

Jan K. Pachl

Free uniform measures

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 3, 541--553

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105576>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

FREE UNIFORM MEASURES

Jan PACHL, Praha

Abstract: There is a canonical mapping from the free complete locally convex space of a uniform space into the space of uniform measures. It is proved here that a uniform measure μ is in the image of the map if and only if finite $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu((\leftarrow M) \vee f \wedge M)$ exists for each uniformly continuous function f .

Key words: Grothendieck's theorem on completeness, molecular measures, uniform measures, free uniform measures.

AMS: Primary 28A30

Ref. Ž.: 7.977.1

Secondary 46A05, 60B05

Introduction. For a uniform space X there is a particularly important class of functionals on the space $U_b(X)$ of all bounded uniform functions on X . The theory of these functionals (called uniform measures) was developed by Berezanskij [11], LeCam [10] and Frolík [6],[7].

It appears that several basic results (viz. those in § 2 below) of the theory are valid in more general setting (see § 1). In § 3 I show that this general schema applies also to the space $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ (whose elements I call "free uniform measures" here) introduced by Berezanskij [11]. As the space $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is a completion of the free locally convex space of uniform space X [12], it follows that $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is a free complete locally convex space of X .

Both the space of uniform measures and the space of free uniform measures were mentioned by Buchwalter and Pupier [5] and studied in the special case of fine uniformities by several authors [2],[4],[8],[9],[11],[13],[14],[16].

In § 4 free uniform measures are described by means of uniform measures. § 4 is self-contained in the sense that no results from §§ 1 - 3 are used there.

The notations and terminology concerning topological vector spaces are those of Schaefer [15]; particularly all locally convex spaces are Hausdorff and E^* denotes the algebraic dual of E . All the vector spaces are over the field R of reals. Occasionally I use \vee and \wedge in place of *max* and *min*.

§ 1. Approximation by molecular measures

1.1. Grothendieck's theorem (dual characterization of completion). Let $\langle E, G \rangle$ be a duality and let \mathcal{G} be a saturated family covering E of $\mathcal{C}(E, G)$ -bounded sets. Denote by G_1 the vector space of all $\mu \in E^*$ whose restrictions to each $S \in \mathcal{G}$ are $\mathcal{C}(E, G)$ -continuous, and endow G_1 with the \mathcal{C} -topology.

Then G_1 is a complete locally convex space in which G is dense.

For the proof see Schaefer [15, IV - 6.2].

1.2. Setting. Let X be a non-empty set, $E(X)$ be a linear subspace of the space R^X , separating points of X . Denote by $Mol(X)$ the set of all formal finite real linear combinations of elements from X ; thus $Mol(X)$ is the

linear space with the base X .

The elements of $Mol(X)$ are called molecular measures.

There is a canonical duality $\langle E(X), Mol(X) \rangle$ given by $\langle f, \sum \lambda_i x_i \rangle = \sum \lambda_i f(x_i)$ and the topology $\mathcal{C}(E(X), Mol(X))$ is just the topology of pointwise convergence on X .

Now consider any saturated family \mathcal{C} covering $E(X)$ consisting of pointwise bounded (i.e. $\mathcal{C}(E(X), Mol(X))$ -bounded) subsets of $E(X)$ and denote

$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = \{ \mu \in E(X)^* \mid \text{for each } S \in \mathcal{C} \text{ the restriction of } \mu \text{ to } S \text{ is continuous in the topology of pointwise convergence on } X \}$.

Endow $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ with the \mathcal{C} -topology.

Grothendieck's theorem then reads as follows:

1.3. Proposition. $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a complete locally convex space in which $Mol(X)$ is dense.

The general Ascoli theorem (see e.g. Bourbaki [3, § 2 - Th.2]) gives

1.4. The compactness criterion. A set $D \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is relatively compact if and only if (i) the restriction of D to any $S \in \mathcal{C}$ is equicontinuous and (ii) the set $D(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded for each $f \in E(X)$.

On every set $S \in \mathcal{C}$ the topologies $\mathcal{C}(E(X), Mol(X))$ and $\mathcal{C}(E(X), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X))$ coincide. Hence the theorem of Mackey-Arens (see Schaefer [15; IV - 3.2]) yields

1.5. Proposition. The \mathcal{C} -topology on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is consistent with the duality $\langle E(X), \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rangle$ if and only if all sets in \mathcal{C} are relatively compact (in $E(X)$)

with respect to the topology of pointwise convergence on X .

§ 2. Uniform measures. Given a Hausdorff uniform space X denote by $U_{\rho}(X)$ the space of uniform (= uniformly continuous) bounded real-valued functions on X . Consider the family $U.E.B.(X)$ of all equiuniform (= uniformly equicontinuous) uniformly bounded subsets of $U_{\rho}(X)$.

Thus one obtains the space $\mathcal{M}_{U.E.B.}(X)$, shortly $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$, whose elements are called uniform measures.

Propositions 1.3, 1.4 apply; further the closure (in R^X) of any $S \in U.E.B.$ in the topology of pointwise convergence belongs to $U.E.B.$ - hence (by 1.5) dual of $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$ identifies with $U_{\rho}(X)$. Moreover there is the following result, due to Le Cam [10] (cf. [14, Th.2]):

2.1. Theorem. The topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_U(X), U_{\rho}(X))$ and the $U.E.B.$ -topology coincide on the positive cone of $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$.

§ 3. Free uniform measures. Given a Hausdorff uniform space X denote by $U(X)$ the space of uniform real-valued functions on X . Consider the family $U.E.(X)$ of all equiuniform pointwise bounded subsets of $U(X)$. Following the schema in § 1 this gives rise to the space

$\mathcal{M}_{U.E.} = \{ \mu \in U(X)^* \mid \text{for each } S \in U.E. \text{ the restriction of } \mu \text{ to } S \text{ is continuous in the topology of pointwise convergence on } X \}$

endowed with the topology of U.E. -convergence. This space will be denoted \mathcal{M}_F and its elements will be called free uniform measures.

As in § 2 the following theorem follows from 1.3 - 1.5:

3.1. Theorem. (a) $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is a complete locally convex space in which $Mol(X)$ is dense.

(b) A subset D of $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is relatively compact if and only if (i) the restriction of D to any $S \in U.E.(X)$ is equicontinuous and (ii) the set $D(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded for each $f \in U(X)$.

(c) (cf. [12]) The dual of $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is $U(X)$.

The fact in (a) together with the result by Raikov [12; Th.1] implies that $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ is the free complete locally convex space of X - this justifies the term "free"; the name "free uniform measures" was chosen as \mathcal{M}_F canonically identifies with a subset of \mathcal{M}_U (see § 4).

The following theorem is an analogue of 2.1.

3.2. Theorem. The topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_F(X), U(X))$ and the U.E. -topology coincide on the positive cone of $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$.

Proof. As the topology $\sigma(\mathcal{M}_F, U)$ is coarser one must prove it is finer.

Let $\mu_\alpha, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_F$ be positive and $\lim_{\alpha} \mu_\alpha(g) = \mu(g)$ for each $g \in U(X)$. Choose any $S \in U.E.$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Put $f(x) = \sup \{ |g(x)| \mid g \in S \}$. Then $f \in U(X)$ and

$\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} (f - (f \wedge M)) = 0$. As the set $\{f - (f \wedge M) \mid M > 0\}$ is in U.E. there is $M_1 > 0$ such that $\mu(f - (f \wedge M_1)) < \varepsilon$.

The set $S_1 = \{(-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1 \mid g \in S\}$ is in U.E.B. and the restrictions of μ_α and μ to $U_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ are positive

elements of $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$ (cf. § 4). Thus from 2.1 it follows that there is α_1 such that

$$|\mu_\alpha(h) - \mu(h)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } h \in S_1 \text{ and any } \alpha \geq \alpha_1,$$

$$\text{and } |\mu_\alpha(f - f \wedge M_1) - \mu(f - f \wedge M_1)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } \alpha \geq \alpha_1.$$

Then for any $g \in S$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_1$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_\alpha(g) - \mu(g)| &\leq |\mu_\alpha(g - (-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| + \\ &+ |\mu_\alpha((-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1) - \mu((-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| + |\mu(g - (-M_1) \vee g \wedge M_1)| < \\ < \mu_\alpha(f - f \wedge M_1) + \varepsilon + \mu(f - f \wedge M_1) < 4\varepsilon. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$

The following example shows the free uniform measure need not be order bounded linear form on $U(X)$ (or equivalently: the space $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ need not be spanned by its positive cone).

3.3. Example. Let X be the real line with the usual (metric) uniformity. For $f \in U(X)$ put

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} (f(n) - f(n + \frac{1}{n})).$$

Then $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$ but for the function $g \in U(X)$, $g: x \mapsto |x|$, and for any m one can find $f \in U(X)$ such that

$$0 \leq f \leq g,$$

$$f(n) = n, f(n + \frac{1}{n}) = 0 \text{ for } 2 \leq n \leq m \quad \text{and } f(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \geq m + 1;$$

$$\text{then } \mu(f) = \sum_{n=2}^m \frac{1}{n}.$$

§ 4. Connection of \mathcal{M}_F with \mathcal{M}_U . Observe that for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$ its restriction to $U_F(X)$ is a uniform measure $\mu_U \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$.

4.1. Proposition [1 ; 1.9]. For any Hausdorff uniform space X the canonical linear map $\{\mu \mapsto \mu_U\}: \mathcal{M}_F(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ is injective.

Proof [4; 4.8.2]. Suppose $\mu_U = 0$, i.e. $\mu(q) = 0$ for any $q \in U_B(X)$. Choose any $f \in U(X)$: $f = \lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} (-M) \vee f \wedge M$ pointwise and the set $\{(-M) \vee f \wedge M\}$ is on U.E., hence $\mu(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = 0$. Q.E.D.

In the theorem 4.5 below the image of the map $\{\mu \mapsto \mu_U\}$ is characterized. Particular cases of 4.5 were proved by Berezanskij [1; § 8] and Berruyer and Ivol [2], however, these authors deal with order bounded measures. As example 3.3 shows there are, in general, unbounded forms in $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ - and this is where the difficulty lies. The following facts are more or less needed in the proof of 4.5.

4.2. Lemma. Given a Hausdorff uniform space X , $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ be a net, $0 \leq f_\beta \in U_B(X)$, such that $\lim_{\beta} f_\beta = 0$ pointwise and the set $\{f_\beta\}$ is in U.E.(X). Suppose $|\mu(f_\beta)| > \varepsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$.

Then there exists a strictly increasing sequence $\{\beta(m)\}$ of indices $\beta(m) \in B$ such that

$$|\mu(\max\{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\})| > m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Observe first that given conditions imply the index set B cannot have the largest element.

Now as $|\mu(f_\beta)| > \varepsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$ so $\mu(f_\gamma) > \varepsilon$ for some subnet $\{f_\gamma\}$ of the net $\{f_\beta\}$ or $\mu(f_\gamma) < -\varepsilon$ for some subnet $\{f_\gamma\}$ of the net $\{f_\beta\}$.

Thus I can suppose without any loss of generality that $\mu(f_\beta) > \varepsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$ (and the case $\mu(f_\beta) < -\varepsilon$ then follows by the substitution $\mu \mapsto -\mu$).

This assumption being made construct $\beta(n)$ inductively:

Choose any $\beta(1) \in B$.

If $\beta(1), \beta(2), \dots, \beta(m)$ are found such that $\mu(h_m) >$

$m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ where $h_m = \max\{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\}$ then

$\lim_{\beta} (h_m \wedge f_\beta) = 0$ pointwise and the set $\{h_m \wedge f_\beta\}$ is in U.E.B.

Hence $\mu(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for some $\beta(m+1) > \beta(m)$.

Since $(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) + (h_m \vee f_{\beta(m+1)}) = h_m + f_{\beta(m+1)}$ this implies $\mu(h_m \vee f_{\beta(m+1)}) = \mu(h_m) + \mu(f_{\beta(m+1)}) - \mu(h_m \wedge f_{\beta(m+1)}) > m \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = (m+1) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Q.E.D.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ and $f \in U(X)$ say that $\int f d\mu$ exists and $\int f d\mu = h$ iff the finite $\lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \mu((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = h$ exists. (Of course, $\int f d\mu = \mu(f)$ for $f \in U_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.)

Warning: In spite of the notation, $f \mapsto \int f d\mu$ need not be additive (unless it is defined for many functions $f \in U(X)$ enough - see 4.4 and 4.5) ! Nevertheless, the following result is in force:

4.3. Lemma. Given a uniform space X , $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$, $f \in U_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $g \in U(X)$ such that $\int g d\mu$ exists.

Then $\int (f+g) d\mu$ exists and $\int (f+g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu$.

Proof. For $M > 0$ put

$$h_M = (-M) \vee (f+g) \wedge M - f - (-M) \vee g \wedge M .$$

For $x \in X$ one has $\sup_M |h_M(x)| \leq |f(x)| \leq \sup_{y \in X} |f(y)|$;
hence the set $\{h_M\}$ is in U.E.B..

Moreover $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} h_M = 0$ pointwise and so $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(h_M) = 0$, that is $\int (f+g) d\mu = \mu(f) + \int g d\mu$. Q.E.D.

In the proposition 4.4 below the set $S \in U.E.(X)$ is said to be full iff it is of the form

$$S = \{f \in U(X) \mid |f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varrho(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X \text{ and } |f| \leq g\}$$

where $g \in U(X)$ and ϱ is a uniformly continuous pseudo-metric on X . Any set in $U.E.(X)$ is contained in some full set.

4.4. Proposition (Monotone convergence). Given a Hausdorff uniform space X , full set $S \in U.E.(X)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ such that $\int g d\mu$ exists for any $g \in S$.

If $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a net such that $g_\alpha \in S$ for each $\alpha \in A$ and $g_\alpha \searrow 0$ pointwise then $\lim_{\infty} \int g_\alpha d\mu = 0$.

Proof. Suppose there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and a subnet $\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ of the net $\{g_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that $|\int g_\beta d\mu| > \varepsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$. As $\int g_\beta d\mu = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(g_\beta \wedge M)$ there are constants P_β such that $|\mu(g_\beta \wedge P_\beta)| > \varepsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$. For $f_\beta = g_\beta \wedge P_\beta$ pick a strictly increasing sequence $\{\beta(m)\}$ such that (see 4.2) $|\mu(h_m)| > \varepsilon \cdot \frac{m}{2}$ (where $h_m = \max \{f_{\beta(m)} \mid 1 \leq m \leq m\}$) for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. It holds

$h_m \in S$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, hence there exists $h = \lim h_m \geq 0$ and $h \in S$.

I am going to show that neither $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} < +\infty$ nor $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} = +\infty$ is possible.

(i) $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} < +\infty$: Then $h \in U_{\beta}(X)$ and $\{h_m\} \in U.E.B.$, hence $|\mu(h)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h_m)| = +\infty$, contradiction.

(ii) $\sup_n P_{\beta(n)} = +\infty$: for any M pick up $n(M)$ such that $P_{\beta(n(M))} \geq P_{\beta(n)}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, n(M)$ and $P_{\beta(n(M))} \geq M$.

Then $h \wedge P_{\beta(n(M))} = h_{n(M)}$ for any M and consequently

$$|\int h d\mu| = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h \wedge P_{\beta(n(M))})| = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(h_{n(M)})| = +\infty,$$

contradiction.

4.5. Theorem. For a Hausdorff uniform space X and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_U(X)$ two conditions are equivalent:

(i) there exists $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$ such that $\mu(f) = \mu_1(f)$ for any $f \in U_{\beta}(X)$.

(ii) $\int f d\mu$ exists for any $f \in U(X)$.

Proof. The implication (i) \implies (ii) follows from the fact that for any $f \in U(X)$ the set $\{(-M) \vee f \wedge M \mid M > 0\}$ is in $U.E.$ and so $\mu_1(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu_1((-M) \vee f \wedge M) = \int f d\mu$.

For the inverse, suppose (ii) holds and define

$\mu_1(f) = \int f d\mu$ for $f \in U(X)$; it is to show that $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_F(X)$. Clearly $\mu_1(\lambda f) = \lambda \mu_1(f)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in U(X)$.

Thus two more things remain to be proved: (I) If $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a net such that the set $\{f_\alpha\}$ is in U.E. and $\lim_{\alpha} f_\alpha = 0$ pointwise then $\lim_{\alpha} \int f_\alpha d\mu = 0$.

(II) μ_1 is additive on $U(X)$.

ad (I): Since for every $f \in U(X)$ one has $\int f d\mu = \int f^+ d\mu - \int f^- d\mu$ it suffices to prove $\lim_{\alpha} \int f_\alpha^+ d\mu = 0$. If this were not so there would exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a subnet $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$ of the net $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that $|\int f_\beta^+ d\mu| > \epsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$.

Hence there are constants P_β such that $|\int (f_\beta^+ \wedge P_\beta) d\mu| > \epsilon$ for each $\beta \in B$ and Lemma 4.2 implies there is a sequence $\{h_m\}$ such that $0 \leq h_m \in U_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ and $|\mu(h_m)| > m \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $\{h_m\} \in U.E.(X)$ and $h_m \nearrow h \in U(X)$.

Now for $g_m = h - h_m$ one has $g_m \searrow 0$, and from Lemma 4.3 it follows that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\mu(g_m)| = +\infty$; as the set $\{g_m\}$ belongs to U.E.(X) (and consequently it also belongs to some full set in U.E.) this contradicts Lemma 4.4.

ad (II): Let $f, g \in U(X)$ be arbitrary. For $M > 0$ put

$$h_M = (-M) \vee (f+g) \wedge M - (-M) \vee f \wedge M - (-M) \vee g \wedge M.$$

Then the set $\{h_M\}$ is in U.E.(X) and $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} h_M = 0$ pointwise, hence $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \mu(h_M) = 0$ from (I), that is

$$\int (f+g) d\mu = \int f d\mu + \int g d\mu. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

4.6. Remark. $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ may be treated as a subset of $\mathcal{M}_U(X)$, but not as a (topological) subspace. In fact,

the uniform topology (= U.E.B. -topology) and the "free" topology (= U.E. -topology) agree on $\mathcal{M}_F(X)$ if and only if $U_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = U(X)$. For, if there exist $x_m \in X$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f \in U(X)$ such that $f(x_m) > m^2$, put $\mu_m = \frac{1}{m} \delta_{x_m} \in \text{Mol}(X)$. Then $\mu_m \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on every set in U.E.B but $\mu_m(f)$ does not converge.

Acknowledgement. I want to express my thanks to Zdeněk Frolík for valuable discussions on uniform measures and related subjects.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] BEREZANSKIJ I.A.: Measures on uniform spaces and molecular measures, Trudy Moskov.mat.obšč.19(1968), 3-40(Russian,English translation has appeared in Trans.Moscow Math.Soc.19(1968),1-40).
- [2] BERRUYER Jacques and IVOL Bernard: L'espace $M(T)$, C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris 275(1972),A 33-36.
- [3] BOURBAKI Nicolas: Eléments de mathématique, Livre III: Topologie générale,Chapter 10,Paris 1961.
- [4] BUCHWALTER Henri: Topologies et compactologies, Publ. Dépt.math.Lyon 6-2(1969),1-74.
- [5] BUCHWALTER Henri and PUPIER René: Complétion d'un espace uniforme et formes linéaires, C.R.Acad.Sci. Paris 273(1971),A 96-98.
- [6] FROLÍK Zdeněk: Mesures uniformes, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 277(1973),A 105-108.
- [7] FROLÍK Zdeněk: Représentation de Riesz des mesures uniformes, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 277(1973),A 163-166.
- [8] HAYDON Richard: Sur les espaces $M(T)$ et $M^\infty(T)$, C.R. Acad.Sci.Paris 275(1972),A 989-991.

- [9] KIRK R.B.: Complete topologies on spaces of Baire measures, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.184(1973),1-29.
- [10] LeCAM L.: Note on a certain class of measures (preprint).
- [11] LÉGER Christian and SOURY Pierre: Le convexe topologique des probabilités sur un espace topologique, J.math.pures appl.50(1971),363-425.
- [12] RAJKOV D.A.: Free locally convex spaces of uniform spaces, Mat.Sb.63(105)(1964),582-590(Russian).
- [13] ROME Michel: Le dual de l'espace compactologique $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T)$, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 274(1972),A 1631-1634.
- [14] ROME Michel: Ordre et compacité dans l'espace $M^\infty(T)$, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris 274(1972),A 1817-1820.
- [15] SCHAEFER Helmut H.: Topological Vector Spaces, New York-London 1966.
- [16] SENTILLES Dennis and WHEELER Robert F.: Linear functionals and partitions of unity in $C_b(X)$ (preprint).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 24.6.1974)