

Zuzana Došlá; Ondřej Došlý

General uniqueness theorems for ordinary differential equations

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 16 (1980), No. 4, 217--223

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107077>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

GENERAL UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ZUZANA TESAŘOVÁ, ONDŘEJ DOŠLÝ, Brno
(Received October 1, 1979)

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider an initial value problem

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

where x, f are n -dimensional vectors.

The aim of the present paper is to prove new general uniqueness theorems for the initial value problem (1) and to obtain the well-known uniqueness criteria (especially Lakshmikantham [6], Brauer and Sternberg [3], Brauer [4], Kamke [5], Borůvka [2], by choosing special functions in our theorems.

Notation. Let R and R^n be the real number system and the Euclidean n -space, respectively. Define $R^+ = [0, \infty)$, $R^- = (-\infty, 0]$. By $\|\cdot\|$ we denote any convenient norm in R^n ; $\|\cdot\|_e$, and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Euclidean norm in R^n and in R , respectively. By D^+, D_+ we denote Dini derivatives. For the notation of the inner product in R^n we use the sign \cdot . Let $C[D_1; D_2]$ be the class of all continuous functions $f: D_1 \rightarrow D_2$ and let $f(t) = o(g(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$ mean $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_{0+}} f(t)/g(t) = 0$.

Finally, if $t_0 < t^*$, $b > 0$, we put

$$R_0 = \{(t, x) : t_0 < t \leq t^*, \|x - x_0\| \leq b\},$$

$$R_0 = \{(t, x, y) : t_0 < t \leq t^* + \varepsilon, \|x - x_0\| \leq b + \varepsilon, \|y - x_0\| \leq b + \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

Definition. Let $t_0 < t^*$ and $f(t, x) \in C[R_0; R^n]$. We say that a function $x(t)$ is a solution of the initial value problem (1) on $[t_0, t^*]$, if $x(t) \in C[[t_0, t^*]; R^n]$ such that $x(t_0) = x_0$, and $x'(t) = f(t, x(t))$ for $t \in (t_0, t^*)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Suppose*

(i) $f(t, x) \in C[R_0; R^n]$;

(ii) *there exist a positive function $B(t) \in C[(t_0, t^*); R^+]$ and a function $g(t, u) \in C[(t_0, t^*) \times R^+; R]$ such that for every $t_1 \in (t_0, t^*)$ there is $u(t) \equiv 0$ the only differentiable function satisfying*

$$(2) \quad u'(t) = g(t, u(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_1),$$

$$(3) \quad u(t) = o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+};$$

(iii) *there exists a function $V(t, x, y) \in C[R_0; R^+]$ such that $V(t, x, y)$ is locally Lipschitzian in x, y for $(t, x, y) \in R_0$. Let any two solutions of (1) $x(t), y(t)$ fulfil*

$$V(t, x(t), y(t)) \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow x(t) \equiv y(t) \text{ on } (t_0, t^*];$$

(iv) *for $(t, x), (t, y) \in R_0, x \neq y, t < t^*$ there is satisfied the condition*

$$(4) \quad D_{+f}V(t, x, y) \leq g(t, V(t, x, y)),$$

where

$$(5) \quad D_{+f}V(t, x, y) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{V(t+h, x+hf(t, x), y+hf(t, y)) - V(t, x, y)}{h}.$$

Then, for any pair of solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1) such that $x(t) \neq y(t)$ on the common interval of their existence, there holds the condition

$$(6) \quad V(t, x(t), y(t)) \neq o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+}.$$

Proof. Suppose there are two different solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of the problem (1) on $[t_0, t_1] \subset [t_0, t^*]$ satisfying $V(t, x(t), y(t)) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$. Define $m(t) = V(t, x(t), y(t))$ for $t \in (t_0, t_1)$. Then there holds

$$\begin{aligned} D_{+}m(t) &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{1}{h} \{m(t+h) - m(t)\} = \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{1}{h} \{V(t+h, x(t+h), y(t+h)) - V(t, x(t), y(t))\} = \\ &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0_+} \frac{1}{h} \{V(t+h, x(t) + hf(t, x(t)) + o(h), y(t) + hf(t, y(t)) + o(h)) - \\ &\quad - V(t, x(t), y(t))\} \leq D_{+f}V(t, x(t), y(t)) \leq \\ &\quad \leq g(t, V(t, x(t), y(t))) = g(t, m(t)) \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that $m(t) \neq 0$. Define $m(t_0) = 0$. Because of $x(t) \neq y(t)$ on $[t_0, t_1]$ there exists some $c \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that $V(c, x(c), y(c)) = m(c) > 0$.

Let $r(t)$ be the left minimal solution of the problem

$$(7) \quad u' = g(t, u), \quad u(c) = m(c).$$

It is easy to see that $r(t) \leq m(t)$ for $t \in (t_2, c)$ where $t_2 = \sup \{t \in [t_0, c) : m(t) = 0\}$. If $t_2 > t_0$ then $r(t) \equiv 0$ on (t_0, t_2) . Consequently we obtain $0 \leq r(t) \leq m(t)$ on (t_0, c) .

Since $m(t) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$, we get $r(t) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$. This together with (ii) implies

$$r(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } (t_0, c),$$

contradicting the assumption $r(c) = m(c) > 0$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose*

(i) $f(t, x) \in C[\mathbb{R}_0; \mathbb{R}^n]$;

(ii) *there exist functions* $B_i(t) \in C[(t_0, t^*); \mathbb{R}^+]$, $i = 1, 2$ *and functions* $g_1(t, u) \in C[(t_0, t^*) \times \mathbb{R}^+; \mathbb{R}]$, $g_2(t, u) \in C[(t_0, t^*) \times \mathbb{R}^-; \mathbb{R}]$ *such that for every* $t_1 \in (t_0, t^*)$ *there are* $u_i(t) \equiv 0$ *the only differentiable functions satisfying*

$$(-1)^{i-1} u_i(t) \geq 0$$

$$(8) \quad u_i'(t) = g_i(t, u_i(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_1),$$

$$(9) \quad u_i(t) = o(B_i(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+},$$

where $i = 1, 2$;

(iii) *the hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied except* $V(t, x, y) \in C[\mathbb{R}_0; \mathbb{R}^+]$ *is replaced by* $V(t, x, y) \in C[\mathbb{R}_0; \mathbb{R}]$;

(iv) *for* $(t, x), (t, y) \in \mathbb{R}_0$, $x \neq y$, $t < t^*$ *there are fulfilled the conditions*

$$(10) \quad D_{+f} V(t, x, y) \leq g_1(t, V(t, x, y)),$$

$$(11) \quad D_f^+ V(t, x, y) \geq g_2(t, V(t, x, y)),$$

where

$$(12) \quad D_f^+ V(t, x, y) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{h} \{V(t+h, x+hf(t, x), y+hf(t, y)) - V(t, x, y)\}$$

and $D_{+f} V(t, x, y)$ is defined by (5).

Then, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is valid for $B(t) = \min \{B_1(t), B_2(t)\}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.

Put $m(t) = V(t, x(t), y(t))$, where $x(t), y(t)$ are two different solutions of the problem (1) on $[t_0, t_1] \subset [t_0, t^*]$. Then there exists some $c \in (t_0, t_1)$ so that $m(c) \neq 0$. It follows from the relations (10) and (11) that

$$D_{+} m(t) \leq g_1(t, m(t))$$

and

$$D^+ m(t) \geq g_2(t, m(t))$$

for every $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that $m(t) \neq 0$. Define $m(t_0) = 0$.

First consider the case $m(c) < 0$. Let $r_2(t)$ be the left maximal solution of the problem

$$u' = g_2(t, u), \quad u(c) = m(c).$$

There holds $r_2(t) \geq m(t)$ for $t \in (t_2, c)$, where $t_2 = \sup \{t \in [t_0, c) : m(t) = 0\}$. If $t_2 > t_0$ then $r_2(t) \equiv 0$ on (t_0, t_2) . Then we obtain $|r_2(t)| \leq |m(t)|$ on (t_0, c) .

Since $m(t) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$, we have $m(t) = o(B_2(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$. Thus $r_2(t) = o(B_2(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_{0+}$. This together with the assumption (ii) implies

$$r_2(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } (t_0, c),$$

contradicting $r_2(c) < 0$.

The case $m(c) > 0$ contradicts the assumption $r_1(c) > 0$, where $r_1(t)$ is the left minimal solution of the problem

$$u' = g_1(t, u), \quad u(c) = m(c).$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 1. Assuming in the previous theorems in addition that for any two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of the problem (1) there holds

$$V(t, x(t), y(t)) = o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_{0+},$$

then the initial value problem (1) has at most one solution.

Corollary 1. Let $a > 0, b > 0$ and $D : t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + a, \|x - x_0\|_e \leq b$. Assume

(i) $f(t, x) \in C[D; R^n]$;

(ii) $g(t, u) \in C[(t_0, t_0 + a] \times [0, 2b]; R^+]$ and $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only function such that

$$u' = g(t, u) \quad \text{for } t \in (t_0, t_0 + a],$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_{0+}} \frac{u(t)}{t - t_0} = 0;$$

(iii) for $(t, x), (t, y) \in D, t \neq t_0, x \neq y$ there holds

$$\frac{1}{\|x - y\|_e} (f(t, x) - f(t, y)) \cdot (x - y) \leq g(t, \|x - y\|_e).$$

Then, the initial value problem (1) has the unique solution.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $g(t, u) \in C[[t_0, t_0 + a] \times R^+; R]$. Set $V(t, x, y) = \|x - y\|_e, B(t) = t - t_0$.

For $(t, x), (t, y) \in D, t \neq t_0, x \neq y$ we get

$$D_{+,f}V(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\|x - y\|_e} (x - y) \cdot (f(t, x) - f(t, y)) \leq g(t, V(t, x, y)).$$

Since for every solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1) the condition

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0+} \frac{\|x(t) - y(t)\|_e}{t - t_0} = 0$$

is satisfied, in view of Remark 1 we obtain the statement of Corollary 1.

Remark 2. Denote $J = \{x \in R^n : \|x - x_0\| \leq b\}$ and replace the assumption (ii) in Theorem 1 by

(ii') there exist a positive function $B(t) \in C[(t_0, t^*); R^+]$ and a function $g(t, v, u) \in C[(t_0, t^*) \times J \times R^+; R]$ such that for every solution $x(t)$ of the problem (1) and for every $t_1 \in (t_0, t^*)$, $u(t) \equiv 0$ is the only function for which

$$(2') \quad u'(t) = g(t, x(t), u(t)) \quad \text{on } (t_0, t_1),$$

$$(3') \quad u(t) = o(B(t)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_0+.$$

Then, the conclusion of Theorem 1 is valid. As well the proof remains without an essential change.

Remark 3. If $V(t, x, y) = \|x - y\|$ and $f(t, x)$ is continuous only for $t > t_0$, then the choice $B(t) = 1$ in Theorem 1 gives the uniqueness of the problem (1).

Moreover if the function $f(t, x)$ is bounded on D , then it is possible to choose $B(t) = (t - t_0)^k$, where $k \in (-\infty, 1)$.

3. APPLICATIONS

To obtain uniqueness criteria as the corollaries of Theorem 1, resp. Theorem 2, it is sufficient to prove that there hold (i) the assumptions of Theorem 1, resp. Theorem 2, and (ii) the relation $V(t, x(t), y(t)) = o(B(t))$ as $t \rightarrow t_0+$ for any two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of the problem (1).

The following table shows how to obtain the well-know uniqueness criteria by selecting functions in general theorems. We use the notation quoted in the mentioned literature.

Remark 4. In the original paper by Brauer and Sternberg [3] the uniqueness is asserted unless the assumption $V(t, x(t) - y(t)) = o(t - t_0)$ as $t \rightarrow t_0+$ for any two solutions $x(t), y(t)$ of (1) would be assumed. The following example shows non-validity of this statement.

Consider the initial value problem $x' = 2\frac{x}{t}$, $x(0) = 0$. Put $V(t, x) = \frac{|x|}{t^2}$, $g(t, x) = \frac{x}{t}$. It is easy to prove the validity of the assumptions of Theorem of Brauer and Sternberg. However, this problem has different solutions $x_1(t) = t^2$, $x_2(t) \equiv 0$.

Remark 5. Theorem of Borůvka [2] is the corollary of Theorem 1 and Remark 2.

Theorem 1.				
Author	$B(t)$	$g(t, u)$	$V(t, x, y)$	
Kamke (1930) [5]	$t - t_0$	$g(t, u)$	$\ x - y\ $	
Okamura (1942) [9]	1	0	$V(t, x - y)$	
Borůvka (1956) [2]	1	$\Phi(t, u, v)$	$\varphi(t, x, y)$	
Perov (1958) [10]	1	$a(t)L(u)$	$\ x - y\ /(t - t_0)^k$	
Brauer, Sternberg (1958) [3]	$t - t_0$	$\omega(t, u)$	$V(t, x - y)$	
Brauer (1959) [4]	$B(t)$	$\psi_2(t, u)$	$ x - y $	
Lakshmikantham (1962) [6]	$B(t)$	$h(t, u)$	$ x - y $	
Moyer (1966) [8]	1	0	$e^{W(t, \ x-y\)}$	
Witte (1974) [11]	$\int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{ds} \left[\exp \int_{t_0+s}^s h(u) du \right] ds$	$h(t)u$	$ x - y $	
Lemmert (1975) [7]	$\exp \int_{t_0}^t h(s) ds$	$h(t)u$	$ x - y $	
Theorem 2.				
Author	$B_i(t) \ i = 1, 2$	$g_1(t, u)$	$g_2(t, u)$	$V(t, x, y)$
Antosiewicz 1962 [1]	1	$\omega_2(t, u)$	$\omega_1(t, u)$	$V(t, x - y)$

REFERENCES

- [1] Antosiewicz, H. A.: *An inequality for approximate solutions of differential equations*, Math. Zeit. 78 (1962), 44—52.
- [2] Borůvka, O.: *Über eine Verallgemeinerung der Eindeutigkeitsätze für Integrale der Differentialgleichung $y' = f(x, y)$* , Acta Facultatis Rerum Natur. Univ. Comen. 1956, 155—167.
- [3] Brauer, F., Sternberg, S.: *Local uniqueness, existence in the large and the convergence of successive approximations*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 421—430.
- [4] Brauer, F.: *Some results on uniqueness and successive approximations*, Canad. J. Math. 11 (1959), 527—533.
- [5] Kamke, E.: *Über die eindeutige Bestimmtheit der Integrale von Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Zeit. 32 (1930), 101—107.

- [6] Lakshmikantham, V.: *Uniqueness theorems for ordinary and hyperbolic differential equations*, Michigan Math. J. 9 (1962), 161—166 .
- [7] Lemmert, R.: *Über einen Satz von Witte*, Math. Zeit. 145 (1975), 289.
- [8] Meyer, R. D.: *A general uniqueness theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 602—607.
- [9] Okamura, H.: *Condition nécessaire et suffisant remplie par les equations différentielles ordinaires points de Peano*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Kyoto Univ. A 24 (1942), 55—61.
- [10] Перов, А. И.: *О теоремах единственности для обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений*, Доклады Академии наук СССР 120 (1958), 704—707.
- [11] Witte, J.; *Uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations $y' = f(x, y)$* , Math. Zeit. 140 (1974), 281—287.

Z. Tesařová, O. Došlý
 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a
 Czechoslovakia