

Ryotaro Sato

A note on a local ergodic theorem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 16 (1975), No. 1, 1--11

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105601>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON A LOCAL ERGODIC THEOREM

Ryūtarō SATO, Sakado

Abstract: Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $\Gamma = \{T_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on L_p of a finite measure space which is assumed to be strongly integrable over every finite interval. In this note we consider the problem of the almost everywhere convergence of the average $\frac{1}{b} \int_0^b T_t f dt$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$.

Key words: Local ergodic theorem, semigroup of bounded linear operators on L_p , strong continuity and integrability.

AMS, Primary: 47A35, 47D05

Ref. Ž. 7.976.7

Secondary: 28A65

1. Introduction and theorems. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a finite measure space and $L_p(\mu) = L_p(X, \mu) = L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the usual Banach spaces. If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ then $L_p(A, \mu)$ is the Banach space of all $L_p(\mu)$ -functions that vanish a.e. on $X - A$. Let $\Gamma = \{T_t; t \geq 0\}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on $L_p(\mu)$, where p is fixed, $1 \leq p < \infty$. This means that T_t is a bounded linear operator on $L_p(\mu)$, $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ for all $t, s \geq 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T_t f - T_s f\|_p = 0$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $f \in L_p(\mu)$. Throughout this note we shall assume that Γ is strongly integrable over every finite interval, i.e., for each $f \in L_p(\mu)$ the vector-valued function $t \rightarrow T_t f$ is

Lebesgue integrable on every finite interval $(a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$. It follows (cf. [2, p. 686]) that for each $f \in L_p(\mu)$ there exists a scalar function $T_t f(x)$, measurable with respect to the product of the Lebesgue measurable subsets of $(0, \infty)$ and \mathcal{F} , such that for almost all t , $T_t f(x)$ belongs, as a function of x , to the equivalence class of $T_t f$. Moreover there exists a set $N(f) \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mu(N(f)) = 0$, dependent on f but independent of t , such that if $x \notin N(f)$, then $T_t f(x)$ is Lebesgue integrable over every finite interval (a, b) and the integral $\int_a^b T_t f(x) dt$ belongs, as a function of x , to the equivalence class of $\int_a^b T_t f dt$. Hence, from now on, we shall write $S_a^b f(x)$ for $\int_a^b T_t f(x) dt$. The purpose of this note is to investigate the almost everywhere convergence of averages $\frac{1}{b} S_0^b f(x)$ as $b \rightarrow 0$.

In [1] Akcoglu and Chacon proved that if $\Gamma = \{T_t; t > 0\}$ is a positive L_1 -contraction semigroup, then the limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{b} S_0^b f(x)$$

exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$. See also Krengel [3] and Ornstein [7]. Later in [4] Kubokawa proved that if $\Gamma = \{T_t; t > 0\}$ is a positive (not necessarily contraction) L_1 -operator semigroup and satisfies $\text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t = I$ (the identity operator), then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$. Recently Kubokawa [5] proved that if $\Gamma = \{T_t;$

$t > 0$ } is a (not necessarily positive) L_1 -contraction semigroup satisfying $\text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t = I$, then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$. In this note we shall prove the following results.

Theorem 1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\Gamma = \{T_t; t > 0\}$ a strongly continuous semigroup of positive (not necessarily contraction) operators on $L_p(\mu)$. Assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sup \|T_t f\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$. Then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$, provided (i) $1 < p < \infty$, or (ii) $p = 1$ and there exists a strictly positive function $h \in L_1(\mu)$ such that the set $\{T_t h; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact in $L_1(\mu)$.

Theorem 2. Let $\Gamma = \{T_t; t > 0\}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of (not necessarily positive) contractions on $L_1(\mu)$. Assume that there exists a $p > 1$ such that all the T_t map $L_p(\mu)$ into $L_p(\mu)$ and $\sup_{0 < t < 1} \|T_t\|_p < \infty$. Then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$.

2. Lemmas. For the proofs of the above theorems we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $\Delta = \{\xi_t; t > 0\}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on a Banach space B . Assume that the set $\{\xi_t f; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact for any $f \in B$. Then ξ_t converges strongly as $t \rightarrow 0$, hence if we let $\xi_0 = \text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \xi_t$

then $\{ \mathfrak{F}_t ; t \geq 0 \}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. By the uniform boundedness principle [2, Theorem II.1.11], $\sup_{0 < t < 1} \| \mathfrak{F}_t f \| < \infty$ for any $f \in B$. Hence again by the uniform boundedness principle,

$$(2) \quad \sup_{0 < t < 1} \| \mathfrak{F}_t \| < \infty .$$

It follows that

$$(3) \quad \{ f \in B ; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \| \mathfrak{F}_t f - f \| = 0 \} = \overline{\bigcup_{t > 0} \mathfrak{F}_t B} .$$

Since for any $f \in B$ there exists a closed separable subspace B_f of B containing f such that $\mathfrak{F}_t B_f \subset B_f$ for all $t > 0$, to prove the lemma it may be assumed without loss of generality that B itself is separable. Let $\{ f_n ; n \geq 1 \}$ be a dense subset of B . Then, by Cantor's diagonal method, we can find a strictly decreasing sequence t_1, t_2, \dots of positive reals with $\lim_m t_n = 0$ such that $\text{weak-}\lim_m \mathfrak{F}_{t_n} f_i$ exists for all the f_i . Thus by (2) and an approximation argument, $\text{weak-}\lim_m \mathfrak{F}_{t_m} f$ exists for any $f \in B$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_0 = \text{weak-}\lim_m \mathfrak{F}_{t_m}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{F}_t \mathfrak{F}_0 = \mathfrak{F}_t = \mathfrak{F}_0 \mathfrak{F}_t$ for any $t \geq 0$, and any $f \in B$ can be written as $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $\mathfrak{F}_0 f_1 = f_1$ and $\mathfrak{F}_0 f_2 = 0$. Then, since $f_1 = \text{weak-}\lim_m \mathfrak{F}_{t_m} f_1$, we have by (3) and the Hahn-Banach theorem that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \| \mathfrak{F}_t f_1 - f_1 \| = 0$. This completes the proof, since $\mathfrak{F}_t f = \mathfrak{F}_t f_1$ for any $t > 0$.

Lemma 2. Let $\Gamma = \{T_t ; t > 0\}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup of positive linear operators on $L_p(\mu)$, where p is fixed, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume that $\text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t = I$. Then for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$

$$\int_{A(f)} f^- d\mu \leq \int_X f^+ d\mu ,$$

where $A(f) = \{x ; \sup_{0 < \alpha < \infty} S_\alpha^b f(x) > 0 \text{ for any } \alpha > 0\}$.

Proof. Since μ is finite, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mu(X) = 1$. Hence, by Hölder's inequality, $\|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p$ for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$. Let $D = \{1/2^n ; n \geq 1\}$. Then given an $f \in L_p(\mu)$ and an $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose a $\sigma \in D$ such that $0 < t \leq \sigma$ implies

$$\|T_t(f^- 1_{A(f)})\|_1 \geq (1 - \epsilon) \|f^- 1_{A(f)}\|_1$$

and

$$\|T_t f^+\|_1 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|f^+\|_1 .$$

Let $K = \sup_{0 < t \leq \sigma} \|T_t\|_p (< \infty)$, and choose an $\eta \in D$ such that

$$0 < \frac{2K\eta}{\sigma - \eta} \|f^-\|_p < \epsilon .$$

It may be readily seen that there exists a positive integer k and a measurable subset A of $A(f)$ such that $k\eta$ and $k(\sigma - \eta)$ are integers.

$$\sup_{0 \leq j \leq n} \inf_{t \geq 0} (T_{1/k})^j f > 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } A ,$$

and

$$K \| f^{-1}_{A(f)} - A \|_p < \epsilon .$$

Therefore a slight modification of the argument in Kubokawa [4 , pp. 463 - 464] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon) \int_{A(f)} f^- d\mu &\leq (1 + \epsilon) \int_X f^+ d\mu + \frac{2K\eta}{\sigma - \eta} \| f^- \|_p \\ &+ K \| f^{-1}_{A(f)} - A \|_p \\ &< (1 + \epsilon) \int_X f^+ d\mu + 2\epsilon . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof, since ϵ is arbitrary.

Lemma 3. Let $\Gamma = \{T_t ; t > 0\}$ be as in Lemma 2. Then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$.

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 2 the proof is the same as that of the theorem in [4].

It should be noted that Kubokawa [6] also gave a different proof of the above result. His method of proof is dependent upon the use of another local maximal ergodic lemma which is similar to our Lemma 2.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. By the uniform boundedness principle, $\sup_{0 < t < 1} \|T_t\|_p < \infty$. If $1 < p < \infty$, then the space $L_p(\mu)$ is reflexive and hence the set $\{T_t f; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$. If $p = 1$ and there exists a strictly positive function $h \in L_1(\mu)$ such that the set $\{T_t h; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact, then it follows from [2, Theorem IV.8.9] that the set $\{T_t f; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$. Thus in any case, $T_0 = \text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t$ exists by Lemma 1. Clearly T_0 is a positive contraction on $L_p(\mu)$ and $T_0 T_t = T_t = T_t T_0$ for any $t \geq 0$. Let us set $h = T_0 1$ and $Q = \text{supp } h$. It then follows that $T_t L_p(Q, \mu) \subset L_p(Q, \mu)$ and $T_t L_p(X - Q, \mu) = \{0\}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Therefore to prove the theorem we may assume without loss of generality that $X = Q$.

Let λ be the measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) defined by $d\lambda = h^p d\mu$, and let S_t , $t \geq 0$, be defined on $L_p(X, \lambda) = L_p(X, \mathcal{F}, \lambda)$ by

$$S_t f = \frac{1}{h} T_t(fh), \quad f \in L_p(X, \lambda).$$

Since the mapping $f \rightarrow fh$ is a positive isometry of $L_p(X, \lambda)$ onto $L_p(X, \mu)$, $\{S_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of positive linear operators on $L_p(X, \lambda)$, and hence for the proof of the theorem it suffices to show that for any $f \in L_p(X, \lambda)$ the limit

$$(4) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\varepsilon S_t f(x) dt$$

exists a.e. To see this, however, it suffices to show that the limit (4) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{A})$ with $S_0 f = f$, since $S_0^2 = S_0$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \mathcal{F} ; S_0 1_A = 1_A\}$. Since $S_0 1 = (Th)/h = 1$, it follows easily that \mathcal{J} is a σ -field. We shall now prove that

$$(5) \quad \{f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{A}) ; S_0 f = f\} = L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Clearly $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$ implies $S_0 f = f$. Conversely let $S_0 f = f$. Since S_0 is a positive contraction on $L_p(X, \mathcal{A})$, it then follows that $S_0 |f| = |f|$, and hence we may assume without loss of generality that f is nonnegative. If a is any positive real, let $g = \min(f, a)$ and $h = f - g$. Then $\|S_0 g\|_\infty \leq a$ and $S_0 h \geq h$. Hence $S_0 h = h$. Thus if we let $A = \{x ; f(x) > a\}$, then $S_0 L_p(A, \mathcal{A}) \subset L_p(A, \mathcal{A})$ and $S_0 1_{X-A} = 1_{X-A}$. Consequently $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$.

By (5) and the fact that $S_0 S_t = S_t$ for any $t \geq 0$, each S_t may be considered to be an operator on $L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$ and $S_0 = I$ on $L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$. Therefore by Lemma 3 the limit (4) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$. The proof is complete.

Remark. The argument in the proof of Theorem 1 can be suitably modified to yield a proof of the following result:

If $\Gamma = \{T_t ; t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semi-group of positive linear operators on $L_p(\mu)$ with $1 \leq p < \infty$ and if $0 \leq f \in L_p(\mu)$ and $\|f\|_p > 0$ imply

$\|T_0 f\|_p > 0$, then the limit (1) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2. By the Riesz convexity theorem [2, Theorem VI.10.11] we may assume without loss of generality that $1 < p < \infty$. Hence the set $\{T_t f; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact in $L_p(\mu)$ and hence in $L_1(\mu)$ for any $f \in L_p(\mu)$. Since $L_p(\mu)$ is dense in $L_1(\mu)$, an approximation argument shows that for any $f \in L_1(\mu)$ the set $\{T_t f; 0 < t < 1\}$ is weakly sequentially compact in $L_1(\mu)$. Lemma 1 now implies that $\text{strong-}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} T_t = T_0$ exists. Clearly T_0 is a contraction on $L_1(\mu)$ and $T_t T_0 = T_t = T_0 T_t$ for any $t \geq 0$.

Let f_0 be a function in $L_1(\mu)$ with $T_0 f_0 = f_0$ such that if $g \in L_1(\mu)$ satisfies $T_0 g = g$ then $\text{supp } g \subset \text{supp } f_0$ [8]. Let $Q = \text{supp } f_0$ and $h = |f_0|$. Since $T_t L_1(Q, \mu) \subset L_1(Q, \mu)$ and $T_t L_1(X - Q, \mu) = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, for the proof of the theorem we may assume without loss of generality that $X = Q$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, let λ be the measure on (X, \mathcal{F}) defined by $d\lambda = h d\mu$, and let S_t , $t \geq 0$, be defined on $L_1(X, \lambda)$ by

$$S_t f = \frac{1}{h} T_t(fh), \quad f \in L_1(X, \lambda).$$

For the proof it suffices to show that the limit (4) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(X, \lambda)$. Let e be a function in $L_\infty(X, \lambda)$ with $|e| = 1$ such that $e S_0(\bar{e} f) \geq 0$ when-

ver $0 \leq f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{A})$ [8], and let $R_t, t \geq 0$, be defined on $L_1(X, \mathcal{A})$ by

$$R_t f = eS_t(\bar{S} f), \quad f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{A}).$$

Then clearly $\{R_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $L_1(X, \mathcal{A})$. Since R_0 is positive and satisfies $R_0 1 = 1$, as in the proof of Theorem 1 we have that $\{f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{A}); R_0 f = f\} = L_1(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$ where $\mathcal{J} = \{A \in \mathcal{F}; R_0 1_A = 1_A\}$, and hence each R_t may be considered to be a contraction on $L_1(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$ and $R_0 = I$ on $L_1(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A})$. Therefore Kubokawa's local ergodic theorem [5] shows that the limit

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varrho} \int_0^{\varrho} R_t f(x) dt$$

exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}) = \{f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{A}); R_0 f = f\}$, and hence the limit (6) exists a.e. for any $f \in L_1(X, \mathcal{A})$, since $R_0^2 = R_0$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] AKCOGLU M.A., CHACON R.V.: A local ratio theorem, *Canad. J. Math.* 12(1970), 545-552.
- [2] DUNFORD N., SCHWARTZ J.T.: *Linear operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York 1958.
- [3] KRENGEL U.: A local ergodic theorem, *Invent. Math.* 6(1969), 329-333.
- [4] KUBOKAWA Y.: A general local ergodic theorem, *Proc. Japan*

Acad.48(1972),461-465.

- [5] KUBOKAWA Y.: Ergodic theorems for contraction semigroups to appear in J.Math.Soc.Japan.
- [6] KUBOKAWA Y.: A local ergodic theorem for semi-group on L_p , to appear in Tohoku Math.J.
- [7] ORNSTEIN D.S.: The sums of iterates of a positive operator, Advances in Probability and Related Topics, Vol.2, pp. 85-115, Dekker, New York 1970.
- [8] SATO R.: On the limit of weighted operator averages, Math. Ann.

Department of Mathematics,
Josai University
Sakado, Saitama 350-02
Japan

(Oblatum 10.10.1974)