

Libor Veselý

On the multiplicity points of monotone operators on separable Banach spaces.
II.

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 2, 295--299

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106541>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE MULTIPLICITY POINTS OF MONOTONE OPERATORS ON SEPARABLE
BANACH SPACES II

Libor VESELÝ

Abstract: The results from [1] are sharpened, e.g. it is proved that the set of multiplicity points of a monotone operator on a separable real Banach space can be written as a union of countably many subsets of Lipschitz hypersurfaces, having "finite convexity on curves with finite convexity".

Key words: Multiplicity points of monotone operators, finite convexity, Lipschitz surfaces in Banach spaces.

Classification: Primary 47H05

Secondary 52A20

Let T be a monotone operator on a real Banach space X , i.e. $T: X \rightarrow \exp X^*$ and $\langle x-y, x^*-y^* \rangle \geq 0$ whenever $x^* \in Tx$ and $y^* \in Ty$. Denote by $\text{co}Tx$ a convex hull of the set Tx and put

$$A_n = \{x \in X: \dim(\text{co}Tx) \geq n\},$$

$$A^n = \{x \in X: \text{co}Tx \text{ contains a ball of codimension } n\}.$$

In [1] there was proved that if X (or X^* , respectively) is separable then A_n (or A^n , resp.) is representable as a countable union of Lipschitz fragments of codimension n (of dimension n , resp.), where F (see Definition 2) has "linearly finite convexity" (i.e. uniformly bounded convexity on lines).

By finer calculations with the Lipschitz fragments constructed in [1], the stronger result is obtained: they are in fact CFC-fragments (see Definition 3).

X will always be a real Banach space; by $\Omega(x, r)$ we shall denote an open ball in X with centre x and radius $r > 0$.

Definition 1. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $c: S \rightarrow X$. If $\text{card } S \geq 3$ we define

$$\mathfrak{X}(c, S) = \sup_{j \geq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{c(s_{j+1}) - c(s_j)}{s_{j+1} - s_j} - \frac{c(s_j) - c(s_{j-1})}{s_j - s_{j-1}} \right\|,$$

where "sup" is taken over all finite sequences $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{k+1}$ in M . We put $\mathcal{K}(c, S) = 0$ if $\text{card } S \leq 2$.

$\mathcal{K}(c, S)$ is called convexity of c on S .

Basic properties of mappings with finite convexity can be found in [1], part 2.

Definition 2. Let $B \subset X$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $n < \dim X$. We shall say that B is a Lipschitz fragment of dimension n (of codimension n , resp.) iff the following is satisfied:

There exist subspaces W and Z of X and a set $M \subset W$ such that

- (i) $X = W \oplus Z$
- (ii) $\dim W = n$ (codim $W = n$, resp.)
- (iii) $B = \{w + F(w) : w \in M\}$ where $F: M \rightarrow Z$ is a Lipschitz mapping.

(\oplus denotes a topological sum.)

Fragments with $M=W$ are called surfaces.

Definition 3. Let $B \subset X$ be a Lipschitz fragment. We shall say that B is CFC-fragment (of the same dimension or codimension) iff W, Z, M, F from Definition 2 can be chosen in such way that for any mapping $c: S \rightarrow M$ with $S \subset \mathbf{R}$ the following inequality holds:

$$\mathcal{K}(F \circ c, S) \leq a \cdot \mathcal{K}(c, S) + b \cdot \text{Lip}(c),$$

where a and b are nonnegative constants independent on c and

$$\text{Lip}(c) = \sup \left\{ \left\| \frac{c(s) - c(s')}{s - s'} \right\| : s, s' \in S, s \neq s' \right\}.$$

Theorem. Let T be a monotone operator on a separable Banach space X and $n < \dim X$ be a positive integer. Then A_n is representable as a union of countably many CFC-fragments of codimension n . If the dual space X^* is separable then A^n is representable as a countable union of CFC-fragments of dimension n .

Proof. We shall prove both the propositions of the theorem simultaneously. Without any loss of generality we can assume that T is maximal monotone, hence Tx is always convex.

There was proved in [1] that if X (or X^* , resp.) is separable then A_n (or A^n , resp.) can be written as a countable union of Lipschitz fragments B of codimension n (of dimension n , resp.), each of them having the following properties:

- (I) $B = \{w + F(w) : w \in M\}$, $M \subset W$, $F : M \rightarrow Z$
 where W, Z, M, F are as in Definition 2.
- (II) There exist subspaces V, Y of X^* such that
 $X^* = V \oplus Y$, $V = Z^\perp$, $Y = W^\perp$.
- (III) For any $x \in B$ there exist $t_x \in Tx$ and a topological
 complement P_x of V in X^* such that
 $\|t_x\| < m$, $\|\sigma_x\| < q$, $(t_x + P_x) \cap \Omega(t_x, r) \subset Tx$
 where $\sigma_x : X^* \rightarrow P_x$ is a projection in the direction
 of V and m, q, r are positive constants independent
 on $x \in B$.
 (Our constants m, r correspond to constants $m + \frac{r}{2}$,
 $\frac{r}{2}$ from [1], 3.9.)
- (IV) $t_x - t_y \in V$ for any $x, y \in B$.

Now it is sufficient to prove that B is in fact CFC-fragment.

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $c : S \rightarrow M$ be arbitrary. If $\text{card } S \leq 2$ then
 $\mathcal{K}(F \circ c, S) = 0$ by Definition 1. So let $\text{card } S \geq 3$ and $s_0 < s_1 < \dots$
 $\dots < s_{k+1}$, $s_j \in S$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, k+1$). Denote

$$\begin{aligned} w_j &= c(s_j), \quad x_j = w_j + F(w_j) \\ t_j &= t_{x_j}, \quad \sigma_j = \sigma_{x_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let y^* be an arbitrary functional from a unit sphere in Y .

Put

$$t_j^+ = t_j + \frac{r}{q} \sigma_j(y^*).$$

The fact $t_j^+ \in Tx_j$ follows from (III).

Now for any $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k+1\}$, the monotonicity of T and
 properties (II), (III) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \langle x_i - x_j, t_i - t_j^+ \rangle = \\ &= \langle w_i - w_j + F(w_i) - F(w_j), t_i - t_j + \frac{r}{q}(y^* - \sigma_j(y^*)) - \frac{r}{q}y^* \rangle = \\ &= \langle w_i - w_j, t_i - t_j + \frac{r}{q}(y^* - \sigma_j(y^*)) \rangle - \frac{r}{q} \langle F(w_i) - F(w_j), y^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(1) \quad \langle F(w_i) - F(w_j), y^* \rangle \leq \langle w_i - w_j, \frac{q}{r}(t_i - t_j) + y^* - \sigma_j(y^*) \rangle.$$

By the same way it is possible to obtain

$$(2) \quad - \langle F(w_i) - F(w_j), y^* \rangle \leq \langle w_i - w_j, \frac{q}{r}(t_i - t_j) - y^* + \sigma_i(y^*) \rangle$$

using $0 \leq \langle x_i - x_j, t_i^+ - t_j \rangle$.

For simplicity let us denote $Q(j,i) = \frac{w_j - w_i}{s_j - s_i}$ if $i \neq j$. The inequalities (1),(2) give for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{F(w_{j+1}) - F(w_j)}{s_{j+1} - s_j} - \frac{F(w_j) - F(w_{j-1})}{s_j - s_{j-1}}, y^* \right\rangle \leq \\ & \leq \langle Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1), y^* - \sigma_j(y^*) - \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_j \rangle + \langle Q(j+1, j), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j+1} \rangle - \\ & - \langle Q(j, j-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j-1} \rangle \leq \|Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1)\| \cdot (1+q + \frac{qm}{r}) + \\ & + \langle Q(j+1, j), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j+1} \rangle - \langle Q(j, j-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $z^* \in Z^*$ iff there exists $\tilde{y}^* \in Y$ such that $\tilde{y}^* = z^*$ on Z .

Since y^* was an arbitrary functional with $\|y^*\| = 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{F(w_{j+1}) - F(w_j)}{s_{j+1} - s_j} - \frac{F(w_j) - F(w_{j-1})}{s_j - s_{j-1}} \right\| \leq \\ & \leq (1+q + \frac{qm}{r}) \|Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1)\| + \langle Q(j+1, j), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j+1} \rangle - \\ & - \langle Q(j, j-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{j-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum over $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^k \left\| \frac{F(w_{j+1}) - F(w_j)}{s_{j+1} - s_j} - \frac{F(w_j) - F(w_{j-1})}{s_j - s_{j-1}} \right\| \leq \\ & \leq (1+q + \frac{mq}{r}) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^k \|Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1)\| + \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} \langle Q(j, j-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_j \rangle - \\ & - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \langle Q(j+1, j), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_j \rangle = (1+q + \frac{mq}{r}) \sum_{j=1}^k \|Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1)\| - \\ & - \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \langle Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_j \rangle + \langle Q(k+1, k), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_{k+1} \rangle + \\ & + \langle Q(k, k-1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_k \rangle - \langle Q(2, 1), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_1 \rangle - \langle Q(1, 0), \frac{q}{r} \cdot t_0 \rangle \leq \\ & \leq (1+q + \frac{2mq}{r}) \sum_{j=1}^k \|Q(j+1, j) - Q(j, j-1)\| + \frac{4mq}{r} \text{Lip}(c) \leq \\ & \leq (1+q + \frac{2mq}{r}) \cdot \mathcal{K}(c, S) + \frac{4mq}{r} \text{Lip}(c). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Definition 1 we have

$$\mathcal{K}(F \circ c, S) \leq a \cdot \mathcal{K}(c, S) + b \cdot \text{Lip}(c)$$

where $a = 1+q + \frac{2mq}{r}$ and $b = \frac{4mq}{r}$. The theorem is proved.

Remark. Problem 1.1 from [1], whether it is possible to write " σ -convex fragments" instead of "CFC-fragments" in Theorem,

is still open.

Reference

- [1] L. VESELÝ: On the multiplicity points of monotone operators on separable Banach spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 27(1986), 551-570.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta, Univerzita Karlova, Sokolovská 83,
18600 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 24.2. 1987)