

Said R. Grace; Bikkar S. Lalli

Oscillation theorem for a second order nonlinear ordinary differential equation with damping term

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 3, 449--453

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106467>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**OSCILLATION THEOREM FOR A SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR
ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH DAMPING TERM**
S. R. GRACE and B. S. LALLI

Abstract: A new oscillation criterion for the equation
 $(a(t)x'(t))' + p(t)x'(t) + c(t)x(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0,$
 $0 < \gamma < 1,$

is established.

Key words: Differential equation, oscillatory solutions, nonoscillatory, sublinear.

Classification: Primary 34C10

Secondary 34C15

Consider the second order nonlinear differential equation
(1) $(a(t)x'(t))' + p(t)x'(t) + c(t)x(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0,$
 $0 < \gamma < 1,$

where $a, p, c, q: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R = (-\infty, \infty)$ are continuous and $a(t) > 0$

We shall restrict our attention to solutions of equation (1) which exist on some ray $[t_0, \infty)$. A solution of equation (1) is called oscillatory if it has no largest zero; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. An equation is said to be oscillatory if every solution is oscillatory.

Recently Kwong and Wong [3] considered the sublinear ordinary differential equation

$$(*) \quad x''(t) + q(t)|x(t)|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x(t) = 0, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1,$$

and proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. If there exists a positive function ϕ such that

$\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi' \leq 0$ that satisfies

$$(*) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \varphi^\alpha(s) q(s) ds = \infty$$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Theorem A extended and unified Belohorec Theorem [1].

The purpose of this paper is to proceed further in this direction and to present a new oscillation theorem for Eq. (1) which extends Theorem A of Kwong and Wong.

Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $c(t) \geq \frac{p^2(t)}{4^\gamma a(t)}$ and φ be a positive twice differentiable function on the interval $[t_0, \infty)$ such that:

$$(2) \quad p(t)\varphi'(t) \geq 0, \text{ and } (a(t)\varphi'(t))' \leq 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0;$$

and

$$(3) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \varphi^\alpha(\tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds = \infty;$$

then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1), say $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_0$. For $t \geq t_0$, define

$$(4) \quad w(t) = \left(\frac{x(t)}{\varphi(t)} \right)^\beta,$$

which is again positive. Let $\beta = \frac{1}{\gamma} > 1$, then

$$x(t) = \varphi(t)w^\beta(t).$$

Differentiating (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(t)} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^\beta(t))')' &= \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varphi(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))')' + \\ &+ \frac{1}{1-\beta} (a(t)\varphi'(t))' w^{\beta-1}(t) + \beta a(t)\varphi(t)w^{\beta-3}(t)w''(t). \end{aligned}$$

From equation (1) and (4) we have

$$\frac{(a(t)x'(t))'}{w(t)} = \frac{(a(t)(\varphi(t)w^\beta(t))')'}{w(t)} = -\frac{p(t)x'(t)}{w(t)} - \frac{c(t)x(t)}{w(t)} -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{q(t)x^{\mathcal{X}}(t)}{w(t)} &= -\frac{p(t)}{w(t)} \left[\varrho'(t)w^{\beta}(t) + \beta\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)w'(t) \right] - \\
-\frac{c(t)}{w(t)} \varrho(t)w^{\beta}(t) - \varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t) &= -\varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t) - p(t)\varrho'(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \\
-c(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \beta p(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w'(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))')' + \frac{1}{1-\beta} (a(t)\varrho'(t))'w^{\beta-1}(t) + \\
+ \beta a(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-3}(t)w'^2(t) + p(t)\varrho'(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) + \beta p(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w'(t) + \\
+ c(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) = -\varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))')' + \beta a(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-3}(t)w'^2(t) + \\
+ \beta p(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)w'(t) + c(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) \leq -\varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\beta}{\beta-1} (a(t)(\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))')' + c(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t) - \frac{\beta p^2(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)}{4a(t)} \\
+ \left[(\beta a(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-3}(t))^{1/2}w'(t) + \frac{\beta p(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-2}(t)}{2(\beta a(t)\varrho(t)w^{\beta-3}(t))^{1/2}} \right]^2 \leq \\
\leq -\varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $c(t) \geq \frac{p^2(t)}{4a(t)}$, we obtain

$$(5) \quad (a(t)(\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t))')' \leq -\frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(t)q(t).$$

Integrating (5) twice from t_0 to t we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad (\varrho(t)w^{\beta-1}(t)) \leq C_1 + C_0 \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds - \\
- \frac{\beta-1}{\beta} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \varrho^{\mathcal{X}}(\tau)q(\tau) d\tau ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where C_0 and C_1 are appropriate integration constants. Obviously

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds \text{ exists in } (0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\} \text{ and consequently}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds \right)^{-1} = L \text{ for some } L \in [0, \infty).$$

So we derive

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \varphi^{\gamma}(\tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds \leq \\ \leq \frac{\beta}{\beta-1} [C_0 + C_1] - \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} ds} (\varphi(t) w^{\beta-1}(t)) < \infty,$$

which contradicts (3). This completes the proof.

In Theorem 1 no assumption is made on $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds$. Therefore, its conclusion holds in both cases where (I) or (II) below is satisfied:

$$(I) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \infty,$$

$$(II) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds < \infty.$$

In the second case, i.e. when (II) is satisfied, the condition (3) is clearly equivalent to the following one:

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{a(s)} \int_{t_0}^s \varphi^{\gamma}(\tau) q(\tau) d\tau ds = \infty.$$

Remarks: 1. Our Theorem 1 improves and includes Theorem 1 of Kwong and Wong [3] (take $a(t) = 1$, $c(t) = p(t) = 0$). Also, it includes the sufficiency part of Belohorec Theorem in [1], for $a(t) = 1$, $c(t) = p(t) = 0$ and $\varphi(t) = t$.

2. Theorem 1 can be extended to more general nonlinear equations of the form

$$(8) \quad (a(t)x'(t))' + p(t)x'(t) + c(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t)) = 0,$$

where a, p, c are as above, $f: [t_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous such that $xf(t, x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$, and

$$\frac{f(t, x)}{|x|^{\gamma}} \geq q(t), \quad 0 < \gamma < 1,$$

where $q: [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function.

3. It is clear that the oscillatory behavior of Eq. (1) or

(8) (with $a(t) = 1$ and $c(t) \geq \frac{p^2(t)}{4\gamma}$) and equation (*) are exactly the same.

For illustration we consider the following example:

Example 1. Consider the differential equation

$$(9) \quad (t^{\alpha_1} x^\gamma)^\cdot + t^{\alpha_2} x^\cdot + t^{\alpha_3} x + (t^\lambda \sin t) |x|^\gamma \operatorname{sgn} x = 0,$$

$$0 < \gamma < 1, \quad t \geq 1,$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and λ are constants. Let $\varphi(t) = t^\theta$, where θ is any nonnegative constant such that

$$\alpha_1 + \theta - 1 \leq 0, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = 2\alpha_2, \quad 4\gamma \geq 1.$$

If

$$\gamma\theta + \lambda > 1,$$

then all solutions of equation (9) are oscillatory. One can easily check that none of the known criteria [1-6] is applicable to Eq. (9).

References

- [1] S. BELOHOREC: Oscillatory solutions of certain nonlinear differential equations of second order, Mat.Fyz.Časopis Sloven.Akad.Vied 11(1961),250-255.(In Czech.)
- [2] I.V. KAMENEV: Certain specifically nonlinear oscillation theorems, Mat.Zametki 10(1971),129-134. (In Russian.)
- [3] M.K. KWONG and J.W.W. WONG: On an oscillation theorem of Belohorec, Siam J.Math.Anal.14(1983), 474-476.
- [4] A. WINTNER: A criterion of oscillatory stability, Quart.Appl. Math.7(1949), 115-117.
- [5] J.S.W. WONG: A second order nonlinear oscillation theorem, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.40(1973), 487-491.
- [6] J.W.W. WONG: On the generalized Emden-Fowler equations, Siam Rev.17(1975), 339-360.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Petroleum and
Minerals,Dhahran,Saudi Arabia

Department of Mathematics
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon,Saskatchewan
S7N 0W0 Canada

(Oblatum 2.1. 1986)