

Petr Simon

A closed separable subspace not being a retract of βN

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 25 (1984), No. 2, 364--365

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106309>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

- References: [1] Hamann U.: Eigenschaften von Potentialen bezüglich elliptischer Differentialoperatoren, Math. Nachr. 96(1980), 7-15.
 [2] Harvey Polking: Removable singularities of solutions of linear partial differential equations, Acta Mathematica 125(1970), 39-56.
 [3] Král J.: Hölder-continuous heat potentials, Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rendiconti Cl. Sc. fis., mat. Ser. VIII(1971), vol. LI, 17-19.

A CLOSED SEPARABLE SUBSPACE NOT BEING A RETRACT OF $\beta\mathbb{N}$

Petr Simon (Mathematical Institute of Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha, Czechoslovakia), oblatum 17.4. 1984.

D. Maharam [M] proved that the following are equivalent:

- (a) For each ideal $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, if there is a one-to-one homomorphism from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/I$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, then there is a lifting from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})/I$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, too;
 (b) every non-void closed separable subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is a retract of $\beta\mathbb{N}$, and has raised the question, whether (a) or (b) is a true statement.

The answer to the Maharam's problem is in negative. We can prove the two theorems below.

Theorem 1. There exists a subspace $X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following:

- (1) $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} X_n$, where $|X_n| = 1$ and for each $n \in \omega$, the set X_n is countable discrete;
 (2) for each $n < m < \omega$, $X_n \subseteq \overline{X_m} - X_m$;
 (3) for each $n < \omega$ and for each $x \in X_n$, x is a ϕ -OK point in $\overline{X_{n+1}} - X_{n+1}$;
 (4) suppose $\{U_k : k \in \omega\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ to be a family of sets such that for some $n_0 < \omega$, $U_0^* \cap X_{n_0}$ is finite and for each $i < k < \omega$, $U_i^* \cap X_{n_0+i} \subseteq U_k^*$. Then there is a family $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \phi\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ such that for each $\alpha \in \phi$, $V_\alpha^* \supseteq X \cap \bigcap_{k \in \omega} U_k^*$ and for each $k < \omega$ and for each finite set $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k < \phi$, $\bigcap_{i=0}^k V_{\alpha_i}^* \subseteq \bigcap_{i=0}^k U_i^*$;
 (5) for each mapping $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ there is a set $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and an integer $n_1 < \omega$ such that $T^* \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and for each $n > n_1$, $X_n \cap f[T] \cap X_{n+1} = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2. If a subspace $X \subseteq \beta\mathbb{N}$ satisfies (1) - (5) from Theorem 1, then X is not a retract of $\beta\mathbb{N}$.

It should be noted that the first example of a closed separable subspace of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ which is not a retract of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ was given by M. Talagrand under CH in [T] and the second one by A. Szymanski under MA in [S].

- References: [M] D. Maharam: Finitely additive measures on the integers, Sankhya, Ser. A, Vol. 38(1976), 44-59.

- [S] A. Szymański: Some applications of tiny sequences, to appear.
 [T] M. Talagrand: Non existence de relèvement pour certaines mesures finement additives et retractsés de $\beta\mathbb{N}$, Math. Ann. 256(1981), 63-66.

SHORT BRANCHES IN RUDIN-FROLÍK ORDER

Eva Butkovičová (MÚ SAV, Jesenná 5, 04154 Košice, Československo), oblatum 27.4. 1984.

Rudin-Frolík order of types of ultrafilters in $\beta\mathbb{N}$ has the following properties:

- (1) each type of ultrafilters has at most 2^{k_0} predecessors, [2], (2) the cardinality of each branch is at least 2^{k_0} .

Thus, in Rudin-Frolík order the cardinality of branches can be only 2^{k_0} or $(2^{k_0})^+$. It was shown in [1] that there exists a chain order - isomorphic to $(2^{k_0})^+$. Hence, the existence of a branch of cardinality $(2^{k_0})^+$ is proved.

The following result solves the problem of the existence of a branch having smaller cardinality.

Theorem. In Rudin-Frolík order there exists an unbounded chain order-isomorphic to ω_1 .

By the properties (1) and (2) the branch containing this chain has cardinality 2^{k_0} .

- References: [1] E. Butkovičová: Long chains in Rudin-Frolík order, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 24(1983), 563-570.
 [2] Z. Frolík: Sums of ultrafilters, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73(1967), 87-91.

RESULTS ON DISJOINT COVERING SYSTEMS ON THE RING OF INTEGERS

Ivan Korec, Department of Algebra, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Comenius University, 84215 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia oblatum 12.4. 1984.

- A system of congruence classes
 (1) $a_1(\text{mod } n_1), a_2(\text{mod } n_2), \dots, a_k(\text{mod } n_k)$

will be called a disjoint covering system (DCS) if for every integer x there is exactly one $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $x \equiv a_i(\text{mod } n_i)$. The integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k will be called moduli of (1) and their least common multiple will be called the common modulus of (1).

If $k > 1$ then no two moduli of (1) are relatively prime. This condition can be expressed in the form

$$(2) \quad \bigwedge_{i=1}^k \bigwedge_{j=1}^k \varphi(n_i, n_j)$$

where $\varphi(x, y)$ is the formula

$$\exists z \exists u \exists v (z \neq 1 \wedge z.u = x \wedge z.v = y)$$

Consider more generally the formulae of the form