

Nina Klimperová

Periodic solutions to the inhomogeneous sine-Gordon equation

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 20 (1979), No. 2, 329--333

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105931>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO THE INHOMOGENEOUS  
SINE-GORDON EQUATION  
Nina KLIMPEROVA

Abstract: For  $\alpha$  and  $h$  satisfying certain conditions and for every  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently close to 0 it is shown that there exists a function  $u \in C^2$

which fulfils

$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \varepsilon(h(t,x) + \alpha \sin u)$ ,  $u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0$   
and  $u(t + 2\pi, x) = u(t, x)$ .

Key words: Weakly nonlinear wave equation, periodic solutions.

AMS: 35B10, 35L05

---

In [1], O. Vejvoda derived sufficient conditions for the existence of  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions to the problem

$$(1) \quad u_{tt}(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) = \varepsilon f(t,x,u, \varepsilon), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \langle 0, \pi \rangle$$

$$(2) \quad u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

and studied the problem with  $f(t,x,u, \varepsilon) = h(t,x) + \alpha u + \beta u^3$  in detail.

In this paper the same problem with  $f(t,x,u, \varepsilon) = h(t,x) + \alpha \sin u$  is treated. In the sequel the functions  $u$  and  $f$  are supposed to be extended in  $x$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  by

$$u(t,x) = -u(t,-x) = u(t,x + 2\pi), \quad f(t,x,u, \varepsilon) = \\ = -f(t,-x,-u, \varepsilon) = f(t,x + 2\pi, u, \varepsilon).$$

The extended functions will be denoted again by  $u$  and  $f$ .  
 Let us note that if  $u(t, x) = u(t, \pi - x)$  then  $u(t, x + \pi) = -u(t, x)$ . Put

$$C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R) = \{s \in C^2(R); s(x + \pi) = -s(x)\},$$

$$C_e^{2*}([0, 2\pi] \times R) = \{u \in C^2([0, 2\pi] \times R); u(t, x) = -u(t, -x) = u(t, \pi - x)\},$$

$$C_{2\pi, e}^{2*}(R^2) = \{u \in C^2(R^2); u(t, x) = u(t + 2\pi, x) = -u(t, -x) = u(t, x + 2\pi) = u(t, \pi - x)\}$$

and equip these spaces with the usual norms in which they are Banach spaces.

Let us first recall the result which is the starting point of our investigation.

Theorem 1 (cf. Theorem 4.1.3 in [1]).

(i) Let a function  $f$  be continuous together with its derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^{j+k} f}{\partial x^j \partial u^k}, \quad j + k \leq 3, \quad j \leq 2$$

on  $R \times \langle 0, \pi \rangle \times R \times \langle -\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0 \rangle$ ,  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ .

(ii) Let  $f(t, 0, 0, \varepsilon) = f(t, \pi, 0, \varepsilon) =$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^j \partial u^k}(t, 0, 0, \varepsilon) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^j \partial u^k}(t, \pi, 0, \varepsilon) = 0,$$

$$j + k = 2.$$

(iii) Let  $f(t, x, u, \varepsilon)$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $t$ .

(iv) Let the equation

$$(3) \quad G(s)(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\pi, x - \tau, s(x) - s(2\pi - x), 0) d\tau = 0$$

have a solution  $s^* \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R)$ ,

(v) Let there exist  $[G'_s(s^*)]^{-1} \in L(C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R), C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R))$

where  $C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R) \supset \mathbb{R}(\Gamma)$ , while

$$\Gamma(u, \varepsilon)(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\tau, x - \tau, u(\tau, x - \tau), \varepsilon) d\tau,$$

$$u \in C_e^{2*}([0, 2\pi] \times R), \quad \varepsilon \in \langle -\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0 \rangle.$$

Then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  the problem (1), (2) has a solution  $u^* \in C_{2\pi, e}^{2*}(R^2)$ .

Our aim is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.

(a) Let  $h(t, x)$  together with its derivatives  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2}$  be continuous on  $R \times \langle 0, \pi \rangle$ .

(b) Let  $h(t, 0) = h(t, \pi) = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2}(t, 0) = \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x^2}(t, \pi) = 0$  and  $h(t, \pi - x) = h(t, x)$ .

(c) Let  $h$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic in  $t$ .

(d) If  $H(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} h(\tau, x - \tau) d\tau \not\equiv 0$ , then let

$$\alpha \geq 2 \cdot \|H\|_0^2 \cdot \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{2 \cdot \|H\|_0^2 - H^2(x)} dx \right]^{-1},$$

where  $\|H\|_0 = \max_{x \in R} |H(x)|$ .

Then for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  the problem (1), (2) with  $f(t, x, u, \varepsilon) = h(t, x) + \alpha \sin u$  has a solution  $u \in C_{2\pi, e}^{2*}(R^2)$ .

Proof: The assumptions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 1 are immediate consequences of the hypotheses (a), (b), (c) of the present theorem.

(iv) Denoting  $I = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos s(\xi) d\xi$ , we rearrange the equation (3) into the form

$$(4) \quad G(s)(x) = \alpha I \sin s(x) + H(x) = 0.$$

For a while let us consider the functional  $I$  as a known constant. If  $H(x) \equiv 0$ , put  $s^*(x) \equiv 0$ . In the opposite case let us suppose that  $|H(x)| < |\alpha I|$  and put  $\hat{s}(I, x) = -\arcsin(\alpha I)^{-1} H(x)$ . Clearly  $\hat{s}$  is a solution to (4) if and only if

$$(5) \quad I = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \hat{s}(I, \xi) d\xi = \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - (\alpha I)^{-2} H^2(x))^{1/2} dx \equiv p(I).$$

Evidently  $p(2\pi) < 2\pi$  and (d) implies  $p(\sqrt{2}\alpha^{-1} \|H\|_0) \geq \sqrt{2}\alpha^{-1} \|H\|_0$ . So (5) has at least one solution  $I = I^*$  satisfying  $|I^*| \geq \alpha^{-1} \|H\|_0$ . Setting  $s^*(x) = \hat{s}(I^*, x) \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R)$  we obtain a solution to (4) and the assumption (iv) is verified.

To prove (v) it suffices to show that for every  $\varphi \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R)$ , the equation

$$G'_s(s^*)(\sigma)(x) \equiv \alpha I^* \sigma(x) \cos s^*(x) - \alpha J \sin s^*(x) = \varphi(x) \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R),$$

where  $J = \int_0^{2\pi} \sin s^*(\xi) \cdot \sigma(\xi) d\xi$ , has a unique solution  $\sigma(x) \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R)$  with  $\|\sigma\|_2 \leq C \|\varphi\|_2$ ,  $C$  being a constant. We obtain easily that

$$\sigma(x) = (\varphi(x) + \alpha J^* \sin s^*(x)) (\alpha I^* \cos s^*(x))^{-1} \in C_{2\pi}^{2*}(R)$$

with

$$J^* = (\alpha I^* (1 - \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2 s^*(\xi) (I^* \cos s^*(\xi))^{-1} d\xi))^{-1} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\xi) \cdot \operatorname{tg} s^*(\xi) d\xi =$$

$$= (\alpha I^* \int_0^{2\pi} (1 - 2(\alpha I^*)^{-2} H^2(\xi)) (1 - (\alpha I^*)^{-2} H^2(\xi))^{-1/2} d\xi)^{-1} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\xi) \operatorname{tg} s^*(\xi) d\xi$$

(by (d) this expression has sense).

Evidently  $\|\mathcal{G}\|_2 \leq C \|\varphi\|_2$ . This completes the proof.

#### R e f e r e n c e

- [1] O. VEJVODA: Periodic solutions of a linear and weakly nonlinear wave equation in one dimension, I., Czechoslovak Math. J. 14(89)(1964), 341-382.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Universita Karlova,

Malostranské nám. 26

Československo

(Oblatum 27.11.1978)