

Petr Lachout

A note on the martingale central limit theorem

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 26 (1985), No. 4, 637--640

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106401>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**A NOTE ON THE MARTINGALE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM**  
**Petr LACHOUT**

**Abstract.** The purpose of this paper is to show that McLeish's Central Limit Theorem (see [1], p. 58) for the martingale differences is valid without assuming their square integrability.

**Key words and phrases:** a zero-mean martingale array, the central limit theorem, a uniform integrability.

**Classification:** Primary 60F05

Secondary 60G42

-----

**Theorem.** Let  $(S_{nk}, A_{nk}, k = 1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a zero-mean martingale array with differences  $X_{nk}$ . Suppose that

- 1)  $E \max \{ |X_{nk}| \mid k = 1, \dots, k_n \} \rightarrow 0,$
- 2)  $\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} X_{nk}^2 \xrightarrow{P} \eta^2,$  where  $\eta^2$  is an a.s. finite random variable,
- 3) the  $\sigma$ -fields are nested:

$$A_{nk} \subset A_{n+1,k} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $S_{nk_n} \xrightarrow{d} S$  (stably), where the r.v.  $S$  has the characteristic function  $E \exp(-\frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2).$

**Proof:** A detailed examination of the proof in [1] (Theorem 3.2, p. 58-63) shows that we have only to prove that

$\prod_{k=1}^{k_n} (1 + itX_{nk}) \rightarrow 1$  weakly in  $L^1$  for all real  $t$

assuming that  $\sum_{j=1}^{j_n-1} X_{nj}^2 \leq C$  and  $X_{nj} = 0$  for  $j=j_n+1, \dots, k_n$ .

Fix real  $t$  and put  $M_n = \max \{|X_{nk}| \mid k=1, \dots, k_n\}$ ,

$T_{nk} = \prod_{j=1}^{k_j} (1 + itX_{nj})$  and  $T_n = T_{nk_n}$ .

$$\text{a) We have } |T_{nk}| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{j_n} \sqrt{1 + t^2 X_{nj}^2} \leq$$

$$\leq (1 + |t| M_n) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{j_n-1} X_{nj}^2\right) \leq (1 + |t| M_n) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C\right).$$

Consequently  $(T_{nk}, k=1, \dots, k_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$  is uniformly integrable by (1).

b) Fix  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f$  a bounded function which is  $A_{jk_j}$ -measurable. Then we have

$$E T_n f = E \left\{ T_{nk_j} f E \left[ \prod_{k=k_j+1}^{k_n} (1 + itX_{nk}) / A_{nk_j} \right] \right\} = E T_{nk_j} f$$

for  $n \geq j$  as  $X_{nk}$  are martingale differences.

It follows from (1) that  $T_{nk_j} \xrightarrow{w} 1$ , hence

$$E T_n f = E T_{nk_j} f \rightarrow E f \text{ by (a).}$$

c) Let  $f$  be an arbitrary measurable bounded function, such that  $|f| \leq D$ .

Denote  $B = \sigma \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} A_{nk} \right)$  and observe that

$B = \sigma \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^{+\infty} A_{nk_n} \right)$  as the  $\sigma$ -fields are nested. For a fixed  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E\{(T_n-1)E[f/B]\}| &\leq E\{|T_n-1\} |E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]\}| + \\ &+ |E\{(T_n-1)E[f/A_{jk_j}]\}| \end{aligned}$$

and by (a)

$$E\{|T_n - 1| | E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}] |\} \leq 2D \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C) |t| M_n + \\ + (1 + \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C)) E |E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]| .$$

Using (b) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |E(T_n - 1)f| \leq (1 + \exp(\frac{1}{2} t^2 C)) E |E[f/B] - E[f/A_{jk_j}]|$$

for all  $j \in N$ .

As  $E[f/A_{jk_j}] \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow +\infty} E[f/B]$  a.s. it follows that  $T_n \rightarrow 1$  weakly in  $L^1$ .  $\square$

As a consequence to our Theorem we shall prove the law of large numbers for a zero-mean martingale with Feller-Lindeberg type condition.

Corollary: Let  $(S_n, n \in N)$  be a zero-mean martingale with differences  $X_n$  for which the following assumptions hold:

$$E|X_n| \leq D \text{ for all } n \in N \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E\{|X_k| I(|X_k| \geq \epsilon n)\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then  $\frac{1}{n} S_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$ .

Proof: Denote  $X_{nk} = \frac{1}{n} X_k$ ,  $A_{nk} = \sigma(X_j, j=1, \dots, k)$ ,  $k_n = n$  and  $M_n = \max\{|X_k| | k=1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $(X_{nk}, k=1, \dots, n)$  are martingale differences. It is enough to check the other assumptions of Theorem.

1) For  $\epsilon > 0$  we can write

$$E \max\{|X_{nk}| | k=1, \dots, n\} \leq \epsilon + \frac{1}{n} E\{M_n I(M_n \geq \epsilon n)\} \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n E\{|X_k| I(|X_k| \geq \epsilon n)\} + \epsilon.$$

Hence  $E \max\{|X_{nk}| | k=1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow 0$ .

2) For  $B, \epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \leq \varepsilon\right) = P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_k^2 \leq \varepsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \leq Bn\right) + \\
& + P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_k^2 \leq \varepsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| > Bn\right) \leq \\
& \leq P\left(M_n \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \leq \varepsilon n^2, \sum_{k=1}^m |X_k| \leq Bn\right) + \frac{D}{B} \leq \\
& \leq P\left(M_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{B}\right) + \frac{D}{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1) we get  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \leq \varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{D}{B}$   
and consequently  $\sum_{k=1}^m X_{nk}^2 \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

3) It is evident that the  $\mathcal{G}$ -fields are nested.

The required result then follows from Theorem.  $\square$

#### References

- [1] HALL, P., HEYDE C.C.: Martingale Limit Theory and Its Application, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [2] McLEISH D.L.: Dependent central limit theorem and Invariance principles, Ann. Probab. 2(1974), 620-628.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Karlova universita, Sokolovská 83,  
186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 11.3. 1985)