

Adam Emeryk; Władysław Kulpa

The Sorgenfrey line has no connected compactification

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 3, 483--487

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105793>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## THE SORGENFREY LINE HAS NO CONNECTED COMPACTIFICATION

A. EMERYK and W. KULPA, Katowice

Abstract: We answer the question raised by Eric van Douwen during the Conference at Stefanová, February 1977, whether there exists a connected compactification of the Sorgenfrey line. We prove that there is no regular Hausdorff connected space containing the Sorgenfrey line as a dense subspace. We give an example of Hausdorff connected space containing the Sorgenfrey line as a dense subspace.

Key words: Connected space, Sorgenfrey line.

AMS: 54D35, 54D05

Ref. Ž.: 3.961.2

1. The statements of results. Let  $S$  be the Sorgenfrey line, i.e. the set  $R$  of reals with the topology generated by half-open intervals  $[x,y)$  of  $R$ . If  $S$  is a subset of  $Y$ , then let  $U[x,y)$  be the greatest open subset of  $Y$  such that  $U[x,y) \cap S = [x,y)$ .

Theorem. There exists no regular space  $Y$  such that  $S$  is a dense subspace of  $Y$  and

(\*)  $\overline{[x,y) \cap S} = \overline{[x,y)} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $[x,y) \subset S$ .

Remark. If  $Y$  is a connected space, or if  $Y - S$  is connected and  $Y$  is compact, then the condition (\*) holds.

Corollary 1. There exists no regular connected Hausdorff space containing  $S$  as a dense subset.

Corollary 2. There exists no compactification of  $S$  with a connected remainder.

2. The proofs. We begin from a

Lemma. Let  $Y$  be a Hausdorff space containing  $S$  as a dense subset. If  $p \in \overline{[x, y]} \cap (Y - S)$ , then there exists a  $q$  in  $S$  such that  $x < q \leq y$  and such that for each open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  the open interval  $(q - \epsilon, q)$  intersects  $W$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Proof of Lemma: Let  $q = \sup \{r \in S : \text{there exists an open neighbourhood } W \text{ of } p \text{ such that } W \cap [x, r) = \emptyset\}$ . Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff, there is an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  and there is a point  $r$  in  $S$  such that  $[x, r) \cap W = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $q > x$ . If  $q > y$ , then there are  $r > y$  and an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  such that  $[x, r) \cap W = \emptyset$ . This contradicts the fact that  $p \in \overline{[x, y]}$ . Hence  $q \leq y$ . It remains to show that  $W \cap (q - \epsilon, q) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$ . Suppose not. Then there are  $\epsilon > 0$  and an open neighbourhood  $W_1$  of  $p$  such that  $W_1 \cap (q - \epsilon, q) = \emptyset$ . From the definition of point  $q$  there is an open neighbourhood  $W_2$  of  $p$  such that  $W_2 \cap [x, q - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $Y$  is Hausdorff, there is an open neighbourhood  $W_3$  of  $p$  such that  $W_3 \cap [q, q + \epsilon_1) = \emptyset$  for any  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ . Hence  $W \cap [x, q + \epsilon_1) = \emptyset$  where  $W = W_1 \cap W_2 \cap W_3$ . This contradicts the definition of point  $q$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose that there is a regular Hausdorff space  $Y$  containing  $S$  as a dense subset and the condition  $(*)$  holds. We first show that

$(**)$  for each  $x, y$  in  $S$  there exist  $p$  in  $Y - S$  and  $p_1, p_2$  in  $(x, y]$  such that  $p_1 \neq p_2$  and  $W \cap (p_1 - \epsilon, p_1) \neq \emptyset$  and

$W \cap (p_2 - \varepsilon, p_2) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$ .

Since  $Y$  is regular, there is a point  $z$  in  $(x, y)$  such that  $\overline{[x, z]} \subset U[x, y]$ . From the condition  $(*)$  there is a point  $p$  in  $\overline{[x, z]} \cap \overline{S - [x, z]}$ . Then  $p \in \overline{[x, z]} \subset U[x, y]$  and  $p \in \overline{S - [x, z]}$ , and therefore for each open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  we have  $\emptyset \neq W \cap U[x, y] \cap (S - [x, z]) = W \cap [x, y] \cap (S - [x, z]) = W \cap [z, y]$ . This implies that  $p \in \overline{[z, y]}$ . Hence there exists a point  $p$  in  $Y - S$  belonging to  $\overline{[x, z]}$  and  $\overline{[z, y]}$ . By the Lemma, there exist  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  in  $S$  such that  $(*)$  holds for the point  $p$ .

From the condition  $(**)$  it follows that a family  $\mathcal{P}$  consisting of open intervals  $(p_1, p_2)$ , where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are points defined as in  $(**)$ , is a  $\pi$ -base on  $R$ . Since  $R$  is complete, there is a point  $x_0$  on  $R$  such that the family  $\mathcal{P}$  is the base at  $x_0$ . Now let  $y > x_0$  be given. Since  $Y$  is regular, there is a point  $z$  such that  $\overline{[x_0, z]} = \overline{U[x_0, z]} \subset U[x_0, y]$ . From the fact that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a base of  $R$  at the point  $x_0$  it follows that there are  $p$  in  $Y - S$  and  $p_1, p_2$  in  $S$  such that  $(p_1, p_2) \subset (x_0 - 1, z)$  and  $x_0 \in (p_1, p_2)$  and the condition  $(**)$  holds. From the condition  $(**)$  we infer that  $p \in \overline{[x_0, z]}$  and  $p \in \overline{[x_0 - 1, x_0]}$  (because  $W \cap [x_0 - 1, x_0] \supset W \cap (p_1 - \varepsilon, p_1) \neq \emptyset$  and  $W \cap [x_0, z] \supset W \cap (p_2 - \varepsilon, p_2) \neq \emptyset$  for each open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $p$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ). But  $p \in U[x_0, y]$  and  $U[x_0, y]$  is the open neighbourhood of point  $p$  such that  $U[x_0, y] \cap [x_0 - 1, x_0] = [x_0, y] \cap [x_0 - 1, x_0] = \emptyset$ . Hence  $p \notin \overline{[x_0 - 1, x_0]}$ ; a contradiction.

3. Example. There exists a connected Hausdorff space  $Y$  containing  $S$  as a dense subset.

For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $D_x = \{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$  be an arbitrary sequence such that  $d_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d_i < d_{i+1} < x$  and  $x = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the Sierpiński's Theorem there exists a family  $\mathcal{D}_x$  of the cardinality of continuum consisting of infinite subsets of  $D_x$  the union which is  $D_x$  and each two members of  $\mathcal{D}_x$  have only finitely many points in common. Observe that each member of  $\mathcal{D}_x$  is discrete and closed in  $S$ . Let  $Z = A \times A$ , where  $A$  is an arbitrary subset of  $S$  which is dense in  $S$ . By a transfinite induction we can define sets  $D(x, y)$  of the form  $K \cup L$ , where  $K \in \mathcal{D}_x$  and  $L \in \mathcal{D}_y$ , such that  $D(x, y) \cap D(t, s)$  are finite or empty for  $(x, y) \neq (t, s)$ . Let  $Y = S \cup Z$ . Now we define the topology in  $Y$ . If  $p \in S$ , then let the collection of all subsets of  $S$  of the form  $[p, x)$  be a base in  $Y$  at the point  $p$ . If  $p = (x, y) \in Z$ , then let the collection of all subsets  $W$  of  $Y$  of the form  $W = \{p\} \cup G[D_p - F]$  be a base in  $Y$  at the point  $p$ , where  $F$  is a finite subset of  $S$  and for each subset  $B$  of  $S$   $G[B]$  denotes an arbitrary open neighbourhood of the subset  $B$  in  $S$  and  $D_p = D(x, y)$ . Clearly,  $S$  is a dense and open subspace of  $Y$ .

Now we prove that  $Y$  is Hausdorff. If  $p, q \in S$  and  $p \neq q$ , say  $p < q$ , then  $[p, q)$  and  $[q, q + 1)$  are two mutually disjoint open subsets in  $Y$  containing  $p$  and  $q$ . If  $p, q \in Z$  and  $p \neq q$ , then  $D_p \cap D_q = F$  is a finite subset of  $S$ . Hence  $D_p - F$  and  $D_q - F$  are closed and mutually disjoint subsets of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a normal space, there are mutually disjoint open subsets  $G[D_p - F]$  and  $G[D_q - F]$  in  $S$ . Hence  $W_p = \{p\} \cup G[D_p - F]$

and  $W_q = \{q\} \cup G[D_q - \epsilon]$  are mutually disjoint open subsets containing  $p$  and  $q$ . If  $p \in Z$  and  $q \in S$ , then also there are mutually disjoint open subsets  $G[D_p - \epsilon]$  and  $[q, q + \epsilon)$  containing  $p$  and  $q$ .

The space  $Y$  is connected, because for each two mutually disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $Y$  there are points  $x, y$  belonging to  $A$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $x \in (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subset U \cap S$  and  $y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon) \subset V \cap S$  and therefore there is a point  $p = (x, y)$  in  $Y$  such that  $p \in \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}$ .

Remark. If, in addition, the set  $A$  defined above is a countable subspace of  $S$  (for example the set  $Q$  of rational numbers), then the space  $Y$  is Lindelöf and a subspace  $A \times A \cup A$  of  $Y$  is an example of countable connected space.

Instytut Matematyki  
 Uniwersytetu Śląskiego  
 w Katowicach  
 Polska

(Oblatum 3.5. 1977)