

Tomáš Kepka; Petr Němec

Trilinear constructions of quasimodules

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 2, 341--354

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106001>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

TRILINEAR CONSTRUCTIONS OF QUASIMODULES
Tomáš KEPKA, Petr NĚMEC

Abstract: A general trilinear construction of quasimodules, i.e. commutative Moufang loops with operators from a ring, is investigated and the associators are computed.

Key words: Commutative Moufang loop, quasimodule.

Classification: 20N05

1. Introduction. The method of constructing algebraic structures by means of trilinear mappings is very important, it was used e.g. in many classical examples of non-associative commutative Moufang loops. It is also known that all finite commutative Moufang loops nilpotent of class 2 can be obtained in such a way (see [4]). In this paper we present a trilinear construction of quasimodules under relatively weak assumptions on the trilinear mapping.

Let R be an associative ring with unit. A left R -quasimodule Q is an algebra $Q(+,rx)$ with one binary operation $+$ and a set of unary operations $x \rightarrow rx$, $r \in R$, satisfying the identities $(x + x) + (y + z) = (x + y) + (x + z)$, $(-1)x + (x + y) = y$, $r(x + y) = rx + ry$, $(r + s)x = rx + sx$, $(rs)x = r(sx)$, $lx = x$, $0x = 0y$. Then $Q(+)$ is a commutative Moufang loop, the inverse operation $-$ of $Q(+)$ is given by

$x - y = x + (-1)y$, the element $0 = 0x$ is the neutral element of $Q(+)$ and the unary operations $x \rightarrow rx$ are endomorphisms of $Q(+)$. Obviously, every commutative Moufang loop is a Z -quasimodule, Z being the ring of integers.

Q is a left R -module iff $Q(+)$ is associative, i.e. $[a,b,c] = ((a+b)+c) - (a+(b+c)) = 0$ for all $a,b,c \in Q$. In this case, a mapping $T:Q^3 \rightarrow Q$ is called trilinear if $T(a+b,c,d) = T(a,c,d)+T(b,c,d)$, $T(c,a+b,d) = T(c,a,d)+T(c,b,d)$, $T(c,d,a+b) = T(c,d,a)+T(c,d,b)$ and $T(ra,b,c) = T(a,rb,c) = T(amb,rc) = rT(a,b,c)$ for all $a,b,c,d \in Q$, $r \in R$.

The importance of quasimodules stems also from the fact that the theory of trimedial quasigroups is equivalent to the theory of quasimodules over a commutative noetherian ring (see [2]). Basic facts on quasimodules can be found e.g. in [3]. For the material concerning commutative Moufang loops, [1] is a standard reference.

2. Ternary rings. Throughout the paper, let $G = G(+,T)$ be a ternary ring, i.e. $G(+)$ is an abelian group and T is a trilinear mapping of G^3 into G assigning to each ordered triple $\langle a,b,c \rangle$ of elements of G an element $T(a,b,c) = Tabc$ of G (this notation cannot lead to any confusion, e.g. $TTabcde = T(T(a,b,c),d,e)$, $TaTbcde = T(a,T(b,c,d),e)$ etc.) Consider the following identities for G :

$$(a1) \quad 3Txyz = 0$$

$$(a2) \quad 3Txyy = 0$$

$$(a3) \quad 3Txxxy = 0$$

$$(b1) \quad Txxxy = 0$$

- (b2) $T_{xyz} + T_{yxz} = 0$
- (c1) $TT_{xyyz} = 0$
- (c2) $TT_{xyyz} + TT_{zyyx} = 0$
- (c3) $TT_{xyzx} + TT_{zyxu} = 0$
- (c4) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} + TT_{yzxv} + TT_{zyxv} = 0$
- (d1) $TT_{xyyz} = 0$
- (d2) $TT_{xyyz} + TT_{zyyx} = 0$
- (d3) $TT_{xyzx} + TT_{zyxu} = 0$
- (d4) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} + TT_{vzux} + TT_{vzyu} = 0$
- (e1) $TT_{xyyz} = 0$
- (e2) $TT_{xyyz} + TT_{xyyz} = 0$
- (e3) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} = 0$
- (e4) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} + TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} = 0$
- (f1) $TT_{xyyz} = 0$
- (f2) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{zyuv} = 0$
- (f3) $TT_{xyzx} = 0$
- (f4) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{yzxv} = 0$
- (f5) $TT_{xyzx} = 0$
- (f6) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{vzux} = 0$
- (f7) $TT_{xyzv} = 0$
- (f8) $TT_{xyzv} + TT_{xyzv} = 0$
- (f9) $TT_{xyzv} = 0$
- (g1) $T_{xy}T_{yzz} = 0$
- (g2) $T_{xy}T_{zuv} + T_{xz}T_{yuv} = 0$
- (g3) $T_{xy}T_{yzu} + T_{xy}T_{yuz} = 0$
- (g4) $T_{xy}T_{zuv} + T_{xz}T_{yuv} + T_{xy}T_{zvu} + T_{xz}T_{yvu} = 0$
- (g5) $T_{xy}T_{zuv} = 0$
- (g6) $T_{xy}T_{zuv} + T_{xy}T_{zvu} = 0$
- (g7) $T_{xy}T_{yzu} = 0$

$$(g8) \quad T_{xy}T_{zuv} + T_{xz} T_{yuv} = 0$$

$$(g9) \quad T_{xy}T_{zuv} = 0$$

Further, if M is a subset of G , we shall say that (a1) holds for M if $3T_{abc} = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in M$ and similarly for other identities.

2.1. Lemma. (i) (a) implies (a2) and (a3).

(ii) (a2) implies $3T_{xyz} + 3T_{xzy} = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

(iii) (a3) implies $3T_{xyz} + 3T_{yxz} = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

(iv) G satisfies (b1) iff G satisfies (b2) and (a3).

Proof. Obvious.

2.2. Lemma. Suppose that G satisfies (b2). Then:

(i) $T(x, yx-y) = T(y, x, y-x)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

(ii) (a2) implies $3T_{xyz} = 3T(x, y, x-y) = 3T(x, y, x+y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in G$.

(iii) (a2) implies $3T_{xyz} + 3T_{zyx} = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) are obvious.

(iii) Using (ii), we have $0 = 3T(x+z, y, x+z+y) = 3T_{xyz} + 3T_{zyx}$.

2.3. Lemma. Let M be a generator set of the group $G(+)$.

Then:

(i) If (X) is one of (a1), (b2), (c4), (d4), (e4), (f2), (f4), (f6), (f8), (f9), (g4), (g6), (g8), (g9) then G satisfies (X) iff (X) holds for M .

(ii) G satisfies (a2) iff $3T_{abc} + 3T_{acb} = 0 = 3T_{abb}$ for all $a, b, c \in M$.

(iii) G satisfies (a3) iff $3T_{abc} + 3T_{bac} = 0 = 3T_{aab}$ for all $a, b, c \in M$.

(iv) G satisfies (b1) iff $T_{abc} + T_{bac} = 0 = 3T_{aab}$ for all

$a, b, c \in M$.

Proof. (i) The "only if" assertion is obvious. Conversely, suppose that, e.g., (c4) holds for M . Put $S(x, y, z, u, v) = TTxyzuv + TTxyzu + TTzyxuv + TTuyzvx + TTzyxv$ for all $x, y, z, u, v \in G$. Then S is a multilinear mapping of G^5 into G and $S(M)^5 = 0$, hence $S = 0$ and G satisfies (c4). The remaining assertions are very easy.

2.4. Lemma. Let y be one of c, d, e, g . Then:

- (i) (y1) implies (y2) and (y3), (y2) implies (y4) and (y3) implies (y4).
- (ii) If G satisfies (a2) then the identities (y1), (y2), (y3), (y4) are equivalent.

Proof. If G satisfies (c1) then $0 = T(T(x+z, y, y), x+z, u) = TTxyyzu + TTzyyxu$ and $0 = T(T(x, y+z, y+z), x, u) = TTxyzxu + TTxyzyx$, if G satisfies (c2) then $0 = T(T(x, y+z, y+z), uv) + T(T(u, y+z, y+z), x, v) = TTxyzuv + TTxyzyuv + TTuyzvx + TTzyxv$ and if G satisfies (c3) then $0 = T(T(x+u, y, z), x+u, v) + T(T(x+u, z, y), x+u, v) = TTxyzuv + TTxyzyuv + TTuyzvx + TTzyxv$. Finally, if G satisfies (a2) and (c4) then $0 = 4TTxyyxv = T(4T(x, y, y), x, v) = TTxyyxv$. The rest is similar.

The following three lemmas are obvious.

2.5. Lemma. (i) (c2) and (d1) imply (e1).

- (ii) (c2) and (e1) imply (d1).
- (iii) (d2) and (e1) imply (c1).

2.6. Lemma. (i) (b1) and (c2) imply $TTxyyyz = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

- (ii) (b2) and (c3) imply $TTxyzyu + TTzyxyu = 0$ for all

$x, y, z \in G$.

(iii) (b1) and (d2) imply $TTxyzy = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

(iv) (b2) and (d3) imply $TTxyzuy + TTzyxuy = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

(v) (b2) and (e3) imply $TTxyzuu + TTzyxuu = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

(vi) (b1) and (g2) imply $TxyTzyy = 0 = TxyTxzz$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

2.7. Lemma. (i) (f1) implies (c1), (d1), (e1) and (f2).

(ii) (a2) and (f2) imply (f1).

(iii) (f3) implies (c1) and (f4).

(iv) (a1) and (f4) imply (f3).

(v) (f5) implies (d1) and (f6).

(vi) (a1) and (f6) imply (f5).

(vii) (f7) implies (e1) and (f8).

(viii) (a1) and (f8) imply (f7).

(ix) (f9) implies (f1), (f3), (f5) and (f7).

(x) (g5) implies (g1) and (g6).

(xi) (a2) and (g6) imply (g5).

(xii) (g7) implies (g1) and (g8).

(xiii) (a1) and (g8) imply (g7).

(xiv) (g9) implies (g1), (g5) and (g7).

2.8. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1), (c1), (d1) and $x, y, z, u, v \in G$ are such that $|\{x, y, z, u, v\}| \leq 3$ then:

(i) $TTxyyv = 0$.

(ii) $TTxyzuv + TTzyxuv = 0$.

(iii) If $|\{x, y, z\}| \leq 2$ then $TTxyzuv = 0$.

Proof. (i) This is clear from (b1), (c1), (d1), 2.5(i)

and 2.6(i),(iii).

(iii) An immediate consequence of (i) and (b1).

(ii) With respect to (iii), we can assume that the elements x,y,z are pair-wise different. As G satisfies (c3),(d3) and (e3), we can also assume that $u \neq x \neq v$, $u \neq y$, and hence either $y = u$, $z = v$ or $y = v$, $z = u$. If $y = u$, $z = v$ (the other case is symmetric) then $TTxyzyz + TTzzyyz = -TTyzzyz - TTzxyyz = TTyzxyz + TTzyxyz = 0$.

2.9. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1),(g1) and $x,y,z,u,v \in G$ are such that $|\{x,y,z,u,v\}| \leq 3$ then:

(i) $TxyTzuu = 0$.

(ii) $TxyTzuv + TxyTzvu = 0$.

(iii) If $|\{z,u,v\}| \leq 2$ then $TxyTzuv = 0$.

Proof. Similar to that of 2.8.

2.10. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1),(c2) and (g1) then $TxTyzzTyzz = 0 = TxTyzzTzyy$ for all $x,y,z \in G$.

Proof. Using (b2) and (c2), we have $TxTyzzTzyy = -TTyzzxTzyy = TTxzzyTzyy = 0$ by 2.6(vi). The rest is similar.

2.11. Corollary. If G satisfies (b1),(c2) and (g1), then $T(x,T(y,z,y-z),T(y,z,y-z)) = 0$ for all $x,y,z \in G$.

3. Trilinear constructions of quasimodules. If $G = G(+,T)$ is a ternary ring, we put $x * y = x + y + T(x,y,x-y) = x + y + T(x,y,x) - T(x,y,y)$ for all $x,y \in G$. Thus we obtain a groupoid $G(*)$.

3.1. Lemma. (i) 0 is a unit element of $G(*)$.

(ii) If G satisfies (b2) then $G(*)$ is commutative.

Proof. (i) is obvious and (ii) follows from 2.2(i).

3.2. Lemma. If G satisfies (a2), (b1), (c1), (d1), (g1), then $(x*x)*(y*z) = (x*y)*(x*z)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Proof. Using (a2) and (b2), we have $(x*x)*(y*z) = 2x + y + z + u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_4$, where $u_1 = -Tyxx - Tzxx - 2Txxy - 2Txyz - 2Txzy - Tzyy - Tyzz - 2Txzz$, $u_2 = -T(T(y, z, y-z), x, x) + 2T(T(y, z, y-z), x, y) + 2T(T(y, z, y-z), x, z)$, $u_3 = -2T(x, y, T(y, z, y-z)) - 2T(x, z, T(y, z, y-z))$ and $u_4 = T(x, T(y, z, y-z), T(y, z, y-z))$. Similarly, by (b1) and (b2) we have $(x*y)*(x*z) = x + y + x + z + v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5 + v_6$, where $v_1 = -Tyxx - Txxy - Tzxx - Txzz - Txxy + Txzy - Tzyy + Txyz - Txzz - Tyzz$, $v_2 = T(T(x, y, x-y), x, y) - T(T(x, y, x-y), x, z) + T(T(x, y, x-y), z, y) - T(T(x, y, x-y), z, z) - T(T(x, z, x-z), x, y) + T(T(x, z, x-z), x, z) - T(T(x, z, x-z), y, y) + T(T(x, z, x-z), y, z)$, $v_3 = T(x, z, T(x, y, x-y)) - T(x, z, T(x, z, x-z)) + T(y, x, T(x, y, x-y)) - T(y, x, T(x, z, x-z)) + T(y, z, T(x, y, x-y)) - T(y, z, T(x, z, x-z))$, $v_4 = T(T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z), y) + T(T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y), z)$, $v_5 = T(x, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y)) - T(x, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, z, x-z)) + T(y, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y)) - T(y, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, z, x-z)) - T(x, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, y, x-y)) + T(x, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z)) - T(z, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, y, x-y)) + T(z, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z))$ and $v_6 = -T(T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y), T(x, y, x-y)) - T(T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z), T(x, z, x-z))$. Now $u_2 = v_2 = v_4 = 0$ by 2.8(iii) and $u_3 = 0 = v_3$ by 2.9(iii). Using 2.11, we have $u_4 = 0 = v_6$ and $v_5 = T(x, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y)) + T(y, T(x, z, x-z), T(x, y, x-y)) + T(x, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z)) + T(z, T(x, y, x-y), T(x, z, x-z)) = 0$ by 2.9(iii). Finally, $v_1 - u_1 = 3Txyz + 3Txzy = 0$ by 2.1(ii).

3.3. Lemma. If G satisfies (a2),(b1),(c1) and (g1) then $(-x) * (x * y) = y = x * ((-x) * y)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Proof. Easy.

3.4. Proposition. If G satisfies (a2),(b1),(c1),(d1) and (g1) then $G(*)$ is a commutative Moufang loop.

Proof. By 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.

3.5. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1),(c1),(d1) and (g1) then $a * (b * c) = a + b + c - T_{cbb} - T_{bcc} - T_{baa} - T_{abb} - T_{caa} - T_{acc} - T_{abc} - T_{acb}$ and $(a * b) * c = a + b + c - T_{baa} - T_{abb} - T_{caa} - T_{acc} - T_{cbb} - T_{bcc} - T_{cab} - T_{cba} = a * (b * c) + T_{abc} + T_{bca} - 2T_{cab}$.

Proof. Use (b2), 2.8(iii) and 2.9(iii).

3.6. Proposition. If G satisfies (a2),(b1),(c1),(d1) and (g1) then $[a, b, c] = T_{abc} + T_{bca} - 2T_{cab}$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof. Denote $u = a * (b * c)$, $v = T_{abc} + T_{bca} - 2T_{cab}$. With respect to 3.5, we have $[a, b, c] = (u + v) * (-u) = v + T(u+v, -u, u+v+u) = v - 2T(v, u, u) - T(v, u, v) = v + T(v, u, u-v)$. Obviously, $u-v = a + b + c - T_{abb} - T_{baa} - T_{acc} - T_{caa} - T_{bcc} - T_{cbb} - 2T_{abc} - T_{bca} + 3T_{cab}$. In the rest of the proof, which will be divided into several lemmas, we shall show that $T(v, u, u-v) = 0$. In Corollaries 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.14, 3.16, 3.19 and 3.22, the assumptions are those of Proposition 3.6.

3.7. Lemma. If G satisfies (b2),(c3) and (d3) then $TT_{abcab} = TT_{bcaab} = TT_{cabab}$, $TT_{abcba} = TT_{bcaba} = TT_{cabba}$ and $TT_{abcaa} = TT_{bcaaa} = TT_{cabaa}$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof. Easy (use the fact that (c4) and (d3) imply (e3)).

3.8. Corollary. $T(v, a+b+c, a+b+c) = 0$.

3.9. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1), (c3) and (g1) then
 $TTabcabcc = TTbcaabcc = TTcababcc$ and $TTabcabbb =$
 $= TTbcaabbb = TTcababbb$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof. We have $TTcababcc = -TTacbabcc = TTabcabcc$
 by (b2) and (c3). Further, with respect to (b2) and (c4),
 $TTcababcc - TTbcaabcc = TTcababcc + TTcbbaabcc =$
 $= -TTaabcTbcc - TTabacTbcc = 0$ by 2.9(iii). The rest is si-
 milar.

3.10. Corollary. $T(v, a+b+c, -Tabb-Tbaa-Tacc-Tcaa-Tbcc-$
 $-Tcbb) = 0$.

Proof. Use (g1), 2.6(vi) and 3.9.

3.11. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1) and (g3) then
 $TdaTabc = TdaTcab = TdaTbca - TdbTcaa$ for all $a, b, c, d \in G$.

Proof. By (g3) and (b2), $TdaTabc = -TdaTacb = TdaTcab$.
 Further, using (b2) and (g4), we have $TdaTabc = -TdaTbac =$
 $= TdaTbca + TdbTaac + TdbTaca = TdaTbca - TdbTcaa$ by (b1).

3.12. Corollary. $T(v, a+b+c, -2Tabc-Tbca+3Tcab) = 0$.

Proof. By 3.11, $TvaTabc = TvaTcab = TvaTbca - TvbTcaa$,
 however, $TvbTcaa = 0$ by 3.9. The rest is similar.

3.13. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1), (c1) and (d1) then
 $TTabcTabbd = TTbcaTabbd = TTcabTabbd$ and $TTabcTbaad =$
 $= TTbcaTbaad = TTcabTbaad$ for all $a, b, c, d \in G$.

Proof. With respect to (b2) and (c4) we have
 $TTbcaTabbd = -TTcbaTabbd = TTcabTabbd + TTTabbbacd +$
 $+ TTTabbabcd = TTcabTabbd$ by 2.8(iii) and $TTcabTabbd =$
 $= -TTacbTabbd = TTabcTabbd$ again by (c4) and 2.8(iii). The
 rest is similar.

3.14. Corollary. $T(v, -T_{abb} - T_{baa} - T_{acc} - T_{caa} - T_{bcc} - T_{cbb}, u-v) = 0$.

3.15. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1) and (d3) then $0 =$
 $= TT_{abc}T_{abd} = TT_{cab}T_{abd} = TT_{cab}T_{abca} = TT_{abc}T_{acba} =$
 $= TT_{bca}T_{abc} = TT_{bca}T_{abc}, TT_{bca}T_{abca} + TT_{bca}T_{acba} = 0,$
 $TT_{cab}T_{abc} = TT_{abc}T_{acbb} = TT_{bca}T_{acbb}$ and $TT_{bca}T_{abcc} =$
 $= TT_{cab}T_{abcc} = TT_{abc}T_{acbc}$ for all $a, b, c, d \in G$.

Proof. Using (b2) and (d3), we have $TT_{bca}T_{abca} =$
 $= -TT_{abc}T_{bcaa} = TT_{acb}T_{bcaa} = -TT_{bca}T_{acba}, TT_{bca}T_{acbb} =$
 $= -TT_{bac}T_{acbb} = TT_{abc}T_{acbb} = -TT_{acb}T_{abc} = TT_{cab}T_{abc},$
 $TT_{bca}T_{abcc} = -TT_{cba}T_{abcc} = TT_{cab}T_{abcc} = -TT_{acb}T_{abcc} =$
 $= TT_{abc}T_{acbc}$ and $TT_{cab}T_{abca} = -TT_{acb}T_{abca} = TT_{abc}T_{abca} = 0$
 by (d3) and (b1). The rest is similar.

3.16. Corollary. $T(v, -T_{abc} - T_{acb}, a+b+c) = 0$.

3.17. Lemma. If G satisfies (g2) and (e3) then
 $TfT_{abc}T_{dee} = -TfT_{abc}T_{dee}$ for all $a, b, c, d, e, f \in G$.

Proof. Using consecutively (g2), (e3) and (g2), we have
 $TfT_{abc}T_{dee} = -TfdTT_{acbee} = TfdTT_{abcee} = -TfT_{abc}T_{dee}$.

3.18. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1), (g2) and (e3) then
 $TT_{abc}T_{abc}T_{dee} = TT_{bca}T_{abc}T_{dee} = TT_{cab}T_{abc}T_{dee} = 0$ for all
 $a, b, c, d, e \in G$.

Proof. Obviously, $TT_{abc}T_{abc}T_{dee} = 0$ by (b1). Further,
 using (b2) and 3.17, we have $TT_{cab}T_{abc}T_{dee} = -TT_{acb}T_{abc}T_{dee} =$
 $= TT_{acb}T_{abc}T_{dee} = 0$. Finally, by 3.17 and (b2),
 $TT_{bca}T_{abc}T_{dee} = -TT_{bca}T_{abc}T_{dee} = TT_{bca}T_{cab}T_{dee} = 0$ by the
 preceding part of the proof.

3.19. Corollary. $T(v, -T_{abc} - T_{acb}, -T_{abb} - T_{baa} - T_{acc} - T_{caa} - T_{bcc} - T_{cbb}) = 0$.

3.20. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1) and (e3) then $TTabcTabcTabc = TTbcaTabcTabc = TTcabTabcTabc = 0$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof. By (e3) and (b2) we have $TTbcaTabcTabc = -TTbacTabcTabc = TTabcTabcTabc = -TTacbTabcTabc = TTcabTabcTabc$ and it suffices to use (b1).

3.21. Lemma. If G satisfies (b1), (c4), (e3) and (g4) then $TTabcTbcaTcab = TTbcaTcabTabc = TTcabTabcTbca$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

Proof. The preceding lemma together with (b2) yield $TTcabTabcTcab = 0$ and $TTcbaTabcTcba = TbacTcbaTcba = 0$. Thus, using consecutively (b2), (c4), (g3), (c4) and (b2), we get $TTabcTbcaTcab = TTcbaTabcTcab = -TTcabTabcTcab - TTTabcabcTcab - TTTabcabcTcab = TTTabcabcTcba + TTTabcabcTcba + TTcbaTabcTcba = -TTcabTabcTcba = TTcabTabcTbca$. Now it suffices to use this equality once more.

3.22. Corollary. $V = T(v, -Tabc - Tacb, -2Tabc - Tbca + 3Tcab) = 0$.

Proof. Taking into account 3.20, we see that $V = TTabcTabcTbca - 3TTbcaTabcTcab + 2TTbcaTcabTabc - 2TTcabTabcTbca = -TTcabTabcTbca - 3TTbcaTabcTcab - 3TTbcaTcabTabc + TTbcaTcabTabc = 0$ by 2.1(ii) and 3.21.

Summarizing the results of Corollaries 3.8, 3.10, 3.12, 3.14, 3.16, 3.19 and 3.22, we see that $T(v, u, u-v) = 0$ and the proof of Proposition 3.6 is finished.

3.23. Lemma. If G satisfies (b2) and (e4), $a, b, c, d, e \in G$ and $v = Tabc + Tbca - 2Tcab$ then $Tvde = Tevd$.

Proof. We have $Tvde + Tved = TTabcde + TTbcade -$
 $- 2TTcabde + TTabced + TTbcaed - 2TTcabed = -TTacbd e -$
 $- TTacbed - TTbacde - TTbaced - 2TTcabde - 2TTcabed =$
 $= TTacbd e + TTacbed + TTabcde + TTabced = 0.$

Before summarizing our results, we introduce a trilinear mapping T' of G^3 into G via $T'(a,b,c) = T(a,b,c) - T(c,a,b)$ for all $a,b,c \in G$.

3.24. Theorem. Let R be an associative ring with unit, $G(+,rx)$ be a left R -module and T be a trilinear mapping of G^3 into G . Suppose that the following holds: $3T(x,y,y) = 0$, $T(x,x,y) = 0$, $T(T(x,y,y),x,z) = 0$, $T(T(x,y,y),z,x) = 0$, $T(x,y,T(y,z,z)) = 0$ and $(r^3-r)T(x,y,y) = 0$ for all $x,y,z \in G$ and $r \in R$. Put $x*y = x + y + T(x,y,x-y)$ for all $x,y \in G$. Then:

- (i) $G(*,rx)$ is a left R -quasimodule.
- (ii) $G(*,rx)$ is a module iff $T(x,y,z) + T(y,z,x) = 2T(z,x,y)$ for all $x,y,z \in G$.
- (iii) $[a,b,c] = T''(a,b,c)$, $[[a,b,c],d,e] = T'(d,e,T''(a,b,c))$ and $[[\dots [[a_1,a_2,a_3],a_4,a_5],\dots],a_{n-1},a_n] = T'(a_{n-1},a_n,T'(\dots,T'(a_4,a_5,T''(a_1,a_2,a_3))))$ for all $a,b,c,d,e,a_1,\dots,a_n \in G$.
- (iv) $T'''(x,y,z) = 0$ and $x*y = x + y + T'(x,y,x+y)$ for all $x,y,z \in G$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow easily from 3.3, 3.4 and 3.6.

(iii) First, $T''(a,b,c) = T'(a,b,c) - T'(c,a,b) = T(a,b,c) - T(c,a,b) - T(c,a,b) + T(b,c,a) = [a,b,c]$ by 3.6. Now, denoting $v = [a,b,c]$, we have by 3.23 $[[a,b,c],d,e] = [d,e,[a,b,c]] = T(d,e,v) + T(e,v,d) - 2T(v,d,e) =$

$= T(d,e,v) - T(v,d,e) = T'(d,e,v) = T'(d,e,T''(a,b,c))$. The rest follows by an easy induction.

(iv) Obviously, $T'''(x,y,z) = T''(x,y,z) - T''(z,x,y) = [x,y,z] - [z,x,y] = 0$ and $T'(x,y,x+y) = T(x,y,x+y) - T(x+y,x,y) = T(x,y,x) + T(x,y,y) - T(y,x,y) = T(x,y,x) - T(x,y,y) = T(x,y,x-y)$ by (b1), (b2) and (a2).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] R.H. BRUCK: A Survey of Binary Systems, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1966.
- [2] T. KEPKA: Structure of triabelian quasigroups, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 17(1976), 229-240.
- [3] T. KEPKA: Notes on quasimodules, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20(1979), 229-247.
- [4] T. KEPKA and P. NĚMEC: Commutative Moufang loops and distributive groupoids of small orders (to appear).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
 Universita Karlova
 Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8
 Československo

(Oblatum 17.12. 1979)