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ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF EQUATIONS

\[ \dot{z} = q(t, z) - p(t) z^2 \quad \text{AND} \quad \dot{x} = x \phi(t, \dot{x}^{-1}) \]

JOSEF KALAS, Brno
(Received December 10, 1980)

1. INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, a good deal of research activity has been concentrated on the investigation of the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of an equation

\[ \dot{z} = f(t, z), \]

where \( f \) is a complex-valued function of a real variable \( t \) and a complex variable \( z \).

The global asymptotic properties of the Riccati equation

\[ \dot{z} = q(t) - p(t) z^2 \]

are described in detail by M. Ráb in papers [5], [6]. Papers [1], [2], [3], [4] contain a considerable amount of results related to the equation

\[ \dot{z} = G(t, z) \left[ h(z) + g(t, z) \right], \]

where \( G \) is a real-valued function and \( h, g \) are complex-valued functions, \( h \) being holomorphic in certain simply-connected region \( Q \). By virtue of general results referring to the equation (3), in [1], [2], [3] there are derived several results dealing with the global asymptotic character of the equation

\[ \dot{z} = q(t, z) - p(t) z^2. \]

The technique of the proofs of the majority of these results is based on the Liapunov function method and the Wazewski topological principle. Considering the associated Riccati differential equation and using the above methods, M. Ráb studies the asymptotic nature of the solutions of the linear second order differential equation

\[ \ddot{x} + p(t) \dot{x} + q(t) x = 0 \]

with complex-valued coefficients \( p, q \) in paper [7].
The purpose of the present paper is to generalize the results concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of (4) and to extend some results of [7] to an equation

\( \dot{x} = x\varphi(t, \dot{x}x^{-1}) \),

where \( \varphi(t, z) \) is a continuous complex-valued function defined for all real numbers \( t \) and all complex numbers \( z \). In what follows we use the notation from [1] (see also [2], [3], [4]). In particular, \( C \) denotes the set of all complex numbers, \( N \) the set of positive integers, \( I \) the interval \([t_0, \infty)\), \( C(I) \) the class of all continuous real-valued functions defined on the set \( I \), and \( \hat{C}(I) \) the class of all continuous complex-valued functions defined on the set \( I \). By \( \hat{C}^1(I) \) we denote the class of all continuously differentiable complex-valued functions defined on \( I \).

For brevity, we shall omit sometimes the independent variable, writing e.g. \( \alpha \) instead of \( \alpha(t) \) etc. Throughout the paper we shall assume that \( q \in \hat{C}(I \times C), p \in \hat{C}(I) \).

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Suppose that \( \alpha(t), \beta(t) \in \hat{C}^1(I), q(t) \in \hat{C}(I) \) and that \( \beta(t) \neq 0 \) for \( t \in I \). The following lemma can be easily verified and therefore its proof is omitted.

**Lemma.** Put

\[ p = \beta^{-1} + q, \]

\[ q(t, z) = \beta \varphi(t, (z + \alpha) \beta^{-1}) + qz^2 + (\beta - 2\alpha) \beta^{-1}z + (\beta - \alpha) \alpha \beta^{-1} - \alpha. \]

i) A function \( z(t) \) is a solution of (4) defined on an interval \( J \subset I \), if and only if,

\[ z(t) = \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t), \]

where \( x(t) \) is a solution of (6) on \( J \).

ii) A function \( x(t) \) is a solution of (6) defined on \( J \subset I \), if and only if,

\[ x(t) = \Theta \exp \left[ \int \varphi(s) + \alpha(s) \beta^{-1}(s) \right], \]

where \( \Theta \) is a constant different from zero, \( \omega \in J \), and \( z(t) \) is a solution of (4) on \( J \).

In view of Lemma we shall obtain the results concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions of (6) as the immediate consequences of the results referring to the solutions of the equation (4). If \( a, b \in C, b \neq 0, \psi \in C(I) \) and \( \psi(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq t_0 \), then (4) may be written in the form

\[ \dot{z} = \psi(t) \{-2b[(z - a)^2 - b^2] + q(t, z) \psi^{-1}(t) - p(t) \psi^{-1}(t) z^2 + 2b[(z - a)^2 - b^2]} \]

Substituting \( z_1 = z - a - b \) or \( z_2 = z - a + b \), we get
\[ \dot{z}_1 = G_1(t, z_1) [h_1(z_1) + g_1(t, z_1)] \]
or
\[ \dot{z}_2 = G_2(t, z_2) [h_2(z_2) + g_2(t, z_2)] \]
respectively, where
\[ G_1(t, z_1) = G_2(t, z_2) = \psi(t), \]
\[ h_1(z_1) = -2bz_1(z_1 + 2b), \quad h_2(z_2) = -2bz_2(z_2 - 2b), \]
\[ g_1(t, z_1) = q(t, z_1 + a + b) \psi^{-1}(t) - p(t) \psi^{-1}(t) (z_1 + a + b)^2 + 2bz_1(z_1 + 2b), \]
\[ g_2(t, z_2) = q(t, z_2 + a - b) \psi^{-1}(t) - p(t) \psi^{-1}(t) (z_2 + a - b)^2 + 2bz_2(z_2 - 2b). \]

Put
\[ \Omega_1 = \{ z_1 \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} [bz_1] > -|b|^2 \}, \]
\[ \Omega_2 = \{ z_2 \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re} [bz_2] < |b|^2 \}. \]

I. First we shall consider the equation (9.1) on the set \( I \times \Omega_1 \). \( W(z), \lambda_0, K(\lambda_0) \) and \( \bar{K}(\lambda) \) from [1] (see also [2], [3], [4]) are of the following form:
\[ W(z_1) = 2 |b| |z_1| |z_1 + 2b|^{-1}, \quad \lambda_0 = 2 |b|, \]
\[ K(\lambda_0) = \Omega_1, \quad \bar{K}(\lambda) = \{ z_1 \in \Omega_1 : 2 |b| |z_1| = \lambda |z_1 + 2b| \}. \]

For \( t \geq t_0, z_1 \in \Omega_1 \), we get
\[ \text{Re} \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} = \text{Re} \left( g_1(t, z_1) \frac{h_1'(0)}{h_1(z_1)} \right) - 4 |b|^2 = \]
\[ = \psi^{-1}(t) \text{Re} \left\{ \left[ q(t, z_1 + a + b) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 4p(t) (z_1 + a + b) \frac{ab}{z_1(z_1 + 2b)} \right] - 2 \psi^{-1}(t) \text{Re} [bp(t)]. \right\} \]

Suppose there are \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that
\[ | q(t, z_1 + a + b) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 2ap(t) (z_1 + a + b) | \leq | z_1 + b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \]
for \( t \geq t_0, z_1 \in \Omega_1 \). It is clear that \( H_1, H_2 \) must be nonnegative.

1° Assume that
\[ \text{Re} [bp(t)] > 0 \quad \text{for} \ t \geq t_0, \]
\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \text{Re} [bp(t)] \, dt = \infty \]
and
\[ \sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [bp(t)]} < 2 |b|. \]
\( f \delta \leq 2 |b| \) is defined by...
(13) \[ \sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [bp(t)]} = \frac{8\delta |b|^2}{4 |b|^2 + \delta^2}, \]

then \(0 \leq \delta < 2 |b| = \lambda_0\). Put \(\psi(t) \equiv 1\). We have

\[
\Re \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} \leq \left[ |z_1 + b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] \frac{2 |b|}{|z_1| |z_1 + 2b|} - 2 \Re [bp(t)] =
\]

\[= \left[ |z_1 + b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] \frac{W^2(z_1) + 4 |b|^2}{2W(z_1) [ |z_1 + b|^2 + |b|^2]} - 2 \Re [bp(t)]. \]

Denote \(\delta_n = [2 |b| + (2n - 1) \delta] (2n)^{-1}\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and choose \(\xi_n > 1\) so that

(14) \[ \sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{\xi_n |b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [bp(t)]} \leq \frac{8\delta_n |b|^2}{4 |b|^2 + \delta_n^2}. \]

It can be easily verified that there are constants \(\mu_n, \nu_n \in (0, 1)\) such that

\[ \frac{2 |b|}{|z_1 + b|^2 + |b|^2} \leq \mu_n \xi_n, \]

\[ \frac{|b|^2}{|z_1 + b|^2 + |b|^2} \leq \nu_n \xi_n \]

for \(z_1 \in K(\delta_n, \lambda_0) = \{ z_1 \in \Omega_1 : \delta_n < 2 |b| |z_1| |z_1 + 2b|^{-1} < \lambda_0 \}, n \in \mathbb{N}\). Therefore

\[\Re \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} \leq \xi_n [\mu_n |b| H_1(t) + 2\nu_n H_2(t)] \frac{W^2(z_1) + 4 |b|^2}{4 |b|^2 W(z_1)} - 2 \Re [bp(t)] \leq \]

\[\leq \xi_n [\mu_n |b| H_1(t) + 2\nu_n H_2(t)] \frac{W^2(z_1) + 4 |b|^2}{4 |b|^2 W(z_1)} \max (\mu_n, \nu_n) - 2 \Re [bp(t)] \]

for \(t \geq t_0, z_1 \in K(\delta_n, \lambda_0), n \in \mathbb{N}\). Making use of (14) we get

\[\Re \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} \leq 2 \left[ \frac{8\delta_n |b|^2 (W^2(z_1) + 4 |b|^2)}{4 (|b|^2 + \delta_n^2) 8 |b|^2 W(z_1)} \max (\mu_n, \nu_n) - 1 \right] \Re [bp(t)] \leq \]

\[\leq 2 \left[ \max (\mu_n, \nu_n) - 1 \right] \Re [bp(t)]. \]

Now, we can apply Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 of [1], where \(\varrho = \lambda_0, s_n = t_0, G(t, z) \equiv 1, E_n(t) = 2 [\max (\mu_n, \nu_n) - 1] \Re [bp(t)]\) (see also Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 of [4]), thus we obtain the following statement:

If a solution \(z_1(t)\) of (9a) satisfies \(\Re [b z_1(t_1)] > - |b|^2\), where \(t_1 \geq t_0\), then to any \(\varepsilon > \delta\) there is a \(T > 0\) such that \(2 |b| |z_1(t)| < \varepsilon |z_1(t) + 2b|\) for \(t \geq t_1 + T. \)
If, in addition, 
\[ \int_{s}^{s+t} \text{Re} [b p(\tau)] \, d\tau \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty \]

uniformly for \( s \in I \), then \( T \) is independent of \( t \) and of \( z_1(t) \).

2° Suppose that (10), (11) hold and that

\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H_1(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H_2(t) \, dt < \infty. \]

Let \( s_n \geq t_0 \) be such that

\[ \int_{s_n}^{\infty} [1 \, b \, |H_1(t) + H_2(t)|] \, dt < |b| (2ne)^{-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Put \( \psi(t) \equiv 1 \) and \( \delta_n = 2 \, |b| \, (ne)^{-1} \) for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then

\[ \text{Re} \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_1(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} \leq 2 \, |b| \frac{|z_1 + b| H_1(t) + H_2(t)}{|z_1| + |z_1 + 2b|} - 2 \text{Re} [b p(t)] \leq 0 \]

for \( t \geq s_n, z_1 \in K(\delta_n, \lambda_0), n \in \mathbb{N} \). Using Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 of [1], where \( \mathcal{G} = \lambda_0 \), \( G(t, z) \equiv 1 \), \( E_n(t) = 4[|b| H_1(t) + H_2(t)]/\delta_n - 2 \text{Re} [b p(t)] \), we get the assertion:

If a solution \( z_1(t) \) of (9) satisfies

\[ |z_1(t_1)| < \exp \left\{ -\frac{2e}{|b|} \int_{s_1}^{\infty} [1 \, b \, |H_1(t) + H_2(t)|] \, dt \right\} |z_1(t_1) + 2b|, \]

where \( t_1 \geq s_1 \), then

\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} z_1(t) = 0. \]

If, in addition,

\[ \int_{s}^{s+t} \text{Re} [b p(\tau)] \, d\tau \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty \]

uniformly for \( s \in I \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( z_1(t) \) such that \( |z_1(t)| < \varepsilon \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).
3° Suppose there is an \( x > 0 \) such that
\[
\text{Re} \left[ b p(t) \right] \geq x \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0
\]
and assume that
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int H_1(s) \, ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int H_2(s) \, ds = 0.
\]
Put \( \psi(t) \equiv 1 \) and \( \delta_n = 2 \| b \|/(n + 1) \) for \( n \in N \). Denoting
\[
g_{11}(t, z_1) = q(t, z_1 + a + b) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 2ap(t)(z_1 + a + b),
g_{12}(t, z_1) = -2bp(t)z_1 - p(t)z_1^2 + 2b(z_1 + 2b),
\]
we have
\[
g_1(t, z_1) = g_{11}(t, z_1) + g_{12}(t, z_1).
\]
For \( t \geq t_0, z_1 \in K(\delta_n, \lambda_0), n \in N \), we obtain
\[
\text{Re} \left[ g_{11}(t, z_1) \frac{h_1'(0)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \leq \frac{4}{\delta_n} \left[ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right],
\]
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ h_1'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_{12}(t, z_1)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \right\} = -2 \text{Re} [bp(t)] \leq -2x.
\]
Using Remark 2.1 of [1], where \( G(t, z) \equiv 1, \beta = \lambda_0, \Theta_n = -2x, F_n(t) = 4[| b | H_1(t) + H_2(t)]/\delta_n, \sigma_n = t_0 \), we observe that to any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there are sequences \( \{s_n\}, \{E_n(t)\} \) such that \( s_n \geq t_0, E_n \in C(I) \) and the assumptions of Theorem 2.4 of [1] are fulfilled with \( \chi_1 < \varepsilon \), and
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} [\delta_n e^{\chi_n}] = 0.
\]
In view of Theorem 2.4 of [1] we have the assertion:

To any \( \delta^*, 0 < \delta^* < \lambda_0 \), there is an \( S \geq t_0 \) such that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and any solution \( z_1(t) \) of (9.1) satisfying \( 2 \| b \| | z_1(t_1) | < \delta^* | z_1(t_1) + 2b | \), where \( t_1 \geq S \), there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( z_1(t) \) such that \( | z_1(t) | < \varepsilon \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

4° Assume that the conditions (10), (11) and (15) are fulfilled. Put
\[
\psi(t) = \frac{\text{Re} [bp(t)]}{2 \| b \|^2}.
\]
It holds that
\[
W(z_1) \psi(t) \text{Re} \left[ g_1(t, z_1) \frac{h_1'(0)}{h_1(z_1)} \right] \leq \frac{4 \| b \|^2}{| z_1 + 2b |^2} \left[ | z_1 + b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] \leq 2 \left\{ \frac{\| b \|^2 | z_1 |}{| z_1 + 2b |^2} + \frac{\| b \|^2}{| z_1 + 2b |} \right\} H_1(t) + \frac{4 \| b \|^2}{| z_1 + 2b |^2} H_2(t) \leq 4[| b | H_1(t) + H_2(t)]
\]
for \( t \geq t_0, z_1 \in K(0, \lambda_0) \). Applying Theorem 3.3 of [3], where \( \mathcal{G} = \lambda_0, D(t) = G(t, z) = \psi(t), E(t) = 4[| b | H_1(t) + H_2(t)] \), we obtain:

If a solution \( z_1(t) \) of (9.1) satisfies \( \text{Re} [b z_1(t)] > -|b|^2 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \), where \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), then

\[
\int_{t_1}^{\infty} \text{Re} [b p(t)] |z_1(t)| \, dt < \infty
\]

and

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} z_1(t) = 0.
\]

II. Consider the equation (9.2) on the set \( I \times \Omega_2 \). \( W(z), \lambda_0, K(\lambda_0) \) and \( \mathcal{K}(\lambda) \) from [1]

are of the following form:

\[
W(z_2) = 2 |b| |z_2| |z_2 - 2b|^{-1}, \quad \lambda_0 = 2 |b|, \quad K(\lambda_0) = \Omega_2, \quad \mathcal{K}(\lambda) = \{z_2 \in \Omega_2 : 2 |b| |z_2| = \lambda |z_2 - 2b| \}.
\]

Assume there are \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that

\[
|q(t, z_2 + a - b) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 2ap(t) (z_2 + a - b)| \leq |z_2 - b| H_1(t) + H_2(t)
\]

for \( t \geq t_0, z_2 \in \Omega_2 \). Obviously, \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) must be nonnegative.

5° Let (10), (11), (12) be fulfilled. Define \( \delta \leq 2 |b| \) by (13). Put \( \psi(t) \equiv 1 \) and choose \( \kappa \in (\delta, \lambda_0) \). There is a \( \xi > 1 \) with the property

\[
\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{\xi |b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [b p(t)]} \leq \frac{8\kappa |b|^2}{4 |b|^2 + \kappa^2}.
\]

Analogously as in 1°, it can be verified that there exist constants \( \mu, \nu \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
- \text{Re} \left\{ h_3'(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_2(t, z_2)}{h_2(z_2)} \right] \right\} \leq 2[\max (\mu, \nu) - 1] \text{Re} [b p(t)]
\]

for \( t \geq t_0, z_2 \in K(\kappa, \lambda_0) = \{z_2 \in \Omega_2 : \kappa < 2 |b| |z_2| |z_2 - 2b|^{-1} < \lambda_0 \} \). By use of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 of [1] (see also Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 of [3]), we get the following assertion:

If a solution \( z_2(t) \) of (9.2) satisfies \( 2 |b| |z_2(t_1)| > \delta |z_2(t_1) - 2b| \), where \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 0, 0 < \varepsilon < \lambda_0 \) there is a \( T > 0 \) such that \( 2 |b| |z_2(t)| > \varepsilon |z_2(t) - 2b| \) for all \( t \geq t_1 + T \) for which \( z_2(t) \) is defined. Moreover, \( 2 |b| |z_2(t)| > \delta |z_2(t) - 2b| \) for all \( t \geq t_1 \) for which \( z_2(t) \) is defined.

6° Suppose that (10), (11), (12) hold. Putting

\[
\psi(t) = \frac{\text{Re} [b p(t)]}{2 |b|^2}
\]

and proceeding similarly as in 5°, we obtain
for $t \geq t_0$, $z_2 \in K(x, \lambda_0)$. Applying Theorem 2.3 of [2], where $G(t, z) = \psi(t)$, we have:

For any $\gamma, \delta < \gamma < \lambda_0$, and for any $S > t_0$ there exists a solution $z_2(t)$ of (9.2) such that $2 | b | | z_2(t) | < \gamma | z_2(t) - 2b |$ for all $t \geq S$.

7° Assume that (10), (11) and (15) are fulfilled. Put $\psi(t) \equiv 1$ and choose $\delta \in (0, 2 | b | e^{-1})$. Let $S \geq t_0$ be such that

$$\int_0^S [ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) ] \, dt < \delta/4.$$ 

For $t \geq S$ and $z_2 \in K(\delta, \lambda_0)$ it holds that

$$- Re \left\{ h'_2(0) \left[ 1 + \frac{g_2(t, z_2)}{h_2(z_2)} \right] \right\} \leq \frac{4}{\delta} [ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) ] - Re [ bp(t) ].$$

Using Theorem 2.2 of [1] with $\delta = \lambda_0$, $E(t) = 4[ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) ]/\delta - 2 Re [ bp(t) ]$, $G(t, z) \equiv 1$ we get:

If a solution $z_2(t)$ of (9.2) satisfies $2 | b | | z_2(t_1) | > \delta | z_2(t_1) - 2b |$, where $t_1 \geq S$, then $2 | b | | z_2(t) | > \delta | z_2(t) - 2b |$ for all $t \geq t_1$ for which $z_2(t)$ is defined.

8° Let (10), (11) and (15) hold. Putting

$$\psi(t) = \frac{Re [ bp(t) ]}{2 | b |^2},$$

we obtain

$$- W(z_2) \psi(t) Re \left\{ g_2(t, z_2) \frac{h'_2(0)}{h_2(z_2)} \right\} \leq 4[ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) ]$$

for $t \geq t_0$, $z_2 \in K(0, \lambda_0)$. From Theorem 3.3 of [3], where $\delta = \lambda_0$, $D(t) = G(t, z) = \psi(t)$, $E(t) = 4[ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) ]$, it follows:

If a solution $z_2(t)$ of (9.2) satisfies $Re [ bz_2(t) ] < | b |^2$ for $t \geq t_1$, where $t_1 \geq t_0$, then

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} Re [ bp(t) ] | z_2(t) | \, dt < \infty$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} z_2(t) = 0.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Considering that $K(\lambda)$ are circles with centres $2b\lambda^2(4 | b |^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ or $-2b\lambda^2(4 | b |^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ and radii $4 | b |^2 \lambda(4 | b |^2 - \lambda^2)^{-1}$, and applying 1° and 5°, we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 3.1 of [1]:

198
Theorem 1. Suppose there are \(a, b \in \mathbb{C}\) and \(H_1, H_2 \in C(I)\) such that

\[
| q(t, z) + (a^2 - b^2)p(t) - 2ap(t)z | \leq |z - a| H_1(t) + H_2(t)
\]

for \(t \geq t_0, z \in \mathbb{C},\)

(16) \(\text{Re} [bp(t)] > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0,\)

(17) \(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \text{Re} [bp(t)] \, dt = \infty\)

and

(18) \(\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [bp(t)]} < 2 |b| .\)

Let \(\delta \in [0, 1)\) be defined by

(19) \(\sup_{t \geq t_0} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [bp(t)]} = \frac{4 |b| \delta}{1 + \delta^2} .\)

 Assume that a complete solution \(z(t)\) of (4) defined on \([t_1, \omega)\), where \(t_1 \geq t_0\), satisfies

(21) \(|z(t_1) - a + (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| > 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} .\)

If \(\omega = \infty\), then

(22) \(\limsup_{t \to \infty} |z(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} .\)

If \(\omega < \infty\), then \(\text{Re} [b(z(t) - a)] < 0\) for \(t \in [t_1, \omega)\) and

\(\lim_{t \to \omega} |z(t)| = \infty .\)

If, in addition,

\(\int_{s}^{s+1} \text{Re} [bp(\tau)] \, d\tau \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty\)

uniformly for \(s \in I\) and \(\text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] \geq 0\), then to any \(\varepsilon > 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}\) there is a \(T > 0\) independent of \(t_1\) and of \(z(t)\) such that

\(|z(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| < \varepsilon\)

for \(t \geq t_1 + T .\)

Proof. Let \(\varepsilon > 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}\) be arbitrary. Put \(A = [(1 - \delta^2) \varepsilon + 2\delta^2 |b|] \times [(1 - \delta^2) \varepsilon + 2 |b|]^{-1} .\) Clearly \(\delta < A < 1 .\) Using I°, we obtain: If \(\text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] > \varepsilon > 0\), then there is a \(T > 0\) such that \(|z(t) - a - b| < A |z(t) - a + b| \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1 + T .\) Hence

\(|z(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq |z(t) - a - (1 + A^2)(1 - A^2)^{-1} b| + |b| \times [(1 + A^2)(1 - A^2)^{-1} - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}] < 199
\[
< |b| [(1 + A)^2 (1 - A^2)^{-1} - (1 + \delta^2) (1 - \delta^2)^{-1}] \leq \varepsilon
\]

for \( t \geq t_1 + T \). We shall prove that this assertion remains true if \( \text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] = 0 \).

It suffices to show that

\[
(23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] > 0.
\]

We have

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Re} [b(z(t) - a)] = \text{Re} \{b[q(t, z) - p(t) z^2] \}
\]

\[
= \text{Re} \{b[q(t, z) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 2ap(t) z] \} +
\]

\[
+ \text{Re} \{b[2ap(t) z - (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - p(t) z^2] \} \geq
\]

\[
\geq - |b| \{\gamma(t) H_1(t) + H_2(t)\} + |b|^2 \theta(t) - \text{Re} [b(z - a)^2 p(t)],
\]

where \( \gamma(t) = |z(t) - a| \) and \( \theta(t) = \text{Re} [bp(t)] \). In view of (19) there exists a \( \xi \in (0, 1) \) such that

\[
H_1(t_1) < 2\xi \text{Re} [bp(t_1)], \quad H_2(t_1) < (1 - \xi) |b| \text{Re} [bp(t_1)].
\]

This together with

\[
\text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)^2 p(t_1)] = -\gamma^2(t_1) \theta(t_1)
\]

yields

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] > B(t_1),
\]

where

\[
B(t) = - |b| \{2\xi \gamma(t) + (1 - \xi) |b| \} \theta(t) + |b|^2 \theta(t) + \gamma^2(t) \theta(t) =
\]

\[
= [-2\xi |b| \gamma(t) + \xi |b|^2 + \gamma^2(t)] \theta(t) \geq
\]

\[
\geq \xi [\gamma(t) - |b|^2 \theta(t)] \theta(t) \geq 0,
\]

from which (23) follows.

Now, it is clear that \( \text{Re} [b(z(t) - a)] < 0 \) and

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} |z(t)| = \infty,
\]

provided that \( \omega < \infty \). Assume \( \omega = \infty \). It is to show that (22) holds. It is sufficient to prove that there exists a \( t_2 \geq t_1 \) with the property \( \text{Re} [b(z(t_2) - a)] \geq 0 \). Suppose conversely that \( \text{Re} [b(z(t) - a)] < 0 \) for \( t \geq t_1 \). By \( 5^\circ \) we know that to any \( \varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1 \), there is a \( T > 0 \) such that \( |z(t) - a + b| > \varepsilon |z(t) - a - b| \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \). Consequently, there exists a \( T_1 > t_1 \) with the following property:

\[
\left| \frac{|z(t) - a|}{|z(t) - a - b|} \right|\left|z(t) - a + b\right| < \frac{1 + \delta^2}{|b|(1 + \delta)^2}.
\]
\[
\frac{1}{|z(t) - a - b| |z(t) - a + b|} < \frac{2(1 + \delta^2)}{|b|^2 (1 + \delta)^2},
\]

for \( t \geq T_1 \). Further, denoting

\[
\Theta(t) = \frac{|z(t) - a - b|}{|z(t) - a + b|},
\]

we get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \Theta(t) = \Theta^{-1}(t) \left| \frac{z - a + b}{z - a - b} \right|^2 \Re \left[ (z - a - b) \dot{z} \right] - \left| z - a - b \right|^2 \Re \left[ (z - a + b) \dot{z} \right] =
\]

\[
= \Theta(t) \Re \left\{ \frac{2b}{(z - a - b)(z - a + b)} \left[ q(t, z) + (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - 2ap(t) z \right] + \frac{2b}{(z - a - b)(z - a + b)} \left[ 2ap(t) z - (a^2 - b^2) p(t) - p(t) z^2 \right] \right\} \leq
\]

\[
\leq 2\Theta(t) \left[ -\delta(t) + \left| b \right| \frac{|z - a| H_1(t) + H_2(t)}{|z - a - b| |z - a + b|} \right] \leq
\]

\[
\leq 2\Theta(t) \left[ -\delta(t) + \left| b \right| \left( \frac{(1 + \delta^2) H_1(t)}{|b|(1 + \delta)^2} + \frac{2(1 + \delta^2) H_2(t)}{|b|^2 (1 + \delta)^2} \right) \right] \leq
\]

\[
\leq 2\Theta(t) \left[ -\delta(t) + \frac{1 + \delta^2}{|b|(1 + \delta)^2} \left| b \right| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t) \right] \leq
\]

\[
\leq 2\Theta(t) \delta(t) \left[ -1 + 4\delta(1 + \delta)^{-2} \right] \leq -(1 - \delta)^2 (1 + \delta)^{-2} \delta(t).
\]

Integrating and letting \( t \to \infty \), we infer that

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Theta(t) = -\infty,
\]

which is impossible. Therefore there exists a \( t_2 \geq t_1 \) such that \( \Re [\delta(z(t_2) - a)] \geq 0 \). The rest of the proof results from (23) and 1°.

Applying 6° and using Theorem 1, we can generalize Theorem 3.1 of [2]:

**Theorem 2.** Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then to any \( S > t_0 \) there is a solution \( z(t) \) of (4) such that

\[
|z(t) - a + (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}
\]

for \( t \geq S \).

By virtue of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 3.2 of [2]:

\[\text{201}\]
Theorem 3. Suppose there are \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that the conditions (16), (17), (18) and

\[
(24) \quad \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [b p(t)]} < 2 |b|
\]

are fulfilled. Define \( \delta \in [0, 1) \) by

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [b p(t)]} = \frac{4 |b| \delta}{1 + \delta^2}.
\]

Then there is at least one solution \( z_0(t) \) of (4) with the property

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} |z_0(t) - a + (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}.
\]

Let \( S \supseteq t_0 \) be such that

\[
\sup_{t \geq S} \frac{|b| H_1(t) + 2H_2(t)}{\text{Re} [b p(t)]} < 2 |b|.
\]

Then every solution \( z(t) \) of (4) satisfying \( \text{Re} [b(z(t_1) - a)] \geq 0 \), where \( t_1 \geq S \), is defined for all \( t \geq t_1 \) and

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} |z(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}.
\]

If, in addition,

\[
\int_s^{s+t} \text{Re} [b p(\tau)] \, d\tau \to \infty \quad \text{as} \ t \to \infty
\]

uniformly for \( s \in I \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} \) there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( z(t) \) such that

\[
|z(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| < \varepsilon
\]

for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

Corollary 1. Let \( \alpha(t), \beta(t), \varrho(t) \) be as in Section 2 and let \( p(t), q(t, z) \) be defined by (7). Suppose there are \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that the conditions (16), (17), (18) and (24) are fulfilled. Let \( \delta \in [0, 1) \) be defined as in Theorem 3. Then there is a solution \( \chi_0(t) \) of (6) with the property

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} |\beta(t) \dot{\chi}_0(t) \chi_0^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a + (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}.
\]

If \( S \supseteq t_0 \) is as in Theorem 3, then every solution \( \chi(t) \) of (6) satisfying \( \text{Re} [b(\dot{\chi}(t_1) \chi^{-1}(t_1))] \geq \text{Re} [b(\alpha(t_1) + a)] \), where \( t_1 \geq S \), is defined for all \( t \geq t_1 \), and

\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} |\beta(t) \dot{\chi}(t) \chi^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b| \leq 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1}.
\]

If, in addition,
\[
\int_{s}^{s+t} \text{Re} \left[ b(\beta^{-1}(\tau) + \varphi(\tau)) \right] d\tau \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,
\]

uniformly for \( s \in I \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 2 |b| \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} \) there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( x(t) \) such that

\[
| \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - x(t) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b | \leq \varepsilon
\]

for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

Making use of 2°, 4°, 7°, 8°, we can generalize Theorem 4.1 of [3]:

**Theorem 4.** Suppose there exist \( a, b \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that the conditions (16), (17), (18) and

\[
\int_{t_0}^{\infty} H_1(t) \, dt < \infty, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H_2(t) \, dt < \infty
\]

are fulfilled. Then each solution \( z(t) \) of (4), defined for \( t \rightarrow \infty \), satisfies either

\[
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = a + b, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \text{Re} \left[ b p(t) \right] |z(t) - a - b| \, dt < \infty
\]
or

\[
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = a - b, \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \text{Re} \left[ b p(t) \right] |z(t) - a + b| \, dt < \infty.
\]

Let \( S \geq t_0 \) be such that

\[
\int_{S}^{\infty} \left[ |b| H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] \, dt < |b| (2e)^{-1}.
\]

Then any solution \( z(t) \) of (4) satisfying

\[
|z(t_1) - a - (1 + \varkappa^2)(1 - \varkappa^2)^{-1} b | < 2 |b| \varkappa(1 - \varkappa^2)^{-1},
\]

where \( t_1 \geq S \) and

\[
\varkappa = \exp \left\{ - \frac{2e}{|b|} \int_{S}^{\infty} \left[ |b| H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] \, dt \right\},
\]

is defined for all \( t \geq t_1 \) and there holds

\[
\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} z(t) = a + b.
\]

If, in addition,

\[
\int_{s}^{s+t} \text{Re} \left[ b p(\tau) \right] d\tau \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,
\]

then...
uniformly for \( s \in I \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( z(t) \) such that \( |z(t) - a - b| < \varepsilon \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

**Proof.** We claim that there is a \( \sigma \geq t_0 \) such that

\[
(28) \quad \text{Re} \left[ \dot{b}(z(t) - a) \right] > 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma
\]
or

\[
(29) \quad \text{Re} \left[ \dot{b}(z(t) - a) \right] < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma.
\]

Assuming that this claim is false, there exists a sequence \( \{t_n\}, t_n \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \), with the property

\[
(30) \quad \text{Re} \left[ \dot{b}(z(t_n) - a) \right] = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in N.
\]

By using 2°, 7°, it can be easily verified that there is an \( L > 0 \) such that

\[
|z(t) - a - b| \geq L, \quad |z(t) - a + b| \geq L
\]
or all sufficiently large \( t \in I \). Denoting

\[
\Theta(t) = \frac{|z(t) - a - b|}{|z(t) - a + b|}, \quad \mathcal{G}(t) = \text{Re} \left[ b p(t) \right],
\]

we get

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \Theta(t) \leq 2\Theta(t) \left[ -\mathcal{G}(t) + |b| \left( \frac{H_1(t)}{|z(t) - a - b|} + \frac{H_2(t)}{|z(t) - a + b|} \right) \right] \leq \Theta(t) \left\{ -2\mathcal{G}(t) + |b| \left( \frac{H_1(t)}{|z(t) - a - b|} + \frac{H_2(t)}{|z(t) - a + b|} \right) \right\} \leq 2\Theta(t) \times \prod_{t \geq t_1} \frac{2H_2(t)}{|z(t) - a - b|} \frac{[L^{-1}H_1(t) + L^{-2}H_2(t)]},
\]
i.e.,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \exp \left[ -2 \int_{t_1}^{t} \left[ |b| L^{-2}(LH_1(s) + H_2(s)) - \mathcal{G}(s) \right] ds \right] \Theta(t) \right\} \leq 0.
\]

Integration and limiting process \( t \to \infty \) yield

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \Theta(t) = 0,
\]

which contradicts (30). Hence there is a \( \sigma \geq t_0 \) such that (28) or (29) is satisfied for \( t \geq \sigma \). By 4° and 8° there hold the conditions (26) and (27). The rest of the proof follows from 2°.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( \alpha(t), \beta(t), \varphi(t) \) be as in Section 2 and let \( p(t), q(t, z) \) be defined by (7). Suppose there are \( a, b \in C \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that (16), (17), (18) and (25)
are fulfilled. Then each solution \( x(t) \) of (6) defined for \( t \to \infty \) obeys one of the following two conditions:

\[
(31) \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) \right] = a + b,
\]

\[
\int \Re \left[ b(\beta^{-1}(t) + q(t)) \right] \left[ \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a - b \right] dt < \infty,
\]

\[
(32) \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) \right] = a - b,
\]

\[
\int \Re \left[ b(\beta^{-1}(t) + q(t)) \right] \left[ \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a + b \right] dt < \infty.
\]

If \( S \geq t_0 \) is as in Theorem 4, then any solution \( x(t) \) of (6) satisfying

\[
| \beta(t_1) \dot{x}(t_1) x^{-1}(t_1) - \alpha(t_1) - a - (1 + x^2)(1 - x^2)^{-1} b | < 2 | b | x(1 - x^2)^{-1},
\]

where \( t_1 \geq S \) and

\[
x = \exp \left\{ - \frac{2e}{| b |} \int_s^t \left[ | b | H_1(t) + H_2(t) \right] dt \right\},
\]

is defined for all \( t \geq t_1 \) and there holds

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) \right] = a + b.
\]

If, in addition,

\[
\int_s^{s+t} \Re \left[ b(\beta^{-1}(t) + q(t)) \right] dt \to \infty \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
\]

uniformly for \( s \in I \), then to any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( x(t) \) such that

\[
| \beta(t) \dot{x}(t) x^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a - b | < \varepsilon
\]

for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

Application of 3° yields the following generalization of Theorem 3.3 of [1]:

**Theorem 5.** Assume there are \( a, b \in C \), \( x > 0 \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that the conditions (16),

\[
(33) \Re \left[ b p(t) \right] \geq x \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0
\]

and

\[
(34) \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_t^{t+1} H_1(s) ds = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_t^{t+1} H_2(s) ds = 0,
\]

are fulfilled. Then to any \( \delta, 0 < \delta < 1 \), there is an \( S \geq t_0 \) such that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and for any solution \( z(t) \) of (4) satisfying

\[
| z(t_1) - a - (1 + \delta^2)(1 - \delta^2)^{-1} b | < 2 | b | \delta(1 - \delta^2)^{-1},
\]
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where \( t_1 \geq S \), there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( z(t) \) such that \( |z(t) - a - b| < \epsilon \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).

**Corollary 3.** Let \( \alpha(t) \), \( \beta(t) \), \( \varphi(t) \) be as in Section 2 and let \( p(t) \), \( q(t, z) \) be defined by (7). Suppose there are \( a, b \in \mathbb{C}, x > 0 \) and \( H_1, H_2 \in C(I) \) such that (16), (33) and (34) are fulfilled. Then to any \( \vartheta, 0 < \vartheta < 1 \), there is an \( S \geq t_0 \) such that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and for any solution \( x(t) \) of (6) satisfying

\[
|\beta(t_1) x^{-1}(t_1) - \alpha(t_1) - a - (1 + \vartheta^2) (1 - \vartheta^2)^{-1} b| < 2 |b| \vartheta (1 - \vartheta^2)^{-1},
\]

where \( t_1 \geq S \), there is a \( T > 0 \) independent of \( t_1 \) and of \( x(t) \) such that \( |\beta(t) x(t)^{-1}(t) - \alpha(t) - a - b| < \epsilon \) for \( t \geq t_1 + T \).
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