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1. Introduction. Quasicontinuity is a generalization of the notion of continuity. It has been introduced in [Ke] and its basic properties are known (see e.g. [Bl], [IS], [Ma], [Th]). The aim of the present paper is to show that the set of all quasicontinuity points of a Lebesgue measurable function is Lebesgue measurable and that there are classes of Baire 1 functions in which a typical function is not quasicontinuous almost everywhere. This last statement improves some of known results (see [BP], [Ma]).

2. Points of quasicontinuity. Further we shall deal with real-valued functions defined on a real non-degenerate interval I₀. Recall in this case the notion of quasicontinuity of a function at a point.
**Definition 1.** A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (R - the real line) is said to be quasicontinuous at the point $x \in I_0$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $\delta > 0$ there exists a non-void open interval $I \subset (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that $|f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ holds for every $t \in I$. We denote by $Q(f)$ ($K(f)$) the set of all such points of $I_0$ at which the function $f$ is (is not) quasicontinuous.

Let $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Put $d_I(f, x) = \sup_{t \in I} \{|f(t) - f(x)|\}$, where $I \subset I_0$ is a non-void open interval and $i_{\varepsilon}^I(f, x) = \inf_{I \subset (x - \delta, x + \delta)} \{d_I(f, x)\}$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Obviously $i_{\varepsilon}^I(f, x) \leq i_{\eta}^I(f, x)$ whenever $\varepsilon \leq \eta$ and we can define for each $x \in I_0$

$$q_{\varepsilon}(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} i_{\delta}^I(f, x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \{i_{\delta}^I(f, x)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.** (a) A function $f : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is quasicontinuous at the point $x$ if and only if $q_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0$.

(b) If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then also $q_{\varepsilon}(f) \rightarrow q_{\varepsilon}(f)$ uniformly.

(c) If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(f_n) \subset Q(f)$.

**Proof.** (a) The statement is an immediate consequence of the definition of quasicontinuity.

(b) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $|f_n(t) - f(t)| < \varepsilon$ hold for each $t \in I_0$ and $n \geq n_0$. Choose $x \in I_0$. Then $|f_n(t) - f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(t) - f(t)| + |f(t) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_n(x)| < |f(t) - f(x)| + 2\varepsilon$. If $I \subset I_0$, then $d_I(f_n, x) \leq d_I(f, x) + 2\varepsilon$. Analogously $d_I(f, x) \leq d_I(f_n, x) + 2\varepsilon$.

From above inequalities it follows for any $\delta > 0$ that $i_{\delta}^I(f_n, x) \leq i_{\delta}^I(f_n, x) + 2\varepsilon$ and $i_{\varepsilon}^I(f_n) \leq i_{\varepsilon}^I(f_n, x) + 2\varepsilon$. Hence $q_{\varepsilon}(f_n) \leq q_{\varepsilon}(f) + 2\varepsilon$, $q_{\varepsilon}(f) \leq q_{\varepsilon}(f_n) + 2\varepsilon$ and $|q_{\varepsilon}(f_n) - q_{\varepsilon}(f)| \leq 2\varepsilon$.

(c) Put $Q = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(f_n)$. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then for each $x \in Q$ we have $x \in Q(f_n)$ for infinitely many indices $n$, and (b)
implies $Q \subseteq Q(f)$.

The set $Q(f)$ of a function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$, in general, need not be Lebesgue measurable. E.g., if $D \subseteq I$ is a nowhere dense Lebesgue non-measurable set, then $X_D = q_{X_D}$ holds for its characteristic function $X_D$, i.e. $Q(X_D)$ is Lebesgue non-measurable.

**Theorem 2.** Let $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lebesgue measurable function. Then $q_r: I \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is Lebesgue measurable.

**Corollary 1.** The set of quasicontinuity points of a Lebesgue measurable function is a Lebesgue measurable set.

First we shall introduce a class of functions and prove Theorem 2 for this class.

**Definition 2.** A function $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be simple, if

(i) $g$ is Lebesgue measurable;

(ii) there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|g(y) - g(x)| < \eta$ implies $g(y) = g(x)$.

**Lemma 1.** If $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a simple function, then $q_g$ is Lebesgue measurable.

Proof. If $I$ is a non-void open subinterval of $I$, then we can define a function $d_I: I \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ by $d_I(x) = d_I(g, x)$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ put $E_a = \{x: d_I(x) < a\}$. It follows from the property (ii) of the simple function $g$ that the set $g(I)$ is countable and the same holds for the set $d_I(I)$. The function $d_I$ is obviously constant on each level set of the function $g$ and each level set of the function $d_I$ is a countable union of level sets of the function $g$. Consequently level sets of $d_I$ are Lebesgue measurable. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence of all
values of the function $d_1$ such that $y_n < a$. Then $E^n = \bigcup_n d^{-1}_1(y_n)$ is Lebesgue measurable. Choose $\delta > 0$ and put $i_\delta(x) = i_\delta(f(x))$ and $E^\delta = \{x: i_\delta(x) < \alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $E^\delta = \bigcup_{r,s} \{x: d(r,s)(x) < \alpha, r$ and $s$ are rational numbers, $x - \delta < r - s < x + \delta\}$ and hence $E^\delta$ is Lebesgue measurable. Consequently, for each $\delta > 0$ the function $i_\delta: I_0 \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is Lebesgue measurable and the same holds for the function $q_\delta = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $f: I_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable. For each $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ we can define the function $f_n: I_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ by the prescription: $f_n(x) = m2^{-n}$ for $x \in f^{-1}(\lfloor m2^{-n}, (m + 1)2^{-n} \rfloor)$, $m$ an integer number. Obviously every term of the sequence $(f_n)_1^n$ is a simple function and $f_n \to f$ uniformly. According to Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 1 $q_n \to q_\epsilon$ uniformly and $q_\epsilon$ is Lebesgue measurable.

3. Typical results. In the next text we shall deal with classes of real functions defined on the unit real interval $[0, 1]$. We denote by $b\mathcal{A}$ ($b\Delta$, $b\mathcal{B}_1$) the class of bounded approximately continuous functions (bounded derivatives, bounded Baire 1 functions). All these classes are complete metric spaces with the metric $d(f, g) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} \{|f(x) - g(x)|\}$. There are known some properties which hold for most of the functions of these classes in the sense of the Baire category (see e.g. [BP], [CP], [CP1], [CP2], [K], [K2], [K3], [R1], [R2]).

Further we shall use the following known notion.

Definition 3. ([Br], p. 14) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions defined on an interval $I$. A subfamily $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is called the maximal additive family for $\mathcal{F}$ provided $\mathcal{K}$ is the set of all functions $g$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $f + g \in \mathcal{F}$ whenever $f \in \mathcal{F}$. 
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Definition 4. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of functions $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be acceptable, if

(i) $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1$;
(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly closed;
(iii) $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ holds for the maximal additive family $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{F}$.

We shall also use the following known results.

Theorem Z. ([Za]; [Br], p. 28) Let $E$ be an $F_\sigma$ set such that the density of $E$ at $x$ $d(E,x) = 1$ for all $x \in E$. Then there exists an approximately continuous function $f$ such that $0 < f(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in E$ and $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \notin E$. The function $f$ is also upper semi-continuous.

Theorem CP. ([CP], Th. 9) Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $g \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\|g\| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |g(x)| \leq \epsilon$ such that $h(C(h))$ (where $C(h)$ is the set of all continuity points of $h$) is finite where $h = f - g$.

In what follows $\lambda$ stands for the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an acceptable family of functions endowed with the metric $d(f,g) = \|f - g\| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)|$. Then the family

$$\mathcal{F}^* = \{f \in \mathcal{F}: \lambda(Q(f)) = 0\}$$

is a residual $G_\delta$ set in $\mathcal{F}$.

In the proof of Theorem 3 the following preliminary results will be used.

Lemma 2. The class $\mathcal{D}$ of all $h \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $h(C(h))$ is finite...
is dense in $\mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying Theorem CP there exists $g \in b\mathcal{A}$ such that $\|g\| < \varepsilon$ and $h(C(h))$ is finite where $h = f - g$. Then $h \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\|f - h\| < \varepsilon$.

**Lemma 3.** The set $\mathcal{F}^*$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}$.

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that for each $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $h \in \mathcal{F}^*$ such that $\|f - h\| < \varepsilon$. Since $f \in b\mathcal{A}_1$ there exists a countable set $D$ dense in $C(f)$ and also dense in $[0,1]$. Let $f(C(f)) = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ and $S = f^{-1}(f(C(f))) - D$. Obviously $S$ is Lebesgue measurable.

If $\lambda(S) = 0$, then $f(x) \notin f(C(f))$ holds for almost all $x \in [0,1]$ and for such an $x$ we have $\delta_x(f, x) \geq \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \{|f(x) - c_j|\} > 0$ for each nonvoid open interval $I$. Consequently $q_x(x) > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}^*$.

Suppose $\lambda(S) > 0$. According to the Lebesgue Density Theorem ([Br], p. 16) $d(S, x) = 1$ holds for almost every $x \in S$. Put $T = \{x \in S: d(S, x) = 1\}$. The set $T$ is of the form $E \cup N$, where $E$ is an $F_\sigma$ set, $\lambda(N) = 0$ and $d(E, x) = 1$ for each $x \in E$. Let $g$ be a function, the existence of which is guaranteed by Theorem Z for the above set $E$. Choose $\gamma > 0$ such that $\gamma < \varepsilon$ and $\gamma < \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \{|c_j - c_k|\}$. We show that the function $h = f + \gamma g$ has desired properties. Obviously $h \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\|f - h\| < \varepsilon$. Since $E \subseteq f^{-1}(f(C(f)))$ and $\lambda(E) = \lambda(f^{-1}(f(C(f))))$ we have $h(x) \notin f(C(f))$ for almost all $x \in [0,1]$. It is sufficient to show $\{x: h(x) \notin f(C(f))\} \subseteq R(h)$. If $h(x) \notin f(C(f))$ and $I \subseteq [0,1]$ is a non-void open interval, then $\delta_x(h, x) \geq \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \{|h(x) - c_j|\} > 0$. This follows from the fact that there is a point $y \in I \cap D$ and $h(y) = f(y) = c_k$ for some $k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Hence $q_{h}(x) > 0$ and $x \in R(h)$. 
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Lemma 4. Let $\delta > 0$. The family

$$\mathcal{F}_\delta = \{ f \in \mathcal{F} : \lambda(\mathcal{Q}(f)) \geq \delta \}$$

is uniformly closed.

Proof. Suppose that $f_n \in \mathcal{F}_\delta$, $f_n \to f$ uniformly. According to Theorem 1(c) we have $\lambda(\mathcal{Q}(f)) \geq \lambda(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(f_n)) \geq \delta$, hence $f \in \mathcal{F}_\delta$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Put $\delta(n) = n^{-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$). According to Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 each of the sets $\mathcal{F}_\delta(n)$ is closed and nowhere dense in $\mathcal{F}$. Consequently $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_\delta(n)$ is a residual $G_\delta$ set in $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $b\Delta^i$ ($bM_1$, $i = 1, \ldots, 5$) denote the class of bounded symmetric derivatives (bounded functions of the $i$th Zahorski class (see [Za])) on $[0,1]$. Note that $b\Delta = bM_2$ and that $f$ is a symmetric derivative on $[0,1]$ if and only if there is a function $F: (a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$, $[0,1] \subset (a,b)$, such that

$$f(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(F(x + h) - F(x - h))}{2h}$$

holds for every $x \in [0,1]$.

Corollary 2. The family of all $b\Delta$ ($b\Delta^i$, $b\Delta_1$, $bM_1$; $i = 4,5$) functions which are not quasicontinuous almost everywhere is a residual $G_\delta$ set in the space $b\Delta$ ($b\Delta^i$, $b\Delta_1$, $bM_1$; $i = 4,5$) with the metric $d$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that each of the above classes is acceptable in the sense of Definition 4. The fact that the classes $b\Delta$ and $bM_1$, $i = 4,5$, fulfill the condition (i) is well
known. The inclusion $b\Delta^c b \subseteq b_1^c b_1$ is proved in [La]. Every of the Zahorski classes is uniformly closed. It is shown in [R1]. The uniform closedness of $b\Delta^c$ is proved in [Ko]. The condition (iii) for classes $bM_4$ and $bM_5$ is proved in [Za], p. 45.

Remark. In [Za], p. 45, it is also proved that the condition (iii) of Definition 4 is not fulfilled for classes $bM_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is an open problem whether or not, Corollary 2 holds also for these classes.
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