

Michael G. Charalambous

The factorization theorem for paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 29 (1988), No. 3, 411--420

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106657>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE FACTORIZATION THEOREM FOR PARACOMPACT  $\Sigma$ -SPACES

M.G. CHARALAMBOUS

**Abstract:** Factorization theorems and some corollaries are obtained for several classes of paracompact spaces.

**Key words and phrases:** Uniform, topological, metric, Lindelöf, Tychonoff spaces; p-spaces,  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces,  $\Sigma$ -spaces, closed and perfect maps.

**Classification:** 54F45

-----

**1. Introduction.** The factorization theorem for a class of spaces  $\mathcal{C}$  is the following statement.

(FT). For every map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  into a member of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists  $Z$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and maps  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $wZ \leq wY$  and  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$ .

FT is known to hold for several classes of spaces such as compact spaces, metric spaces and paracompact p-spaces [10]. It is not known whether it holds for the class of all paracompact spaces. Bregman [1] asks whether FT holds for every map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces, having proved it for a restrictive class of such maps called  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete. We show in Section 3 that a stronger version of FT holds for paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces. In fact, it holds for a bigger class of spaces that includes Lindelöf spaces. The class of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces is an important class of generalized metric spaces, and includes all paracompact p-spaces, all paracompact locally compact spaces and all paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces (see [8] and the articles of Burke and Gruenhagen in [6]). In Section 4, we prove FT for a class of maps between paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces that includes perfect maps. In Section 5, we establish FT for a more general class of paracompact spaces that includes closed images of paracompact, locally compact spaces. Some corollaries of FT such as universal theorems are pointed out in Sections 3 and 5.

In this paper, all spaces are Tychonoff,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of positive integers,  $I$  the unit interval  $[0,1]$ ,  $\beta X$  and  $wX$  the Stone-Čech compactification and weight of a space  $X$ , respectively, and  $|Y|$  the cardinality of a set

Y. For standard results in Dimension Theory the reader is referred to [5] and [11].

**2. Preliminary results.** Our factorization theorems follow from three results concerning the covering dimension,  $\text{Dim } X$ , of a uniform space  $X$  [2,3]. A uniformly open set of  $X$  is a set of the form  $f^{-1}(G)$  where  $f: X \rightarrow M$  is a uniformly continuous function into a metric space  $M$  (with its natural uniformity) and  $G$  is an open set of  $M$ . The set of all uniformly open sets of  $X$  is a base and it is closed under finite intersections and countable unions.  $\text{Dim } X$  is defined in terms of uniformly open sets. Thus,  $\text{Dim } X \leq n$  iff every finite uniformly open cover of  $X$  has a finite uniformly open refinement of order  $\leq n$ . If every cozero set of  $X$  is uniformly open, then  $\text{Dim } X = \dim X$ . This happens, e.g., when  $X$  is Lindelöf or metric.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a uniformly continuous function and  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  a collection of subspaces of  $X$ , where  $\tau$  is a cardinal not less than  $w(Y)$ , the uniform weight of  $Y$ . Then there exists a uniformly continuous  $g: X \rightarrow Y \times I^\tau$  such that  $f = \pi \circ g$ , where  $\pi: Y \times I^\tau \rightarrow Y$  is the canonical projection, and  $\text{Dim } g(X_\alpha) \leq \text{Dim } X_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \tau$  [3, Theorem 5].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed uniformly continuous function with Lindelöf fibers into a (paracompact) space  $Y$  with the property that every open cover of  $Y$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement. Then  $X$  is paracompact and  $\dim X \leq \text{Dim } X$  [3, Theorem 10].

**Theorem 3.** If  $Y \subset X$ , then  $\text{Dim } Y \leq \text{Dim } X$  [2, Proposition 3].

**3. FT for paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces.** In this section, we prove a stronger version of FT for paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces, a class of spaces that includes all Lindelöf spaces as well as all paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces. If  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are covers of a space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$  if whenever  $C \subset U$  with  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $U$  open in  $X$ , there is some  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $C \subset F \subset U$ . We call  $X$  a  $\Sigma'$ -space if it has a closed cover  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of Lindelöf subspaces and a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net  $\mathcal{F}$ . Recall that if each  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is countably compact (respectively, compact), then  $X$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -space (respectively, a strong  $\Sigma$ -space) [8]. Since a paracompact countably compact space is compact, every paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space is a  $\Sigma'$ -space.

**Lemma 1.**  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect surjection. Then  $X$  is a  $\Sigma'$ -space iff  $Y$  is a  $\Sigma'$ -space.

**Proof.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed cover of  $X$  by Lindelöf subspaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$ , it is routinely verified that  $f(\mathcal{C}) = \{f(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a closed cover of  $Y$  by Lindelöf subspaces and  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $f(\mathcal{C})$ )-net for  $Y$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a closed cover of  $Y$  consisting of Lindelöf spaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  a (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $Y$  then  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) = \{f^{-1}(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a closed cover of  $X$  consisting of Lindelöf spaces and  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ )-net for  $X$ .

**Remark 1.** For the converse, it is evidently sufficient to assume that  $f$  is closed and continuous with Lindelöf fibers.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space. Then there is a continuous  $\Phi : X \rightarrow M$  onto a metric space  $M$  such that, if  $X$  is equipped with a uniformity that makes  $\Phi$  uniformly continuous, then every open cover of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed cover of  $X$  by Lindelöf spaces and  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$ . Write  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_n\}$ , and consider a locally finite cover  $\mathcal{P}$  of the paracompact space  $X$  such that for each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\bar{P}$  intersects only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . If  $H_{\alpha} = X - \bigcup \{\bar{P} : P \in \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \bar{P} \cap F_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset\}$ , then  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda_n\}$  is a locally finite collection of open subsets of  $X$  with  $F_{\alpha} \subset H_{\alpha}$ . Let  $G_{\alpha}$  be a cozero set of  $X$  with  $F_{\alpha} \subset G_{\alpha} \subset H_{\alpha}$ ,  $f_{\alpha} : X \rightarrow I$  a continuous function with  $G_{\alpha} = f^{-1}(0,1]$ , and set

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \min \left\{ 1, \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_n} |f_{\alpha}(x) - f_{\alpha}(y)| \right\}.$$

Now  $d$  is a continuous pseudometric on  $X$ , and we let  $M$  be the metric space obtained by identifying  $x, y$  iff  $d(x,y)=0$ , and  $\Phi$  the corresponding quotient map. Note that  $G_{\alpha} = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(G_{\alpha}))$  is open w.r.t.  $d$  and hence uniformly open, assuming  $X$  carries a uniformity that makes  $\Phi$  uniformly continuous. Finally, given an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  by uniformly open sets, and consider  $\mathcal{W} = \{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i : V_i \in \mathcal{V} \}$ .

For each  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , since  $C$  is Lindelöf, there is  $W$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  such that  $C \subset W$ . Hence there is  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $C \subset F \subset W$ . Let  $\Lambda'_n$  consist of all  $\alpha$  in  $\Lambda_n$  for which we can fix  $C_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $W_{\alpha}$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $C_{\alpha} \subset F_{\alpha} \subset W_{\alpha}$ . Clearly,  $\{F_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda'_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  constitutes a cover of  $X$ . Also, if  $W_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_{i\alpha}$  where  $V_{i\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}$ , then  $\{G_{\alpha} \cap V_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda'_n, i, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

We now record for future reference a result whose proof is contained in the proof of Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed cover of a space  $X$  by Lindelöf subspaces and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  a  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$ . If there is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite open cover  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $X$  with  $F_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$ , then  $X$  is paracompact and, if it is endowed with a uniformity that makes every  $G_\alpha$  uniformly open, then every open cover of  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement.

The FT for paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces generalizes Theorem 4 of [10], and we recall some definitions from this paper. The compact weight of  $X$ ,  $\text{bw}X$ , is the smallest cardinal  $\tau$  for which there is a space  $Z$  of weight  $\tau$ , a metrizable space  $M$  and an embedding of  $X$  into  $M \times Z$ . The metrizable weight of  $X$ ,  $\mu wX$ , is the supremum of all cardinals  $\tau$  for which there exists a map onto a metrizable space of weight  $\tau$ . It is readily checked that  $wX = \max\{\text{bw}X, \mu wX\}$  and, if  $X$  is metrizable,  $\mu wX = wX$  and  $\text{bw}X = 1$ , unless  $X = \emptyset$ , when  $\text{bw}X = 0$ . Also,  $\text{bw}X \leq \aleph_0$  implies  $X$  is metrizable,  $Y \subset X$  implies  $\text{bw}Y \leq \text{bw}X$ ,  $X$  Lindelöf and infinite implies  $\mu wX = \aleph_0$ , and  $X$  Lindelöf and non-metrizable implies  $\text{bw}X = wX$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $X$  be a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space,  $\mathcal{C}$  a closed cover of  $X$  by Lindelöf subspaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  an infinite  $\sigma$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$ . Then  $\mu wX = |\mathcal{F}|$ .

**Proof.** Write  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  with  $|\Lambda| = |\mathcal{F}|$ , let  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cozero cover of  $X$  with  $F_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$ , and  $\Phi: X \rightarrow M$  the quotient map constructed in Lemma 2. Then  $\{\Phi(G_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-countable open cover of  $M$ . Let  $D$  be a dense subset of the metric space  $M$  with  $|D| = wM$  and for each  $x \in D$ , let  $\Lambda(x) = \{\alpha \in \Lambda : x \in \Phi(G_\alpha)\}$ . We can assume that  $F_\alpha = \emptyset$  for at most one  $\alpha$  in  $\Lambda$  and hence that  $G_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha$  in  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda = \bigcup \{\Lambda(x) : x \in D\}$  with each  $\Lambda(x)$  countable. Hence, if  $D$  is infinite,  $|\mathcal{F}| = |\Lambda| \leq |D| = wM \leq \mu wX$ ; and if  $D$  is countable, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is countably infinite, which implies that  $X$  is Lindelöf and infinite so that  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0 = \mu wX$ . Thus, in any case,  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \mu wX$ .

To prove  $\mu wX \leq |\mathcal{F}|$ , consider a continuous surjection  $f: X \rightarrow S$  onto a metric space  $S$ . Let  $\{U_\beta : \beta < wS\}$  be a discrete collection of non-empty open sets of  $S$ , for each  $\beta < wS$ , pick  $x_\beta$  in  $U_\beta$ , let  $U' = S - \{x_\beta : \beta < wS\}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < wS\} \cup \{U'\}$ , and note that a refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  must have cardinality at least  $wS$ . Now consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{W} = \{f^{-1}(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots) : U_i \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

of  $X$ . For each  $C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is some  $F$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $W$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $C \subset F \subset W$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'$  consist of all  $\alpha$ 's in  $\mathcal{A}$  for which we can fix  $C_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $W_\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  with  $C_\alpha \subset F_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$ . If  $W_\alpha = f^{-1}(U_{1\alpha} \cup U_{2\alpha} \cup \dots)$ , where  $U_{i\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}$ , then clearly  $\{f(F_\alpha) \cap U_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}', i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $|\mathcal{A}'| \leq \max\{|\mathcal{A}_0|, |\mathcal{A}'|\} \leq |\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{F}|$ . This implies  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}X} = |\mathcal{F}|$ , which completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed, continuous surjection with Lindelöf fibers between infinite paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces. Then  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}X} = \mu_{\mathcal{W}Y}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed cover of  $Y$  consisting of Lindelöf spaces, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $Y$ . If necessary, we add to  $\mathcal{F}$  a countably infinite collection of singletons so that it becomes infinite and, by Lemma 4,  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}Y} = |\mathcal{F}|$ . Clearly  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$  is a closed cover of  $X$  consisting of non-empty Lindelöf spaces and  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$  is an infinite  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite (mod  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ )-net for  $X$ , and Lemma 4 implies  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}X} = |f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})| = |\mathcal{F}| = \mu_{\mathcal{W}Y}$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $E$  be an  $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ -set of a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $X$ . Then  $E$  is a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space with  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}E} \leq \mu_{\mathcal{W}X}$ .

**Proof.**  $E$  is paracompact and we may assume that it is also infinite. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a closed cover of  $X$  by Lindelöf spaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}$ )-net for  $X$  which contains countably infinitely many singletons from  $E$ . Then  $\mathcal{C} \cap E = \{C \cap E : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$  is a closed cover of  $E$  by Lindelöf spaces and  $\mathcal{F} \cap E$  is an infinite  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C} \cap E$ )-net for  $E$ . By Lemma 4,  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}E} = |\mathcal{F} \cap E| \leq |\mathcal{F}| = \mu_{\mathcal{W}X}$ .

**Proposition 1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function into a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space. Then there is a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $Z$  and continuous  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h$  is perfect,  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$ ,  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}Z} \leq \mu_{\mathcal{W}Y}$  and  $\text{bw}Z \leq \text{bw}Y$ .

**Proof.** We can clearly assume that  $Y$  is infinite. Note that if  $\beta f$  is the extension of  $f$  to Stone-Čech compactifications,  $\dim \beta f^{-1}(Y) = \dim \beta X = \dim X$  and  $\beta f: \beta f^{-1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$  is perfect. Thus, we can also assume that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is perfect and, in view of Lemma 6, surjective.

By Lemma 2, there is a continuous function  $\Phi: Y \rightarrow M$  into a metric space  $M$  such that, if  $Y$  is endowed with a uniformity that makes  $\Phi$  uniformly continuous, every open cover of  $Y$  has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement. Let  $\Psi: Y \rightarrow L \times I^{\mathcal{C}}$  be an embedding, where  $L$  is metrizable and  $\mathcal{C} = \text{bw}Y$ . We endow  $M$ ,  $L$ ,  $I^{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $M \times L \times I^{\mathcal{C}}$  with their natural uniformities,  $X$  with its

finest uniformity and  $Y$  with the uniformity induced by the embedding  $\Phi \times \Psi : Y \rightarrow M \times L \times I^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . Evidently, every cozero set of  $X$  is uniformly continuous so that  $\dim X = \text{Dim } X$ ,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\Phi : Y \rightarrow M$  are uniformly continuous and hence every open cover of  $Y$  has a  $\mathfrak{C}$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement. Now, by Theorem 1, there are uniformly continuous  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Z = g(X) \subset M \times L \times I^{\mathfrak{c}} \times I^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ,  $f = h \circ g$  and  $\text{Dim } Z \leq \text{Dim } X = \dim X$ . Since  $f$  is perfect and  $f$  and  $g$  are onto, then  $h$  is a perfect surjection and hence  $Z$  is paracompact and, by Lemma 1, a  $\Sigma'$ -space. Now applying Theorem 2 and Lemma 5, we obtain, respectively, that  $\dim Z \leq \text{Dim } Z \leq \dim X$  and  $\mu WZ \leq \mu WY$ . Finally, the inequality  $\text{bw}Z \leq \text{bw}Y = \mathfrak{c}$  follows from that fact that  $Z$  is a subspace of  $M \times L \times I^{\mathfrak{c}} \times I^{\mathfrak{c}}$ .

Our next two results are corollaries of Proposition 1. The first of these results follows from Proposition 1 by a straightforward application of a method due to Pasynkov [9].

**Proposition 2.** The class  $\mathcal{C}$  of all paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces  $X$  with  $\text{bw}X \leq \alpha$ ,  $\mu W X \leq \beta$  and  $\dim X \leq n$  has a universal element which is a paracompact  $p$ -space.

**Proof.** We may clearly assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are infinite. If  $M$  is a universal metrizable space of weight  $\beta$ , it is readily seen that every member of  $\mathcal{C}$  is embeddable in  $M \times I^{\omega}$ ,  $\text{bw}(M \times I^{\omega}) \leq \alpha$  and, by Lemma 5 applied to the projection of  $M \times I^{\omega}$  onto  $M$ ,  $\mu W(M \times I^{\omega}) = \beta$ . Let  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the collection of all subspaces of  $M \times I^{\omega}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $X$  their topological sum and  $f: X \rightarrow M \times I^{\omega}$  the map whose restriction to each  $X_{\lambda}$  is its inclusion into  $M \times I^{\omega}$ . By Proposition 1, there are continuous  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h$  is perfect,  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X \leq n$ ,  $\text{bw}Z \leq \alpha$  and  $\mu WZ \leq \beta$ . Evidently,  $Z$  is a universal element of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 3.** For every paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $Y$ , there is a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $Z$  with  $\dim Z \leq 0$ ,  $\text{bw}Z \leq \text{bw}Y$ ,  $\mu WZ \leq \mu WY$ , and a perfect surjection  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$ .

**Proof.** Consider a cardinal  $\omega$  such that  $I^{\omega}$  contains a copy of  $\beta Y$ , and hence of  $Y$ . Let  $f: C^{\omega} \rightarrow I^{\omega}$  be a surjection, where  $C$  is Cantor's discontinuum, and  $X = f^{-1}(Y)$ . Let  $X, Y$  be endowed with the subspace uniformities inherited from  $C^{\omega}, I^{\omega}$ , respectively. Note that every cozero set of  $Y$  is uniformly open. Furthermore,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous and perfect, and by Theorem 2,  $\dim X \leq \text{Dim } X$ . But, by Theorem 3,  $\text{Dim } X \leq \text{Dim } C^{\omega} = \dim C^{\omega} \leq 0$ . Hence  $\dim X \leq 0$ . Now, by Proposition 1, there is a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $Z$  and con-

tinuous  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \neq 0$ ,  $bwZ \leq bwY$  and  $\mu wZ \leq \mu wY$ . Note that because  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect surjection, the same is true of  $g$  and  $h$ .

**4. FT for paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces.** In this section, we prove FT for the class of paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces and  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite maps, which strengthens [1, Theorem 3]. A continuous  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  onto a paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -space will be called  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite) if there is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite) network  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $X$  such that  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete (resp.  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite) network for  $Y$ . Here, it is understood that  $f(\mathcal{F})$  should be  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete or  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite as a collection indexed by the same set as  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, as the example of the projection of an uncountable discrete space onto a singleton shows, it is false that every closed surjection between paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces is  $\mathcal{C}$ -discrete or even  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite. This casts doubt on the validity of FT for such maps [1, Corollary 1]. However, a perfect map between paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces is  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite, which leads to a factorization theorem for these maps.

**Lemma 7.** Let a uniform function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite, where  $Y$  is endowed with its finest uniformity. Then  $X$  is paracompact and  $\dim X \leq \text{Dim } X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite network for  $X$  with  $f(\mathcal{F})$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite network for the paracompact space  $Y$ . As in Lemma 2, there is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite cozero cover  $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of  $Y$  with  $f(F_\alpha) \subset G_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$  in  $\Lambda$ . Now, since each cozero set of  $Y$  is evidently uniformly open,  $\{f^{-1}(G_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite uniformly open cover of  $X$  with  $F_\alpha \subset f^{-1}(G_\alpha)$ . By Lemma 3,  $X$  is paracompact and every open cover of  $X$  has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement. Finally, by Theorem 2 applied to the identity  $X \rightarrow X$ ,  $\dim X \leq \text{Dim } X$ .

**Proposition 4.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite map. Then there are  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite maps  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$ ,  $bwX \leq bwY$  and  $\mu wZ \leq \mu wY$ .

**Proof.** Proposition 1 provides a paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -space  $W$  and continuous  $g: X \rightarrow W$  and  $h: W \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim W \leq \dim X$ ,  $bwW \leq bwY$  and  $\mu wW \leq \mu wY$ . Let  $X, Y, W$  be endowed with their finest uniformities and  $Z = g(X)$  with the subspace uniformity inherited from  $W$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite network for  $X$  with  $f(\mathcal{F})$   $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite. Then  $g(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite network for  $Z$  with  $h(g(\mathcal{F})) = f(\mathcal{F})$   $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite. Hence  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally



finite and, by Lemma 7,  $Z$  is a paracompact space so that  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite. Also, Theorem 3 implies  $\dim Z \leq \dim W = \dim X$  and, by Lemma 7,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$ . Finally,  $\text{bw}Z \leq \text{bw}W \leq \text{bw}Y$  and, by Lemma 4, since we may clearly assume that  $Y$  and hence  $f(\mathcal{C})$  and  $g(\mathcal{C})$  are infinite,  $\mu \text{w}Z = |g(\mathcal{C})| \leq |h(g(\mathcal{C}))| = \mu \text{w}Y$ .

The following result follows immediately from Proposition 4, or, more directly, from Proposition 1.

**Proposition 5.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect surjection between paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces. Then there is a paracompact  $\mathcal{C}$ -space  $Z$  and perfect surjections  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$ ,  $\text{bw}Z \leq \text{bw}Y$  and  $\mu \text{w}Z \leq \mu \text{w}Y$ .

**5. FT for more general paracompact spaces.** In this section, we prove FT for the class  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of all paracompact spaces  $X$  containing a closed subset  $E$  with a base of neighbourhoods of cardinality  $\leq \omega_\lambda$  such that  $E$  and every closed set of  $X$  disjoint from  $E$  is a  $\Sigma'$ -space. If  $\lambda$  is the topological sum of  $\omega_1$  copies of the space of ordinals  $\leq \omega_1$ , the first uncountable ordinal, and  $Y$  is obtained from  $X$  by identifying  $\omega_1$  in each copy to a single point, then  $X$  is a paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space while its closed image  $Y$  is, of course paracompact, but not a  $\Sigma'$ -space [6, p. 452, Example 4.18]. However,  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed w.r.t. perfect preimages.

**Proposition 6.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function into a member of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then there is a member  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and continuous  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  with  $h$  perfect,  $f = h \circ g$ ,  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$  and  $\text{w}Z \leq \text{w}Y$ .

**Proof.** As in Proposition 1, we can assume that  $\tau = \text{w}Y$  is infinite and  $f$  is a perfect surjection. Then there is a closed cover  $\{E_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  of  $X$  by paracompact  $\Sigma'$ -spaces such that each closed subset of  $X$  disjoint from  $E_0$  is contained in some  $E_\alpha$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  be a cover of  $E_\alpha$  by Lindelöf sets and  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{F_{\alpha\beta} : \beta < \tau\}$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite (mod  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ )-net for  $E_\alpha$ . As in Lemma 2, let  $\{G_{\alpha\beta} : \beta < \tau\}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite cozero cover of  $E_\alpha$  with  $F_{\alpha\beta} \subset G_{\alpha\beta}$ . It can be seen that  $Y$  can be embedded in  $I^\tau$  in such a manner that  $G_{\alpha\beta} = E_\alpha \cap H_{\alpha\beta}$  for some cozero set  $H_{\alpha\beta}$  of  $I^\tau$ . Letting each subset of  $Y$  carry the subspace uniformity induced by  $I^\tau$ , we see that each  $G_{\alpha\beta}$  is uniformly open in  $E_\alpha$  so that, in view of Lemma 3, every open cover of  $E_\alpha$  has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -locally finite uniformly open refinement. Also,  $\text{w}(Y) \leq \tau$  and if  $X$  is endowed with its finest uniformity, then  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is uniformly continuous and Theorem 1 provides a subspace

$Z$  of  $\mathcal{I}^c$  and uniformly continuous surjections  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $h: Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f = h \circ g$  and  $\text{Dim } gf^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \text{Dim } f^{-1}(E_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ . Note that, by Theorem 3,  $\text{Dim } f^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \text{Dim } X = \dim X$  and hence  $\text{Dim } gf^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \dim X$ . Also, since  $f$  is a perfect surjection, the same is true of  $g$  and  $h$  and hence of  $h: h^{-1}(E_\alpha) \rightarrow E_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now Theorem 2 applies and gives  $\dim h^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \text{Dim } h^{-1}(E_\alpha) = \text{Dim } gf^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \dim X$ . Thus,  $\dim h^{-1}(E_\alpha) \leq \dim X$  and if  $F$  is a closed subspace of  $Z$  disjoint from  $h^{-1}(E_0)$ , then  $F \subset f^{-1}(E_\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha$  so that, as  $Z$  is paracompact and hence normal,  $\dim F \leq \dim X$ . Hence  $\dim Z \leq \dim X$  [4].

Proposition 6 like Proposition 1 has corollaries analogous to Propositions 2 and 3.

Finally, by a subset theorem for  $\dim$  [3, Proposition 2], if  $X$  is the union of a  $\mathcal{G}$ -locally finite collection of cozero Lindelöf subspaces, then  $\dim X \leq \text{Dim } X$ . It follows that Proposition 6 holds if  $\mathcal{C}$  is the class of all paracompact spaces  $X$  containing a closed set  $E$  such that  $E$  and every closed set of  $X$  disjoint from  $E$  can be expressed as the union of a  $\mathcal{G}$ -locally finite collection of cozero Lindelöf subspaces. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed map from a paracompact and locally compact space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  contains a closed discrete subset  $E$  such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for each  $y$  in  $Y - E$  [7]. Hence, for any closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$  disjoint from  $E$ ,  $f: f^{-1}(F) \rightarrow F$  is perfect, which readily implies that  $F$  is paracompact and locally compact and  $Y$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

#### References

- [1] Ju.H. BREGMAN: Some factorization theorem for paracompact  $\mathcal{G}$ -spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 28(1987), 211-215.
- [2] M.G. CHARALAMBOUS: A new covering dimension function for uniform spaces, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 11(1975), 137-143.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_: Further theory and applications of covering dimension of uniform spaces, to appear.
- [4] C.H. DOWKER: Local dimension of normal spaces, Quart. J. Math. Ser. 2, 6 (1955), 101-120.
- [5] R. ENGELKING: Dimension Theory, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1978.
- [6] K. KUNEN and J.E. VAUGHAN: Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [7] K. MORITA: On closed mappings, Proc. Japan Acad. 32(1956), 539-543.
- [8] K. NAGAMI:  $\Sigma$ -spaces, Fund. Math. 65(1969), 169-192.
- [9] B.A. PASYNKOV: On universal bicompaeta of a given weight and dimension, Soviet Math. Dokl. 5(1964), 245-246.

- [10] B.A. PASYNKOV: Factorization theorems in dimension theory, Russian Math. Surveys 36(1981), 175-209.
- [11] A.R. PEARS: Dimension Theory of General Spaces, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1976.

Department of Mathematics, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 30197, Nairobi, Kenya

(Oblatum 4.3. 1988)