

Vincent Šoltés

Asymptotic properties of solutions of an n -th order nonlinear differential equation with deviating argument

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 17 (1981), No. 1, 59--63

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107091>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF AN n -TH ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENT

VINCENT ŠOLTÉS, Košice

(Received May 28, 1979)

The present paper is devoted to the investigation of an n -th order nonlinear differential equation with deviating argument

$$(1) \quad (r_{n-1}(t)(r_{n-2}(t)(\dots(r_2(t)(r_1(t)y')')\dots)'))' + a(t)f(y(g(t))) = b(t),$$

where $a(t), b(t), g(t), r_1(t), \dots, r_{n-1}(t)$ are continuous on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ and $f(y)$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. In [1], sufficient conditions are given for any non-oscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (1) to converge to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (Theorem 3). We shall demonstrate that it is possible to prove this theorem under weaker assumptions. In addition, there will be given further sufficient conditions for a non-oscillatory solution of (1) to converge to zero asymptotically as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

We shall assume throughout that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty; \\ (b) \quad & yf(y) > 0 \quad \text{for } y \neq 0; \\ (c) \quad & a(t) \geq 0, r_i(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \varrho_i(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{\varrho_{i-1}(s)}{r_i(s)} ds, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, (\varrho_0(t) \equiv 1); \\ (b) \quad & \tau_j^{(i)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\tau_{j-1}^{(i)}(s)}{r_{n-i-j+1}(s)} ds, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ & 2 \leq i+j \leq n, \quad (\tau_0^{(i)} \equiv 1); \\ (c) \quad & G_0(t) = y(t), G_i(t) = r_i(t) G'_{i-1}(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall consider solutions of (1) existing on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$.

Theorem 1. Let

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n-i}^{(i)}(t) < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} b(t) dt \right| < \infty,$$

then every non-oscillatory solution $y(t)$ of (1) is bounded on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1). Suppose that $y(t) > 0$ for every $t \geq t_1$. Because of (2a) there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $g(t) \geq t_1$ for $t \geq t_2$. Thus $y(g(t)) > 0$ for every $t \geq t_2$. Using (3c) and integrating (1) from t_2 to $t \geq t_2$ we get

$$(5) \quad G_{n-1}(t) - G_{n-1}(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t a(s) f(y(g(s))) ds = \int_{t_2}^t b(s) ds.$$

Since — because of (2b) and (2c) the first integral of (5) is positive and the second one bounded, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$G_{n-1}(t) = r_{n-1}(t) G'_{n-2}(t) \leq K \quad \text{for every } t \geq t_2.$$

Dividing the last inequality by $r_{n-1}(t)$ and integrating from t_2 to t , we get

$$G_{n-2}(t) \leq G_{n-2}(t_2) + K \int_{t_2}^t \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} ds \leq G_{n-2}(t_2) + K\tau_1^{(1)}(t).$$

Dividing this by $r_{n-2}(t)$ and integrating from t_2 to t , we get — using (3b):

$$G_{n-3}(t) \leq G_{n-3}(t_2) + G_{n-2}(t_2) \tau_1^{(2)}(t) + K\tau_2^{(1)}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_2.$$

After $(n-3)$ successive applications of this method we get

$$G_0(t) = y(t) \leq G_0(t_2) + G_1(t_2) \tau_1^{(n-1)}(t) + G_2(t_2) \tau_2^{(n-2)}(t) + \dots + \\ + G_{n-2}(t_2) \tau_{n-2}^{(2)}(t) + K\tau_{n-1}^{(1)}(t) \quad \text{for every } t \geq t_2.$$

Owing to the assumption (4) this means that $y(t)$ is bounded.

If $y(t) < 0$ for every $t \geq t_1$, the proof is analogous. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2. Let $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_i(t) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, moreover, let (4) and (6) hold,

$$(6) \quad \liminf_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |f(y)| > 0.$$

If

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \varrho_{n-1}(t) a(t) dt = \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} |b(t)| dt < \infty,$$

then every non-oscillatory solution of (1) converges to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold, every non-oscillatory solution of (1) is bounded on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$. The proof can continue on the same lines as that of Theorem 3 of [1].

Remark. One of the consequences of Theorem 2 is that the condition

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{r_i(t)} < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

in Theorem 3 of [1] can be replaced by a more general condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho_i(t) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{n-i}^{(i)}(t) < \infty$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Example 1. Consider the equations

$$(7) \quad (2\sqrt{t}(t(t^2y')'))' + t^5\sqrt{t}y^3(t) = \frac{61\sqrt{t}}{t^4}, \quad t > 0,$$

and

$$(8) \quad (2\sqrt{t}(t(t^2y')'))' + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}y^7(\beta t) = \beta^{-1} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{t}}{t^8}, \quad t > 0,$$

where β is a positive constant. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_1(t) &= \frac{1}{t}, & \varrho_2(t) &= \frac{1}{t}, & \varrho_3(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}, & \tau_1^{(3)}(t) &= -\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t_0}, \\ \tau_2^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{\ln t}{t} + \frac{\ln t_0}{t} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t_0}, \\ \tau_3^{(1)}(t) &= -\frac{4}{\sqrt{t}} + \sqrt{t_0} \frac{\ln t}{t} - \frac{\sqrt{t_0}}{t} + \frac{\sqrt{t_0} \ln t_0}{t} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{t_0}} + \frac{\ln t_0}{\sqrt{t_0}} - \ln \sqrt{t_0} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied, every non-oscillatory solution of (7) and (8) converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The equations do have non-oscillatory solutions: $y(t) = t^{-3}$ for (7), $y(t) = t^{-2}$ for (8).

Theorem 3. Suppose that (6) holds and that in addition

$$(9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau_j^{(1)}(t) = \infty \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

If

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} a(t) dt = \infty, \quad \left| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} b(t) dt \right| < \infty,$$

then, for every non-oscillatory solution of (1),

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = 0.$$

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a non-oscillatory solution of (1). Suppose e.g. that $y(g(t)) > 0$ for $t > t_1$, and that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = c > 0$. Then there exists $t_2 \geq t_1$ such that $y(g(t)) > \frac{c}{2}$ for every $t \geq t_2$. Since $f(y)$ is continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and (2b) and (6) hold, there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $f(y(g(t))) > K$ for every $t \geq t_2$. For every $t \geq t_2$, (1) yields

$$G'_{n-1}(t) \leq b(t) - Ka(t).$$

Integrating this from t_2 to $t \geq t_2$, we get

$$G_{n-1}(t) \leq G_{n-1}(t_2) + \int_{t_2}^t b(s) ds - K \int_{t_2}^t a(s) ds.$$

By hypothesis there exists positive constant A_1 such that, for every $t \geq t_3 \geq t_2$,

$$G'_{n-2}(t) \leq +A_1 \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(t)}.$$

Integrating this from t_3 to $t \geq t_3$, we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n-2}(t) &\leq G_{n-2}(t_3) - A_1 \int_{t_3}^t \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} ds = \\ &= G_{n-2}(t_3) + A_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \frac{1}{r_{n-1}(s)} ds - A_1 \tau_1^{(1)}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Owing to (9), there exists a positive constant A_2 such that for every $t \geq t_4 \geq t_3$,

$$G'_{n-3}(t) \leq -A_2 \frac{\tau_1^{(1)}(t)}{r_{n-2}(t)}.$$

By successive integrations (and using (9)), we finally obtain

$$G_0(t) = y(t) < -A_n \tau_n^{(1)}(t) \quad \text{for every } t \geq t_{n+1}.$$

It follows that $y(t) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. Thus necessarily $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = 0$.

For $y(t) < 0$ the proof is analogous.

This completes the proof.

Example 2. Consider the equation

$$(10) \quad (\sqrt{t}(t\sqrt{t}y''))' + ty^3(t) = \frac{1}{2t^2}, \quad t > 0.$$

In this case $\tau_1^{(1)}(t) = 2\sqrt{t} - 2\sqrt{t_0}$,

$$\tau_2^{(1)}(t) = 2 \ln t + \frac{4\sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{t}} - 2 \ln t_0 - 4,$$

$$\tau_3^{(1)}(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\tau_2^{(1)}(s)}{r_1(s)} ds = \int_{t_0}^t \tau_2^{(1)}(s) ds.$$

Thus the assumption (9) is satisfied as well as the other hypotheses of Theorem 3. Thus $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |y(t)| = 0$ for every non-oscillatory solution of (10), which does have a non-oscillatory solution, namely $y(t) = t^{-1}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kusano, T.—Onose, H.: *Nonoscillation theorems for differential equation with deviating argument*, Pacific J. Math., 63 (1976), 185—192.

V. Šoltés
041 87 Košice, Švermova 9
Czechoslovakia