

Bogdan Rzepecki

On bounded solutions of a linear differential equation with a nonlinear perturbation

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 25 (1984), No. 4, 635--645

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106330>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF A LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATION WITH A NONLINEAR PERTURBATION

Bogdan RZEPECKI

Abstract: Let E be a Banach space. Suppose that $f: [0, \infty) \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions and some regularity condition expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness α . We prove the existence of bounded solutions of the differential equation $y' = A(t)y + f(t, y)$ under the assumption that the linear equation $y' = A(t)y + b(t)$ has at least one bounded solution for each b belonging to a function Banach space B_0 .

Key words: Differential equations in Banach spaces, function spaces, admissibility, measure of noncompactness.

Classification: 34G20, 34A34, 34C11.

1. **Introduction.** Throughout this paper, J denotes the half-line $t \geq 0$, E a Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|$, and $\mathcal{L}(E)$ the algebra of continuous linear operators from E into itself with the induced standard norm $\| \cdot \|$.

Consider the nonlinear differential equation

$$(+)$$

$$y'(t) = A(t)y(t) + f(t, y(t)),$$

where $t \in J$, $A(t) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, and f is an E -valued function defined on $J \times E$.

We are interested in the study of bounded solutions of (+) when f satisfies the Carathéodory conditions and some regularity Ambrosetti-Szufla type condition (cf. [1], [11]) expressed in terms of the measure of noncompactness α .

The method used here is based on the concept of "admissibility" due to Massera and Schäffer [8]. With (+) above we shall associate the nonhomogeneous linear equation

$$(*) \quad y'(t) = A(t)y(t) + b(t)$$

under the assumption that has at least one bounded solution for each function b belonging to a function Banach space B_0 .

2. Notation and preliminaries. Let α denote the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness in E . (The measure $\alpha(X)$ of a nonempty bounded subset X of E is defined as the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exists a finite covering of X by sets of diameter $\leq \varepsilon$.) For properties of the Kuratowski function α , see e.g. [3] - [6],[10].

Further, we will use the standard notations. The closure of a set X , its diameter and its closed convex hull be denoted, respectively, by \bar{X} , $\text{diam } X$ and $\overline{\text{conv } X}$. If X and Y are subsets of E and t, s are real numbers, then $tX + sY$ is the set of all $tx + sy$ such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. For a set \mathcal{V} of mappings defined on X we write $\mathcal{V}(t) = \{\varphi(t) : \varphi \in \mathcal{V}\}$; $\varphi[X]$ will denote the image of X under φ . Moreover, we use some of the notation, definitions, and results from the book of Massera-Schäffer [8] and the paper of Boudourides [2].

Let us denote:

by $L(J, E)$ - the vector space of strongly measurable functions from J into E , Bochner integrable in every finite subinterval I of J , with the topology of the convergence in the mean, on every such I ;

by $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ - a Banach space, provided with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$, of real-valued measurable functions on J such that

(1) $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ is stronger than $L(J, \mathbb{R})$ (see [8], p. 35), (2) $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ contains all essentially bounded functions with compact support, and (3) if $u \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$ and v is a real-valued measurable function on J with $|v| \leq |u|$, then $v \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$ and $\|v\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u\|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$;

by B_0 - the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $u: J \rightarrow E$ such that $\|u\| \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the norm $\|u\|_{B(E)} = \|\|u\|\|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$;

by C_0 - the Banach space of bounded continuous functions from J to E , with the usual supremum norm.

Let $B^*(J, \mathbb{R})$ be the associate space to $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ i.e., $B^*(J, \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of all real-valued measurable functions u on J such that

$$\|u\|_{B^*(\mathbb{R})} = \sup \left\{ \int_J |v(s)u(s)| ds : v \in B(J, \mathbb{R}), \|v\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty$$

We denote by $B^*(J, E)$ the Banach space of all strongly measurable functions $u: J \rightarrow E$ such that $\|u\| \in B^*(J, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the norm $\|u\|_{B^*(E)} = \|\|u\|\|_{B^*(\mathbb{R})}$.

We introduce the following definitions:

Definition 1. The pair (B_0, C_0) is called admissible (cf. [8], p. 127), if for every $b \in B_0$ there exists at least one bounded solution of $(*)$ on J .

Definition 2. Given any subinterval I of J , we denote by χ_I the characteristic function of I . The space $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ is called lean (cf. [8], p. 48; [12], p. 386), if for any nonnegative function $b \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{[t, \infty)} b\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

Our result will be proved via the fixed-point theorem given below.

Denote by $C(J, E)$ the family of all continuous functions from J to E . The set $C(J, E)$ will be considered as a vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of J .

We use the following fixed-point theorem (cf. [9], Theorem 2):

Let \mathcal{K} be a nonempty closed convex subset of $C(J, E)$. Let Φ be a function which assigns to each nonempty subset X of \mathcal{K} a nonnegative real number $\Phi(X)$ with the following properties:

- 1° $\Phi(X_1) \leq \Phi(X_2)$ whenever $X_1 \subset X_2$;
- 2° $\Phi(X \cup \{y\}) = \Phi(X)$ for $y \in \mathcal{K}$;
- 3° $\Phi(\overline{\text{conv } X}) = \Phi(X)$;
- 4° if $\Phi(X) = 0$ then \bar{X} is compact.

Suppose that T is a continuous mapping of \mathcal{K} into itself and $\Phi(T[X]) < \Phi(X)$ for an arbitrary nonempty set $X \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that $\Phi(X) > 0$. Under these hypotheses, T has a fixed point in \mathcal{K} .

3. Result. First of all, we assume that $A \in L(J, \mathcal{L}(E))$, the pair (B_0, C_0) is admissible, and $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ is lean.

Let E_0 denote the set of all points of E which are values for $t = 0$ of bounded solutions of the differential equation $y' = A(t)y$. Suppose that E_0 is closed and has a closed complement, i.e. there exists a closed subspace E_1 of E such that E is the direct sum of E_0 and E_1 .

Let P be the projection of E onto E_0 , and let $U: J \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E)$ be the solution of the equation $U' = A(t)U$ with the initial condition $U(0) = I$ (the identity mapping). For any $t \in J$ we define

a function $G(t, \cdot) \in L(J, \mathcal{L}(E))$ by

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} U(t)PU^{-1}(s) & \text{for } 0 \leq s < t, \\ -U(t)(I - P)U^{-1}(s) & \text{for } s > t. \end{cases}$$

Let $G(t, \cdot) \in B^*(J, \mathcal{L}(E))$ and $\|G(t, \cdot)\|_{B^*(\mathcal{L}(E))} \leq K$ for any $t \in J$.

Moreover, let us put: $(Fu)(t) = f(t, u(t))$ for $u \in C(J, E)$.

Theorem. Suppose f is a function which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) For each $x \in E$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, x)$ is measurable, and for each $t \in J$ the mapping $x \mapsto f(t, x)$ is continuous.
- (2) $\|f(t, x)\| \leq \lambda(t)$ for $(t, x) \in J \times E$, where $\lambda \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$.
- (3) F is continuous as a map of any bounded subset of $C(J, E)$ into the space B_0 .

Let g and h be functions of J into itself such that $g \in B(J, \mathbb{R})$ with $\sup \int_J \|G(t, s)\| g(s) ds: t \in J \leq 1$, and h is nondecreasing with $h(0) = 0$ and $h(t) < t$ for $t > 0$. Assume in addition that for any $\epsilon > 0$, $t > 0$ and a bounded subset X of E there exists a closed subset Q of $[0, t]$ such that $\text{mes}([0, t] \setminus Q) < \epsilon$ and

$$\alpha(f[I \times X]) \leq \sup \{g(s) : s \in I\} \cdot h(\alpha(X))$$

for each closed subset I of Q .

Then for $x_0 \in E_0$ with a sufficiently small norm there exists a bounded solution y of (+) on J such that $Py(0) = x_0$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 of [7], there exists $M > 0$ such that every bounded solution of $y' = A(t)y$ satisfies the estimate $\|y(t)\| \leq M \|y(0)\|$ for $t \in J$. Now, choose a positive number $r > K \|\lambda\|_{B(\mathbb{R})}$ and assume that $x_0 \in E_0$ with $\|x_0\| \leq M^{-1}(r - K \|\lambda\|_{B(\mathbb{R})})$.

Denote by \mathfrak{X} the set of all $u \in C(J, E)$ such that $\|u(t)\| \leq r$ on J and

$$\|u(t_1) - u(t_2)\| \leq r \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A(s)\| ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \lambda(s) ds \right|$$

for t_1, t_2 in J . Define a mapping T as follows: for $u \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$(Tu)(t) = U(t)x_0 + \int_J G(t,s)(Fu)(s)ds.$$

Let $u \in \mathfrak{X}$. For $t \in J$, by the Hölder inequality ([8], Theorem 22.M), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Tu)(t)\| &\leq \|U(t)x_0\| + \int_J \|G(t,s)\| \|Fu(s)\| ds \leq \\ &\leq M \|U(0)x_0\| + \int_J \|G(t,s)\| \lambda(s) ds \leq \\ &\leq M \|x_0\| + K \|\lambda\|_{B(R)} \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2 of [2] the function Tu is a bounded solution of the differential equation $y' = A(t)y + (Fu)(t)$. Hence

$$\|(Tu)(t_1) - (Tu)(t_2)\| \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A(s)(Tu)(s) + (Fu)(s)\| ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq r \cdot \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|A(s)\| ds \right| + \left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \lambda(s) ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

on J , and therefore $Tu \in \mathfrak{X}$.

For $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $t \in J$,

$$\|(Tu)(t) - (Tv)(t)\| \leq$$

$$\leq \int_J \|G(t,s)\| \|Fu(s) - Fv(s)\| ds \leq K \|Fu - Fv\|_{B(E)}.$$

From this we conclude that T is continuous as a map of \mathfrak{X} into itself.

Put

$$\Phi(V) = \sup \{ \omega(V(t)) : t \in J \}$$

for a nonempty subset V of \mathfrak{X} . It is not hard to see that

the function Φ has the properties 1^o - 4^o listed in Section 2. To apply our fixed-point theorem it remains to be shown that $\Phi(T[V]) < \Phi(V)$ whenever $\Phi(V) > 0$.

Assume V is a nonempty subset of \mathcal{K} . Fix $t > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since $B(J, \mathbb{R})$ is lean, $K \|\chi_{[a, \infty)}\| \|\lambda\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} < \epsilon$ for some $a \geq t$. Let $\sigma = \sigma(\epsilon) > 0$ be a number such that

$$\int_D \|G(t, s)\| \|\lambda(s)\| ds < \epsilon$$

for each measurable $D \subset [0, a]$ with $\text{mes}(D) < \sigma$. By the Luzin theorem there exists a closed subset Z_1 of $[0, a]$ with $\text{mes}([0, a] \setminus Z_1) < \sigma/2$ and the function g is continuous on Z_1 .

Let $X_0 = \cup \{V(s) : 0 \leq s \leq a\}$. By our comparison condition, there exists a closed subset Z_2 of $[0, a]$ such that $\text{mes}([0, a] \setminus Z_2) < \sigma/2$ and

$$\alpha(f[I \times X_0]) \leq \sup \{g(s) : s \in I\} \cdot h(\alpha(X_0))$$

for each closed subset I of Z_2 .

Define: $D = D_1 \cup D_2$, $Z = [0, a] \setminus D$, where $D_i = [0, a] \setminus Z_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(\{ \int_D G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) \leq \\ & \leq \text{diam}(\{ \int_D G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) \leq \\ & \leq 2 \cdot \sup \{ \| \int_D G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds \| : u \in V \} \leq \\ & \leq 2 \cdot \int_D \| G(t, s) \| \|\lambda(s)\| ds < 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(\{ \int_a^\infty G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) \leq \\ & \leq 2 \cdot \int_a^\infty \| G(t, s) \| \|\lambda(s)\| ds \leq 2K \|\chi_{[a, \infty)}\| \|\lambda\|_{B(\mathbb{R})} < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$c_1 = \sup \{g(s) : s \in Z\}, \quad c_2 = \sup \{ \| G(t, s) \| : s \in Z \}.$$

Since Z is compact, for any given $\epsilon' > 0$ there exists a $\eta > 0$

such that $|s_1' - s_1''| < \eta$ with $s_1', s_1'' \in [0, t] \cap Z$, $|s_2' - s_2''| < \eta$ with $s_2', s_2'' \in [t, a] \cap Z$ and $|s' - s''| < \eta$ with $s', s'' \in Z$ implies $c_1 \alpha(X_0) \|G(t, s_j') - G(t, s_j'')\| < \varepsilon'$ ($j = 1, 2$) and $c_2 \alpha(X_0) |g(s') - g(s'')| < \varepsilon'$.

Let $I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i] \setminus D$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), where

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{i-1} = t < \dots < t_m = a$$

with $|t_i - t_{i-1}| < \eta$. We shall prove below that

$$\alpha(\cup \{G(t, s) f[I_i \times X_0] : s \in I_i\}) \leq \\ \leq \sup \{ \|G(t, s)\| : s \in I_i \} \cdot \alpha(f[I_i \times X_0]).$$

In fact, for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exist a number $\eta_0 > 0$ and sets W_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$f[I_i \times X_0] = \bigcup_{j=1}^n W_j, \text{ diam } W_j < \varepsilon_0 + \alpha(f[I_i \times X_0])$$

and

$$\|G(t, \sigma') - G(t, \sigma'')\| \cdot \sup \{ \|x\| : x \in f[I_i \times X_0] \} < \varepsilon_0$$

for $\sigma', \sigma'' \in I_i$ with $|\sigma' - \sigma''| < \eta_0$. Divide the interval I_i into r parts $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_{r+1}$ in such a way that $|d_{k+1} - d_k| < \eta_0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, r$). Furthermore, let us denote by X_{jk} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$) the set of all $x \in E$ such that there exists a point $w \in W_j$ with $\|x - G(t, d_k)w\| < \varepsilon_0$.

Let $\xi = G(t, s_0)z_0$, where $s_0 \in [d_q, d_{q+1}]$ and $z_0 \in W_p$. Then

$$\|\xi - G(t, d_p)z_0\| \leq \|G(t, s_0) - G(t, d_p)\| \|z_0\| < \varepsilon_0$$

hence $\xi \in X_{pq}$. Consequently,

$$\cup \{G(t, s) f[I_i \times X_0] : s \in I_i\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{k=1}^r X_{jk}.$$

If $\|x_\varphi - G(t, d_k)w_\varphi\| < \varepsilon_0$ ($\varphi = 1, 2$) with $x_\varphi \in X_{jk}$ and $w_\varphi \in W_j$, then

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \|x_1 - G(t, d_k)w_1\| + \|G(t, d_k)w_1 - G(t, d_k)w_2\| +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|G(t, d_k)w_2 - x_2\| < \\
& < 2\varepsilon_0 + \sup \{\|G(t, s)\| : s \in I_1\} \cdot \text{diam}(W_j) < \\
& < 2\varepsilon_0 + [\varepsilon_0 + \alpha(f[I_1 \times X_0])] \cdot \sup \{\|G(t, s)\| : s \in I_1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\cup \{G(t, s)f[I_1 \times X_0] : s \in I_1\}) \leq 2\varepsilon_0 + [\varepsilon_0 + \\
& + \alpha(f[I_1 \times X_0])] \cdot \sup \{\|G(t, s)\| : s \in I_1\}
\end{aligned}$$

and our claim is proved.

Applying the integral mean value theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\{ \int_2 G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) \leq \\
& \leq \alpha(\sum_{i=1}^m \text{mes}(I_i) \overline{\text{conv}}(\cup \{G(t, s)f[I_1 \times X_0] : s \in I_1\})) \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \text{mes}(I_i) \alpha(\cup \{G(t, s)f[I_1 \times X_0] : s \in I_1\}) \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \text{mes}(I_i) \|G(t, \sigma_i)\| g(\tau_i) h(\alpha(X_0)),
\end{aligned}$$

where σ_i, τ_i are points in I_i such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|G(t, \sigma_i)\| & = \sup \{\|G(t, s)\| : s \in I_i\} \quad \text{and} \\
g(\tau_i) & = \sup \{g(s) : s \in I_i\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, from the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(T[V](t)) & \leq \alpha(\{ \int_D G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) + \\
& + \alpha(\{ \int_2 G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) + \\
& + \alpha(\{ \int_\infty G(t, s)(Fu)(s) ds : u \in V \}) < \\
& < 4\varepsilon + h(\alpha(X_0)) \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} (\|G(t, s)\| g(s) + \\
& + c_1 \|G(t, s) - G(t, \sigma_i)\| + c_2 |g(s) - g(\tau_i)|) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\alpha(X_0) > 0$. From the above, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(T[V](t)) < \\
& < 4\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{I_i} (\|G(t, s)\| g(s) + \frac{2\varepsilon'}{\alpha(X_0)}). h(\alpha(X_0)) ds <
\end{aligned}$$

$$< 4\varepsilon + h(\alpha(X_0)) \int_Z \|G(t,s)\| g(s) ds + 2\varepsilon' \cdot \text{mes}(Z).$$

Since V is almost equicontinuous and bounded, we can apply Lemma 2.2 of Ambrosetti [1] to get

$$\alpha(X_0) = \sup \{ \alpha(V(s)) : 0 \leq s \leq a \} \leq \Phi(V).$$

Therefore

$$\alpha(T[V](t)) < 4\varepsilon + h(\Phi(V)) \int_Z \|G(t,s)\| g(s) ds + 2\varepsilon' \cdot \text{mes}(Z),$$

and we obtain $\alpha(T[V](t)) \leq h(\Phi(V))$. If $\alpha(X_0) = 0$, then

$$\alpha(T[V](t)) \leq 0 = h(0) \leq h(\Phi(V)). \text{ This proves}$$

$$\alpha(T[V](t)) \leq h(\Phi(V)) \text{ for each } t \in J;$$

hence $\Phi(T[V]) \leq h(\Phi(V))$.

The set \mathcal{X} is a closed and convex subset of $C(J, E)$. Thus all assumptions of our fixed-point theorem are satisfied; T has a fixed point in \mathcal{X} which ends the proof.

Remark. Our result may be applied to the important case, when B_0 is any Orlicz space L_φ generated by a convex φ -function such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \varphi(u)/u = 0$ and $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u)/u = \infty$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI: Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 39(1967), 349-360.
- [2] M. BOUDOURIDES: On bounded solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22(1981), 15-26.
- [3] J. DANEŠ: On densifying and related mappings and their application in nonlinear functional analysis, Theory of nonlinear operators, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, 15-56.

- [4] K. DEIMLING: Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Lect. Notes in Math. 596, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1977.
- [5] K. KURATOWSKI: Sur les espaces complets, Fund. Math. 15 (1930), 301-309.
- [6] R. MARTIN: Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces, Wiley Publ., New York 1976.
- [7] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and functional analysis, Ann. Math. 67(1958), 517-573.
- [8] J.L. MASSERA and J.J. SCHÄFFER: Linear differential equations and functional spaces, Academic Press, New York 1966.
- [9] B. RZEPECKI: Remarks on Schauder's fixed point principle and its applications, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Math, 27(1979), 473-480.
- [10] B.N. SADOVSKII: Limit compact and condensing operators, Russian Math. Surveys 27(1972), 86-144.
- [11] S. SZUFLA: Some remarks on ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16(1968), 795-800.
- [12] S. SZUFLA: On the boundedness of solutions of non-linear differential equations in Banach spaces, Comment. Math. 21(1979), 381-387.

Institute of Mathematics A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki
48/49, 60-769 Poznan, Poland

(Oblatum 21.5. 1984)