

Nikolaos S. Papageorgiou

On the existence of  $\psi$ -minimal viable solutions for a class of differential inclusions

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 27 (1991), No. 3-4, 175--182

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107419>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE EXISTENCE OF $\psi$ -MINIMAL VIABLE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS

NIKOLAS S. PAPAGEORGIU

(Received September 22, 1988)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we establish the existence of  $\psi$ -minimal viable solutions for a class of differential inclusions with a Hausdorff continuous orientor field defined on a general Banach space and satisfying a compactness hypothesis and a strong Nagumo type condition (theorem 3.1 and 3.2). When the space is finite dimensional, we show that the strong Nagumo condition can be weakened to a regular Nagumo type (tangential) condition.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper Falcone-Saint Pierre [6] established sufficient conditions for the existence of slow viable solutions for a class of differential inclusions defined on a finite dimensional Banach space.

In this note we generalize the results of Falcone-Sait Pierre [6], by relaxing some of their hypotheses and by proving an existence result for finite dimensional differential inclusions.

Consider the following multivalued Cauchy problem on a Banach space  $X$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t)) \quad \text{a.e.} \\ x(0) = x_0 \in K \subseteq X \\ x(t) \in K, \quad t \in T = [0, b] \end{array} \right\} \quad (*)$$

In their works, Deimling [4] and the author [13], proved that under some compactness type hypothesis on the orientor field  $F(x)$ , a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of solutions of (\*), is that for all  $x \in K$ ,  $F(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$  (Nagumo type condition). Here  $T_K(x)$  denotes the Bouligand tangent cone to  $K$  at  $x$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34A40.

*Key words and phrases*: differential inclusions, measure of noncompactness, Hausdorff continuity, lower semicontinuity, Bouligand cone, Arzela-Ascoli theorem,  $\psi$ -minimal solution, Kuratowski convergence.

In this paper we will be looking for a special type of viable solutions, namely solutions with velocity which is minimal with respect to a certain criterion  $\psi(\cdot)$  ( $\psi(\cdot)$ -minimal solutions). So let  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous, convex function. We say that a trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  of (\*) is " $\psi$ -minimal, viable" if and only if

$$\psi(\dot{x}(t)) = \inf\{\psi(z) : z \in F(x(t)) \cap T_K(x(t))\} \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Note that when  $\psi(x) = \|x\|$  (the norm function), we recover the notion of slow solution, which is important in mathematical economics and control theory (see Aubin [1] and Henry [7]). In this case  $\psi(\cdot)$  is nothing else but the metric projection on the set  $R(x) = F(x) \cap T_K(x)$ . Recall that if the underlying state space  $X$  is a strictly convex, reflexive Banach space and  $K \subseteq X$  is nonempty, closed, convex, then the metric projection function  $x \rightarrow \text{proj}(x, K)$  is single valued.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Throughout this paper we will be using the following notations:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, closed, (convex)}\}$$

and

$$P_{k(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : \text{nonempty, compact, (convex)}\}.$$

On  $P_f(X)$  we can define a generalized metric  $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ , known as the Hausdorff metric, by setting

$$h(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A}(\inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|), \sup_{b \in B}(\inf_{a \in A} \|b - a\|)\}.$$

Recall that  $(P_f(X), h)$  is a complete metric space.

A multifunction  $F : X \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is said to be Hausdorff continuous ( $h$ -continuous), if it is continuous as a function from  $X$  into the metric space  $(P_f(X), h)$ .

More generally if  $Y, Z$  are Hausdorff topological spaces, a multifunction  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is said to be lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.), if for all  $U \subseteq Z$  open,  $F^-(U) = \{y \in Y : F(y) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $Y$ . If  $Y, Z$  are metric spaces, this definition is equivalent to saying that for any  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$ , we have  $F(y) \subseteq \lim F(y_n) = \{z \in Z : \lim d(z, F(y_n)) = 0\}$ , where  $d(z, F(y_n)) = \inf\{\|z - z'\| : z' \in F(y_n)\}$ . We will say that  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.), if for all  $U \subseteq Z$  open  $F^+(U) = \{y \in Y : F(y) \subseteq U\}$  is open in  $Y$  (see Delahaye-Denel [5]).

Now let us turn to  $X$  being a Banach space, let  $K \subseteq X$  be nonempty and let  $x \in \bar{K}$ . The "Bouligand or contingent cone" to  $K$  at  $x$  is defined by:

$$T_K(x) = \left\{ h \in X : \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{d_K(x + \lambda h)}{\lambda} = 0 \right\}$$

where for any  $z \in X$ ,  $d_K(z) = \inf\{\|z - x'\| : x' \in K\}$  (see Aubin-Cellina [2]). It is clear that this cone is closed,  $T_K(x) = T_{\bar{K}}(x)$  and if  $x \in \text{int } K$ , then  $T_K(x) = X$ .

Note that unfortunately  $T_K(x)$  in general is not convex. Also note that  $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$ , then for all  $x \in \bar{K}$ ,  $\text{int } T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$  (see Aubin-Ekeland [3], p.169).

By  $\alpha(\cdot)$ . We will denote the "Kuratowski measure of noncompactness" which is defined on the nonempty, bounded subsets of  $X$ . So if  $A$  is such a set we have:

$$\alpha(A) = \inf\{d > 0 : A \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k, \text{ for some } m \text{ and } A_k \text{ s.t. } \text{diam}(A_k) \leq d\}.$$

Finally given a multifunction  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , by "graph of  $F$ " we will mean the set  $\text{Gr}F = \{(y, z) \in Y \times Z : z \in F(y)\}$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous, convex function. We will be looking for  $\psi$ -minimal viable trajectories of (\*). Recall that  $x : T = [0, b] \rightarrow X$  is a " $\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory", if there exists  $f \in S_{F(x(\cdot))}^1 = \{g \in L^1(X) : g(t) \in F(x(t)) \text{ a.e.}\}$  s.t.  $x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s) ds$  for all  $t \in T$ ,  $x(t) \in K$  and  $\psi(\dot{x}(t)) = \inf\{\psi(z) : z \in F(x(t)) \cap T_K(x(t))\}$  a.e.

In our first theorem we will establish the existence of such solutions for a large class of infinite dimensional differential inclusions. For this we will need the following simple lemma.

**Lemma.** *If  $Y, Z$  are Hausdorff topological spaces,  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is l.s.c.,  $G : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  has an open graph and for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $F(y) \cap G(y) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $y \rightarrow L(y) = F(y) \cap G(y)$  is l.s.c.*

*Proof.* We need to show that given  $V \subseteq Z$  open,  $L^-(V) = \{y \in Y : L(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset\} = \{y \in Y : F(y) \cap G(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$  is open. Let  $y \in L^-(V)$  and  $z \in F(y) \cap G(y) \cap V$ . Then  $(y, z) \in \text{Gr}G \cap (Y \times V)$ . Note that since by hypothesis  $G(\cdot)$  has an open graph,  $\text{Gr}G \cap (Y \times V)$  is open. So we can find  $U_1(y)$  an open neighborhood of  $y$  and  $W_1(z)$  an open neighborhood of  $z$  s.t.  $U_1(y) \times W_1(z) \subseteq \text{Gr}G \cap (Y \times V)$ . Observe that  $F(y) \cap W_1(z) \neq \emptyset$  since it contains  $z$  and because by hypothesis  $F(\cdot)$  is l.s.c., we can find  $U_2(y)$  an open neighborhood of  $y$  s.t.  $F(y') \cap W_1(z) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y' \in U_2(y)$ . Set  $U(y) = U_1(y) \cap U_2(y)$ . Then for all  $y' \in U(y)$  we have  $F(y') \cap W_1(z) \neq \emptyset$  while  $U(y) \times W_1(z) \subseteq \text{Gr}G \cap (Y \times V)$ . So for all  $y' \in U(y)$ ,  $F(y') \cap G(y') \cap V = L(y') \cap V \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow L^-(V)$  is open  $\Rightarrow L(\cdot)$  is l.s.c.  $\square$

Now we are ready for the theorem establishing the existence of  $\psi$ -minimal viable solutions for (\*). Our result extends theorem 4.1 of Falcone-Saint Pierre [6], since our state space is infinite dimensional, the growth hypothesis on the orientor field  $F(\cdot)$  is more general and  $\psi(\cdot)$  need not be inf-compact as in [6]. Note that this last fact is very important, because it allows  $\psi(\cdot)$  to be the norm of an infinite dimensional Banach space and so our existence theorem incorporates the results on the existence of slow solutions (see Aubin-Cellina [2]).

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $K \in P_{fc}(X)$  with  $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, convex and  $F : K \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.

- (1)  $F(\cdot)$  is  $h$ -continuous,
  - (2)  $|F(x)| \leq c(1 + \|x\|)$ ,  $c > 0$ ,
  - (3)  $\alpha(F(B)) \leq k \alpha(B)$  for all  $B \subseteq K$  nonempty bounded,  $k > 0$ ,
  - (4)  $F(x) \cap \text{int } T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in K$ ,
- then (\*) admits a  $\psi$ -minimal viable solution  $x(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G : K \rightarrow 2^X$  be defined by

$$G(x) = \{v \in Y : \psi(y) \leq \inf\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x)\} = \lambda(x)\}$$

where  $R(x) = F(x) \cap T_K(x)$ . Since  $F(\cdot)$  is  $h$ -continuous (hence l.s.c. too) and  $x \rightarrow \text{int } T_K(x)$  has an open graph (see Aubin-Ekeland [3], proposition 7, p. 169), from the lemma we deduce that  $x \rightarrow F(x) \cap \text{int } T_K(x)$  l.s.c.. Hence  $x \rightarrow \overline{F(x) \cap \text{int } T_K(x)} = F(x) \cap T_K(x) = R(x)$  is l.s.c. (see Klein-Thompson [9], proposition 7.3.3, p. 85). Also since  $R(\cdot)$  is compact valued ( $F(\cdot)$  being compact valued because of hypothesis (3)), there exists a  $\hat{z} \in R(x)$  (depending on  $x$ ) s.t.  $\psi(\hat{z}) = \lambda(x) \Rightarrow G(x) \neq \emptyset$  and in fact, since  $\psi(\cdot)$  is also convex, it is easy to see that  $G(x) \in P_{kc}(X)$ .

We claim that  $G(\cdot)$  has closed graph. To this end let  $(x_n, y_n) \in \text{Gr } G(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{a} (x, y)$  in  $K \times X$ . We have  $\psi(y_n) \leq \lambda(x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $R(\cdot)$  is l.s.c. from theorem 4, p. 51, in Aubin-Cellina [2], we have that  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is u.s.c.. So by passing to the limit we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim \psi(y_n) &= \psi(y) \leq \overline{\lim} \lambda(x_n) \leq \lambda(x) \\ \Rightarrow (x, y) &\in \text{Gr } G \\ \Rightarrow \text{Gr } G &\text{ is closed in } K \times X. \end{aligned}$$

Invoking theorem 1, p. 41 of Aubin-Cellina [2], we get  $x \rightarrow L(x) = F(x) \cap G(x)$  is u.s.c.. Also because of hypothesis (4),  $L(x) \cap T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in K$ . Furthermore we have  $|L(x)| = \sup\{\|z\| : z \in L(x)\} \leq |F(x)| = \sup\{\|z'\| : z' \in F(x)\} \leq c(1 + \|x\|)$  (hypothesis (2)), while for  $B \subseteq K$  nonempty bounded, since the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is monotone, we have  $\alpha(L(B)) \leq \alpha(F(B)) \leq k \alpha(B)$ . So if we consider the following viability problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}(t) \in L(x(t)) \quad \text{a.e.} \\ x(0) = x_0 \in K \\ x(t) \in K, \quad t \in T = [0, b] \end{array} \right\} \quad (*)'$$

we see that all the hypotheses of theorem 1 of Deimling [4] are satisfied and so according to that theorem there exists solution  $x(\cdot)$  of (\*). It is easy to see that  $x(\cdot)$  is the desired  $\psi(\cdot)$ -minimal viable solution of (\*).  $\square$

We can also have an integral selection criterion.

So as before let  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous, convex function and set  $I_\psi(v) = \int_0^b \psi(v(t)) dt$ , for all  $v(\cdot) \in L^1(X)$  if the integral exists, permitting  $\pm\infty$ . We say that

a trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  of (\*) is " $I_\psi$ -minimal viable" if and only if  $I_\psi(\dot{x}) = \inf\{I_\psi(v) : v \in S_{R(x(\cdot))}^1\}$ , where  $R(x) = F(x) \cap T_K(x)$  and  $S_{R(x(\cdot))}^1 = \{g \in L^1(X) : g(t) \in R(x(t))\}$  a.e.

Our existence result concerning  $I_\psi$ -minimal viable trajectories of (\*), reads as follows:

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $X$  is a separable Banach space,  $K \in P_{fc}(X)$  with  $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\psi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, convex, for all  $z(\cdot)$  viable trajectories of (\*) and all  $v \in S_{R(z(\cdot))}^1$ ,  $I_\psi(v)$  is defined and finite for at least one such  $v$  and  $F : K \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is a multifunction satisfying hypothesis (1)  $\rightarrow$  (4) of theorem 3.1, then (\*) admits an  $I_\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory.*

*Proof.* From theorem 3.1 we know that there exists  $\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory  $x(\cdot)$  of (\*). So  $\psi(\dot{x}(t)) = \inf\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x(t))\}$  a.e. Note that  $R(\cdot)$  being l.s.c. is measurable. So we can apply theorem 2.2 of Hiai-Umegaki [8] and get that:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{I_\psi(v) : v \in S_{R(x(\cdot))}^1\} &= \int_0^b \inf\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x(t))\} dt \\ &= \int_0^b \psi(\dot{x}(t)) dt = I_\psi(\dot{x}), \end{aligned}$$

$\implies x(\cdot)$  is an  $I_\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory of (\*). □

If the underlying state space  $X$  is finite dimensional, then we can improve theorem 3.1 by replacing hypothesis (4), with a standard Nagumo type hypothesis. So we have the following existence result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\dim X < \infty$ ,  $K \in P_{fc}(X)$  with  $\text{int } K \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, strictly convex, inf-compact function and  $F : K \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.*

- (1)  $F(\cdot)$  is  $h$ -continuous,
  - (2)  $|F(x)| \leq c(1 + \|x\|)$ ,  $c > 0$ ,
  - (3)  $F(x) \cap T_X(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in K$ ,
- then (\*) admits a  $\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory  $x(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F_n(x) = F(x) + \frac{1}{n}B_1$ , where  $B_1$  is the closed unit ball in  $X$ . Clearly  $F_n(\cdot)$  is  $h$ -continuous,  $|F_n(x)| \leq c(+\frac{1}{n}) + c\|x\|$  and  $F_n(x) \cap \text{int } T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in K$ . Consider the following approximating viability problems:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \dot{x}_n(t) \in F_n(x_n(t)) \quad \text{a.e.} \\ x_n(0) = x_0 \in K \\ x_n(t) \in K, \quad t \in T = [0, b] \end{array} \right\} \quad (*)_n$$

From theorem 3.1 (note that hypothesis (3) of that theorem is automatically satisfied with  $k = 0$  because of the finite dimensionality of  $X$ ), we know that for

every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(*)_n$  admits a  $\psi$ -minimal viable solution  $x_n(\cdot)$ . Then for all  $n \geq 1$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}_n(t)\| &\leq (c+1) + c\|x_n(t)\| \quad \text{a.e.} \\ \Rightarrow \|x_n(t)\| &\leq \|x_0\| + (c+1)b + \int_0^t c\|x_n(s)\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

So from Gronwall's inequality we get that for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $t \in T$

$$\|x_n(t)\| \leq (\|x_0\| + (c+1)b) \exp(cb) = M.$$

Thus  $\|\dot{x}_n(t)\| \leq (c+1) + cM = \overline{M}$  a.e. Therefore  $\{\dot{x}_n(\cdot)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable in  $L^1(X)$  and so  $\{x_n(\cdot)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is equicontinuous in  $C(T, X)$ . It is also bounded. So from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we deduce that  $\overline{\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}}$  is compact in  $C(T, X)$ . Hence by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $C(T, X)$ .

Note that  $F_n(x) \xrightarrow{K} F(x)$  (convergence in the sense of Kuratowski [10], p. 339). Because  $\text{int } T_K(x) \neq \emptyset$ , from lemma 1.4 of Mosco [11], we have that  $F_n(x) \cap T_K(x) = R_n(x) \xrightarrow{K} F(x) \cap T_K(x) = R(x)$  for all  $x \in K$ .

Now we claim that the minimization problem  $\min\{\varphi(z) : z \in R(x)\}$  is Tihonov well-posed i.e. it admits a unique solution  $\hat{z}_n \in R(x)$  and every minimizing sequence converges to it. That a solution exists, follows from the continuity of  $\psi(\cdot)$  and the compactness of  $R(x)$ . That is unique, is a consequence of the strict convexity of  $\psi(\cdot)$ . Finally let  $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a minimizing sequence i.e.  $\psi(z_n) \downarrow \lambda(x)$  where  $\lambda(x)$  is the value of the minimization problem. Without any loss of generality, we may assume that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\psi(z_n) \leq \lambda(x) + 1$ . Since  $\psi(\cdot)$  is inf-compact,  $\{z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is relatively compact and so we may assume that  $z_n \rightarrow \hat{z}$ . Then  $\psi(z_n) \rightarrow \psi(\hat{z}) = \lambda(x)$  i.e.  $\hat{z}$  is the unique solution of the minimization problem. Therefore  $\min\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x)\}$  is Tihonov well-posed. Without any loss of generality assume  $\psi(0) = 0$ .

Set  $\lambda_n(x) = \min\{\psi(z) : z \in R_n(x)\}$  and  $\lambda(x) = \min\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x)\}$ . Since  $R_n(x) \xrightarrow{K} R(x)$  and the limit problem is Tihonov well-posed, we can apply theorem 3 of Zolezzi [14] and get that  $\lambda_n(x) \uparrow \lambda(x)$ . Now note that for every  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\lambda_n(x_n(t)) \leq \lambda(x_n(t))$ .

Recall that  $\lambda(\cdot)$  is u.s.c.. So we get:

$$\overline{\lim} \lambda_n(x_n(t)) \leq \overline{\lim} \lambda(x_n(t)) \leq \lambda(x(t)).$$

Also from the Dunford-Pettis compactness criterion and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\dot{x}_n \rightharpoonup \dot{x}$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Then for all  $A \subseteq T$  Lebesgue measurable we have  $\chi_A \dot{x}_n \rightharpoonup \chi_A \dot{x}$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Recalling that  $I_\psi(\cdot)$  is

weakly l.s.c. we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^b \psi(\chi_A(t)\dot{x}(t)) dt &\leq \liminf \int_0^b \psi(\chi_A(t)\dot{x}_n(t)) dt \leq \overline{\lim} \int_A \psi(\dot{x}_n(t)) dt = \\ &= \overline{\lim} \int_A \lambda_n(x_n(t)) dt \leq \int_A \overline{\lim} \lambda_n(x_n(t)) dt \text{ (Fatou's lemma)} \leq \\ &\leq \int_A \lambda(x(t)) dt, \\ &\Rightarrow \int_A \psi(\dot{x}(t)) dt \leq \int_A \lambda(x(t)) \\ &\Rightarrow \psi(\dot{x}(t)) \leq \lambda(x(t)) \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Next we claim that  $F_n(x_n(t)) \xrightarrow{h} F(x(t))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To this end, note that for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x \rightarrow u_n(x) = h(F_n(x), F(x))$  is continuous and  $u_n(x) \downarrow 0$ . So from Dini's theorem we have  $u_n(x) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compacta. Then note that:

$$\begin{aligned} h(F_n(x_n(t)), F(x(t))) &\leq h(F_n(x_n(t)), F(x_n(t))) + h(F(x_n(t)), F(x(t))) \\ &= u_n(x_n(t)) + h(F(x_n(t)), F(x(t))) \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $u_n(x_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$ , while from hypothesis (1) we have  $h(F(x_n(t)), F(x(t))) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow F_n(x_n(t)) \xrightarrow{h} F(x(t))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now observe that

$$\dot{x}_n(t) \in F_n(x_n(t)) \text{ a.e.}$$

and  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $C(T, X)$ , while  $\dot{x}_n \xrightarrow{w} \dot{x}$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Invoking theorem 1, p. 60 of Aubin-Cellina [2] (see also theorem 3.1 of [12]), we get:

$$\dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t)) \text{ a.e.}$$

Furthermore  $x(t) \in K$  for all  $t \in T$ . Then for  $\lambda > 0$  we have:

$$\frac{d_K(x(t) + \lambda\dot{x}(t))}{\lambda} = \frac{d_K(x(t) + \lambda) - \lambda\varepsilon(\lambda)}{\lambda} \leq \frac{\lambda\varepsilon(\lambda)}{\lambda} = \varepsilon(\lambda)$$

where  $\varepsilon(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ . So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \frac{d_K(x(t) + \lambda\dot{x}(t))}{\lambda} &= 0 \text{ a.e.} \\ &\Rightarrow \dot{x}(t) \in T_K(x(t)) \text{ a.e.} \\ &\Rightarrow \dot{x}(t) \in F(x(t)) \cap T_K(x(t)) = R(x(t)) \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

Because of the uniqueness of the solution of  $\min\{\psi(z) : z \in R(x(t))\} = \lambda(x(t))$  and since as we saw above  $\psi(\dot{x}(t)) \leq \lambda(x(t))$  a.e., we conclude that  $x(\cdot)$  is the desired  $\psi$ -minimal viable trajectory of (\*).  $\square$

If in theorem 3.3,  $X$  is strictly convex and  $\psi(z) = \|z\|$ , then the result applies and we get slow viable solutions for (\*). By the way, note that there is a minor inaccuracy in the work of Falcone-Saint Pierre [6]. The state space  $X$  has to be strictly convex, or otherwise the metric projection need not be single valued.

*Acknowledgement.* The author wishes to express his gratitude to the referee for his (her) corrections and remarks that improved the content of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. Aubin, *Slow and heavy viable trajectories of controlled problems. Smooth viability domain In Multifunctions and Integrals*, ed. G. Salinetti, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1091, Springer, Berlin (1984), 105-116.
- [2] J.P. Aubin - A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, Berlin (1984).
- [3] J.P. Aubin - I. Ekeland, *Applied Analysis*, Wiley, New York (1984).
- [4] K. Deimling, *Multivalued differential equations on closed sets*, Diff. and Integral Equations 1 (1988), 23-30.
- [5] J.P. Delahaye - J. Denel, *The continuities of the point to set maps, definitions and equivalences*, Math. Progr. Study 10 (1979), 8-12.
- [6] M. Falcone - P. Saint-Pierre, *Slow and quasislow solutions of differential inclusions*, Nonl. Anal. - T.M.A. 11 (1987), 367-377.
- [7] C. Henry, *Differential equations with discontinuous right hand side for planning procedures*, J. Econ. Theory 4 (1972), 545-551.
- [8] F. Hiai - H. Umegaki, *Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Mult. Anal. 7 (1977), 149-182.
- [9] E. Klein - A. Thompson, *Theory of Correspondences*, Wiley, New York (1984).
- [10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Academic Press, New York (1966).
- [11] U. Mosco, *Convergence of convex sets and of solutions of variational inequalities*, Adv. Math. 3 (1969), 510-585.
- [12] N.S. Papageorgiou, *Convergence of Banach space valued integrable multifunctions*, Intern. J. Math and Math. Sci 10 (1987), 433-442.
- [13] N.S. Papageorgiou, *Viable and periodic solutions for differential inclusions in Banach spaces*, Kobe J. Math. 5 (1988), 29-42.
- [14] T. Zolezzi, *Well posedness and stability analysis in optimization in the Proceedings of the "Fermat Days"*, ed. J.-B. Hiriart-Urruty, North Holland, New York (1986), 305-320.

NIKOLAS S. PAPAGEORGIU  
 NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 ATHENS 15773, GREECE