

Stanisław Szufła

An existence theorem for the Urysohn integral equation in Banach spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 25 (1984), No. 1, 19--27

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106276>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

AN EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR THE URYSOHN INTEGRAL  
EQUATION IN BANACH SPACES

Stanisław SZUFLA

**Abstract:** The paper contains an existence theorem for  $L_\varphi$ -solutions of the Urysohn integral equation, where  $L_\varphi(D, X)$  is a generalized Orlicz space over a Banach space  $X$ . For the case when  $X$  is finite dimensional and  $\varphi$  is a usual  $N$ -function, our theorem reduces to some results from Ch. IV of [4].

**Key words:** Urysohn integral equations, Orlicz spaces, measure of non-compactness.

Classification: 45N05

Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $D$  be a compact subset of the Euclidean space  $R^m$ . In this paper we shall present sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution  $x$  of the integral equation

$$(1) \quad x(t) = p(t) + \lambda \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds$$

belonging to a certain Orlicz space  $L_\varphi(D, X)$ .

1. **Preliminaries.** A function  $\varphi : R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$  is called a (generalized)  $N$ -function if

- (i)  $\varphi(0, t) = 0$  for almost all  $t \in D$ ;
- (ii) for almost every  $t \in D$  the function  $u \rightarrow \varphi(u, t)$  is convex and non-decreasing on  $R_+$ ;
- (iii) for any  $u \in R_+$  the function  $t \rightarrow \varphi(u, t)$  is  $L$ -measu-

rable on D;

(iv) for almost every  $t \in D$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(u,t)}{u} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(u,t)}{u} = \infty.$$

The function  $\varphi^*$  defined by

$$\varphi^*(u,t) = \sup_{v \geq 0} (uv - \varphi(v,t)) \quad (u \geq 0, t \in D)$$

is called the complementary function to  $\varphi$ .

For a given N-function  $\varphi$  we denote by  $L_\varphi(D, R)$  the set of all L-measurable functions  $u: D \rightarrow R$  for which the number

$$\|u\|_\varphi = \inf \{r > 0: \int_D \varphi(|u(t)|/r, t) dt \leq 1\}$$

is finite.  $L_\varphi(D, R)$  is called the (generalized) Orlicz space. It is well known (cf. [3], [4]) that  $\langle L_\varphi(D, R), \|\cdot\|_\varphi \rangle$  is a Banach space and

1.1. The convergence in  $L_\varphi(D, R)$  implies the convergence in measure.

1.2. For any  $u \in L_\varphi(D, R)$  and  $v \in L_{\varphi^*}(D, R)$  the function  $uv$  is integrable and

$$\int_D |u(t)v(t)| dt \leq 2 \|u\|_\varphi \|v\|_{\varphi^*} \quad (\text{H\"older's inequality}).$$

If, in addition, the function  $\varphi$  satisfies Condition A:

$$\int_D \varphi(u, t) dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } u > 0,$$

then we may consider the set  $E_\varphi(D, R)$  defined to be the closure in  $L_\varphi(D, R)$  of the set of simple functions. Clearly  $E_\varphi(D, R)$  is a Banach subspace of  $L_\varphi(D, R)$ . It can be shown (cf. [3], [4]) that

1.3. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $x \in E_\varphi(D, R)$ ;
- (ii)  $x \in L_\varphi(D, R)$  and  $x$  has absolutely continuous norm;

$$(iii) \int_D \varphi(\alpha |u(t)|, t) dt < \infty \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0.$$

1.4. If a sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $E_\varphi(D, R)$  has equi-absolutely continuous norms and converges in measure, then  $(u_n)$  converges in  $E_\varphi(D, R)$ .

Further, denote by  $L_\varphi(D, X)$  the set of all strongly measurable functions  $x: D \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|x\| \in L_\varphi(D, R)$ . Analogously we define  $\bar{E}_\varphi(D, X)$ . Then  $L_\varphi(D, X)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|x\|_\varphi = \|\|x\|\|_\varphi$ . Moreover, let  $L^1(D, X)$  denote the Lebesgue space of all (Bochner) integrable functions  $x: D \rightarrow X$  provided with the norm  $\|x\|_1 = \int_D \|x(t)\| dt$ . We shall always assume that all functions from  $L^1(D, X)$  are extended to  $R^m$  by putting  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t \in R^m \setminus D$ .

Let  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$  be the Hausdorff measures of noncompactness (cf. [6]) in  $X$  and  $L^1(D, X)$ , respectively. For any set  $V$  of functions from  $D$  into  $X$  denote by  $v$  the function defined by  $v(t) = \beta(V(t))$  for  $t \in D$  (under the convention that  $\beta(A) = \infty$  if  $A$  is unbounded), where  $V(t) = \{x(t): x \in V\}$ . In what follows we shall use the following

**Theorem 1.** Let  $V$  be a countable subset of  $L^1(D, X)$  such that there exists  $\mu \in L^1(D, R)$  such that  $\|x(t)\| \leq \mu(t)$  for all  $x \in V$  and  $t \in D$ . Then the function  $v$  is integrable on  $D$  and for any measurable subset  $T$  of  $D$

$$(2) \quad \beta(\{ \int_T x(t) dt : x \in V \}) \leq \int_T v(t) dt.$$

If, in addition,  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in V} \int_D \|x(t+\tau) - x(t)\| dt = 0$ , then

$$\beta_1(V) \leq \int_D v(t) dt.$$

We omit the proof of this theorem, because it is similar to that of Theorem 1 from [5].

2. The main result. Assume now that

1°  $M, N: R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$  are complementary N-functions and  $M$  satisfies Condition A.

2°  $\varphi: R_+ \times D \rightarrow R_+$  is an N-function satisfying Condition A and such that

(3)  $u \leq c\varphi(u, t) + a(t)$  for all  $u \geq 0$  and a.a.  $t \in D$ ,

where  $c$  is a positive number and  $a \in L^1(D, R)$ . Let  $\psi$  be the complementary function to  $\varphi$ .

3°  $(t, s, x) \rightarrow f(t, s, x)$  is a function from  $D^2 \times X$  into  $X$  which is continuous in  $x$  for a.e.  $t, s \in D$  and strongly measurable in  $(t, s)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

4°  $\|f(t, s, x)\| \leq K(t, s)g(s, \|x\|)$  for  $t, s \in D$  and  $x \in X$ , where

(i)  $(s, u) \rightarrow g(s, u)$  is a function from  $D \times R_+$  into  $R_+$ , measurable in  $s$  and continuous in  $u$ , and there exist  $\alpha, \gamma > 0$  and  $b \in L^1(D, R)$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , such that  $N(\alpha g(s, u), s) \leq \gamma\varphi(u, s) + b(s)$  for all  $u \geq 0$  and a.a.  $s \in D$ ;

(ii)  $(t, s) \rightarrow K(t, s)$  is a function from  $D^2$  into  $R_+$  such that  $K(t, \cdot) \in E_M(D, R)$  for a.e.  $t \in D$  and the function  $t \rightarrow \|K(t, \cdot)\|_M$  belongs to  $E_\varphi(D, R)$ .

For simplicity put  $L^1 = L^1(D, X)$ ,  $L_\varphi = L_\varphi(D, X)$ ,  $E_\varphi = E_\varphi(D, X)$  and  $B_\varphi^r = \{x \in E_\varphi: \|x\|_\varphi \leq r\}$ . Let  $F$  be the mapping defined by

$$F(x)(t) = \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds \quad (x \in E_\varphi, t \in D).$$

Theorem 2. Assume in addition that

5°  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in B_\varphi^r} \int_D \|F(x)(t + \tau) - F(x)(t)\| dt = 0$  for all  $r > 0$  and

6°  $\beta(f(t, s, Z)) \leq H(t, s) \beta(Z)$  for almost every  $t, s \in D$  and for every bounded subset  $Z$  of  $X$ , where  $(t, s) \rightarrow H(t, s)$  is a

function from  $D^c$  into  $R_+$  such that  $H(t, \cdot) \in L_{\psi}(D, R)$  for a.e.  $t \in D$  and the function  $t \rightarrow \|H(t, \cdot)\|_{\psi}$  belongs to  $L_{\varphi}(D, R)$ .

Then for any  $p \in E_{\varphi}$  there exists a positive number  $\rho$  such that for any  $\lambda \in R$  with  $|\lambda| < \rho$  the equation (1) has a solution  $x \in E_{\varphi}$ .

Remark 1. For example, the condition  $5^{\circ}$  holds if

$$f(t, s, x) = K(t, s)q(s, x)$$

and  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_D \|K(t + \tau, \cdot) - K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}} dt = 0$  and  $\|q(s, x)\| \leq g(s, \|x\|)$  for  $x \in X$  and a.e.  $s \in D$ .

Remark 2. The condition  $6^{\circ}$  holds whenever  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are such that

(\*) for a.e.  $t, s \in D$  the function  $x \rightarrow f_1(t, s, x)$  is completely continuous;

(\*\*\*)  $\|f_2(t, s, x) - f_2(t, s, y)\| \leq H(t, s) \|x - y\|$  for  $x, y \in X$  and a.e.  $t, s \in D$ .

Proof. By  $4^{\circ}$  and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\|F(x)(t)\| \leq 2 \|K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}} \|g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} \text{ for } t \in D.$$

Since

$$\|g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \|\alpha g(\cdot, \|x\|)\|_{\mathbb{N}} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + \int_D N(\alpha g(s, \|x(s)\|), s) ds) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 + \int_D b(s) ds + \gamma \int_D \psi(\|x(s)\|, s) ds),$$

we get

$$(4) \quad \|F(x)(t)\| \leq k(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_{\varphi}(x)) \text{ for } x \in E_{\varphi} \text{ and } t \in D,$$

where  $k(t) = \frac{2}{\alpha} \|K(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{M}}$  and  $r_{\varphi}(x) = \int_D \varphi(\|x(s)\|, s) ds$ . From  $4^{\circ}$

(ii) and (3) it is clear that  $k \in E_{\varphi}(D, R) \cap L^1(D, R)$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad \|F(x) \chi_T\|_{\varphi} \leq \|k \chi_T\|_{\varphi} (1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_{\varphi}(x))$$

for  $x \in E_{\varphi}$  and any measurable subset  $T$  of  $D$ .

Similarly it can be shown that

$$(6) \quad \int_T \|f(t, s, x(s))\| ds \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \|K(t, \cdot)\| \chi_T \|M\| (1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r_\varphi(x))$$

for  $x \in E_\varphi$ ,  $t \in D$  and any measurable subset  $T$  of  $D$ .

In virtue of 1.3, from (5) we infer that  $F$  is a mapping of  $E_\varphi$  into itself. We shall show that  $F$  is continuous. Let  $x_n, x_0 \in E_\varphi$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_0\|_\varphi = 0$ . Suppose that  $\|F(x_n) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi$  does not converge to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $(x_{n_j})$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|F(x_{n_j}) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi > \varepsilon \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_j}(t) = x_0(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in D$ . From 1.3 and the inequality

$$r_\varphi(x_n) \leq \frac{1}{2} r_\varphi(2(x_n - x_0)) + \frac{1}{2} r_\varphi(2x_0)$$

it follows the boundedness of the sequence  $(r_\varphi(x_n))$ . By (6) this implies that for a.e.  $t \in D$  the sequence  $(\|f(t, s, x_n(s))\|)$  is equi-integrable on  $D$ . As  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(t, s, x_{n_j}(s)) = f(t, s, x_0(s))$  for a.e.  $t, s \in D$ , the Vitali convergence theorem proves that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(x_{n_j})(t) = F(x_0)(t) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D.$$

Moreover, in view of (5), the sequence  $(F(x_{n_j}))$  has equi-absolutely continuous norms in  $L_\varphi$ . Thus, by 1.4,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|F(x_{n_j}) - F(x_0)\|_\varphi = 0$  which contradicts (7).

Fix a function  $p \in E_\varphi$ . Denote by  $Q$  the set of all  $q > 0$  for which there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\int_D \varphi(\|p(t)\| + qk(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma r), t) dt \leq r$ . Let  $\varphi = \min(\sup Q, 1/\|h\|_\varphi)$ , where  $h(t) = \|H(t, \cdot)\|_\varphi$  for  $t \in D$ .

Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\lambda| < \varphi$ . From the definition of  $\varphi$  we deduce

that there exists  $d > 0$  such that

$$(8) \quad \int_D \varphi (\|p(t)\| + |\lambda| k(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma d), t) dt \leq d.$$

Set  $U = \{x \in E_\varphi : r_\varphi(x) \leq d\}$  and  $G(x) = p + \lambda F(x)$  for  $x \in E_\varphi$ . Then  $G$  is a continuous mapping  $E_\varphi \rightarrow E_\varphi$  and, by (4) and (8),  $G(U \subset U)$ . Consequently

$$(9) \quad G(\overline{U}) \subset \overline{G(\overline{U})} \subset \overline{U}.$$

Obviously,  $\overline{U}$  is a bounded, closed and convex subset of  $E_\varphi$ , and

$$(10) \quad \overline{U} \subset B_\varphi^{d+1}.$$

Now we shall show that for any countable subset  $V$  of  $\overline{U}$

$$(11) \quad V \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V) \cup \{0\}) \implies V \text{ is relatively compact in } E_\varphi.$$

Assume that  $V$  is a countable set of functions belonging to  $\overline{U}$  and

$$(12) \quad V \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V) \cup \{0\}).$$

Owing to 1.1 it is clear that

$$\overline{V(t)} \subset \overline{\text{conv}} (G(V)(t) \cup \{0\}) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D,$$

so that

$$(13) \quad \beta(V(t)) \leq \beta(G(V)(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D.$$

From (4) it follows that for any  $y \in \overline{G(\overline{U})}$

$$\|y(t)\| \leq \mu(t) \text{ for a.e. } t \in D,$$

where  $\mu(t) = \|p(t)\| + |\lambda| k(t)(1 + \|b\|_1 + \gamma d)$ . As  $V$  is countable, in view of (9) and (12), this implies that there exists a set  $D_0$  of Lebesgue measure zero such that

$$(14) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \mu(t) \text{ for all } x \in V \text{ and } t \in D \setminus D_0.$$

Let us remark that  $\mu \in E_\varphi(D, R) \cap L^1(D, R)$ .

On the other hand, by 5<sup>o</sup>, (10) and (12), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in V} \int_D \|x(t + \varepsilon) - x(t)\| dt = 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, the function  $t \rightarrow v(t) = \beta(V(t))$  is integrable on  $D$  and

$$(15) \quad \beta_1(V) \leq \int_D v(t) dt.$$

Furthermore, from  $4^\circ$  and (14) it follows that for any  $t \in D$  such that  $K(t, \cdot) \in E_{\mathbb{N}}(D, R)$ , we have

$$\|f(t, s, x(s))\| \leq \eta(s) \quad \text{for } x \in V \text{ and a.e. } s \in D,$$

where  $\eta(s) = K(t, s)g(s, \mu(s))$ . As  $\mu \in E_{\mathcal{G}}(D, R)$ ,  $4^\circ(1)$  implies that  $g(\cdot, \mu) \in L_{\mathbb{N}}(D, R)$ , and consequently, by the Hölder inequality,  $\eta \in L^1(D, R)$ . Hence, owing to  $6^\circ$  and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(G(V)(t)) &= \beta\left(\lambda \int_D f(t, s, x(s)) ds : x \in V\right) \leq \\ &|\lambda| \int_D \beta\left(\{f(t, s, x(s)) : x \in V\}\right) ds \leq |\lambda| \int_D H(t, s) \beta(V(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

In view of (13), this shows that

$$v(t) \leq |\lambda| \int_D H(t, s) v(s) ds \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D.$$

Moreover, by (14), we have  $v(t) \leq \mu(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in D$ , and therefore  $v \in E_{\mathcal{G}}(D, R)$ . Thus, by the Hölder inequality,

$$v(t) \leq |\lambda| \|H(t, \cdot)\|_{\Psi} \|v\|_{\mathcal{G}} \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in D,$$

so that

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{G}} \leq |\lambda| \|h\|_{\mathcal{G}} \|v\|_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Since  $|\lambda| \|h\|_{\mathcal{G}} < 1$ , this implies that  $\|v\|_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$ , i.e.  $v(t) = 0$  for a.e.  $t \in D$ . Hence, by (15),  $\beta_1(V) = 0$ , i.e.  $V$  is relatively compact in  $L^1$ . On the other hand, as  $\mu \in E_{\mathcal{G}}(D, R)$ , (14) implies that  $V$  has equi-absolutely continuous norms in  $L_{\mathcal{G}}$ . From this we deduce that  $V$  is relatively compact in  $E_{\mathcal{G}}$ , which proves (11).

Applying now Daher's generalization of the Schauder fixed point theorem (cf. [1]), we conclude that there exists  $x \in \bar{U}$  such that  $x = G(x)$ . It is clear that  $x$  is a solution of (1).

### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. DAHER: On a fixed point principle of Sadovskii, *Nonlinear Analysis* 2(1978), 643-645.
- [2] J. DANEŠ: On densifying and related mappings and their applications in nonlinear functional analysis, *Theory of nonlinear operators*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, 15-56.
- [3] A. KOZEK: Orlicz spaces of functions with values in Banach spaces, *Comm. Math.* 19(1977), 259-286.
- [4] M.A. KRASNOSELSKII, J.B. RUTICKII: *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Moskva 1958.
- [5] W. ORLICZ, S. SZUFLA: On some classes of nonlinear Volterra integral equations in Banach spaces, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math.* 30(1982), 239-250.
- [6] B.N. SADOVSKII: Limit-compact and condensing operators, *Russian Math. Surveys* 27(1972), 85-155

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań,  
Poland

(Oblatum 29.11. 1983)