

Ivan Chajda

On the one principal congruence identity

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 23 (1987), No. 4, 187--190

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107296>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE ONE PRINCIPAL CONGRUENCE IDENTITY

IVAN CHAJDA

(Received July 8, 1985)

**Abstract.** If two congruences  $\Theta(x, 0)$ ,  $\Theta(y, 0)$  permute, then clearly  $\Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$  is a congruence and  $\Theta(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$ . The paper gives sufficient conditions under which this relation identity is satisfied also in the case of non permutable congruences  $\Theta(x, 0)$ ,  $\Theta(y, 0)$ .

**Key words.** Congruence relation, binary polynomial, variety.

**MS Classification.** 08 A 30

It is well-known fact that the relational product of two congruences  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \text{Con } A$  is a congruence on  $A$  if and only if  $\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2 = \Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_1$ . An algebra  $A$  is *congruence permutable* if this equality is true for each  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2 \in \text{Con } A$ ; a variety  $\mathcal{V}$  is *congruence permutable* if each  $A \in \mathcal{V}$  has this property. Denote by  $\Theta(a, b)$  the principal congruence on  $A$  containing the pair  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times A$ .

Let  $A$  be an algebra with a nullary operation 0. Since  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$  for each  $x, y \in A$ , it is clear that

$$(*) \quad \Theta(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$$

if  $\Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0) = \Theta(y, 0) \cdot \Theta(x, 0)$ . However,  $(*)$  can be satisfied also if  $\Theta(x, 0)$ ,  $\Theta(y, 0)$  do not permute. The investigation of  $(*)$  in this case is the aim of this short note. We say that  $(*)$  is satisfied in  $A$  if it is true for each  $x, y \in A$ .

By a *tolerance* on an algebra  $A$  is meant a reflexive and symmetrical binary relation on  $A$  satisfying the substitution property with respect to all operations of  $A$ . Since the set of all tolerances on  $A$  forms a complete lattice with respect to set inclusion [2], there exists the least tolerance on  $A$  containing the given pair  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times A$ ; denote it by  $T(a, b)$ . It is called the *principal tolerance on  $A$*  (generated by  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ). An algebra  $A$  is *tolerance trivial* if each tolerance on  $A$  is a congruence on  $A$ .  $A$  is *principal tolerance trivial* if  $T(a, b) = \Theta(a, b)$  for each  $a, b$  of  $A$ . A variety  $\mathcal{V}$  is *(principal) tolerance trivial* if each  $A \in \mathcal{V}$  has this property. A variety  $\mathcal{V}$  is tolerance trivial if and only if  $\mathcal{V}$  is congruence permutable, [3], [9]. Principal tolerance trivial algebras and varieties were characterized in [3], [4], [8].

Since (\*) contains a principal congruence generated by the pair containing 0 and since other statements presented here are formulated for principal tolerances, we can firstly repeat the following assertion (see [1] or [4]):

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety with a nullary operation 0. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T(x, 0) = \Theta(x, 0)$  is the relation identity in  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
- (2)  $T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0) = T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$

is the relation identity in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

It implies that the relation identity  $T(x, 0) = \Theta(x, 0)$  is not equal to the relation identity  $T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0) = T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0)$ . However, varieties satisfying the last identity have a special polynomial property which will be used for characterizing of (\*) and which is satisfied in each permutable variety with 0. Namely, if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a congruence permutable variety, then there exists a ternary polynomial  $p(x, y, z)$  such that  $p(x, z, z) = x$  and  $p(x, x, z) = z$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be congruence permutable and contain a nullary operation 0. Put  $b(x, y) = p(x, 0, y)$ . Then clearly

$$b(x, 0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad b(0, x) = x.$$

(Note that varieties with a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  satisfying  $b(x, x) = 0$ ,  $b(x, 0) = x$  are "permutable at 0", see [1], [6], [7] and varieties with  $b(x, y)$  satisfying  $b(x, x) = 0$ ,  $b(0, x) = 0$ ,  $b(x, 0) = x$  are "arithmetical at 0", see [6]).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety with a nullary operation 0. If  $T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0) = T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0)$  is an relation identity in  $\mathcal{V}$ , then there exists a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  such that*

$$b(x, 0) = x, \quad b(0, x) = x.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety with 0 and  $F_2(x, y)$  be the free algebra of  $\mathcal{V}$  generated by  $x, y$ . Suppose  $T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0) = T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0)$ . Since  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$ , thus  $\langle x, y \rangle \in T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0)$ , i.e. there exists an element  $v \in F_2(x, y)$  with  $\langle x, v \rangle \in T(y, 0)$  and  $\langle v, y \rangle \in T(x, 0)$ . Hence  $v = b(x, y)$  for some binary polynomial  $b$  and

$$\langle x, b(x, y) \rangle \in T(y, 0) \quad \text{implies} \quad b(x, 0) = x$$

and

$$\langle b(x, y), y \rangle \in T(x, 0) \quad \text{implies} \quad b(0, y) = y.$$

**Example.** There exists a wide class of varieties having a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  with  $b(x, 0) = x = b(0, x)$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a variety of  $\vee$ -semilattices with the least element 0, then  $b(x, y) = x \vee y$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a variety of additive groupoids with 0 (i.e.  $x + 0 = x = 0 + x$ ), we can put  $b(x, y) = x + y$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety with a nullary operation 0. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\langle y, x \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0);$   
 (2)  $T(x, y) \subseteq T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0);$   
 (3)  $T(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0);$   
 (4) *there exists a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  with*

$$b(x, 0) = x, \quad b(0, x) = x.$$

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfy (1) and  $A \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $x, y \in A$ . Clearly the relation  $T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$  is reflexive and has the substitution property. Thus

$$\langle x, y \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$$

and

$$\langle y, x \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$$

imply also

$$\langle \varphi(x, y), \varphi(y, x) \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$$

for every binary algebraic function  $\varphi$  over  $A$ . By Lemma 2 of [2], we have (2). The implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is evident. Prove (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $F_2(x, y)$  be a free algebra of a variety  $\mathcal{V}$  with 0 satisfying (3) and  $\Theta(x, 0), \Theta(y, 0) \in \text{Con } F_2(x, y)$ . Clearly

$$\langle y, x \rangle \in \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0).$$

We obtain (4) in the way completely analogous to that in the proof of Theorem 2.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $x, y \in A$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, b(x, y) \rangle &= \langle b(0, y), b(x, y) \rangle \in T(x, 0) \\ \langle b(x, y), x \rangle &= \langle b(x, y), b(x, 0) \rangle \in T(y, 0), \end{aligned}$$

thus  $\langle y, x \rangle \in T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety with 0 satisfying the relation identity*

$$T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0) = T(y, 0) \cdot T(x, 0) \cdot T(y, 0).$$

$\mathcal{V}$  satisfies  $\Theta(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$  if and only if there exists a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  with  $b(x, 0) = x = b(0, x)$ .

It follows directly from Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\mathcal{V}$  is principal tolerance trivial variety with 0, then  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies  $\Theta(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0)$  if and only if there exists a binary polynomial  $b(x, y)$  such that*

$$b(x, 0) = x = b(0, x).$$

**Corollary 3.** *The variety of all distributive lattices with the least element 0 satisfies the relation identity*

$$\Theta(x, y) \subseteq \Theta(x, 0) \cdot \Theta(y, 0).$$

Proof. By [5], the variety of all distributive lattices is principal tolerance trivial. By the Example, there exists a binary polynomial  $b(x, y) = x \vee y$  satisfying (4) of Theorem 3.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. Chajda, *A localization of some congruence conditions in varieties with nullary operations*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, secti Math., to appear.
- [2] I. Chajda, *Distributivity and modularity of lattices of tolerance relations*, Algebra Univ. 12 (1981), 247–255.
- [3] I. Chajda, *Tolerance trivial algebras and varieties*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 46 (1983), 35–40.
- [4] I. Chajda, *Relatives of 3-permutability and principal tolerance trivial varieties*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, secti Math., 28 (1985).
- [5] I. Chajda, B. Zelinka, *Minimal compatible tolerances on lattices*, Czech. Math. J., 27 (1977), 452–459.
- [6] J. Duda, *Arithmeticity at 0*, Czech. Math. J., to appear.
- [7] H.-P. Gumm, A. Ursini, *Ideals in universal algebras*, Algebra Univ. 19 (1984), 45–54.
- [8] J. Niederle, *Conditions for trivial principal tolerances*, Arch. Math. (Brno), 19 (1983), 145–152.
- [9] H. Werner, *A Mal'cev condition for admissible relations*, Algebra Univ. 3 (1973), 263.

*Ivan Chajda*  
 ZVS – k. p. Meopta Přerov  
 Kabelíkova 1  
 750 58 Přerov  
 Czechoslovakia