

Jerzy Płonka

Subdirectly irreducible groupoids in some varieties

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 4, 631--645

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106261>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SUBDIRECTLY IRREDUCIBLE GROUPOIDS IN SOME VARIETIES
J. PŁONKA

Abstract: In one special variety of groupoids we study free groupoids, subdirectly irreducible groupoids and the lattice of subvarieties.

Key words: Groupoid, subdirectly irreducible groupoid, variety.

Classification: 08A30

0. In this paper we consider only varieties of groupoids i.e. varieties of type (2) with the fundamental operation $x \cdot y$ and we accept the terminology from [2]. In [3] two varieties Σ_2 and Σ_3 of groupoids were considered where Σ_2 was defined by the identities

- (1) $x \cdot x = x$
- (2) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) \cdot y$
- (3) $x \cdot (y \cdot z) = x \cdot y$
- (4) $(x \cdot y) \cdot y = x \cdot y$

and Σ_3 was defined by (1)-(3) and

- (4') $(x \cdot y) \cdot y = x$ (see also [2], pp. 394-395).

In [3] it was shown that:

If a groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ_2 or Σ_3 and the operation $x \cdot y$ depends on both variables in \mathcal{G} then there exist in \mathcal{G} exactly n n -ary polynomials depending on n variables.

In [4] all subdirectly irreducible groupoids in Σ_2 and Σ_3 were found.

In this paper we study the join $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$. In Section 1 we prove that $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$ is defined by the identities (1)-(3) and the identity

$$(5) \quad ((x \cdot y) \cdot y) \cdot y = x \cdot y.$$

We show that the only subvarieties of $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$ are Σ_2 , Σ_3 , the trivial variety \mathbf{T} i. e. the variety defined by the identity $x=y$ and the variety Σ_0 defined by the identity $x \cdot y = x$ (see Theorem 1).

In Theorem 2, Section 1 we describe the free algebras in $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$. In Section 2 we find all subdirectly irreducible groupoids in $\Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$.

For a variety K of type (2) we denote by $E(K)$ the set of all identities of type (2) satisfied in all groupoids from K . A term φ of type (2) constructed by means of the operation \cdot will be called a multiplication term. We shall use the notation $(\underbrace{\dots(x \cdot y) \cdot y \dots}_{n \text{ times}}) \cdot y = x \cdot y^n$

1. Example 1. Let X be a set such that $|X| > 1$. Denote $B = \{ \langle a, A \rangle : a \in A \subseteq X \}$. Consider a groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (B, \cdot)$ where $\langle a, A \rangle \cdot \langle a', A' \rangle = \langle a, A \cup \{a'\} \rangle$. Then \mathcal{G} satisfies (1)-(4) so $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$, but \mathcal{G} does not satisfy (4').

Example 2. Let $Z_4 = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}; +)$ be a cyclic group with addition modulo 4. Consider a groupoid $\mathcal{G} = (\{0, 1, 2, 3\}; \cdot)$ where $x \cdot y = 3x + 2y$. Then \mathcal{G} satisfies (1)-(3) and (4') so $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$, but it does not satisfy (4).

Let Σ be the variety of groupoids defined by (1)-(3) and

(5). Let α be an ordinal. A multiplication term φ on variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\beta, \dots$ ($\beta < \alpha$) will be called a reduced iteration if φ is of the form

(6) $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ where all variables x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} are different, $i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_n$, $0 < k_j \leq 2$ for $j=2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 1. For any multiplication term φ there exists a reduced iteration of the form (6) such that the identity $\varphi = x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$.

Proof. In fact by (3) we can reduce all open parentheses standing after a variable in φ . Then we get $\varphi = (\dots(x_{s_1} \cdot x_{s_2})\dots)x_{s_r}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$. By (2) the order of variables x_{s_2}, \dots, x_{s_r} is arbitrary and we get $\varphi = (\dots(x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_1})\dots) \cdot x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$ where $i_1 = s_1$ and $i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_n$. Now by (1) and (5) we get the statement of the Lemma.

Lemma 2. If two reduced iterations $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ and $x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2}^{q_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_m}^{q_m}$ are different then the identities (1)-(3) together with the identity

$$(7) \quad x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n} = x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2}^{q_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_m}^{q_m}$$

imply one of the following identities: (4), (4'), $x \cdot y = x$.

Proof. If $i_1 \neq j_1$ then multiplying (7) on left by x_{i_1} we get by (3) $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{j_1} = x_{i_1}$. If $i_1 = j_1$ but there exists i_r , $r \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_r \notin \{j_2, \dots, j_m\}$ then putting in (7) x_{i_1} for all variables different from x_{i_r} we get by (1)-(3) $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_r} = x_{i_1}$ or $x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_r})^2 = x_{i_1}$. If the variables on both sides of (7) are the same but $k_r \neq q_r$ for some $1 < r \leq n$ then putting

x_{i_1} for all variables different from x_{i_r} we get $x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_r} = x_{i_1} \cdot (x_{i_r})^2$. Thus anyway we get one of the identities from the lemma.

Theorem 1. The lattice of subvarieties of Σ consists of the varieties $T, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ and Σ where $T \subset \Sigma_0, \Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_2, \Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_3, \Sigma_2$ and Σ_3 are incomparable and $\Sigma = \Sigma_2 \vee \Sigma_3$.

Proof. The varieties Σ_2 and Σ_3 are incomparable (see Examples 1 and 2). Obviously any of the varieties $T, \Sigma_0, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ is a subvariety of Σ since any of the identities $x=y, x \cdot y = x, (4), (4')$ implies (5). Obviously $T \subset \Sigma_0, \Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_2, \Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma_3$. On the other hand, J. Dudek proved in [1] that T and Σ_0 are the only subvarieties of Σ_2 and Σ_3 and all are different. Thus to complete the proof it is enough to show that if K is a proper subvariety of Σ then K is a subvariety of Σ_2 or Σ_3 . Let

$$(8) \quad (\varphi = \psi) \in E(K) \setminus E(\Sigma).$$

By Lemma 1, $\varphi = x_{i_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \dots x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ and $\psi = x_{j_1} \cdot x_{j_2}^{q_2} \dots x_{j_m}^{q_m}$ so $\varphi = \psi$ implies (7) where by (8) the sides of (7) are different. Now by Lemma 2, K is a subvariety of Σ_2 or Σ_3 .

Example 3. In the set $\{0,1,2\}$ let us define an operation \oplus putting

$$(9) \quad x \oplus y = \begin{cases} x+y & \text{if } x+y \leq 2 \\ x+y-2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us consider a groupoid $\mathcal{Q} = (\{0,1,2\} \times \{0,1,2\}; \cdot)$ where

$$\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \cdot \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \\ \langle x_1, y_1 \oplus x_2 \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{Q} satisfies (1)-(3) and (5) so \mathcal{Q} belongs to Σ and \mathcal{Q} satisfies neither (4) nor (4').

Let α be an ordinal. If $a \in \{0,1,2\}^\alpha$ we shall denote by $a(k)$ the k 'th coordinate of a . Let us denote by p_k the element of $\{0,1,2\}^\alpha$ for which $p_k(k) = 1$ and $p_k(i) = 0$ for $i \neq k$. We denote by B the set of all $a \in \{0,1,2\}^\alpha$ having a finite number of coordinates different from 0. Finally let $B_\alpha = \{\langle p_k, a \rangle : k < \alpha, a \in B, a(k) = 0\}$.

We define a groupoid $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = (B_\alpha, \cdot)$ where

$$\langle p_k, a \rangle \cdot \langle p_{k_1}, a_1 \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle p_k, a \rangle & \text{if } k = k_1 \\ \langle p_{k_1}, a_1 \rangle & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $a'(i) = a(i) \oplus p_{k_1}(i)$; \oplus is defined by (9).

Theorem 2. A free groupoid in the variety Σ with α free generators is isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_α .

Proof. Let F_α be the set of all multiplication terms on variables $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_\beta, \dots, \beta < \alpha$. Let \sim be a relation in F_α defined by the formula $\varphi \sim \psi \iff (\varphi = \psi) \in E(\Sigma)$. A free algebra with α free generators in Σ is isomorphic to the algebra

$\mathcal{F}_\alpha = (\{[\varphi]_\sim\}_{\varphi \in F_\alpha}; \cdot)$. By Lemma 1 any term φ has a representation in the form $\varphi = x_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$. But this representation is unique. In fact if $\varphi = x_{i_1}^{k_1} \cdot x_{i_2}^{k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{i_n}^{k_n}$ and $\varphi = x_{j_1}^{q_1} \cdot x_{j_2}^{q_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{j_m}^{q_m}$ where the right sides of the last identities are different then by Lemma 2 one of the identities (4), (4') or $x \cdot y = x$ belongs to $E(\Sigma)$, which contradicts Example 3.

Now the mapping h defined by the formula

$h([\varphi]_\sim) = \langle p_{i_1}, b \rangle$, where $b(i_j) = k_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $b(r) = 0$ for $r \notin \{i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ - sets up an isomorphism of \mathcal{F}_α onto \mathcal{L}_α . In fact h is 1-1 since the representation from

Lemma 1 is unique and h is a homomorphism by (1)-(3) and (5).

2. For a class K of groupoids we shall denote by $P(K)$, $S(K)$, $H(K)$ and $I(K)$ the classes of all products, subgroupoids, homomorphic images and isomorphic copies of groupoids from K , respectively. If $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a partition of a set X we shall denote by $e(\{X_i\}_{i \in I})$ the equivalence relation induced by this partition.

Let us consider the following 6 groupoids

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = (\{a\}; \cdot).$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2 = (\{a, b\}; \cdot) \text{ where } x \cdot y = x \text{ for any } x, y \in \{a, b\}.$$

$$\mathcal{G}_3 = (\{a, b, \alpha_1\}; \cdot) \text{ where } a \cdot \alpha_1 = b, b \cdot \alpha_1 = a \text{ and } x \cdot y = x \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_4 = (\{a, b, c, \alpha_1\}; \cdot) \text{ where } a \cdot \alpha_1 = b, b \cdot \alpha_1 = a \text{ and } x \cdot y = x \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_5 = (\{a, c, \alpha_2\}; \cdot) \text{ where } a \cdot \alpha_2 = c, \text{ and } x \cdot y = x \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_6 = (\{a, b, c, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}; \cdot) \text{ where } a \cdot \alpha_1 = b, b \cdot \alpha_1 = a, a \cdot \alpha_2 = \\ = b \cdot \alpha_2 = c \text{ and } x \cdot y = x \text{ otherwise.}$$

It was proved in [4] that

(i) a groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ_2 and it is subdirectly irreducible iff \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to one of the groupoids \mathcal{G}_1 ,

$$\mathcal{G}_2, \mathcal{G}_5.$$

(ii) A groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ_3 and is subdirectly irreducible iff \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$,

$$\mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_4.$$

Lemma 3. The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 belongs to Σ , moreover $\mathcal{G}_6 \in \text{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_5\}$.

In fact the set $S = (\{a, b, \alpha_1\} \times \{a, b, \alpha_2\}) \setminus \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_3 \times \mathcal{G}_5$. So the algebra $\mathcal{A} = (S; \cdot)$ belongs to $\text{SP}\{\mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_5\}$. Further, a relation

$$e = e(\{ \langle a, a \rangle \}, \{ \langle b, a \rangle \}, \{ \langle a, c \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle \}, \{ \langle x_1, a \rangle, \langle x_1, c \rangle \}, \{ \langle a, x_2 \rangle, \langle b, x_2 \rangle \})$$

is a congruence in \mathcal{G}^* . Finally, the algebra \mathcal{G}^*/e is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_6 .

Lemma 4. The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 is subdirectly irreducible.

Proof. It is enough to show that if R is a congruency in \mathcal{G}_6 such that $[a]_R \neq [b]_R$ then $R = \omega$ where ω is the diagonal. We shall write $[x]$ instead of $[x]_R$. In fact, let $c \in [a]$. Then $b = a \cdot x_1 R c \cdot x_1 = c R a$. So $b R a$ - a contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption that $c \in [b]$. If $c \in [x_1]$ then $a = a \cdot c R a \cdot x_1 = b$ - a contradiction. If $c \in [x_2]$ then $a = a \cdot c R a \cdot x_2 = c$ - a contradiction (see the first case). So $[c] = \{c\}$. If $x_1 \in [a]$ then $b = a \cdot x_1 R a \cdot a = a$ - a contradiction. The same contradiction gives the assumption $x_1 \in [b]$. If $x_1 \in [x_2]$ then $a = b \cdot x_1 R b \cdot x_2 = c$ - a contradiction. So $[x_1] = \{x_1\}$. If $x_2 \in [a]$ then $a = a \cdot a R a \cdot x_2 = c$ - a contradiction. Analogously $x_2 \notin [b]$. Thus $R = \omega$.

Theorem 3. A groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ and it is subdirectly irreducible iff \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to one of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_6$.

Proof. \Leftarrow . For the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_5$ the statement holds by Theorem 1, (i) and (ii). For the groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 the statement holds by Theorem 1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

Before we prove the necessity we have to show some properties.

Let $\mathcal{G} = (G, \cdot)$.

(iii) $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma$ iff the following conditions 1^o, 2^o and 3^o are satisfied.

1° There exists a partition $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ of G such that for any $i \in I$ the set $\{h_i^j\}_{j \in I}$ of mappings from G_i into G_i is given.

2° The mappings h_i^j satisfy the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall_{i \in I} h_i^i &= \text{id}, \quad \forall_{i, j, s \in I} h_i^j \circ h_i^s = h_i^s \circ h_i^j; \\ \forall_{i, j \in I} h_i^j \circ h_i^j \circ h_i^j &= h_i^j. \end{aligned}$$

3° If $a \in G_i, b \in G_j$ then $a \cdot b = h_i^j(a)$.

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3 from [3].

(iv) If \mathcal{Q} is of the form from (iii), $a \in G_k$ then for any $i \in I$ one of the following cases holds.

(10) $h_k^i(a) = a$

(11) $h_k^i(a) = b \neq a, h_k^i(b) = b$

(12) $h_k^i(a) = b, h_k^i(b) = a, a \neq b$

(13) $h_k^i(a) = b, h_k^i(b) = c, h_k^i(c) = b, a \neq b, a \neq c, b \neq c$

If $\{R_s\}_{s \in S}$ is a set of nontrivial congruences in a groupoid \mathcal{Q} such that $\bigcap_{s \in S} R_s = \omega$ then the set $\{R_s\}_{s \in S}$ will be called a decomposition of \mathcal{Q} . Obviously, if such a decomposition exists then \mathcal{Q} is subdirectly reducible.

For a set A we shall denote by $D(A)$ the set of all 1-element subsets of A .

From now on we assume that a groupoid $\mathcal{Q} = (G; \cdot)$ belongs to $\bar{\Sigma}$, is subdirectly irreducible and is of the form from (iii)

Similarly like in [4] (Lemma 1) we can prove

Lemma 5. If for any $i, j \in I, h_i^j = \text{id}$ then \mathcal{Q} is isomorphic to \mathcal{Q}_1 or to \mathcal{Q}_2 .

In view of Lemma 5 in the sequel we shall assume that

(14) $\exists_{i, j \in I} h_i^j \neq \text{id}$

Let us put $J = \{j \in I: |G_j| > 1\}$.

Lemma 6. $|J| = 1$.

Proof. By (14) we have $|J| \geq 1$. Similarly like in [4] (Lemma 2) we can prove $|J| \leq 1$.

By Lemma 6 we can denote by k the unique element of J .

Put $I' = I \setminus \{k\}$. So for any $i \in I'$ we have $|G_i| = 1$. Thus only mappings h_k^j for $j \in I'$ can be different from the identity.

Lemma 7. If $i, j \in I'$ and $i \neq j$ then $h_k^i \neq h_k^j$.

The proof is analogous to that of Lemma 3 from [4].

Let $I_0 = \{i \in I' : h_k^i \neq \text{id}\}$. By (14) we have $I_0 \neq \emptyset$.

For any $i \in I_0$ we define two relations R_i and R^i as follows:
 $a R_i b$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in G_k$, $b = h_k^i(a)$, and $a = h_k^i(b)$;
 $a R^i b$ iff $a=b$ or $a, b \in G_k$ and $h_k^i(a) = h_k^i(b)$.

Similarly like in [4] we can prove that any of R_i and R^i is a congruence of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 8. For any $i \in I_0$ we have $R_i \neq \omega$ or $R^i \neq \omega$.

In fact, since $|G_j| = 1$ for $j \in I'$, so it must exist $a \in G_k$ such that $h_k^i(a) \neq a$. Consequently one of the cases (11), (12) or (13) holds and $|[a]_{R_i}| > 1$ or $|[a]_{R^i}| > 1$.

Lemma 9. For any $i \in I_0$ we have $R_i = \omega$ or $R^i = \omega$.

In fact, $R_i \cap R^i = \omega$ since if $a R_i \cap R^i b$ then $a = b$ or $a, b \in G_k$ and $a = h_k^i(b) = h_k^i(a) = b$. Thus if both R_i and R^i are different from ω then $\{R_i, R^i\}$ is a decomposition of \mathcal{G} - a contradiction.

Lemma 10. If for some $i \in I_0$ we have $R_i = \omega$, then for $a \in G_k$ exactly one of the cases (10) or (11) holds. If for some $i \in I_0$ we have $R^i = \omega$, then for $a \in G_k$ exactly one of the cases

(10) or (12) holds.

In fact, the case (13) is impossible by Lemma 9. If $R_1 = \omega$ then (12) is impossible. If $R^1 = \omega$ then (11) is impossible.

We denote $I_0^2 = \{i \in I_0 : R_1 = \omega\}$, $I_0^3 = \{i \in I_0 : R^1 = \omega\}$.
By Lemma 8 and 9 we have $I_0 = I_0^2 \cup I_0^3$ and $I_0^2 \cap I_0^3 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 11. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 . If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_3 or \mathcal{G}_4 .

Proof. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that \mathcal{G} satisfies (4) and by (i) and (14), \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 . If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 10 and (iii) we infer that \mathcal{G} satisfies (4') and by (ii) and (14), \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_3 or \mathcal{G}_4 .

Q.E.D.

In view of Lemma 11 from now on we assume that

$$(15) \quad I_0^2 \neq \emptyset \neq I_0^3.$$

$$\text{Denote } R_\cap = \left(\bigcap_{i \in I_0^3} R_1 \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I_0^2} R^1 \right).$$

Lemma 12. Any congruence class $[a]_{R_\cap}$ is either 1-element or is of the form $[a]_{R_\cap} = \{a, b\}$ where $a \neq b$, for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_K^1(a) = b$ and $h_K^1(b) = a$ and for any $i \in I_0^2$ we have $h_K^1(a) = h_K^1(b) \notin [a]_{R_\cap}$.

Proof. For $i \in I_0^3$ any congruence class $[a]_{R_1}$ is at most 2-element. So if $|[a]_{R_\cap}| > 1$ then it must be $[a]_{R_1} \subseteq [a]_{R_\cap}$. Consequently if $|[a]_{R_\cap}| > 1$ then $[a]_{R_\cap} = [a]_{R_1} = \{a, b\}$ where $a, b \in G_K$. Moreover for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_K^1(a) = b$ and $h_K^1(b) = a$. Let $j \in I_0^2$, $|[a]_{R_\cap}| > 1$ and $[a]_{R_\cap} = \{a, b\}$. So

$$(16) \quad h_K^j(a) = h_K^j(b).$$

By (15) and by the first part of the proof there exists $i \in I_0^3$ such that

$$(17) \quad h_k^1(a) = b \text{ and } h_k^1(b) = a.$$

Let us assume that $h_k^j(a) \in [a]_{R_\wedge}$ and e.g. $h_k^j(a) = b$. Then by (16) and (17) we get $h_k^j h_k^1(a) = b$, $h_k^1 h_k^j(a) = a$, which contradicts 2^0 . Analogously $h_k^j(a) \neq a$.

$$\text{Let us denote } R(2) = \{R_i^1\}_{i \in I_0^2} \text{ and } R(3) = \{R_i^1\}_{i \in I_0^3}$$

Lemma 13. The set G_k contains exactly one 2-element class of the congruence R_\wedge and exactly one 1-element class of the congruence R_\wedge .

Proof. If $R_\wedge = \omega$ then obviously we have a decomposition of \mathcal{G} , namely $\{R_i^1\}_{i \in I_0^3} \cup \{R_i^1\}_{i \in I_0^2}$, since any of these congruences is not trivial. If $R_\wedge \neq \omega$ then by Lemma 12 there exists a 2-element class of the congruence R_\wedge . If there exist two different 2-element classes $[a]_{R_\wedge}$ and $[a']_{R_\wedge}$ included in G_k then two congruences $e(\{[a]_{R_\wedge}\} \cup D(G \setminus [a]_{R_\wedge}))$ and $e(\{[a']_{R_\wedge}\} \cup D(G \setminus [a']_{R_\wedge}))$ form a decomposition of \mathcal{G} - a contradiction. Denote $Q = [a]_{R_\wedge}$. By Lemma 12 it is easy to check that the relation $e_Q = e(\{G_k \setminus Q\} \cup D(Q) \cup D(G \setminus G_k))$ is a congruence of \mathcal{G} . We shall show that

$$|G_k \setminus Q| = 1$$

In fact it cannot be $G_k \setminus Q = \emptyset$ since $I_0^2 \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 12 it must be for $j \in I_0^2$, $h_k^j(a) \notin Q$.

If $|G_k \setminus Q| > 1$ then e_Q is nontrivial and $R(2) \cup R(3) \cup \{e_Q\}$ is a decomposition of \mathcal{G} .

Proof. \implies of Theorem 3. If any h_k^1 is the identity, then by Lemma 5, \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_1 or \mathcal{G}_2 . Otherwise

by Lemma 6 there exists exactly one $k \in I$ such that $|G_k| > 1$ and (14) holds. If $I_0^3 = \emptyset$, then by Lemma 11, \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 . If $I_0^2 = \emptyset$ then by Lemma 11, \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_3 or \mathcal{G}_4 . If (15) holds then by Lemma 13 we can denote by a, b, c the elements of G_k where $[a]_{R_k} = [b]_{R_k} = \{a, b\}$ and $[c]_{R_k} = \{c\}$. By Lemma 12 for any $i \in I_0^3$ we have $h_k^i(a) = b$, $h_k^i(b) = a$ and $h_k^i(c) = c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $|I_0^3| = 1$. Let us put $I_0^3 = \{i_0\}$ and denote by α_1 the only element of G_{i_0} . Analogously for any $j \in I_0^2$ we have by Lemma 12: $h_k^j(a) = h_k^j(b) = h_k^j(c) = c$. So by Lemma 7 we have $|I_0^2| = 1$. Put $I_0^2 = \{j_0\}$ and denote by α_2 the only element of G_{j_0} .

It must be $I_0 \setminus I_0 = \emptyset$. In fact, if $m \in I_0' \setminus I_0$ and d is the only element of G_m , then two congruences $e(\{fd, G \setminus \{fd\}\})$, $e(\{ic, d\}, D(G) \setminus \{ic, d\})$ form a decomposition of \mathcal{G} . So $G_k = \{a, b, c\}, G \setminus G_k = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and G satisfies formulas of multiplication in \mathcal{G}_6 . Thus \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_6 where the isomorphism is defined by denoting elements of G in the above way

Q.E.D.

By Birkhoff theorem (see [2], p. 124), we have

Corollary 1. A groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ iff \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of a family of groupoids $\mathcal{G}_2 - \mathcal{G}_4$.

Corollary 2. A groupoid \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ iff \mathcal{G} can be embedded into some cartesian power of \mathcal{G}_6 .

In fact, any of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_1 - \mathcal{G}_5$ is a subalgebra of \mathcal{G}_6 .

The groupoid \mathcal{G}_6 has 5 elements and generates Σ .

One can ask if there exist groupoids having less elements and generating Σ . The answer is "yes".

Let us consider two groupoids \mathcal{G}_7 and \mathcal{G}_8 defined as follows:

$\mathcal{G}_7 = (\{a, b, c, d\}; \cdot)$ where $a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot d = c$, $c \cdot d = b$, and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

$\mathcal{G}_8 = (\{a, b, c, d\}; \cdot)$ where $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot c = b \cdot d = a$, $a \cdot c \cdot b = d \cdot a = d \cdot b$, and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

Theorem 4. \mathcal{G} is a 4-element groupoid such that $\text{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}\} = \Sigma$ iff \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_7 or \mathcal{G}_8 .

The number 4 is the least number of elements of groupoids generating Σ .

Proof. Consider in \mathcal{G}_7 two congruences R_1 and R_2 where $R_1 = e(\{\{a, c\}, \{b\}, \{d\}\})$, $R_2 = e(\{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\})$. Then \mathcal{G}_7/R_1 is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_7/R_2 is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 . But $R_1 \cap R_2 = \omega$ so \mathcal{G}_7 is isomorphic to a subdirect product of \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_5 . Consequently $\{\mathcal{G}_3, \mathcal{G}_5\} \subseteq \text{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_7\}$ and by Lemma 3 and Corollary 2 we have $\text{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_7\} = \Sigma$. The proof that $\text{HSP}\{\mathcal{G}_8\} = \Sigma$ is similar - it is enough to consider two congruences $R_3 = e(\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c, d\}\})$ and $R_4 = e(\{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\})$.

To prove that \mathcal{G}_7 and \mathcal{G}_8 are the only 4-element groupoids generating Σ let us assume that $\mathcal{G} = (\{a, b, c, d\}; \cdot) \in \Sigma$. By (iii) we have $1 \leq |I| \leq 4$. If $|I| = 4$, then any G_i is one element and by (iii) $x \cdot y = x$ for any $x, y \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Thus \mathcal{G} belongs to Σ_0 and does not generate Σ by Theorem 1. The same case holds if $|I| = 1$.

In general, if \mathcal{G} satisfies $x \cdot y = x$, then it cannot generate Σ . Excluding this case we have the following possibilities for \mathcal{G} , up to permutations of the elements a, b, c, d :

(c₁) \mathcal{G} is isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_7 or \mathcal{G}_8 .

For $I = \{1,2\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b,c\}$, $G_2 = \{d\}$ we have possibilities:

(c₂) $a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot d = a$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.

(c₃) $a \cdot d = c$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

(c₄) $a \cdot d = b \cdot d = c$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

For $I = \{1,2\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b\}$, $G_2 = \{c,d\}$ we have possibilities:

(c₅) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot c = b \cdot d = a$, $c \cdot a = c \cdot b = d$, $d \cdot a = d \cdot b = c$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.

(c₆) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $c \cdot a = c \cdot b = d$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

(c₇) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot c = b \cdot d = a$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then

$\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.

(c₈) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

For $I = \{1,2,3\}$, $G_1 = \{a,b\}$, $G_2 = \{c\}$, $G_3 = \{d\}$ we have

possibilities:

(c₉) $a \cdot c = b$ and $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

(c₁₀) $a \cdot c = b$, $b \cdot c = a$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.

(c₁₁) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$.

(c₁₂) $a \cdot c = a \cdot d = b$, $b \cdot c = b \cdot d = a$, $x \cdot y = x$ otherwise.

Then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$.

However, by Theorem 1 only in the case (c₁), \mathcal{G} generates Σ

Finally, if \mathcal{G} has less than 4 elements and belongs to Σ , then in its decomposition into subdirect product of subdirectly irreducible groupoids from Σ , \mathcal{G}_4 and \mathcal{G}_6 cannot occur.

If only \mathcal{G}_2 or \mathcal{G}_3 occur, then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_3$ and does not generate Σ .

If only \mathcal{G}_2 or \mathcal{G}_5 occur, then $\mathcal{G} \in \Sigma_2$ and does not generate Σ .

If \mathcal{G}_3 and \mathcal{G}_5 occur, then \mathcal{G} is isomorphic both to \mathcal{G}_3

and to \mathcal{G}_5 by projections, which is a contradiction since \mathcal{G}_3 is not isomorphic to \mathcal{G}_5 .

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. DUDEK: A new characterization of groupoids with at most n essentially n -ary polynomials, Bull. de la Soc. Royale des Sci. de Liège, 48, n°9-10(1980), 390-392.
- [2] G. GRÄTZER: Universal algebra, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [3] J. PŁONKA: On algebras with n distinct essentially n -ary operations, Algebra Universalis, vol.1, fasc.1(1971), 73-79.
- [4] J. PŁONKA: Subdirectly irreducible algebras with exactly n essentially n -ary polynomials, to appear.

Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Kopernika 18,
Wrocław, Poland

(Oblatum 12.9.1983)