Tomáš Kepka Distributive Steiner quasigroups of order 3^5

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 2, 389--401

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105862

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE

19,2 (1978)

DISTRIBUTIVE STEINER QUASIGROUPS OF ORDER 3⁵

Tomáš KEPKA, Praha

Abstract: All distributive Steiner quasigroups of order 243 are described.

<u>Key words</u>: Distributive, Steiner, quasigroup, order. AMS: Primary 20N05

Secondary 05B05

The class of distributive Steiner quasigroups is interesting from the algebraic as well as combinatorial point of view. It plays a prominent rôle in the structure theory of distributive groupoids, distributive quasigroups, trimedial quasigroups, F-quasigroups, etc. Although several relatively deep results concerning distributive Steiner quasigroups are known, the systematic treatment is not available. For example, the complete description of finite distributive Steiner quasigroups (these have necessarily 3^n elements) is known only up to 3^4 elements. It is the purpose of the present note to describe distributive Steiner quasigroups of order 3^5 . In particular, an answer to a question formulated in [3, p. 44] is given.

A groupoid G is said to be
 commutative if it satisfies the identity xy = yx,

- 389 -

- distributive if it satisfies the identities x.yz = xy.xz and yz.x = yx.zx,

idempotent if it satisfies the identity x = xx,
medial if it satisfies the identity xy.uv = xu.yv,
symmetric if it satisfies the identities x = y.yx and x =
xy.y.

Obviously, every symmetric groupoid is a commutative quasigroup, every symmetric distributive groupoid is idempotent and every medial idempotent groupoid is distributive. The symmetric idempotent groupoids are called sometimes Steiner quasigroups (due to the obvious equivalence between these groupoids and Steiner triple systems). Thus the distributive Steiner quasigroups are just groupoids satisfying the identitites xy = yx, x = y.yx and x.yz = xy.xz.

Let G be a groupoid. We denote by p_G the least congruence of G such that the corresponding factor is medial. Further, if M is a non-empty subset of G, then [M] is the subgroupoid generated by M. Finally, o(G) is the least cardinal number with o(G) = |M| for a non-empty generator set M of G.

1.1. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup.

(i) If a,b,c,d, & G and ab.cd = ac.bd then the subgroupoid[a,b,c,d] is medial.

(ii) For all a,b,c, \in G, the subgroupoid [a,b,c] is medial. (iii) If $o(G) \leq 3$ then G is medial.

(iv) P_{G} is just the intersection of all maximal congruence of G.

- 390 -

(v) If G is finite then o(G) = o(G/p) and |G| = 3ⁿ for some 04 n.
(vi) |G| ≥ 81, provided G is not medial.
(vii) G is finite, provided it is finitely generated. <u>Proof.</u> (i) See [1, Theorem 8.6] or [4, § II.5, Théorème 1].
(ii) and (iii) These assertions are immediate consequences of (i).
(iv) See [4, § V.5, Proposition 6].
(v) See [4, § V.5, Proposition 7, Proposition 3].
(vi) See [4, § V.2, Théorème 2].

In this paper, let Z(3) designate the three-element field with elements 0,1,2. Put $x \neq y = -x-y$ for all $x,y \in Z(3)$. Obviously, Z(3)(\approx) is a distributive Steiner quasigroup and we shall denote it by T(2) (it is visible that T(2) is a free Steiner quasigroup of rank 2).

1.2. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a medial distributive Steiner quasigroup such that o(G) = n is finite. Then G is isomorphic to the cartesian product $T(2)^{n-1}$.

Proof. The statement is well known and easy.

Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup. Define a relation q_G on G as follows: a q b iff the subgroupoid [a,b,x,y] is medial for all x,y ϵ G. According to 1.1, a q b iff ab.xy = ax.by for all x,y ϵ G.

1.3. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup.

(i) q_G is a congruence of G.

- 391 -

(ii) If H is a subgroupoid of G such that H is contained in a block of q_{G} , then H is a block of a congruence of G. (iii) If G is finite and r is a congruence of G such that $r \cap q_{G} = d_{G}$, where d_{G} is the diagonal congruence of G, then $r = d_{G}$.

<u>Proof</u>. (i) See [4, § IV.4, Proposition 1] or [3, Lemma 2.7].

(ii) See [4, § IV.9, Proposition 3] or [3, Lemma 2.14].
(iii) See [3, Lemma 4.3].

1.4. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a finite non-trivial distributive Steiner quasigroup. The following conditions are equivalent:

(i) G is subdirectly irreducible.

(ii) At least one of the blocks of q_{G} contains exactly 3 elements.

(iii) Every block of q_{f1} contains exactly 3 elements.

(iv) Every block of q_{α} is a subgroupoid isomorphic to T(2).

<u>Proof</u>. See [3, Satz 4.4] (the proposition is an easy consequence of 1.2 and 1.3).

A distributive Steiner quasigroup G is said to be nilpotent of class at most 2 if the factor G/q is medial, i.e., $p_G \subseteq q_G$. It is visible that the class of distributive Steiner quasigroups nilpotent of class at most 2 is a groupoid variety. This variety is determined in the variety of distributive Steiner quasigroups by the identity ((xy.uv)z)(w(xu..yv)) = ((xy.uv)w)(z(xu.yv)).

1.5. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup with $o(G) \neq 4$. Then G is nilpotent of class at most 2.

- 392 -

Proof. See [4, § V.3, Théorème 1] or [3, Satz 2.4].

The reader is referred to [1],[3] and [4] for further results and details concerning distributive (Steiner) quasigroups.

2.

2.1. Lemma. Let F be a field and V = F^4 . Let W be a subspace with dim W = 3 of the vector space V. Then there exists a non-zero element a = $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \in W$ such that the elements $\langle a_1, 0, 0, a_4 \rangle$, $\langle 0, a_2, a_3, 0 \rangle$, $\langle a_3, a_4, 0, 0 \rangle$, $\langle 0, 0, a_1, a_2 \rangle$, $\langle a_2, 0, -a_4, 0 \rangle$ and $\langle 0, a_1, 0, -a_3 \rangle$ belong to W.

<u>Proof</u>. The proof will be divided into three steps. (i) Suppose that there is $c \in F$ such that $x_2 = cx_1$, whenever $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle \in W$. Let A be the set of all $\langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle$ from V with $y_2 = cy_1$. Clearly, A is a subspace of V and $W \leq A \leq V$. But $A \neq V$ and dim W = 3. Hence A = W and we can put $a = \langle 0, 0, 1, c \rangle$.

(ii) Suppose that there is $d \in F$ such that $x_1 = dx_2$, whenever $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle \in W$. Similarly as in (i), we can put $a = \langle 0, 0, d, 1 \rangle$.

(iii) Suppose that neither (i) nor (ii) may be applied. Define a mapping f of W into $B = F^2$ by $f(\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle) = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. Clearly, f is a homomorphism and, taking into account the hypothesis, it is easy to see that dim f(W) = 2. Hence f(W) = B and there are two elements $u, v \in W$ such that $u = \langle 1, 0, u_3, u_4 \rangle$ and $v = \langle 0, 1, v_3, v_4 \rangle$. Since u, v are independent, there is $z = \langle z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \rangle \in W$ such that $\{u, v, z\}$ is a basis of W. We can assume that $z_1 = 0 = z_2$. Now, we

- 393 -

must distinguish the following two cases:

(iii 1) Let $z_3 = 0$. Then $z_4 \neq 0$ and we can assume that $z_4 = 1$ = 1 and $u_4 = 0 = v_4$. Put $a = \langle 0, 1, v_3, -u_3 \rangle$. Then the elements $\langle a_1, 0, 0, a_4 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, -u_3 \rangle = -u_3 z$, $\langle 0, a_2, a_3, 0 \rangle = 2 \langle 0, 0, 0, a_4, 0 \rangle = \langle v_3, -u_3, 0, 0 \rangle = v_3 u - u_3 v$, $\langle 0, 0, a_1, a_2 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, 1 \rangle = z$, $\langle a_2, 0, -a_4, 0 \rangle = \langle 1, 0, u_3, 0 \rangle = 2 u$ and $\langle 0, a_1, 0, -a_3 \rangle = \langle 0, 0, 0, -v_3 \rangle = -v_3 z$ belong to W. (iii 2) Let $z_3 \neq 0$. We can assume that $z_3 = 1$ and $u_3 = 0 = 2 v_3$. Put $a = \langle 1, z_4, -v_4, u_4 \rangle$. Then the elements $\langle a_1, 0, 0, a_4 \rangle = 2 \langle 1, 0, 0, u_4 \rangle = u$, $\langle 0, a_2, a_3, 0 \rangle = \langle 0, z_4, -v_4, 0 \rangle = z_4 v - v_4 z$, $\langle a_3, a_4, 0, 0 \rangle = \langle -v_4, u_4, 0, 0 \rangle = -v_4 u + u_4 v$, $\langle 0, 0, a_1, a_2 \rangle = 2 \langle 0, 0, 1, z_4 \rangle = z$, $\langle a_2, 0, -a_4, 0 \rangle = \langle z_4, 0, -u_4, 0 \rangle = z_4 u - u_4 z$ and $\langle 0, a_n, 0, -a_3 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 0, v_4 \rangle = v$ belong to W.

3. Throughout this paragraph, let G(+) be an abelian group such that 3x = 0 for every $x \in G$ and F be a trilinear mapping of G(+) (i.e., F is a ternary operation on G such that G(+,F) is a ternary ring). Consider the following conditions:

(1) F(x ,x,y) = 0 for all x, y ∈ G.
(2) F(x,F(x,y,x-y),x-y) = 0 for all x, y ∈ G.
(3) F(x,y-F(x,y,x-y),F(x,y,x-y)) = 0 for all x, y ∈ G.
(4) F(x,y,x-y) + F(y,x,x-y) = 0 for all x, y ∈ G.
(5) F(x,y,z) + F(y,x,z) = 0 for all x, y, z ∈ G.
(6) F(F(x,y,x-y),z,u) = 0 = F(z,u,F(x,y,x-y)) for all x, y, z, u ∈ G.
(7) F(F(x,y,z),u,v) = 0 = F(u,v,F(x,y,z)) for all x, y, z, u, v ∈ G.

- 394 -

Further, we shall define a new binary operation * on G by x y = -x -y + F(x,y,x-y) for all x,y \in G.

3.1. <u>Proposition</u>. (i) G(*) is a Steiner quasigroup, provided the conditions (1),(2),(3),(4) are satisfied. (ii) G(*) is a distributive Steiner quasigroup, provided the conditions (5),(6) are satisfied.

Proof. Easy.

Put K(x,y,z) = F(x,y,z) + F(y,z,x) + F(z,x,y) for all x,y,z&G. It is visible that K is a trilinear mapping of G(+).

3.2. Lemma. Let the conditions (5),(6) be satisfied. Then:

(i) For all x,y,u,v & G, ((x*y)*(u*v)) - ((x*u)*
*(y*v)) = K(x,y,u-v) + K(u,v,x-y).

(ii) For a, b \in G, a $q_{G(*)}$ b iff K(a-b,x,y) = 0 for all x, y \in G.

(iii) $q_{G(*)}$ is a congruence of both G(*) and G(+); the corresponding subgroup is equal to $\{x \in G \mid K(x,y,z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in G \}$.

Proof. Easy.

3.3. <u>Proposition</u>. Let the conditions (5) and (7) be satisfied. Then G(*) is a distributive Steiner quasigroup nilpotent of class at most 2.

Proof. Use 3.1 and 3.2.

3.4. Lenma. Let H(+) be a subgroup of G(+) such that F(a,x,y), F(x,a,y), $F(x,y,a) \in H$ for all $a \in H$ and $x,y \in G$. Define a relation r on G by x r y iff x-y $\in H$. Then r is a congruence of both G(*) and G(+).

- 395 -

Proof. Evident.

4. Let $4 \le n$ be a natural number and $m = n - 1 + \binom{n-1}{2}$. Denote by M the set of all ordered triples (j,k,l) such that $1 \le j < k < l \le n-1$. Then there exists just one bijective mapping f of the set $\{n, n+1, \dots, m\}$ onto M such that if $n \neq m$ $\leq i < i' \leq m$, $f(i) = \langle j, k, l \rangle$ and $f(i') = \langle j', k', l' \rangle$ then either j < j' or j = j', k < k' or j = j', k = k', l < l'. Put $G = Z(3)^{m}$ and define a trilinear mapping F of the group G(+) as follows: Let $a = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_m \rangle$, $b = \langle b_1, \ldots, b_m \rangle$, c = $= \langle c_{\eta}, \ldots, c_{m} \rangle \in G$. If $n \neq i \leq m$ and $f(i) = \langle j, k, l \rangle$ then the i-th component of F(a,b,c) is equal to $(a_jb_k - b_ja_k)c_1$; if $1 \le i \le n$ then the i-th component of F(a,b,c) is equal to 0. It is visible that F satisfies the conditions (5) and (7). Now, consider the groupoid G(*) defined by x * y = -x - y ++ F(x,y,x-y). By 3.3, G(*) is a distributive Steiner quasigroup nilpotent of class at most 2. In the following, we shall use the notation T(n) for G(*).

4.1. <u>Proposition</u>. (i) T(n) is a free distributive Steiner quasigroup nilpotent of class at most 2 of rank n. (ii) If $\mathbf{a} = \langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \rangle$, $\mathbf{b} = \langle \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m \rangle \in T(n)$ then $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$ iff $\mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{n-1} = \mathbf{b}_{n-1}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Apply [3, 5.4 - 5.8] and 3.2 (ii) (see also [2, Theorem 9A]).

4.2. <u>Proposition</u>. Let n = 5 and $r \subseteq q_{G(*)}$ be a congruence of G(*) = T(5). Then every block of $q_{G(*)/r}$ contains at least four elements.

<u>Proof.</u> We have m = 8 and f(5) = (1,2,3), f(6) =

 $=\langle 1,2,4 \rangle$, $f(7) = \langle 1,3,4 \rangle$, $f(8) = \langle 2,3,4 \rangle$. Put A = = $\{\langle \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_B \rangle \in \mathbf{G} \mid \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_4 = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{G} \mid \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{a}_3 = \mathbf{a}_4 = 0\}$ ϵ G a r O $\hat{\epsilon}$. By 4.1 (ii), A is the block of $q_{G(\kappa)}$ containing the element 0. Since $r \subseteq q_{G(x)}$, $B \subseteq A$. Moreover, A(+) is a subspace of the vector space G(+) over Z(3) and dim A(+) == 4. On the other hand, F(a,x,y) = F(x,a,y) = F(x,y,a) = 0for all as A, $x, y \in G$ and it is easy to see that B(+) is a subspace of G(+), too. It follows from 3.4 that x r y iff x-y ϵ B. Denote by g the natural homomorphism of G(*) onto G(*)/r = H(*). Obviously, g(A) is contained in a block of $q_{H(x)}$, and hence the assertion is clear in case dim $B(+) \neq 2$. Further, if dim B(+) = 4, then B = A, $r = q_{G(*)}$ and H(*)is medial, since G(*) is nilpotent of class at most 2. However, |H| = 81 and $q_{H(\star)} = H(\star) \times H(\star)$. Thus we can assume in the rest of the proof that dim B(+) = 3. In that case, g(A) contains exactly 3 elements. By 2.1, there exists a nonzero element $\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle \in B$ such that the elements $a = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0, a_3, a_4, 0, 0 \rangle$, $b = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0, a_2, 0, -a_4, 0 \rangle$, $c = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0, a_3, a_4, 0 \rangle$ $=\langle 0,0,0,0,a_{1},0,0,a_{4}\rangle$, $d = \langle 0,0,0,0,0,a_{2},a_{3},0\rangle$, e = $= \langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, a_1, 0, -a_3 \rangle$, h = $\langle 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, a_1, a_2 \rangle$ belong to B. Put $x = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle$. Then $x \notin A$ and it suffices to show that $g(x) q_{H(x)} g(0)$. We must prove that (0 * x) **(y*z) r (0*y)*(x*z), i.e., ((0*x)*(y*z)) -- ((0*y)*(x*z)) E for all y, z & G. However, ((0*x) * *(y*z)) - ((0*y)*(x*z)) = F(z,y,x) + F(x,z,y) + F(y,x,z)by 3.2 (i). Let $y = \langle y_1, ..., y_8 \rangle$, $z = \langle z_1, ..., z_8 \rangle$ and w = $=\langle w_1,\ldots,w_n\rangle = F(x,y,x) + F(x,z,y) + F(y,x,z)$. We have $w_1 =$ $= w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = 0$ and

$$w_{5} = (y_{1}a_{2} - a_{1}y_{2})z_{3} + (a_{1}z_{2} - z_{1}a_{2})y_{3} + (z_{1}y_{2} - y_{1}z_{2})a_{3},$$

$$w_{6} = (y_{1}a_{2} - a_{1}y_{2})z_{4} + (a_{1}z_{2} - z_{1}a_{2})y_{4} + (z_{1}y_{2} - y_{1}z_{2})a_{4},$$

$$w_{7} = (y_{1}a_{3} - a_{1}y_{3})z_{4} + (a_{1}z_{3} - z_{1}a_{3})y_{4} + (z_{1}y_{3} - y_{1}z_{3})a_{4},$$

$$w_{8} = (y_{2}a_{3} - a_{2}y_{3})z_{4} + (a_{2}z_{3} - z_{2}a_{3})y_{4} + (z_{2}y_{3} - y_{2}z_{3})a_{4}.$$
Consequently

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}_{5} &= (\mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{2} - \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{3})\mathbf{a}_{1} + (\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{1})\mathbf{a}_{2} + (\mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2})\mathbf{a}_{3}, \\ \mathbf{w}_{6} &= (\mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{2} - \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{4})\mathbf{a}_{1} + (\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{4} - \mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{1})\mathbf{a}_{2} + (\mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2})\mathbf{a}_{4}, \\ \mathbf{w}_{7} &= (\mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{4})\mathbf{a}_{1} + (\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{4} - \mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{1})\mathbf{a}_{3} + (\mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{r}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{3})\mathbf{a}_{4}, \\ \mathbf{w}_{8} &= (\mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{4})\mathbf{a}_{2} + (\mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{4} - \mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{2})\mathbf{a}_{3} + (\mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{2} - \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{3})\mathbf{a}_{4}. \\ \\ \text{Finally, } \mathbf{w} &= (\mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{2})\mathbf{a} + (\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{1})\mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{2} - \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{3})\mathbf{c} + (\mathbf{y}_{1}\mathbf{z}_{4} - \mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{1})\mathbf{d} + (\mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{2} - \mathbf{y}_{2}\mathbf{z}_{4})\mathbf{e} + (\mathbf{y}_{4}\mathbf{z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{3}\mathbf{z}_{4})\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{B}. \end{split}$$

5.

5.1. <u>Proposition</u>. There is no subdirectly irreducible distributive Steiner quasigroup G such that o(G) = 5 and G is nilpotent of class at most 2.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose, on the contrary, that such a quasigroup G exists. By 4.1 (i), there is a congruence r of T(5) such that G is isomorphic to T(5)/r and we can assume that G = T(5)/r. First, we are going to show that $r \leq q_{T}(5)$. For, let g be the natural homomorphism of T(5) onto G. There is a congruence s of T(5) with $r \leq s$ and $s/r = p_{G}$. But o(G) == 5 = o(G/p) (apply 1.1 (v), (vii)). According to 1.2, $|G/p| = 3^{4} = 81$. Consequently |T(5)/s| = 81. Since G/p is medial, $p_{T}(5) \leq s$. However |T(5)/p| = 81 by the same argu-

- 398 -

ment and we see that $s = p_T(5)$. Finally, since T(5) is nilpotent of class at most 2, $P_T(5) \in q_T(5)$. Thus $r \in q_T(5)$. Now, with respect to 4.2, every block of q_G contains at least 4 elements, a contradiction with 1.4.

5.2. <u>Remark</u>. If $1 \le n$, $n \ne 3$, 5, then, by [3, Satz 5.12], there exists a subdirectly irreducible Steiner quasigroup G such that G is distributive, nilpotent of class at most 2 and o(G) = n. It is clear that $|G| = 3^n$, provided $4 \le n$, and $|G| = 3^{n-1}$ for n = 1,2. For n = 3,5 such a quasigroup does not exist as it follows from 1.2 and 5.1.

5.3. <u>Theorem</u>. (i) If G is a finite distributive Steiner quasigroup then $|G| = 3^n$ for some $0 \le n$. (ii) T(2) is up to isomorphism the only distributive Steiner quasigroup of order $3^1 = 3$. (iii) T(2)² is up to isomorphism the only distributive Steiner quasigroup of order $3^2 = 9$. (iv) T(2)³ is up to isomorphism the only distributive Steiner quasigroup of order $3^3 = 27$. (v) T(2)⁴ and T(4) are up to isomorphism the only distributive steiner quasigroups of order $3^4 = 81$. (vi) T(2)⁵ and T(2) \approx T(4) are up to isomorphism the only distributive steiner quasigroups of order $3^5 = 243$. <u>Proof</u>. (i) See 1.1 (v).

(ii),(iii) and (iv). These assertions follow from 1.1 (vi) and 1.2.

(v) Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup of order 81. With regard to 1.2, we can assume that G is not medial. Then $|G/p| \leq 27$, and so $o(G) \leq 4$. By 1.5. G is nilpotent of

- 399 -

class at most 2, and therefore G is a homomorphic image of T(4) (use 4.1 (i)). However, both G and T(4) have the same number of elements, and consequently G is isomorphic to T(4), (vi) Let G be a distributive Steiner quasigroup of order 243. We can assume that G is not medial. If $o(G) \leq 4$ then G is a homomorphic image of T(4), and so $|G| \leq 81$, a contradiction. Hence $o(G) = o(G/p) \ge 5$. According to 1.2, $|G/p| \ge 81$. Since |G| = 243, |G/p| = 81 and every block of p_{cl} contains just 3 elements. By 1.1 (v) and 1.2, o(G) = o(G/p) = 5. On the other hand, every block of p_{f1} is isomorphic to T(2) and we see that p_n is a minimal congruence of G. It follows from 1.3 (iii) that p_G is contained in q_G. Consequently, G is nilpotent of class at most 2. If $p_G = q_G$ then G is subdirectly irreducible by 1.4, a contradiction with 5.1. Hence $p_{c1} \neq q_{c1}$ and there are $a, b \in G$ such that $a q_G b$ and $\langle a, b \rangle \notin p_G$. Put A = {a,b,ab} . Then A is a subgroupoid of G and A is contained in a block of q_{fi} . In view of 1.3 (ii), A is a block of a congruence r of G. Clearly, r is a minimal congruence of G and r is not contained in p_G. By 1.1 (iv), there is a maximal congruence s of G such that r is not contained in s. Due to the minimality of r, $r \cap s = d_{G}$ and G is isomorphic to a subgroupoid of the cartesian product $G/r \times G/s$. Since every block of r contains exactly 3 elements, |G/r| = 81. Further, pages, G/s is medial and G/s is isomorphic to T(2), since s is maximal (apply 1.2). In particular, |G/s| = 3 and $|G/r \times$ $\times G/s$ = 243 = |G|. Thus G is isomorphic to G/r \times T(2). Finally, since G is not medial, G/r is not medial and G/r is isomorphic to T(4) by (v).

- 400 -

5.4. <u>Remark</u>. As it is proved in [3], there exists a subdirectly irreducible distributive Steiner quasigroup of order $3^6 = 729$. Hence there are at least 3 non-isomorphic distributive Steiner quasigroups of order 729.

5.5. <u>Remark</u>. Combining 5.2 with 5.3, we see that there exists a subdirectly irreducible Steiner quasigroup which is distributive and has order 3^n iff $0 \le n$ and $n \ne 2,3,5$.

References

- [1] V.D. BELOUSOV: Osnovy teorii kvazigrupp i lup, izd. "Nauka", Moskva 1967
- [2] R.H. BRUCK: Contributions to the theory of loops, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 60(1946), 245-354
- [3] S. KLOSSEK: Kommutative Spiegelungsräume, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, Heft 117, Giessen 1975

 [4] J.P. SOUBLIN: Étude algébrique de la notion de moyenne, J. Math. pures et appl. 50(1971), 53-264

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Universita Karlova Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8 Československo

(Oblatum 20.2. 1978)