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#### Abstract

We obtain some detailed information about the location of the eigenvalues for a class of nonlinear Sturm-Liouville operators.
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We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u+f(x, u)=\lambda u  \tag{1}\\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

on the compact interval $[a, b]$ of the real line $R$, where $L u:=-\left(p(x) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(x) u$ is a regular Sturm-Liouville operator with real coefficients $p \in C^{1}([a, b]), p>0, q \in$ $C[a, b]$, and $f:[a, b] \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and such that $f(x, 0)=0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$.

Clearly, $u=0$ is a solution of (1) for all $\lambda \in R$, and we are interested in the existence and location of eigenvalues of (1), namely values of $\lambda$ for which there exists a nontrivial solution (an eigenfunction) of (1).

It is well-known that the linear problem corresponding to (1), namely

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u=\lambda u  \tag{2}\\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has an infinite sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{0}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $\lambda_{1}^{0}<\lambda_{2}^{0}<\ldots$ and $\lambda_{n}^{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. It is also well-known that $\lambda_{n}^{0}-\lambda_{n-1}^{0} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; therefore, given any $C>0$, we have $\lambda_{n}^{0}+C<\lambda_{n+1}^{0}$ for all large enough $n$.

In this note, we aim at discussing the following statement of "quasi discrete" spectrum for (1):

## Theorem. Assume that $f$ satisfies

H1) $0 \leq f(x, s) s \leq \alpha s^{2}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times R$;
H2) $f(x,-s)=-f(x, s)$ and $|f(x, s)| \leq a|s|^{p}$ for some $p>1$, some $a \geq 0$ and all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times R$;

H3) $f(x, s) s \geq 2 F(x, s) \geq \alpha s^{2}-\beta|s|$ for some $\beta \geq 0$ and all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}$, where $\alpha$ is as in H1) and

$$
F(x, s)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, t) d t
$$

Then the following conclusions hold:

1) (1) has no eigenvalues outside the intervals $\left[\lambda_{n}^{0}, \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha\right](n=1,2, \ldots$; we assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_{n+1}^{0}-\lambda_{n}^{0}>\alpha$ for all $n$ );
2) for each $n=1,2, \ldots$, (1) has a one-parameter family $\lambda_{n}=\lambda_{n}(r), r>0$, of eigenvalues (with corresponding eigenfunctions $u_{n}(r)$ satisfying $\int_{a}^{b} u_{n}^{2}(r)=$ $r^{2}$ ) such that
$\left.2_{i}\right) \quad \lambda_{n}^{0} \leq \lambda_{n}(r) \leq \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha$ for all $r>0$,
$\left.2_{i i}\right) \quad \lambda_{n}(r) \rightarrow \lambda_{n}^{0}(r \rightarrow 0) ; \lambda_{n}(r) \rightarrow \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha(r \rightarrow \infty)$.
The proof will be divided into three steps; we first show (Lemma 1) the nonexistence part by means of the spectral properties of the selfadjoint operator in $L^{2}(a, b)$ associated with $L$; next we use the Liusternik-Schnirelmann (LS) theory to prove in Lemma 2 the existence of eigenvalues, and finally in Lemma 3 we carry out the required estimates; these are obtained by means of elementary bounds on the "energy functional" of (1) restricted to the manifold $\left[u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(a, b): \int_{a}^{b} u^{2}=r^{2}\right]$.

We draw the reader's attention to the fact that H 1 ) and H 3 ) above force $f$ keep uniformly close to the linear function $\alpha s$ in the following sense: on setting $h(x, s):=$ $f(x, s)-\alpha s$, then $|h(x, s)| \leq \alpha|s|$ and $|h(x, s)| \leq \beta$ for all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}$, as is easily checked.
Note in particular that the assumptions of the theorem are all satisfied by $f \equiv 0$, in which case the above inequalities boil down to $\lambda_{n}(r)=\lambda_{n}^{0}$ for all $r$ and all $n$, as due. On the other hand, if $f \equiv 0$ the assumption $p>1$ in H2) is necessary for the validity of the result; an immediate counterexample is given by $f(x, s)=\alpha s$ itself $(\alpha \neq 0)$. Indeed, we then have again a linear problem, whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_{n}(r)=\lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha(r>0, n=1,2, \ldots)$ so that the first assertion in $\left.2_{i i}\right)$ is not satisfied.

To exhibit a nontrivial example, let us take

$$
f(x, u)=f(u)=C \frac{u^{3}}{1+u^{2}}
$$

with $C>0$; then $f$ satisfies H1) and H2) with $\alpha=a=\beta=C$ and $p=3$. To check H3), observe that

$$
2 F(x, u)=2 F(u)=C\left[u^{2}-\log \left(1+u^{2}\right)\right]
$$

while

$$
f(u) u=C\left[u^{2}-\frac{u^{2}}{1+u^{2}}\right]
$$

Therefore, on setting $g(u):=f(u) u-2 F(u)$, we see that $g^{\prime}(u)=\frac{2 C u^{3}}{\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{2}}>0$ for $u>0$; since $g(0)=0$, this gives $g(u) \geq 0$ for all $u$ (notice $g$ is even).

The second inequality in H3) follows similarly on setting $h(u):=2 F(u)-C\left(u^{2}-\right.$ $|u|)$ and observing that $h^{\prime}(u)=C\left(1-\frac{2 x}{1+x^{2}}\right)>0$ for $u>0$.

Lemma 1. Assume $f$ satisfies H1). Then, if $\lambda<\lambda_{1}^{0}$ or $\left.\lambda \in\right] \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha, \lambda_{n+1}^{0} l(n \geq 1)$, problem (1) has only the trivial solution $u=0$.

Proof : Take $\lambda \in] \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha, \lambda_{n+1}^{0}$ [ (the case $\lambda<\lambda_{1}^{0}$ can be treated similarly) and write (1) as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u-\left(\lambda-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2} u-f(x, u)=: g(x, u)  \tag{3}\\
u(a)=u(b)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where, due to H1), g satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\alpha}{2} \leq g(x, s) / s \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad(s \neq 0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $|g(x, s)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}|s|$ for all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}$.

Let now $H=L^{2}(a, b)$ equipped with the usual scalar product and norm $\|u\|^{2}=$ $\int_{a}^{b} u^{2}(t) d t$, and let $T$ denote the realization in $H$ of the differential operator $L$; $T$ is a selfadjoint operator in $H$ with domain

$$
D(T)=\left\{u \in H \mid u^{\prime}, u^{\prime \prime} \in H \quad \text { and } \quad u(a)=u(b)=0\right\}
$$

and spectrum $\sigma(T)=\left\{\lambda_{n}^{0}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$.
Let moreover $G$ denote the Nemytskii operator by the function $g: G(u)(x)=$ $g(x, u(x))$ for $u \in H$ and $x \in[a, b]$; from (4) we have $\|G(u)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}\|u\|$ for all $u \in H$.

Then (3) is equivalent to

$$
T u-\mu u=G(u), \quad u \in D(T)
$$

where $\mu=\lambda-\frac{\alpha}{2}$, so that $\lambda_{n}^{0}+\frac{\alpha}{2}<\mu<\lambda_{n+1}^{0}-\frac{\alpha}{2}$. This in turn can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=(T-\mu I)^{-1} G(u) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity map in $H$. Due to the selfadjointness of $T$, we have $\|(T-$ $\mu I)^{-1} \|=[\operatorname{dist}(\mu, \sigma(T))]^{-1}$; therefore, if $u$ is a solution of (5), then

$$
\|u\| \leq\left\|(T-\mu I)^{-1}\right\|\|G(u)\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[\operatorname{dist}(\mu, \sigma(T))]^{-1}\|u\|
$$

But $\operatorname{dist}(\mu, \sigma(T))=\min \left[\lambda_{n+1}^{0}-\mu, \mu-\lambda_{n}^{0}\right]>\frac{\alpha}{2}$; the last inequality then shows that necessarily $u=0$, proving the Lemma.

To prove the existence of the eigenvalues for (1) we make use of the LS critical point theory: standard references for this are e.g. [3] or [4].

We shall hence forth consider weak solution of (1), namely $u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(p u^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}+\int q u v+\int f(x, u) v=\lambda \int u v \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(a, b) ; \int$ stands for $\int_{a}^{b}$.
Let us set further

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{0}(u) & =\frac{1}{2} \int p\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int q u^{2}  \tag{7}\\
\varphi(u) & =\varphi_{0}(u)+\int F(x, u) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi$ are the "energy functionals" associated with (2), (1) respectively. For $r>0$, let moreover

$$
M r:=\left[u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(a, b): \int u^{2}=r^{2}\right]
$$

and for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ set

$$
K_{n}(r)=\left\{K \subset M_{r}: K \text { compact, symmetric }, \gamma(K)=n\right\}
$$

where $\gamma(K)$ denotes the genus of $K$. Finally, introduce the "LS critical levels"

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}(r)=\inf _{K_{n}(r)} \sup _{K} 2 \varphi(u) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With these notations, as a special case of theorem 6 in [1] we obtain the following result:

Lemma 2. Assume $f$ satisfies H2) and suppose further that $F(x, u) \geq 0$ for all $x \in[a, b]$ and all $u \in R$. Then given $r>0$, there exists a sequence $u_{n}(r)$ of (weak) eigenfunctions of (1) belonging to $M_{r}$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \varphi\left(u_{n}(r)\right)=C_{n}(r) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}(r)$ is as in (9); the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}(r)$ corresponding to $u_{n}(r)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(r) r^{2}=2 \varphi_{0}\left(u_{n}(r)\right)+\int f\left(x, u_{n}(r)\right) u_{n}(r) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\lambda_{n}(r) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (for each $r>0$ ) and $\lambda_{n}(r) \rightarrow \lambda_{n}^{0}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ (for each $n=1,2, \ldots$ ).

Remark 1. To obtain (11), just put $u=v=u_{n}(r)$ in (6) and use the normalization condition $\int u_{n}^{2}(r)=r^{2}$ together with the definition of $\varphi_{0}$.

Remark 2. The behaviour of $\lambda_{n}(r)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ or $r \rightarrow 0$ can be formulated in more precise terms (see [1]): in particular one gets $\lambda_{n}^{2}(r) \sim n^{2}(n \rightarrow \infty)$ for each $r>0$ (as in the linear case) and $\lambda_{n}(r)=\lambda_{n}^{0}+O\left(r^{p-1}\right)(r \rightarrow 0)$ for each $n \in N$. Related results can be found in a very recent paper by Shibata ([5]).

Remark 3. If $f \equiv 0$ (i.e. if the problem is linear) the LS procedure gives exactly the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}^{0}$ of (2); we have in this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \lambda_{n}^{0}=\inf _{K_{n}(r)} \sup _{K} 2 \varphi_{0}(u) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nothing but a reformulation of the classical Courant's minimax principle in terms of the sets $K_{n}(r)$; see e.g. [2].

The conclusions of the Theorem will now be a consequence of the following result.
Lemma 3. Assume $f$ satisfies H1), H2), H9) and let $\lambda_{n}(r)$ be the eigenvalues of (1) as given by Lemma 2. Then, for each $n=1,2, \ldots$, we have:
i) $\quad \lambda_{n}^{0} \leq \lambda_{n}(r) \leq \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha(r>0)$;
ii) $\quad \lambda_{n}(r) \rightarrow \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha \quad$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof : First note that H1) implies

$$
0 \leq F(x, s) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} s^{2}
$$

for all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times R$; this implies (see the definitions (7), (8) of $\varphi_{0}$ and $\varphi$ )

$$
\varphi_{0}(u) \leq \varphi(u) \leq \varphi_{0}(u)+\frac{\alpha}{2} r^{2}
$$

for all $u \in M_{r}$. Using the definition (9) of $C_{n}(r)$ and Remark 3 above we get therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \lambda_{n}^{0} \leq C_{n}(r) \leq r^{2} \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha r^{2} \tag{13.}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the same assumption H1) together with one half of H3) gives

$$
2 F(x, s) \leq f(x, s) s \leq \alpha s^{2}
$$

for all $(x, s) \in[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}$; therefore

$$
2 \varphi(u) \leq 2 \varphi_{0}(u)+\int f(x, u) u \leq 2 \varphi(u)+\alpha r^{2}
$$

for all $u \in M_{r}$ (we have also used the inequality $\varphi_{0} \leq \varphi$ already seen above).
Writing this for $u=u_{n}(r)$ and using (10) and (11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}(r) \leq r^{2} \lambda_{n}(r) \leq C_{n}(r)+\alpha r^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using together (13) and (14) yields

$$
\lambda_{n}^{0} \leq \lambda_{n}(r) \leq \lambda_{n}^{0}+2 \alpha
$$

and the inequality i) now follows on considering that (1) has no eigenvalues outside $\left[\lambda_{n}^{0}, \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha\right]$, as shown in Lemma 1 (and assuming for convenience that $\lambda_{n+1}^{0}-\lambda_{n}^{0}>$ $2 \alpha$ for all $n$ ).

To prove ii) we use the second half of H3), namely

$$
2 F(x, u) \geq \alpha u^{2}-\beta|u|
$$

which gives, on integrating and using Schwarz's inequality,

$$
2 \int F(x, u) \geq \alpha r^{2}-\hat{\beta} r
$$

for all $u \in M_{r}\left(\widehat{\beta}=\beta(b-a)^{1 / 2}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
2 \varphi(u) \geq 2 \varphi_{0}(u)+\alpha r^{2}-\widehat{\beta} r \quad\left(u \in M_{r}\right)
$$

and so, again from the definition of $C_{n}(r)$ and Remark 3,

$$
c_{n}(r) \geq r^{2} \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha r^{2}-\widehat{\beta} r
$$

Together with the inequality $C_{n}(r) \leq r^{2} \lambda_{n}(r)$ proved in (14), this shows that

$$
\lambda_{n}(r) \geq \lambda_{n}^{0}+\alpha-\frac{\widehat{\beta}}{r} \quad(r>0)
$$

and ii). now follows on looking at i) and taking the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
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