

Jan Krajíček

Some theorems on the lattice of local interpretability types

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 2, 387

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106235>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ANNOUNCEMENTS OF NEW RESULTS

### SOME THEOREMS ON THE LATTICE OF LOCAL INTERPRETABILITY TYPES

Jan Krajčíšek (5. května 19, Praha 4, 140 00, Československo),  
oblatum 16.5. 1983.

In [2], J. Mycielski introduced the lattice of multidimensional local interpretability types of theories (for next shortly type) and he posed some problems (see also a joint manuscript [1] with A. Ehrenfeucht). By next three theorems we solved two of them.  $|T|$  denotes type of a theory  $T$  and  $\leq$  denotes ordering in the lattice.

**Theorem 1:** A type is meet-irreducible iff it contains a complete theory.

**Corollary of the proof:** If  $S$  is a finitely axiomatizable and essentially undecidable theory and  $R$  is its recursively axiomatizable extension then type  $|R|$  is not meet-irreducible.

**Theorem 2:** For each two types  $t, s$  such that  $s \not\leq t$  there exists a meet-irreducible type  $t \geq t$  such that still  $s \not\leq t$ .

**Corollary:** For each type  $t$  which is not maximal there exists a meet-irreducible type  $t \geq t$  which is still not maximal.

**Theorem 3:** Each type contains a theory with a finite language.

Also some results about mutual multidimensional interpretability of various theories of order are proved. Let us define six theories in the language  $\{=, \neq\}$  ( $=$  is equality and in the following theories we assume implicitly the axioms of equality,  $x \neq y$  stands for  $x \neq y \vee x = y$ ):

PO:  $\forall x; \neg x \neq x + \forall x, y, z; (x \neq y \& y \neq z) \rightarrow x \neq z + \forall x \exists y; x \neq y$

POD:  $PO + \forall x \forall y \exists x \exists t \exists x; y \neq t + \forall x \exists y; y \neq x$

POS:  $PO + \exists! x \forall y; x \neq y + \forall x \forall y \exists x \exists z \exists t \exists x; z \neq y \& (t \neq y \rightarrow z \neq t) + \forall x \forall y \exists x \exists z \exists x \forall t \exists x; y \neq z \& (y \neq t \rightarrow t \neq z)$

LO:  $PO + \forall x, y; x \neq y \vee y \neq x$ ; LOD:  $POD + LO$ ; LOS:  $POS + LO$

In the following theorem  $\leq_1$  denotes 1-dimensional interpretability and  $\perp$  denotes incomparability with respect to multidimensional (!) interpretability.

**Theorem 4:** (i)  $PO < LO, POS, POD$  (ii)  $LO < LOS, LOD$   
(iii)  $POS < LOS$  (iv)  $POS \perp POD, LO, LOD$   
(v)  $LOS \perp POD, LOD$  (vi)  $|LO| = |LOS| \wedge |LOD|$   
(vii) for each theory  $T; LO \leq T \rightarrow LO \leq_1 T$ .

**References:** [1] A. Ehrenfeucht, J. Mycielski: Theorems and problems on the lattice of local interpretability, manuscript

[2] J. Mycielski: A lattice of interpretability types of theories, Journal of Symbolic Logic 42, 1977

### A POSSIBLE MODAL REFORMULATION OF COMPREHENSION SCHEME

Jan Krajčíšek (5. května 19, Praha 4, 140 00, Československo),  
oblatum 16.5. 1983.

In various set theories the Cantor's comprehension is reformulated (Quine's NF) or replaced by a set of axioms (ZF, GB).