

Shu Hao Sun

The class of \aleph -spaces is invariant of closed mappings with Lindelöf fibres

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 29 (1988), No. 2, 351--354

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106644>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE CLASS OF \mathcal{K} -SPACES IS INVARIANT OF
CLOSED MAPPINGS WITH LINDELÖF FIBRES

SUN SHU-HAO

Abstract: In this paper, we give a new characterization of \mathcal{K} -spaces and show the result listed in the title.

Key words: \mathcal{K} -spaces, closed mapping, s -mapping.

Classification: 54C10, 54E18

1. Introduction. \mathcal{K} -spaces as an interesting generalization of metric spaces were introduced by O'Meara in [O₁, O₂], and were studied by several authors ([F₁], [F₂], [T]). In [F₁], L. Foged gave some characterizations of \mathcal{K} -spaces and showed that the classes of \mathcal{K} -spaces and of cs - \mathcal{C} -spaces, introduced by Guthrie in [G], coincide. But some open questions remain, such as the question, whether each closed s -image of metric spaces is an \mathcal{K} -space, posed by Tanaka in [T].

Here, we shall give a new characterization of \mathcal{K} -spaces and show the result listed in the title; in particular, we answer the above question of Tanaka. Throughout this paper, we assume that all spaces are regular and all maps are continuous surjections. N denotes the set of all positive integers.

Definition. A collection \mathcal{P} of subsets of a topological space X is a k -network for X if, given any compact subset C of X and any neighbourhood U of C , there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}^* of \mathcal{P} so that $C \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{P}^* \subseteq U$. A collection \mathcal{P} is a cs -network for X if, given any sequence S converging to $x \in X$ and any neighbourhood U of x , there is a $P \in \mathcal{P}$ so that $P \subseteq U$ and S is eventually in P . A regular space is an \mathcal{K} -space if it has a \mathcal{C} -locally finite k -network, because of regularity, this collection can be chosen to consist of closed sets. Recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an s -map if each $f^{-1}(y)$ is Lindelöf.

2. Results. The following theorem gives a new characterization of \mathfrak{K} -spaces.

Theorem 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) X is an \mathfrak{K} -space.
- (b) X has a \mathfrak{C} -discrete cs-network.
- (c) X has a \mathfrak{C} -closure preserving, point-countable closed k -network.

Proof. The fact that (a) and (b) are equivalent is well known (see [F₁]). We only show (c) \Rightarrow (b). First note that X is a \mathfrak{C} -space [SN]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{P}_n be a closure preserving, point-countable collection of closed subsets of X so that $\cup \mathcal{P}_n$ is a k -network for X . Without loss of generality, let \mathcal{P}_n be closed under finite intersections and $\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for each n . Clearly, \mathcal{P}_n is locally countable for each n . Thus there is an open cover, each element of which meets only countably many members of \mathcal{P}_n only. Furthermore, there is a \mathfrak{C} -discrete closed cover $\mathcal{V}_n = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_{n,m}$, each element of which

meets only countably many members of \mathcal{P}_n , where each $\mathcal{V}_{n,m}$ is discrete. Thus for each $V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}$, we can denote $\{P_{n,k}(V) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the family of all the unions of finite subcollections of $\{P \in \mathcal{P}_n : P \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. For each $h \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$F_{n,m,h}(V) = \cup \{P \in \mathcal{P}_h : P \subseteq X \setminus (\cup \mathcal{V}_{n,m} \setminus \{V\})\}$. Then each $F_{n,m,h}(V)$ is clearly closed. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_{n,m,h,l} = \cup \{P \in \mathcal{P}_l : P \subseteq (X \setminus \cup \mathcal{P}_{n,m}^*)\}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{n,m}^* = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_h : P \cap (\cup \mathcal{V}_{n,m}) = \emptyset\}$. Now let $P_{n,k,h,l}(V) = P_{n,k}(V) \cap F_{n,m,h}(V) \cap F_{n,m,h,l}$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}$ and let $\mathcal{W}_{n,m,k,h,l} = \{P_{n,k,h,l}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_{n,m}\}$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{W}_{n,m,k,h,l}$ is pairwise disjoint and closure-preserving, i.e., it is discrete since each element is closed.

It remains to prove that $\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{W}_{n,m,k,h,l} : n,m,k,h,l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cs-network. Suppose that S is a sequence converging to $x \in X$ and U is an open set containing x . Then there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}^* of \mathcal{P}_{n_0} for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\cup \mathcal{P}^* \subseteq U$ and S is eventually in $\cup \mathcal{P}^*$. We also can assume $x \in \cap \mathcal{P}^*$. But $\mathcal{V}_{n_0} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_{n_0,m}$ is a cover, so there is a $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_0,m_0}$ with $v \in V_0$ for some $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular, $(\cap \mathcal{P}^*) \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$, thus $\cup \mathcal{P}^* = P_{n_0,k_0}(V_0)$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that x is in $X \setminus (\cup \mathcal{V}_{n_0,m_0} \setminus \{V_0\})$ which is open, so there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P}_{h_0} for some $h_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that S is eventually in

$\cup \mathcal{P}'$ and $\cup \mathcal{P}' \subseteq (X \setminus (\cup \mathcal{V}_{n_0, m_0} \setminus \{V_0\})) \cap U$, in particular,

$\cup \mathcal{P}' \subseteq F_{n_0, m_0, h_0}(V_0)$. Likewise, there is an $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that S is eventually in F_{n_0, m_0, h_0, l_0} ; i.e., S is eventually in $P_{n_0, k_0}(V_0) \cap F_{n_0, m_0, h_0}(V_0) \cap \cap F_{n_0, m_0, h_0, l_0} = P_{n_0, m_0, k_0, h_0, l_0}(V_0)$ and $P_{n_0, m_0, k_0, h_0, l_0}(V_0) \subseteq U$. The proof is complete.

Remark. If we say that a collection \mathcal{P} is a weak cs-network for X if, given any sequence S converging to x and any neighborhood U of x , there is a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}^* of \mathcal{P} such that $\cup \mathcal{P}^* \subseteq U$ and S is eventually in $\cup \mathcal{P}^*$, then a k -network is, of course, a weak cs-network and the above proof shows that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) X is an \mathfrak{K} -space.
- (b) X has a \mathfrak{C} -closure preserving, point-countable closed weak cs-network.
- (c) X has a \mathfrak{C} -discrete cs-network.
- (d) X has a \mathfrak{C} -discrete k -network.

Recall that a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called compact-covering if for every compact subset $B \subseteq Y$ there exists a compact $A \subseteq X$ such that $f(A) = B$.

Lemma 1. Every closed s -map is compact covering.

Proof. First we note that the preimage of a compact subset (or Lindelöf subset) under a closed s -map f is a Lindelöf subset. Then by virtue of a theorem of E. Michael stating that every closed mapping of a paracompact space X onto an arbitrary space Y is compact-covering, the lemma is proved.

Lemma 2. Every closed s -image of an \mathfrak{K} -space has a \mathfrak{C} -closure preserving, point-countable closed k -network.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{P}_n be a discrete collection of closed subsets of X so that $\cup \mathcal{P}_n$ is a k -network for X and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a closed s -map. Then one easily verifies that $\{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_n\}$ is \mathfrak{C} -closure-preserving and, since f is compact-covering by Lemma 1, that $\{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a closed k -network for X . It remains to show that \mathcal{P} is point-countable. Let $y \in Y$, for each $x \in f^{-1}(y)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an open neighborhood of x which meets only one element of \mathcal{P}_n ; furthermore, there is an open subset U_y of X with $U_y \ni f^{-1}(y)$ so

that U_y meets only at most countably many elements of \mathcal{P}_n . Thus the point y belongs to countably many elements of $\{f(B):B \in \mathcal{P}\}$ at most.

Theorem 2. Every closed s -image of an \mathfrak{K} -space is an \mathfrak{K} -space.

Corollary. Every closed s -image of a metric space is an \mathfrak{K} -space.

References

- [F₁] L. FOGED: Characterizations of \mathfrak{K} -spaces, Pacific J. Math.110(1984), 59-63.
- [F₂] L. FOGED: Normality in k - and \mathfrak{K} -spaces, Top. and Appl. 22(1986), 223-240.
- [G] J. GUTHRIE: Mapping spaces and cs -networks, Pacific J. Math. 47(1973), 465-471.
- [O₁] P. O'MEARA: A new class of topological spaces, Dissertation, University of Alberta (1966).
- [O₂] P. O'MEARA: On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 29(1971), 183-189.
- [SN] F. SIWIEC, J. NAGATA: A note on nets and metrization, Proc. Japan Acad. 44(1968), 623-627.
- [T] Y. TANAKA: A characterization for the products of k - and \mathfrak{K} -spaces and related results, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. 59(1976), 149-155.

Department of Math., Shanghai Institute of Mechanical Engin., Shanghai,
P.R. China

(Oblatum 29.10. 1987)