Tomáš Kepka Commutative Moufang loops and distributive Steiner quasigroups nilpotent of class 3

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 2, 355--370

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106002

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 21,2 (1980)

COMMUTATIVE MOUFANG LOOPS AND DISTRIBUTIVE STEINER QUASIGROUPS NILPOTENT OF CLASS 3 Tomáš KEPKA

<u>Abstract</u>: Free commutative Moufang loops and distributive Steiner quasigroups nilpotent of class 3 are constructed.

Key words: Loop, commutative, Moufang, quasigroup, distributive, Steiner.

Classification: 20N05

Recently, L. Bénéteau has described free 3-elementary commutative Moufang loops nilpotent of class at most 3. The description is based on a trilinear construction using anticommutative graded rings. In the present paper, we give another, more direct construction. The results are also applied to distributive Steiner quasigroups.

1. <u>CM-loops and DS-quasigroups</u>. A loop Q is said to be a CM-loop if it satisfies the identity xx.yz = xy.xz. A quasigroup Q is said to be a DS-quasigroup if it satisfies the identities xy = yx, x.xy = y, x.yz = xy.xz. The reader is referred to [1],...,[9] for basic and some further properties of these structures.

Let Q be a CM-loop. We denote by $C_1(Q)$ the centre of Q and by $C_2(Q)$ the subloop containing $C_1(Q)$ such that

- 355 -

 $C_2(Q) / C_1(Q) = C_1(Q / C_1(Q))$. Further, we put $[a,b,c] = (ab.c)(a.bc)^{-1}$ for all $a,b,c \in Q$. The subloop generated by all [a,b,c] is denoted by $A_1(Q)$ and the subloop generated by all [[a,b,c],d,e] by $A_2(Q)$. We shall say that Q is milpotent of class at most 3 if $A_2(Q) \subseteq C_1(Q)$. The loop Q is said to be 3-elementary if D(Q) = 1, where $D(Q) = \{x\} | x \in Q\}$. Obviously, Q is 3-elementary iff B(Q) = Q, where $B(Q) = \{x\} | x \in Q, x^3 = 1\}$.

1.1. Lemma. Let Q be a CM-loop. Then: (i) $[a,b,c] = [b,a,c]^{-1} = [a,c,b]^{-1}$ for all $a,b,c \in Q$. (ii) [[a,b,c],c,d] = [[b,d,c],c,a] for all $a,b,c,d \in Q$. (iii) [[a,b,c],d,e] = [[a,d,e],b,c] [[b,d,e],c,a] [[c,d,e],a,b] for all a,b,c,d,esQ. (iv) [[a,b,c],d,e] = [[d,b,c],a,e] [[a,d,c],b,e] [[a,b,d],c,e] for all $a,b,c,d,e \in Q$. (v) [[[a,b,c],c,d],d,e] = [[[b,e,c],c,d],d,a] for all a,b,c, d,e e Q. (vi) [[a,b,cd],cd,e] = [[a,b,c],c,e] [[a,b,d],d,e] .f, f = [[[a,b,c],c,d],d,e][[a,b,c],d,e][[a,b,d],c,e] for all a,b,c,d,e cQ. (vii) If Q can be generated by 5 elements then $A_1(Q)$ is a group. (viii) [[a, b, c], d, e] = [[b, a, d], c, e] [[b, e, c], d, a][[b,e,d],c,a] for all $a,b,c,d,e \in Q$. Proof. (i) See [4, § VIII.2]. (ii) See [4, Lemma VIII.3.9]. (iii) See [4, Lemma VIII.6.4]. (iv) See [4, Lemma VIII.6.4].

(v) See [4, Lemma VIII.6.5].
(vi) See [4, Lemma VIII.6.6].
(vii) See [4, Lemma VIII.6.3].
(viii) This is an easy consequence of (i),(ii),(v),(vi) and (vii).

1.2. <u>Lemma</u>. Let Q be a CM-loop generated by a set S. Then Q is nilpotent of class at most 3 iff $[[[a,b,c],d,e]_{,f},g] = 1$ for all a,b,c,d,e,f,g \in S.

Proof. See 14, Lemma VIII.3.81.

1.3. Lemma. Let Q be a CM-loop generated by a set S. (i) If Q is nilpotent of class at most 2 then $A_1(Q)$ is generated by the elements [a,b,c],a,b,c \in S.

(ii) If Q is nilpotent of class at most 3 then $A_2(Q)$ is generated by the elements [[a,b,c,]d,e], a,b,c,d,e \in S.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of [4, Lemma VIII. 3.8].

1.4. <u>Proposition</u>. Let $0 \le n$ be an integer and Q a 3elementary CM-loop generated by n elements.

(i) If Q is nilpotent of class at most 2 then card $Q \neq 3^{n+m}$ and card $A_1(Q) \neq 3^m$, $m = \binom{m}{3}$.

(ii) If Q is nilpotent of class at most 3 then card $Q \neq 3^{n+m+p}$, card $A_1(Q) \neq 3^{m+p}$ and card $A_2(Q) \neq 3^p$, $4\binom{n}{4} + 4\binom{n}{5} = p$.

Proof. Use 1.1 and 1.3.

1.5. <u>Proposition</u>. The following conditions are equivalent for a quasigroup Q:

(i) Q is a DS-quasigroup.

(ii) There exists a 3-elementary OM-loop $Q(\circ)$ such that

- 357 -

 $xy = x^{-1}$, y^{-1} for all $x, y \in Q$.

Proof. Easy and well known (see e.g. [7, Satz 1.4]).

2. <u>Ternary rings</u>. Let G = G(+,F) be a ternary ring (i.e., G(+) is an abelian group and F is a triadditive mapping of G^3 into G). Consider the following identities:

- (a) 3F(x,y,z) = 0 for all $x,y,z \in G$.
- (b) F(x,x,y) = 0 for all $x,y \in G$.
- (c) F(F(x,y,z),u,v) = 0 for all $x,y,z,u,v \in G$.
- (d) F(x,y,F(y,z,z)) = 0 for all $x,y,z \in G$.
- (e) F(x,y,F(z,u,F(w,r,s))) = 0 for all x,y,z,u,v,w,r,s ∈ G. The following three lemmas are easy observations.

2.1. Lemma. (i) If G satisfies (b) then F(x,y,z) = -F(y,x,z) for all $x,y,z \in G$.

```
(ii) If G satisfies (b) and (d) then F(x,y,F(z,y,y)) = 0 for all x,y,z \in G.
```

2.2. Lemma. Let S be a generator set of the group G(+). (i) If G satisfies (a) then G satisfies (b) iff F(a, b, c) == -F(b,a,c) for all a,b,c \in S. (ii) G satisfies (c) iff F(F(a,b,c),d,e) = 0 for all a,b,c, d,e \in S. (iii) If G satisfies (a) then G satisfies (d) iff F(a,b,F(c,d,e)) + F(a,c,F(b,d,e)) + F(a,b,F(c,e,d)) +F(a,c,F(b,e,d)) = 0 for all a,b,c,d,e \in S.

(iv) G satisfies (e) iff F(a,b,F(c,d,F(e,f,g))) = 0 for all a,b,c,d,e,f,g & S.

2.3. Lemma. Let G(+) be an abelian 3-group with a basis S and E a mapping of S³ into B(G(+)). Then E can be

- 358 -

extended in a unique way to a triadditive mapping of G^3 into G.

Put $\overline{F}(x,y,z) = F(x,y,z) + F(y,z,x) + F(z,x,y)$ for all x,y,z e G.

2.4. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G(+,F) be a ternary ring satisfying the identities (a),(b),(c),(d),(e). Put xoy = x + y + + F(x,y,x-y) for all x,y e G. Then:
(i) G(o) is a CM-loop nilpotent of class at most 3.
(ii) G(o) is 3-elementary iff G(+) is so.
(iii) [a,b,c] = F(a,b,c) and [[a,b,c],d,e] = F(d,e,F(a,b,c)) for all a,b,c,d,e e G.
(iv) C₁(G(o)) = fa e G[F(a,x,y) = 0 for all x,y e G].
(v) A₁(G(o)) is an ideal of the ternary ring and aox = a + + x for all a e A₁(G(o)) and x e G.

2.5. <u>Corollary</u>. Let G(+,F) be a ternary ring satisfying the identities (b),(c),(d),(e) such that G(+) is 3-elementary. Put x * y = -x - y + F(x,y,y-x) for all $x,y \in G$. Then G(*) is a DS-quasigroup nilpotent of class at most 3.

3. <u>Auxiliary results I</u>. In this section, let K denote the set of all ordered 5-tuples (ijkpq) with {i,j,k,p,q} = = {1,2,3,4,5}. Let L be the set of all (ijkpq) ϵ K such that i<j, k<p and either j<k or p<q. Obviously, card K = 120 and card L = 14.

Consider a vector space V over the three-element field having K as a basis and define eight endomorphisms of V by a(x) = (jikpq), b(x) = (ikjpq), c(x) = (ijpkq), d(x) =

- 359 -

= (ijkqp), f(x) = x + a(x), g(x) = x + c(x), e(x) = x + b(x) + d(x) + db(x), r(x) = x + cd(x) + dc(x) for every $x = (ijkpq) \in K$.

3.1. Lemma. $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = 1$, $f^2 = -f$, $g^2 = -g$, $e^2 = e$, $r^2 = 0$, aba = bab, ac = ca, ad = da, af = f = fa, ag = ga, ar = ra, bcb = cbc, bd = db, be = e = eb, cdc = dcd, cf = fc, cg = g = gc, cr = rd, df = fd, de = e = ed, dr = rc, cdr == dcr = rcd = rdc = r, fg = gf.

Proof. Easy.

Denote by W the subspace of V generated by L and put U = f(V) + g(V) + e(V). Let t be the natural homomorphism of V onto V/U.

3.2. Lemma. dim $(f(V) + g(V)) \neq 90$.

Proof. Define a relation w on K by $(x,y) \in w$ iff either x = y or x = a(y) or x = c(y) or x = ac(y). Then w is an equivalence and has exactly 30 blocks. Let S be a set of representants of w and $R = \{f(x), g(x), x-ac(x) | x \in S\}$. It is easy to check that R generates f(V) + g(V).

3.3. Lemma. Let Z be a subspace of V containing f(V)and let x \in K be such that $e(x), ea(x) \in Z$. Then $eab(x) \in Z$.

Proof. We have $e(x) = x + b(x) + d(x) + db(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $ea(x) = a(x) + ba(x) + da(x) + dba(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x + a(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, da(x) = ad(x)and $d(x) + da(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $y = -x + ba(x) - d(x) + dba(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e(x) + y = b(x) + ba(x) + db(x) + dba(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, $a(\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathbb{Z}$, aba = bab, ad = da, and therefore ae(x) + a(y) = $= eab(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.4. Lemma. Let Z be a subspace of V containing g(V)and let x \in K be such that $e(x), ec(x), ecb(x), ecd(x), ecdb(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- 360 -

Then ecdbc(\mathbf{x}) $\in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We have e(x) = x + b(x) + d(x) + bd(x), ec(x) = c(x) + bc(x) + dc(x) + bdc(x), ecb(x) = cb(x) + bcb(x) + bdc(x) + bdc(x), ecb(x) = cb(x) + bcb(x) + dcb(x) + ccb(x) + bcb(x) + cdcb(x) = cd(x) + db(x) + dc(x) + bdc(x) + dbcb(x) + cdbcb(x) = cd(x) + db(x) + bdc(x) + bdcd(x) + bdcd(x) + cdcb(x) + bdcd(x) + cdcb(x) + bdcd(x) + cdcb(x) - cdcb(x) + cdcdcb(x) + cdcb(x) + cdcbc(x) + cdcb(x) + cdcbc(x) + cdcbcd(x) + cdcbc(x) + cdcbc(x)

3.5. Lemma. dim U≤106.

Proof. Define a relation v on K by $(x,y) \in v$ iff either x = y or x = b(y) or x = d(y) or x = bd(y). Then v is an equivalence and has exactly 30 blocks. Denote by s the natural mapping of K onto K/v. Clearly, $s(x) \neq s(y)$, provided x = (i...) and y = (j...) are from K such that $i \neq j$. Moreover, it is easy to verify that for each $x \in K$, the elements s(x), sc(x), scb(x), scd(x), scdb(x) and scdbc(x) are pairwise different. Now, put $x_1 = (12345)$, $x_2 = a(x_1)$, $x_3 =$ $= ab(x_1)$, $x_4 = abc(x_1)$, $x_5 = abcd(x_1)$. For $1 \le i \le 5$, let $x_{i1} =$ $= x_i$, $x_{i2} = c(x_i)$, $x_{i3} = cb(x_i)$, $x_{i4} = cd(x_i)$, $x_{i5} = cdb(x_i)$ and $x_{i6} = cdbc(x_i)$. Put $J = \{x_{i,j} | 1 \le i \le 5, 1 \le j \le 6\}$. Then

- 361 -

s(J) = s(K), and therefore e(V) is generated by e(J). Further, let $M = \{x_{ij} | 1 \le i, j \le 5\}$. According to 3.4, U is generated by $f(V) \cup g(V) \cup e(M)$. On the other hand, we have $a(x_{11}) =$ $= x_{21}$, $ab(x_{11}) = x_{31}$, $a(x_{12}) = x_{22}$, $ab(x_{12}) = x_{41}$, $a(x_{13}) =$ $= x_{32}$, $ab(x_{13}) = x_{42}$, $a(x_{14}) = x_{24}$, $ab(x_{14}) = x_{51}$, $a(x_{15}) =$ $= x_{34}$, $ab(x_{15}) = x_{52}$, $a(x_{16}) = x_{44}$, $ab(x_{16}) = x_{54}$, $a(x_{23}) =$ $= x_{33}$, $ab(x_{23}) = x_{43}$, $a(x_{25}) = x_{35}$, $ab(x_{25}) = x_{53}$, $a(x_{26}) =$ $= x_{45}$ and $ab(x_{26}) = x_{55}$. Using 3.3, it is easy to show that U is generated by $f(V) \cup g(V) \cup e(N)$, where $N = M \le \{x_{31}, x_{41}, x_{42}, x_{43}, x_{51}, x_{52}, x_{53}, x_{54}, x_{55}\}$. However, card N = 16 and 3.2 yields the result.

3.6. Lemma. V = U + W.

Proof. Put Z = U + W. We are going to show that $K \subseteq Z$. For, let $x = (ijkpq) \in K$. Taking into account that $x \in Z$ iff $a(x) \in Z$ iff $c(x) \in Z$, we can assume that i < j and k < p. Further, we can restrict ourselves to the case $x \notin L$. Then k < jand q < p. If i < k and j < p then $b(x) \in L$. If k < i and j < pthen $ab(x) \in L$, and hence $b(x) \in Z$. If i < k and p < j then $cb(x) \in L$, and hence $b(x) \in Z$. If k < i < p < j then $acb(x) \in L$, and so $b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If p < i then bacb(x), cdacb(x), $cdbacb(x) \in L$, hence bacb(x), dacb(x), dbacb(x) $\in \mathbb{Z}$, acb(x) $\in \mathbb{Z}$ and b(x) $\in \mathbb{Z}$. We have proved that $b(x) \in Z$ and it remains to show that d(x), $db(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If k < q then $d(x) \in L$. If q < k then $cd(x) \in L$, and hence $d(x) \in L$. Now, we are going to prove that $db(x) \in Z$. As one may check easily, we can assume that q < j. It suffices to show that $y = cdb(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If i < k and j < p then $y \in L$. If k < iand j < p then $a(y) \in L$ and $y \in Z$. Suppose p < j. If i < k < q then y \in L. If k < i < q then $a(y) \in$ L and $y \in$ Z. Further, it is easy

- 362 -

to see that $d(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $db(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, it is enough to show that $ab(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can assume that k < i and q < i. Then bab(y), $dab(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If i < p then $dbab(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If p < i then $cdbab(y) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.7. Lemma. V is the direct sum of the subspace U and . W.

Proof. By 3.6, V = U + W. Hence dim $(U \cap W) = \dim U +$ + dim W - dim $V \leq 106 + 14 - 120 = 0$. Consequently, $U \cap W = 0$.

3.8. Lemma. $4 \leq \dim tr(V)$.

Proof. Put $y_1 = (12345)$, $y_2 = (12354)$, $y_3 = (12453)$, $y_4 = (13452)$, $y_5 = (23451)$, $y_6 = (13245)$, $y_7 = (14235)$, $y_8 =$ = (23145). Then $y_1 \in L$ and there are uniquely determined $z_1 \in W$ such that $t(z_1) = tr(y_1)$. One may check easily that $z_1 = y_1 -y_2 + y_3$, $z_4 = y_1 + y_2 + y_4 - y_6$, $z_5 = -y_1 - y_2 + y_5 - y_8$, $z_7 = -y_1 + y_3 - y_4 + y_6$. Put $P = \{z_1, z_4, z_5, z_7\}$. It is an easy exercise to show that P is an independent subset of W. However, by 3.7, t)W is injective and the rest is clear.

3.9. Lemma. Let $x \in K$. Then $r(x) \notin U$.

Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $r(x) \in U$ for some $x = (ijkpq) \in K$. We have ra(x) = ar(x), $r(x) + ar(x) \in U$, and so $ra(x) \in U$. Similarly, cr = rd, $r(x) + cr(x) \in U$, $rd(x) \in U$. Finally, $dr(x) = d(x) + cdc(x) + c(x) = d(x) + cd(x) - r(x) + + x + c(x) + dc(x) + cdc(x) \in U$. But dr(x) = rc(x). Using this information, we can assume i < j and $k . Then <math>x = y_i$ for some $i \in i1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14$; where y_1, \dots, y_8 are defined in the same way as in 3.8 and $y_9 = (45123)$, $y_{10} = (24135)$, $y_{11} = (25134)$, $y_{12} = (34125)$, $y_{13} = (35124)$ and $y_{14} = (15234)$. There are uniquely determined $z_i \in W$ with

- 363 -

 $t(z_i) = tr(y_i)$. We have $t(z_i) \neq 0$ and $z_i \notin U$, a contradiction.

4. <u>Auxiliary results II</u>. In this section, let K be the set of all ordered 5-tuples (ijkpq) such that $\{i, j, k, p, q\}$ = = $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and i + j + k + p + q = ll. Obviously, card K = = 60. Put w = (12341).

Consider a vector space V over the three-element field having K as a basis and define eight endomorphisms of V by a(x) = (jikpq), b(x) = (ikjpq), c(x) = (ijpkq), d(x) == (ijkqp), f(x) = x + a(x), g(x) = x + c(x), e(x) = x + b(x),r(x) = x + dc(x) + cd(x) for every $x = (ijkpq) \in K$. Denote by W the subspace of V generated by w and put U = f(V) + g(V) ++ e(V). Let t be the natural homomorphism of V onto V/U.

4.1. Lemma. V is the direct sum of the subspaces U and W.

Proof. Define an endomorphism s of V as follows: s(x) = 0 if $x = (ijkpq) \in K$ is such that $q \neq 1$; s(x) = w if x = (ijkpq) is such that q = 1 and the permutation (ijkp) is even; s(x) = -w if x = (ijkpq) is such that q = 1 and the permutation (ijkp) is odd. One may see easily that $f(V) \cup \bigcup g(V) \cup e(V) \subseteq Ker$ s. Hence $U \subseteq Ker$ s. On the other hand, Im s= = W, $W \cap Ker$ s = 0, $W \cap U = 0$ and the rest is clear.

4.2. Lemma. $l \neq \dim tr(V)$. Proof. We have tr(w) = t(w). However, $t(w) \neq 0$ by 4.1.

5. <u>Main results</u>. For $4 \le n$, let $I = I_n$ be the set of all ordered triples (ijk) and $K = K_n$ the set of all ordered 5-tuples (ijkpq) with $1 \le i, j, k, p, q \le n$. Denote by $J = J_n$ the set of all (ijk) $\le I$ with i < j and put card x =

= card {i,j,k,p,q} for every $x = (ijkpq) \in K$. Let $L = L_n$ be the set of all $x = (ijkpq) \in K$ such that either card x = 5, i < j, k < p and either j < k or p < q, or card x = 4 and i < j < < k < p. Further, let $S = S_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a set containing n elements such that the sets S, I and K are pair-wise disjoint.

Consider a vector space $V = V_n$ over the three-element field having $M = M_n = S \cup I \cup K$ as a basis and put a(x) == (jik), a(y) = (jikpq), b(y) = (ikjpq), c(y) = (ijpkq), d(y) = (ijkqp) for all x = (ijk) ϵ I and y = (ijkpq) ϵ K. Let $U = U_n$ be the subspace generated by $\{x + a(x)\} \times \epsilon I \} \cup \{x \mid x \in K\}$, card $x \le 3 \} \cup \{x + a(x)\} \times \epsilon K \} \cup \{x + c(x)\} \times \epsilon K \} \cup \{x + b(x)\} \times \epsilon K$, card $x \le 4 \} \cup \{x + b(x) + d(x) + bd(x)\} \times \epsilon K \}$. Finally, let W == W_n be the subspace generated by $N = N_n = S \cup J \cup L$.

5.1. <u>Lemma</u>. V is the direct sum of the subspaces U and W.

Proof. This is an easy consequence of 3.7 and 4.1. Define a mapping $F:M^3 \rightarrow V$ as follows: $F(a_i, a_j, a_k) =$ = (ijk) for all $1 \le i, j, k \le n_j$, F(x, u, v) = F(y, u, v) = F(u, y, v) == F(u, v, y) = 0 for all $x \le I$, $y \le K$, $u, v \le M$; $F(a_i, a_j, (kpq)) =$ = (ijkpq) for all $1 \le i, j, k, p, q \le n$. By 2.3, F can be extended in a unique way to a trilinear mapping (denoted again by F == F_n) of V^3 into V. Thus we obtain a ternary algebra V(+, F).

5.2. <u>Lemma</u>. U is an ideal of V(+,F). Proof. Easy.

Let $P = P(+,T) = P_n(+,T_n) = V(+,F)/U$. Denote by t the natural homomorphism of V(+,F) onto P(+,T).

5.3. Lemma. P(+,T) satisfies (a),(b),(c),(d),(e).

- 365 -

Proof. Easy (use 2.2).

Put r(x) = x + (jki) + (kij) and r(y) = y + dc(y) + cd(y) for all $x = (ijk) \in I$ and $y \in K$. Let X designate the subspace generated by $\{r(x) | x \in I\}$ and Y the subspace generated by $\{r(y) | y \in K\}$.

5.4. Lemma. $4\binom{n}{4} + 4\binom{n}{5} \leq \dim t(y)$.

Proof. This follows from 3.8 and 4.2.

5.5. Lemma. $\binom{n}{3} = \dim t(X)$.

Proof. Let $x = (ijk) \in I$. If $\{i, j, k\}$ contains at most two elements then tr(x) = 0. Suppose $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and put z = (123) + (231) + (312), v = (123) + (231) - (132). Then $v \in W$ and $t(z) = t(v) \neq 0$. The rest is clear.

5.6. <u>Lemma</u>. $t(X) \cap t(Y) = 0$. Proof. It is easy to see that $X \cap (Y + U) \subseteq U$. 5.7. <u>Lemma</u>. $\binom{n}{3} + 4\binom{n}{4} + 4\binom{n}{5} \leq \dim t(X + Y)$. Proof. Use 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6.

Now, let $x \circ y = x + y + T(x,y,x-y)$ for all $x,y \in P$. Let Q(o) = Q_n(o) be the subgroupoid of P(o) generated by t(S).

5.8. Lemma. P(o) and Q(o) are 3-elementary CM-loops nilpotent of class at most 3.

Proof. See 5.3 and 2.4.

5.9. <u>Lemma</u>. $A_2(P(o)) = A_2(Q(o)) = t(Y)$.

Proof. Put $b_i = t(a_i)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n and $e(y) = = [[b_k, b_p, b_q], b_i, b_j]$ for $y = (ijkpq) \in K$. By 2.4(iii), e(y) = tr(y). According to 1.3(ii), $A_2(Q(o))$ is just the subloop generated by $\{e(y)|y \in K\}$. On the other hand, $u \circ v = u + v$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Z is the subspace of P generated by $t(I \cup K)$. Now, it is clear that $A_2(Q(\circ)) = t(Y)$. Similarly the rest.

5.10. Lemma. $A_1(Q(\circ)) = t(X + Y)$.

Proof. Let Z = t(X + Y) and let g be the natural homomorphism of Q(o) onto Q(o)/A₂(Q(o)) = G(o). By 1.3(i), A₁(G(o)) is generated by all [gt(a_i),gt(a_j),gt(a_k)], 1 ≤ i, j,k ≤ n. Further, u o v = u + v for all u,v ∈ Z. Hence Z(o) is a subloop and g(Z) = A₁(G(o)). However, Ker g = A₂(Q(o)) ⊆ Z, and so Z = A₁(Q(o)).

5.11. <u>Theorem</u>. Let $4 \le n$ and $Q(\circ) = Q_n(\circ)$. Then: (i) $Q(\circ)$ is a free loop of rank n in the variety of 3-elementary OM-loops nilpotent of class at most 3. (ii) card $Q = 3^m$, $m = n + \binom{n}{3} + 4 \binom{n+1}{5}$. (iii) card $A_1(Q(\circ)) = 3^p$ and card $A_2(Q(\circ)) = 3^q$, $q = 4\binom{n+1}{5}$ and $p = \binom{n}{3} + q$.

(iv) $C_1(Q(\circ)) = A_2(Q(\circ))$ and $C_2(Q(\circ)) = A_1(Q(\circ))$.

Proof. (i),(ii) and (iii). Let $G(\circ)$ be a free 3-elementary QM-loop nilpotent of class at most 3 freely generated by the set S. There is a surjective homomorphism g of $G(\circ)$ onto $Q(\circ)$ such that $g(a_1) = t(a_1)$ for every i. We have $g(A_2(G(\circ))) = A_2(Q(\circ))$ and $3^q \leq \operatorname{card} A_2(Q(\circ)) \leq \operatorname{card} A_2(G(\circ)) \leq$ $\leq 3^q$ by 1.4(ii) and 5.4, 5.9. Hence card $A_2(Q(\circ)) = 3^q$. Similarly, card $A_1(Q(\circ)) = 3^p$. The loop $Q(\circ)$ cannot be generated by n - 1 elements (otherwise card $A_2(Q(\circ)) < 3^q$, a contradiction) and consequently $Q(\circ)/A_1(Q(\circ)) = H(\circ)$ cannot be generated by n - 1 elements. From this, $3^n = \operatorname{card} H$, $3^{p+n} \leq$ $\leq \operatorname{card} Q \leq \operatorname{card} G \leq 3^{p+n}$, $3^{p+n} = \operatorname{card} Q = \operatorname{card} G$ and g is an

- 367 -

isomorphism.

(iv) Obviously, $C_1(Q(\circ)) \subseteq A_1(Q(\circ))$. It suffices to show that $u \in U$, whenever $u \in X$ and $F(a_i, a_j, u) \in U$ for all $1 \le i, j \le n$. There are $1 \le s, k_1, \dots, k_g \in \{0, 1, -1\}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_g \in I$ such that $u = k_1 r(x_1) + \dots + k_s r(x_g)$. Define a relation w on I by $(x, y)_{\epsilon}$ ϵ w, where $x = (ijk) \in I$ and $y \in I$, iff either x = y or y = = (jki) or y = (kij). We can assume that $(x_i, x_j) \notin w$ for all $1 \le i < j \le s$. Now, let $x_1 = (kpq)$. If card $\{k, p, q\} \le 2$ then $r(x_1) \in U$. If card $\{k, p, q\} = 3$ and $5 \le n$ then there are $1 \le i$, $j \le n$ such that card $\{i, j, k, p, q\} = 5$ and the result follows from 3.9. Finally, suppose that $\{k, p, q\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and n = 4. We can assume that s = 8, $x_1 = (123)$, $x_2 = (213)$, $x_3 = (124)$, $x_4 = (214)$, $x_5 = (134)$, $x_6 = (314)$, $x_7 = (234)$, $x_8 = (324)$. Then $k_1 = k_2$ and $k_1 r(x_1) + k_2 r(x_2) \in U$. The rest is clear.

5.12. <u>Corollary</u>. Let $4 \le n$ and x = -x - y + T(x,y,y-x) for all $x,y \in Q_n$. Then $Q_n(*)$ is a free quasigroup of rank n + 1 in the variety of DS-quasigroups nilpotent of class at most 3.

5.13. Lemma. Let $G(\circ)$ be a normal subloop of $Q(\circ)$ such that $G \subseteq A_1(Q(\circ))$. Then G is an ideal of the ternary ring P(+,T).

Proof. It suffices to show that $t(F(a_i, a_j, u)) \in G$, whenever $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $u \in X + Y$ is such that $t(u) \in G$. We have $t(F(a_i, a_j, u)) = t(\overline{F}(a_i, a_j, u)) = \overline{T}(t(a_i), t(a_j), t(u)) =$ $= [t(a_i), t(a_j), t(u)] \in G$.

5.14. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a finite 3-elementary QMloop nilpotent of class at most 3. Then there exists a finite ternary algebra H(+,E) over the three-element field such that $G \subseteq H$, H(+,E) satisfies the identities (a),(b),(c),(d),(e) and

- 368 -

xy = x + y + E(x,y,x-y) for all $x,y \in G$.

Proof. Assume that G can be generated by n elements but not by n - 1 elements. Then there is a surjective homomorphism g of $Q(\circ)$ onto G such that Ker $g \subseteq A_1(Q(\circ))$ and the rest follows from 5.13.

5.15. <u>Proposition</u>. Let G be a 3-elementary CM-loop nilpotent of class at most 3. Then there exists a ternary algebra H(+,E) over the three-element field such that $G \subseteq H$, H(+,E)satisfies the identities (b),(c),(d),(e) and xy = x + y ++ E(x,y,x-y) for all $x,y \in G$.

Proof. G is an ultraproduct of its finitely generated subloops and the result follows from 5.14.

References

- [1] V.D. BELOUSOV: Osnovy těorii kvazigrup i lup, izd. "Nauka", Moskva 1967.
- [2] L. BÉNÉTEAU: Free commutative Moufang loops and anticommutative graded rings (preprint).
- [3] G. BOL: Gewebe und Gruppen, Math. Ann. 114(1937), 414-431.
- [4] R.H. BRUCK: A survey of binary systems, Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [5] R.H. BRUCK: Contributions to the theory of loops, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 60(1946), 245-354.
- [6] T. EVANS: Identities and relations in commutative Moufang loops, J. Alg. 31(1974), 508-513.
- [7] T. KEPKA: Distributive Steiner quasigroups of order 3², Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 389-401.
- [8] S. KLOSSEK: Kommutative Spiegelungsräume, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen, Heft 117, Giessen 1975.

- 369 -

[9] J.P. SOUBLIN: Étude algébrique de la notion de moyenne, J. Math. Pures Appl. 50(1971), 53-264.

.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta Universita Karlova Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8 Československo

•

.

•

1

(Oblatum 17.12. 1979)

٠.