

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Lothar Kaniok

On measures of noncompactness in general topological vector
spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 31 (1990), No. 3,
479--487

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106883>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy
of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic
delivery and stamped with digital signature within the
project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*
<http://project.dml.cz>

On measures of noncompactness in general topological vector spaces

LOTHAR KANIOK

Abstract. This paper presents some examples of φ -measures of noncompactness and some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in general topological vector spaces. An example of a (φ, γ) -condensing mapping in a general topological vector space which has a fixed point is given.

Keywords: Fixed point, measure of noncompactness, condensing mapping, topological vector space

Classification: 47H10

1. Introduction.

In the fixed point theory in locally convex spaces, the invariability of the formation of the convex hull of a measure of noncompactness is of great importance. But the known nontrivial measures of noncompactness ([1], [2], [9], [10]) in locally convex spaces are not measures of noncompactness in nonlocally convex topological vector spaces, since we have $\gamma(\text{co } M) \neq \gamma(M)$, in general. In [3], [4], [5], [6] and [7] Hahn and Hadžić proved that for some mappings $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ the inequality $\gamma(\text{co } M) \leq \varphi(\gamma(M))$ on special sets of Zima's type ([5]) in paranormed spaces is true, where γ is the well-known Kuratowski's or the inner Hausdorff's measure of noncompactness. To this, Hadžić introduced in [5] the notion of the φ -measure of noncompactness. We shall give some examples for φ -measures of noncompactness on a set of Zima's type in a general topological vector space.

Using this result, we obtain further fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings ([5], [6], [7]). Finally, we shall give a nontrivial example of a (φ, γ) -condensing mapping in a general topological vector space which has a fixed point. In this paper, every topological vector space will be assumed to be Hausdorff and real.

Let E be a topological vector space and $K \subseteq E$. By $\mathcal{U}(E)$ we denote a fundamental system of circled, closed neighbourhoods of zero in E , by $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ the set of all nonnegative functions on $\mathcal{U}(E)$ with the natural order and by p_U the Minkowski functional of $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$. Moreover, we denote by \overline{K} , $\text{co } K$, $\overline{\text{co}}K$ and δK the closed hull, the convex hull, the closed convex hull and the boundary of K . We define $2^K := \{M \subseteq K : M \neq \emptyset\}$, $b(K) := \{M \in 2^K : M \text{ is bounded}\}$, $\text{cc}(K) := \{M \in 2^K : M \text{ is closed in } K, M \text{ is convex}\}$ and $\text{fucc}(E) := \{K \subseteq E : K = \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i, I \text{ is finite}, K_i \in \text{cc}(E) \text{ for all } i \in I\}$.

K is said to be admissible, if for every compact subset M of K and every neighbourhood U of zero in E , there exists a continuous mapping $T_U : M \rightarrow K$ such that $\dim T_U(M)^{\text{lin}} < \infty$ and $x - T_U(x) \in U(x \in M)$.

The set K will be called locally convex ([8]), iff for any $x \in K$ there exists in K a base of neighbourhoods $U(x)$ of x with $U(x) = W(x) \cap K$ and $W(x)$ is a convex

subset of E . Jerofsky proved that every locally convex set $K \in \text{fucc}(E)$ is admissible ([8, Satz 1.5.3.]).

We say that K is starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$, iff $tx + (1-t)u \in K$ for all $x \in K$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, $K \in \text{fucc}(E)$ is said to be pseudoconvex ([8]), if there is a finite dimensional subspace E_0 of E , such that for all finite dimensional subspaces $E' \supseteq E_0$, the set $K \cap E'$ is a retract of E' . Especially, K is pseudoconvex, if K is starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$ and $K \in \text{fucc}(E)$ ([7]).

2. φ -measures of noncompactness in topological vector spaces.

Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in 2^E$, $M \in b(\overline{\text{co}}K)$ and $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$. Let us define:

$$\alpha(M, U) := \inf\{a > 0 : \text{There exist } x_1, \dots, x_n \in E \text{ such that } M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + aU)\},$$

$$\beta(M, U) := \inf\{a > 0 : \text{There exist } x_1, \dots, x_n \in M \text{ such that } M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + aU)\},$$

$$\chi(M, U) := \inf\{a > 0 : \text{There exist } D_1, \dots, D_n \subseteq E \text{ such that } M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$$

$$\text{and } D_i - D_i \subseteq aU (i \in \{1, \dots, n\})\}$$

and

$$J(M, U) := \sup\{a \geq 0 : M \text{ contains a countably infinite set } \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$\text{with } x_i - x_k \notin aU \text{ for } i \neq k\}$$

($\sup \emptyset = 0$, by definition).

By $[\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M)](U) := \gamma(M, U)$ there is defined a mapping $\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}} : b(\overline{\text{co}}K) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ for $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \chi, J\}$.

If $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, M a bounded subset of E and $U := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leq 1\}$, then the well-known measures of noncompactness — the Hausdorff's, the Kuratowski's and the Istrătescu's measure of noncompactness — of the set M are defined by $\gamma(M, U)$ for $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta, \chi, J\}$ ([1], [2], [9], [10]). As [1, Proposition 1] the following lemma shows that $\alpha_{\mathfrak{U}}, \beta_{\mathfrak{U}}, \chi_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $J_{\mathfrak{U}}$ are, in a sense, all equivalent.

Lemma 1. *Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in 2^E$, $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$, $V \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ and $V + V \subseteq U$. Then, for every $M \in b(\overline{\text{co}}K) : \alpha(M, U) \leq \beta(M, U) \leq J(M, U) \leq \chi(M, U) \leq \alpha(M, V)$.*

PROOF : ([1, p. 404]) The first inequality is easy. Let $a > J(M, U)$. We choose a maximal family of elements $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that $x_i - x_k \notin aU$ for $i \neq k$. Then $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + aU)$ and therefore $\beta(M, U) \leq J(M, U)$.

Let us suppose that $J(M, U) > a > \chi(M, U)$. Then there are $D_1, \dots, D_m \subseteq E$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j$ and $D_j - D_j \subseteq aU (j \in \{1, \dots, m\})$. Moreover, there is

a countably infinite subset $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of M with $x_i - x_k \notin aU$ for $i \neq k$. At least one of D_j 's contains an infinite number of elements $x_{n_i} \in \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Hence there are $x_{n_i}, x_{n_k} \in \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $x_{n_i} - x_{n_k} \notin aU$ and $x_{n_i} - x_{n_k} \in D_j - D_j \subseteq aU$ for some j . This is a contradiction. Therefore $J(M, U) \leq \chi(M, U)$.

Let $\alpha(M, V) < a$. Then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$, such that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + aV)$. Put $D_i := x_i + aV$. Then $D_i - D_i \subseteq a(V + V) \subseteq aU$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) and $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n D_i$. This means that $\chi(M, U) \leq \alpha(M, V)$. The proposition is proved. ■

Now we shall state some properties of $J_{\mathcal{U}}$. Most of them are well-known for the Istrătescu's measure of noncompactness([1]) in normed spaces.

Proposition 1. *Let E be a topological vector space, $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$, $V + V \subseteq U$, $K \in 2^E$, $M, N \in b(\overline{\text{co}}K)$ and $s, t > 0$. Then*

- (1) $J(M \cup N, U) = \max\{J(M, U), J(N, U)\}$,
- (2) $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow J(N, U) \leq J(M, U)$,
- (3) $M + N \in b(\overline{\text{co}}K) \Rightarrow J(M + N, U) \leq J(M, V) + J(N, V)$,
- (4) $sM \in b(\overline{\text{co}}K) \Rightarrow J(sM, tU) = s \cdot t^{-1} J(M, U)$,
- (5) $J(M, U) = J(\overline{M}, U)$,
- (6) $J_{\mathcal{U}}(M) \equiv 0$ iff M is precompact.

PROOF : (1) The inequality $\max\{J(M, U), J(N, U)\} \leq J(M \cup N, U)$ follows from $M, N \subseteq M \cup N$ and from the definition of J . Let $0 < a < J(M \cup N, U)$. Then there is a countably infinite set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq M \cup N$ with $x_i - x_k \notin aU$ for $i \neq k$. The set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ contains an infinite number of elements of M or of N . Hence there is $J(M, U) \geq a$ or $J(N, U) \geq a$. So we obtain the inequality $\max\{J(M, U), J(N, U)\} \geq J(M \cup N, U)$, too.

(2) follows from $M = N \cup (M \setminus N)$ and (1).

(3) Suppose that $J(M + N, U) > J(M, V) + J(N, V)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $J(N, V) \leq J(M, V)$. We choose $a > 0$ with $J(M, V) < a < J(M + N, U)$. Then there is a countably infinite set $\{z_n = x_n + y_n : x_n \in M, y_n \in N, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $z_i - z_k \notin aU$ for $i \neq k$. Since $a > J(M, V)$, there is an infinite subset $\{x_{n_j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with $x_{n_i} - x_{n_k} \in aV$ for $i \neq k$. Then there must be $y_{n_i} - x_{n_k} \notin aV$ for $i \neq k$, where $y_{n_j} = z_{n_j} - x_{n_j} \in N$ ($j \in \mathbb{N}$). Therefore $J(N, V) \geq a$. It is contradictory to $J(N, V) \leq J(M, V) < a$. So (3) is true.

The properties (4) and (5) can be established easily.

(6) Let $a > 0$ and M precompact. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + aV)$. Let M_0 be an arbitrary infinite subset of M . At least one of the sets $x_i + aV$ contains an infinite number of elements of M_0 . Hence there are $x, y \in M_0, x \neq y$, such that $x - y \in a(V + V) \subseteq aU$. Therefore $J(M, U) = 0$. If $J(M, U) = 0$, then there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i + U)$. From this, the assertion (6) follows. Thus, the proposition is proved. ■

Remark. The mappings $\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{U}}$ satisfy also the properties (1) to (6), $\beta_{\mathcal{U}}$ only (3), (4), (5) and (6), in general ([1, p. 404]). In [5], Hadžić introduced the following notion.

Definition 1. Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in 2^E$, A a partially ordered set with the partial ordering $\leq, \varphi : A \rightarrow A$ and \mathcal{M} a system of subsets of $\overline{\text{co}}K$ such

that:

$$M \in \mathfrak{M} \Rightarrow (\overline{M} \in \mathfrak{M}, \text{co } M \in \mathfrak{M}, M \cup \{u\} \in \mathfrak{M} (u \in K), N \in \mathfrak{M} (N \subseteq M)).$$

Let γ be a mapping of \mathfrak{M} into A . The mapping γ is said to be a φ -measure of noncompactness on K , iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\gamma(\overline{M}) = \gamma(M \cup \{u\}) = \gamma(M) \geq \gamma(N)$, ($M \in \mathfrak{M}, N \subseteq M, u \in K$),
- (2) $\gamma(\text{co } M) \leq \varphi(\gamma(M))$, ($M \in \mathfrak{M}$).

Remark. Let c be a real number with $c \geq 1$. If $\varphi(t) = c \cdot t$ ($t \in A$), then γ is said to be a c -measure of noncompactness on K ([7]). For $c = 1$, the mapping γ will be called a measure of noncompactness on K .

Definition 2 ([5]). Let E be a topological vector space and $K \in 2^E$. The set K is said to be of Zima's type, iff for every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ there exists $V \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ such that $\text{co}(V \cap (K - K)) \subseteq U$.

Some examples of the sets of Zima's type in paranormed spaces ([3, p. 34]) can be found in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. Let (E, p) be a paranormed space. It is well-known that E is a metrizable topological vector space in which the topology is introduced by the family $\mathfrak{D} = \{v_r : r > 0\}$ of neighbourhoods of zero in E , where $V_r := \{x \in E : p(x) \leq r\}$. Let $K \in 2^E$ and $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. The set K is said to be of Z_φ -type, iff, for every $r > 0$, $\text{co}(V_r \cap (K - K)) \subseteq V_{\varphi(r)}$ ([5]). We say that K satisfies the Zima condition (with the constant r), iff there exists $r > 0$ such that $p(tx) \leq rtp(x)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and all $x \in K - K$ ([3]).

It is clear that a set is of Z_φ -type, if it satisfies the Zima condition. Moreover, every set which is of Z_φ -type, is of Zima's type also, if the mapping φ is such that $\inf_{r>0} \varphi(r) = 0$ ([5]).

Lemma 2. Let E be a topological vector space and $K \in b(E)$ which is of Zima's type and starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$. Then, for every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ there is $W \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ such that

$$\beta(\text{co } M, U) \leq \beta(M, W) \quad (M \subseteq K).$$

PROOF : If M is precompact, then $\text{co } M$ is also precompact, because K is of Zima's type ([3]). It is clear that in this case the assertion is true.

Now, we suppose that M is not precompact. We choose $V \in \mathfrak{U}(E), W \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ such that $V + V \subseteq U, W \subseteq V, \text{co}(W \cap (K - K)) \subseteq V$ and $\beta(M, W) > 0$. This is possible, because K is of Zima's type and M is not precompact.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\beta(M, W) \geq 1$. Otherwise, we choose $c > 1$ with $c\beta(M, W) = \beta(M, c^{-1}W) \geq 1$ and replace W by $c^{-1}W$.

Let $a > \beta(M, W)$. Then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_m \in M$ such that $M \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^m (x_i + aW)$.

Let $y \in \text{co } M$. Then there are $y_k \in M, c_k \geq 0$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) with $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k = 1$, so that $y = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k y_k$. Since $y_k \in M$ ($k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$), there exists x_{i_k}

($i_k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) such that $y_k - x_{i_k} \in aW$. We put $z := \sum_{k=1}^n c_k x_{i_k}$. Then $z \in \text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $y - z = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k (y_k - x_{i_k}) \in \text{co}(aW \cap (K - K)) \subseteq aV$, because $K - K$ is starshaped and $a \geq 1$.

From the precompactness of the set $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ it follows that there exists $\{z_1, \dots, z_p\} \subseteq \text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ such that $\text{co}\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^p (z_j + aV)$.

Hence, there is $j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ such that $y - z_j = y - z + z - z_j \in a(V + V) \subseteq aU$. Therefore, we have $\beta(\text{co } M, U) \leq a$ and finally $\beta(\text{co } M, U) \leq \beta(M, W)$. ■

From Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain the

Corollary. *Let $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \chi, J\}$ and assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 2 are satisfied. Then, for every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ there exists $W \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ such that*

$$\gamma(\text{co } M, U) \leq \gamma(M, W) \text{ for all } M \subseteq K.$$

Let K be a nonempty bounded and convex subset of a paranormed space. Hądźić proved in [5] that the inner Hausdorff's and the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness satisfy the condition (2) from Definition 1, if K is of Z_φ -type and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a right continuous and a continuous mapping, respectively. Special results of this kind can be found in [4], [6] and [7]. Using Lemma 2 and the Corollary, we shall prove an analogous statement in general topological vector spaces.

Proposition 2. *Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in b(E)$ which is of Zima's type and starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$, and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}} \in \{\alpha_{\mathfrak{U}}, \chi_{\mathfrak{U}}, J_{\mathfrak{U}}\}$. Then there is a mapping $\varphi^* : \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ such that $\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a φ^* -measure of noncompactness on K .*

PROOF : From Proposition 1 and the remark following it, it follows that $\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}$ satisfies the condition (1) of Definition 1.

We prove (2) of Definition 1. For every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$, we can choose some $W_U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ (fixed) such that $\gamma(\text{co } M, U) \leq \gamma(M, W_U)$ for every $M \subseteq K$ (Lemma 2, Corollary). By $\nu(U) := W_U (U \in \mathfrak{U}(E))$, we define a mapping $\nu : \mathfrak{U}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}(E)$. Then we have $[\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M) \circ \nu](U) = [\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M)](W_U) \quad (U \in \mathfrak{U}(E), M \subseteq K)$.

Put $\varphi^*(f) := f \circ \nu$ for every $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}}$. Then φ^* is a mapping of $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ into $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{U}}$. Since

$$[\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(\text{co } M)](U) = \gamma(\text{co } M, U) \leq \gamma(M, W_U) = [\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M)](W_U) = [\varphi^*(\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M))](U)$$

for every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ and every $M \subseteq K$, we obtain

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(\text{co } M) \leq \varphi^*(\gamma_{\mathfrak{U}}(M)) \quad (M \subseteq K).$$

■

Remark. Of course $\beta_{\mathfrak{U}}$ satisfies (2) of Definition 1 relative to φ^* , too. However $\beta_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is not, in general, a φ^* -measure of noncompactness ([1, p. 404]).

3. Fixed point theorems.

Let E be a topological vector space, $M \subseteq E$ and $K \subseteq E$. We consider multivalued mappings of the kind $F : M \rightarrow 2^K$. A point $x \in M$ will be called a fixed point,

iff $x \in F(x)$. $F : M \rightarrow 2^K$ is said to be upper semicontinuous, iff for every closed subset A of K the set $F^{-1}(A) := \{x \in M : F(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ is closed in M . We say that $F : M \rightarrow 2^K$ is compact, iff F is upper semicontinuous and $\overline{F(M)}$ is compact. Finally, a mapping $G : M \rightarrow K$ will be called a generalized contraction ([9, Definition 2.3]), iff for every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ there exists a real function q_U with $0 < \sup\{(q_U/[a, b])(c) : c \in [a, b]\} < 1$ ($0 \leq a < b < \infty$) such that we have

$$p_U(G(x) - G(y)) \leq q_U(p_U(x - y))p_U(x - y)$$

for all $x \in M, y \in M$.

Definition 3 ([4, Definition 6]). Let E be a topological vector space, $M \in 2^E, K \in 2^E, M \subseteq K, F : M \rightarrow \text{cc}K$ an upper semicontinuous mapping, $\varphi : A \rightarrow A$ (see Definition 1) and γ a φ -measure of noncompactness on K . We call F a (φ, γ) -condensing mapping, iff for every $N \subseteq M$ the following implication holds:

$$\gamma(N) \leq \varphi(\gamma(F(N))) \Rightarrow \overline{F(N)} \text{ is compact.}$$

Remark. If $\varphi(t) = c \cdot t$ ($t \in A$), where $c \geq 1$, then F is said to be γ -pseudo-condensing ([6, Definition 5]). Using a theorem of Jefrosky ([8, Folgerung 4.3.5]), the following theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem 1 from [5].

Theorem 1. *Let E be a topological vector space and K an admissible subset of E with $K \in \text{fucc}(E)$ which is starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$. Let $U \subseteq K$ be an in K closed neighbourhood of u , γ a φ -measure of noncompactness on K and $F : U \rightarrow \text{cc}(K)$ a (φ, γ) -condensing mapping with*

$$x \notin tF(x) + (1-t)u \quad (x \in \delta_K U, t \in (0, 1)).$$

Then F has a fixed point.

Hadžić stated in [5] a special variant of Theorem 1 for a convex subset K of a paranormed space, where K is a special set of Zima's type (Corollary to Theorem 1 from [5]). The following Corollary is a generalization of this result. Since every set of Zima's type is an admissible set, we obtain (using Proposition 2 to Theorem 1) the following

Corollary. *Let E be a topological vector space and $K \in \text{fucc}(E) \cap \mathcal{b}(E)$ which is of Zima's type and starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$. Let $U \subseteq K$ be an in K closed neighbourhood of u , $\gamma \in \{\alpha_U, \chi_U, J_U\}$, φ^* the mapping defined in the proof of Proposition 2 and $F : U \rightarrow \text{cc}(K)$ a (φ^*, γ) -condensing mapping with*

$$x \notin tF(x) + (1-t)u \quad (x \in \delta_K U, t \in (0, 1)).$$

Then F has a fixed point.

The next statement can be proved in the same way as Theorem 2(5) from [7].

Theorem 2. Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in 2^E$ a pseudoconvex and locally convex set, γ a φ -measure of noncompactness on K and $F : K \rightarrow cc(K)$ a (φ, γ) -condensing mapping. Then there exists $x \in K$ such that $x \in F(x)$.

Corollary. Let E be a topological vector space, $K \in b(E)$ of Zima's type and starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$. Let φ^* be the mapping constructed in the proof of Proposition 2, let $\gamma \in \{\alpha_{\mathcal{U}}, \chi_{\mathcal{U}}, J_{\mathcal{U}}\}$ and $F : K \rightarrow cc(K)$ a (φ^*, γ) -condensing mapping. Then F has a fixed point.

PROOF : Since every set of Zima's type is a locally convex set ([6, Proposition 1]) and every starshaped set is pseudoconvex, the assertion follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 2. ■

Hahn gave nontrivial examples of χ -pseudo-condensing mappings in paranormed spaces in [6] and [7], where χ is the in metric spaces well-known Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness. Now we shall give an example of a $(\varphi^*, J_{\mathcal{U}})$ -condensing mapping in a general topological vector space.

Proposition 3. Let E be a topological vector space, $M \in 2^E, K \in 2^E, M \subseteq K$ and $co K \in b(E)$. Moreover, let $F : M \rightarrow 2^K$ be a mapping with the following properties:

- (1) $F = F_1 + F_2$,
- (2) $F_1 : M \rightarrow K$ is a generalized contraction,
- (3) $F_2 : M \rightarrow 2^K$ is compact.

Then for every $U \in \mathcal{U}(E)$, every $V \in \mathcal{U}(E)$ with $V + V \subseteq U$ and for every $N \subseteq M$, the inequality

$$J(F(N), U) \leq \sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot J(N, V)$$

holds.

PROOF : Suppose that $J(F_1(N), V) > a > 0$. Then there exists a subset $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of N such that

$$p_V(F_1(x_i) - F_1(x_k)) > a \text{ for } i \neq k.$$

Because N is bounded, we have the estimate

$$0 \leq p_V(x_i - x_k) \leq \sup\{p_V(x - y) : x, y \in N\} < \infty \text{ for all } i, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since F_1 is a generalized contraction, there is

$$\begin{aligned} a < p_V(F_1(x_i) - F_1(x_k)) &\leq q_V(p_V(x_i - x_k))p_V(x_i - x_k) \\ &\leq \sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot p_V(x_i - x_k) \text{ for } i \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$J(F_1(N), V) \leq \sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot J(N, V).$$

Since $F(N) \subseteq F_1(N) + F_2(N)$ and $\overline{F_2(N)}$ is compact, now we obtain from Proposition 1

$$J(F(N), U) \leq J(F_1(N), V) + J(F_2(N), V) \leq \sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot J(N, V).$$

■

Proposition 4. Let E be a quasicomplete topological vector space, $K \in b(E)$ which is of Zima's type and starshaped, relative to some $u \in K$, $M \in 2^K$, $\varphi^* : \mathfrak{F}_U \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_U$ the mapping constructed in the proof of Proposition 2 and $F : M \rightarrow cc(K)$ a mapping with the properties (1), (2), (3) from Proposition 3. Moreover, for every $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$, every $V \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ with $V + V \subseteq U$ and every $N \subseteq M$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot J(N, V) \leq \sup\{q_U(p_U(x - y)) : x, y \in N\} \cdot J(N, U)$,
- (2) $[\varphi^*(J_U(F(N)))](U) < [\sup\{q_U(p_U(x - y)) : x, y \in N\}]^{-1} \cdot J(F(N), U)$, if $J(F(N), U) > 0$.

Then F is a (φ^*, J_U) -condensing mapping.

Remark. (1) and (2) are conditions on the real functions q_U and q_V which characterize the mapping F_1 . Especially, (2) can be compared with the properties of the contractions in Proposition 4 from [6] and Theorem 3 from [7].

Proof of Proposition 4. The mapping J_U is a φ^* -measure of noncompactness on K (Proposition 2). Let $N \subseteq M$ and

$$(i) \quad \varphi^*(J_U(F(N))) \geq J_U(N)$$

We suppose that $\overline{F(N)}$ is not compact. Since E is quasicomplete, there exists $U \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ such that $J(F(N), U) > 0$. We choose a neighbourhood $V \in \mathfrak{U}(E)$ with $V + V \subseteq U$. From (1), (2) and Proposition 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(N, U) &\geq \frac{\sup\{q_V(p_V(x - y)) : x, y \in N\}}{\sup\{q_U(p_U(x - y)) : x, y \in N\}} \cdot J(N, V) \geq \\ &\geq [\sup\{q_U(p_U(x - y)) : x, y \in N\}]^{-1} \cdot J(F(N), U) > [\varphi^*(J_U(F(N)))](U), \end{aligned}$$

contradictory to (i). Therefore, $\overline{F(N)}$ is compact and F is a (φ^*, J_U) -condensing mapping. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Daneš J., *On the Istrătescu's measure of noncompactness*, Bull. Math. Soc. R.S. Roumanie **16**(64) (1972), 403-406.
- [2] Daneš J., *On densifying and related mappings and their application in nonlinear functional analysis*, in Theory of Nonlinear Operators, Proceedings of a Summer School 1972, Neuen-dorf, GDR (1974), 15-55.
- [3] Hadžić O., *Fixed Point Theory in Topological Vector Spaces*, Novi Sad, 1984.
- [4] Hadžić O., *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in not necessarily locally convex topological vector spaces*, Zb. rad. Prir.- mat. fak. Novi Sad, ser. mat. **14**, 2 (1984).
- [5] Hadžić O., *Some properties of measures of noncompactness in paranormed spaces*, Proc. of the American Math. Soc. **102** (1988), 843-849.
- [6] Hahn S., *A fixed point theorem for multivalued condensing mappings in general topological vector spaces*, Zb. rad. Prir.- mat. fak. Novi Sad, ser. mat. **15** (1985), 97-106.
- [7] Hahn S., *Fixpunktsätze für limeskompakte mengenwertige Abbildungen in nicht notwendig lokalkonvexen topologischen Vektorräumen*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **27** (1986), 189-204.

- [8] Jerofsky T., *Zur Fixpunkttheorie mengenwertiger Abbildungen*, Dissertation A, TU Dresden, 1983.
- [9] Sadovski B.N., *On measures of noncompactness and densifying operators*, Probl. Mat. Anal. Slozhn. Sistem (Voronezh Gos. Univ.) **2** (1968), 89–119, (in Russian).
- [10] Sadovski B.N., *Asymptotically compact and densifying operators*, Usp. Mat. Nauk **27** (1972), No. 1, 81–146, (in Russian).

Sektion Mathematik der Pädagogischen Hochschule "Karl Friedrich Wilhelm Wander", Wigardstrasse 17, 8060 Dresden, DDR

(Received December 15, 1989)