aleph_0$-regular. This completes the proof.

Let (L, λ) be a Fréchet sequentially regular space. Recall that the completely regular modification $\tilde{\lambda}$ of λ is the finest of all completely regular topologies for L coarser than λ , the systems of continuous functions on

(L, λ) and on $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ coincide and $\lim x_m = x$ if and only if the sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ is eventually in every $\tilde{\lambda}$ -neighbourhood of x (see [3]). A point x_0 is called a side-point of a sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ in $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ if any subsequence $\langle x_{m_i} \rangle$ of $\langle x_m \rangle$ does not converge to x_0 and the sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ is frequently in every $\tilde{\lambda}$ -neighbourhood of x_0.

<u>Theorem 1</u>. A Fréchet sequentially regular space (L, λ) is $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -regular if and only if there is no sequence in $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ having a side-point, where $\tilde{\lambda}$ is the completely regular modification of λ.

<u>Proof</u>. I. If there is a sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ in $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ having a side-point x_0, then

$$x_0 \in L - \lambda \, \bigcup (x_m), \quad x_0 \in \tilde{\lambda} \, \bigcup (x_m) .$$

Thus for each continuous function f on $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$ and hence, as mentioned above, on (L, λ) we have

$$f(x_0) \in \overline{\bigcup (f(x_m))} .$$

But this implies that (L, λ) cannot be $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -regular.

II. If (L, λ) is not $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -regular, then there is a sequence $\langle x_m \rangle$ of points $x_m \in L$ and a point $x_0 \in L$ such that

$$x_0 \in L - \lambda \, \bigcup (x_m)$$

and for each continuous function f on (L, λ) there is a subsequence $\langle m_i \rangle$ of $\langle m \rangle$ such that

$$\lim f(x_{m_i}) = f(x_0) .$$

From the definition of $\tilde{\lambda}$ it follows that

$$x_0 \in \tilde{\lambda}\, U(x_n) \ ,$$

i.e. x_0 is a side-point of the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in $(L, \tilde{\lambda})$.

Theorem 2. A regular separable $\aleph_0$-regular Fréchet space (L, λ) is completely regular.

Proof. Denote by $S \subset L$ a countable set such that $G \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for each non-empty open set $G \subset L$. Let $F \subset L$ be a non-empty closed set and $x_0 \in L - F$. Then there is a neighbourhood $W(x_0)$ such that $\lambda W(x_0) \subset \subset L - F$ and $(L - \lambda W(x_0)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Hence $(L - W(x_0)) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Now, arrange the countable set $(L - W(x_0)) \cap S$, either finite or infinite, into a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$. Evidently

$$x_0 \in (L - \lambda\, U(x_n)) \subset L - F \ .$$

Since (L, λ) is $\aleph_0$-regular, there is a continuous function f on (L, λ) such that

$$f(x_0) = 0 \ , \ f[U(x_n)] = 1 = f[F] \ .$$

Corollary. A first-countable separable $\aleph_0$-regular topological space is completely regular.

Proof. Professor J. Novák proved in [4] that every first-countable sequentially regular topological space is regular. The assertion follows at once from the foregoing Theorem 2.

R e f e r e n c e s

[1] R. FRIČ: Sequential structures and their application to probability theory. Thesis, MÚ ČSAV, Praha, 1972.

[2] F.B. JONES: Moore spaces and uniform spaces. Proc.Amer. Math.Soc.9(1958),483-486.

[3] V. KOUTNÍK: On sequentially regular convergence spaces. Czechoslovak Math.J.17(1967),232-247.

[4] J. NOVÁK: On convergence spaces and their sequential envelopes. Czechoslovak Math.J.15(1965),74-100.

[5] J. NOVÁK: On some problems concerning the convergence spaces and groups. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra(Proc.Kanpur Topological Conf.,1968).Academia,Prague,1971, 219-229.

MÚ ČSAV v Praze
Praha 1, Žitná 25

VŠD v Žiline
Žilina, Marxa-Engelsa 25

Československo

(Oblatum 20.4.1972)